## **Optimization Examples**

ECON 441: Introduction to Mathematical Economics

## **Example 1: Utility Maximization**

Consider the following utility maximization problem:

$$\max_{\{x_1, x_2\}} \quad x_1^{\alpha} x_2^{\beta} \quad s.t. \quad p_1 x_1 + p_2 x_2 = m$$

Here,  $p_1$  and  $p_2$  are the prices of goods 1 and 2, and m is the total income available to spend on the two goods. To find the critical points, we start by setting up the Lagrange function:

$$\mathcal{L}(x_1, x_2, \lambda) = x_1^{\alpha} x_2^{\beta} + \lambda (m - p_1 x_1 - p_2 x_2)$$

The first-order conditions are given by:

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial x_1} = \alpha x_1^{\alpha - 1} x_2^{\beta} - \lambda p_1 = 0 \tag{1}$$

Instructor: Div Bhagia

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial x_1} = \beta x_1^{\alpha} x_2^{\beta - 1} - \lambda p_2 = 0 \tag{2}$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \lambda} = m - p_1 x_1 - p_2 x_2 = 0 \tag{3}$$

From equations (1) and (2), we have  $\alpha x_1^{\alpha-1} x_2^{\beta} = \lambda p_1$  and  $\beta x_1^{\alpha} x_2^{\beta-1} = \lambda p_2$ , dividing the first expression by the second, we get:

$$\frac{\alpha x_1^{\alpha - 1} x_2^{\beta}}{\beta x_1^{\alpha} x_2^{\beta - 1}} = \frac{\lambda p_1}{\lambda p_2}$$

Simplifying this expression further:

$$\frac{\alpha x_2^{\beta} x_2^{1-\beta}}{\beta x_1^{\alpha} x_1^{1-\alpha}} = \frac{p_1}{p_2} \to \frac{\alpha x_2}{\beta x_1} = \frac{p_1}{p_2}$$

Then we can write that,

$$x_2 = \frac{\beta}{\alpha} \cdot \frac{p_1}{p_2} \cdot x_1$$

Plugging the above expression for  $x_2$  in equation (3):

$$m - p_1 x_1 - p_2 x_2 = m - p_1 x_1 - \frac{\beta}{\alpha} \cdot p_1 x_1 = m - p_1 x_1 \left( 1 + \frac{\beta}{\alpha} \right) = 0$$

So we can find,

$$x_1^* = \frac{\alpha}{\alpha + \beta} \frac{m}{p_1}$$

Plugging back  $x_1$  in the expression for  $x_2$ , we can find:

$$x_2^* = \frac{\beta}{\alpha + \beta} \frac{m}{p_2}$$

## **Example 2: Cost Minimization**

Consider the following cost minimization problem where p is the price of capital, and w is the price of labor. Quantity is constrained at  $\bar{Q}$ .

$$\max_{\{K,L\}} \quad pK + wL \quad s.t. \quad Q(K,L) = \bar{Q}$$

Lagrange function:

$$\mathcal{L}(x_1, x_2, \lambda) = pK + wL + \lambda(\bar{Q} - Q(K, L))$$

First-order conditions:

$$\begin{split} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial K} &= p - \lambda Q_K(K, L) = 0 \\ \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial L} &= w - \lambda Q_L(K, L) = 0 \\ \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \lambda} &= \bar{Q} - Q(K, L) \end{split}$$

Note that here  $Q_K = \frac{\partial Q}{\partial K}$  and  $Q_L = \frac{\partial Q}{\partial L}$ .

So optimal *K* and *L* satisfy:

(1). 
$$\frac{Q_K(K^*, L^*)}{Q_L(K^*, L^*)} = \frac{p}{w},$$
 (2). 
$$Q(K^*, L^*) = \bar{Q}$$

## **Example 3: Inter-Temporal Utility Maximization**

You are given the following inter-temporal utility function:

$$U = U(c_1, c_2) = \ln c_1 + \beta \ln c_2 \tag{4}$$

where  $c_1$  and  $c_2$  is consumption in period 1 and 2, respectively.  $0 < \beta < 1$  is the rate at which you discount the future and it measures your impatient. You earn income  $y_1 > 0$  in period 1 and income  $y_2 > 0$  in period 2. Any of the income you save s in period 1 earns interest r > 0. So,

$$c_1 + s = y_1,$$
  $c_2 = y_2 + (1+r)s$ 

Combining these constraints:

$$c_1 + \frac{1}{1+r}c_2 = y_1 + \frac{1}{1+r}y_2$$

Let the present-discounted income be denoted by m, such that:

$$m = y_1 + \frac{1}{1+r}y_2$$

You want to choose  $c_1$  and  $c_2$  to maximize utility  $U(c_1, c_2)$  in equation (4) subject to the constraint:

$$c_1 + \frac{1}{1+r}c_2 = m \tag{5}$$

Lagrangian function:

$$L(c_1, c_2, \lambda) = \ln c_1 + \beta \ln c_2 + \lambda \left( m - c_1 - \frac{1}{1+r} c_2 \right)$$

First order conditions:

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial c_1} = \frac{1}{c_1^*} - \lambda^* = 0 \tag{6}$$

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial c_2} = \frac{\beta}{c_2^*} - \frac{\lambda^*}{1+r} = 0 \tag{7}$$

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \lambda} = m - c_1^* - \frac{1}{1+r} c_2^* = 0 \tag{8}$$

Note that from (6),  $\lambda^*=1/c_1^*$ , plugging this in (7), we get:

$$c_2^* = \beta(1+r)c_1^*$$

Plugging this expression for  $c_2^*$  in (8):

$$c_1^* + \frac{1}{1+r}\beta(1+r)c_1^* = m \rightarrow c_1^* = \frac{m}{1+\beta}$$

In which case,

$$c_2^* = \beta(1+r)c_1^* = \frac{\beta m(1+r)}{1+\beta}$$

Finally, since  $\lambda^* = 1/c_1^*$ ,

$$\lambda^* = \frac{1}{c_1^*} = \frac{1+\beta}{m}$$

Now suppose we are interested in knowing how utility changes due to changes in total income. By the envelope theorem:

$$\frac{\partial U^*}{\partial m} = \frac{\partial L^*}{\partial m} = \lambda^* = \frac{1+\beta}{m}$$

Similarly, how utility changes due to a change in interest rate would be given by:

$$\frac{\partial U^*}{\partial r} = \frac{\partial L^*}{\partial r} = \lambda^* \cdot \frac{1}{(1+r)^2} \cdot c_2^* = \frac{\beta}{1+r}$$