

Bellman-Ford Algorithm

- Relaxation algorithm
- “Smart” order of edge relaxations
- Label edges e_1, e_2, \dots, e_m

- Relax in this order:


$\underbrace{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_m}_{\text{first set}}; \underbrace{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_m}_{\text{second set}}; \dots \dots; \underbrace{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_m}_{\text{last set}}$

$|V| - 1$ repetitions


Bellman-Ford Algorithm

```

for  $v$  in  $V$ :
     $v.d = \infty$ 
     $v.\pi = \text{None}$ 
 $s.d = 0$ 
for  $i$  from 1 to  $|V| - 1$ :
    for  $(u, v)$  in  $E$ :
        relax( $u, v$ ):
            if  $v.d > u.d + w(u, v)$ :
                 $v.d = u.d + w(u, v)$ 
                 $v.\pi = u$ 
    
```




Bellman-Ford Example



edges ordered right to left

Bellman-Ford Example




one round!

edges ordered left to right

AppliedRoots.com

Bellman-Ford Example


AppliedRoots.com



edges ordered top down, left to right

Bellman-Ford in Practice

- Distance-vector routing protocol
 - Repeatedly relax edges until convergence – Relaxation is local!
- On the Internet:
 - Routing Information Protocol (RIP)




– Interior Gateway Routing Protocol (IGRP)

Bellman-Ford Algorithm with Negative-Weight Cycle Detection

```

for  $v$  in  $V$ :
     $v.d = \infty$ 
     $v.\pi = \text{None}$ 
 $s.d = 0$ 
for  $i$  from 1 to  $|V| - 1$ :
    for  $(u, v)$  in  $E$ :
        relax( $u, v$ )
for  $(u, v)$  in  $E$ :
    if  $v.d > u.d + w(u, v)$ :
        report that a negative-weight cycle exists
    
```



Bellman-Ford Analysis

```


for  $v$  in  $V$ :
     $v.d = \infty$ 
     $v.\pi = \text{None}$ 
 $s.d = 0$ 
for  $i$  from 1 to  $|V| - 1$ :
    for  $(u, v)$  in  $E$ :
        relax( $u, v$ )
for  $(u, v)$  in  $E$ :
    if  $v.d > u.d + w(u, v)$ :
        report that a negative-weight cycle exists
    
```

Handwritten annotations for complexity analysis:

- For the first loop (initialization), a blue bracket groups the three lines, with $O(V)$ written next to it.
- For the second loop (relaxation), a green bracket groups the inner loop, with $O(E)$ written next to it. A blue bracket groups the entire second loop, with $O(VE)$ written next to it.
- For the third loop (negative-weight cycle check), a green bracket groups the lines, with $O(E)$ written next to it.
- At the top right, TOTAL: $O(VE)$ is written.


Recall: Relaxing Is Safe

- Lemma: The relaxation algorithm maintains the invariant that $v.d \geq \delta(s, v)$ for all $v \in V$.
- Proof: By induction on the number of steps.
 - Consider $\text{relax}(u, v)$
 - By induction, $u.d \geq \delta(s, u)$
 - By triangle inequality,
$$\begin{aligned}\delta(s, v) &\leq \delta(s, u) + \delta(u, v) \\ &\leq u.d + w(u, v)\end{aligned}$$
 - So setting $v.d = u.d + w(u, v)$ is “safe” ■



Bellman-Ford Correctness

- Claim: After iteration i of Bellman-Ford, $s.d$ to v using at most i edges, for all $v \in V$.
- Proof: By induction on i .
 - Before iteration i , $v.d \leq \min\{w(p) : |p| \leq i - 1\}$
 - Relaxation only decreases $v.d$'s \Rightarrow remains true
 - Iteration i considers all paths with $\leq i$ edges when relaxing v 's incoming edges



Bellman-Ford Correctness

at most the weight of every path from

Bellman-Ford Correctness

- Theorem: If $G = (V, E, w)$ has no negativeweight cycles, then at the end of Bellman-Ford, $v.d = \delta(s, v)$ for all $v \in V$.
- Proof:
 - Without negative-weight cycles, shortest paths are always simple
 - Every simple path has $\leq |V|$ vertices, so

Bellman-Ford Correctness

$$\begin{array}{ll} \leq |V| - 1 \text{ edges} & - \text{ Claim} \\ \Rightarrow |V| - 1 \text{ iterations} & \text{make } v.d \leq \delta(s, v) \\ \Rightarrow v.d \geq \delta(s, v) \blacksquare & - \text{ Safety} \end{array}$$

- Theorem: Bellman-Ford correctly reports negative-weight cycles reachable from s .
- Proof:
 - If no negative-weight cycle, then previous theorem


Bellman-Ford Correctness

implies $v.d = \delta(s, v)$, and by triangle
 $\delta(s, v) \leq \delta(s, u) + w(u, v)$ inequality, so
Bellman-Ford won't
incorrectly report a negative-weight cycle.

- If there's a negative-weight cycle, then one of its edges can always be relaxed (once one of its d values becomes finite), so Bellman-Ford reports. ■

Computing $\delta(s, v)$

```
for  $v$  in  $V$ :  
     $v.d = \infty$   
     $v.\pi = \text{None}$   
 $s.d = 0$   
for  $i$  from 1 to  $|V| - 1$ :  
    for  $(u, v)$  in  $E$ :  
        relax( $u, v$ )  
for  $j$  from 1 to  $|V|$ :  
    for  $(u, v)$  in  $E$ :  
        if  $v.d > u.d + w(u, v)$ :  
             $v.d = -\infty$   
             $v.\pi = u$ 
```




Correctness of $\delta(s, v)$

- Theorem: After the algorithm, for all $v \in V$.

$$v.d = \delta(s, v)$$

- Proof:

- As argued before, after i loop, every negative weight cycle has a relaxable edge
- Setting $v.d = -\infty$ takes limit of relaxation
- All reachable nodes also have $\delta(s, x) = -\infty$
- Path from original u to any vertex x
 $\delta(s, x) = -\infty$ $|V|$
- (including u) with has at most edges



- (So relaxation is impossible after loop.) ■