Homework 10 Solutions

ECON 441: Introduction to Mathematical Economics

Exercise 12.2

1. (a) z = xy s.t. x + 2y = 2

Setting up the Lagrangian:

$$L(x, y, \lambda) = xy + \lambda(2 - x - 2y)$$

First-order conditions (F.O.C.s):

$$\frac{dL}{dx} = y - \lambda = 0 \tag{1}$$

Instructor: Div Bhagia

$$\frac{dL}{dy} = x - 2\lambda = 0\tag{2}$$

$$\frac{dL}{d\lambda} = 2 - x - 2y = 0 \tag{3}$$

From (1) and (2), $y = \lambda$ and $x = 2\lambda$, so

$$\frac{y}{x} = \frac{\lambda}{2\lambda} \rightarrow x = 2y$$

Pugging in x = 2y in (3):

$$2-x-x=0 \rightarrow x=1$$

Stationary point: $x^* = 1$, $y^* = 1/2$. Also note that from (1), $\lambda^* = y^* = 1/2$.

(b)
$$2 = x(y+4)$$
 s.t. $x + y = 8$

Lagrangian function:

$$L(x, y, \lambda) = x(y + 4) + \lambda(8 - x - y)$$

First-order conditions (F.O.C.s):

$$L_x = y + 4 - \lambda = 0 \tag{1}$$

$$L_{v} = x - \lambda = 0 \tag{2}$$

$$L_{\lambda} = 8 - x - y = 0 \tag{3}$$

From equations (1) and (2):

$$\frac{y+4}{x} = \frac{\lambda}{\lambda} \to y+4 = x$$

Plugging x = y + 4 in equation (3):

$$8 - y - 4 - y = 0 \rightarrow y^* = 2$$

Stationary point: $x^* = y^* + 4 = 6$, $y^* = 2$. Also note, $\lambda^* = x^* = 6$.

(c)
$$f(x, y) = x - 3y - xy$$
 s.t. $x + y = 6$

Lagrangian function:

$$L(x, y, \lambda) = x - 3y - xy + \lambda(6 - x - y)$$

First-order conditions (F.O.C.s):

$$\frac{dL}{dx} = 1 - y - \lambda = 0 \tag{1}$$

$$\frac{dL}{dy} = -3 - x - \lambda = 0 \tag{2}$$

$$\frac{dL}{d\lambda} = 6 - x - y = 0 \tag{3}$$

From equations (1) and (2):

$$1 - y = -3 - x \rightarrow y = x + 4$$

Plugging in (3):

$$6 - x - x - 4 = 2 - 2x = 0 \rightarrow x = 1$$

Stationary point: $x^* = 1$, $y^* = 5$ Lagrange multiplier, $\lambda^* = 1 - y^* = -4$

(d)
$$z = 7 - y + x^2$$
 s.t. $x + y = 0$

Lagrangian function:

$$L(x, y, \lambda) = 7 - y + x^2 + \lambda(-x - y)$$

First-order conditions (F.O.C.s):

$$L_x = 2x - \lambda = 0 \tag{1}$$

$$L_{v} = -1 - \lambda = 0 \tag{2}$$

$$L_{\lambda} = -x - y = 0 \tag{3}$$

From equation (2), $\lambda^*=-1$ From equation (1), $x^*=\frac{\lambda^*}{2}=-\frac{1}{2}$ From equation (3), $y^*=-x^*=\frac{1}{2}$

So the Stationary point: $(x^*, y^*) = \left(\frac{-1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right)$

2. Suppose we are interested in finding the optimal value of f(x, y) subject to the constraint g(x, y) = c. We would start by setting up the Lagrangian function:

$$L(x, y, \lambda) = f(x, y) + \lambda(c - g(x, y))$$

At the optimizing point (x^*, y^*) , the following first-order conditions hold:

$$L_x(x^*, y^*, \lambda^*) = f_x(x^*, y^*) - \lambda^* g_x(x^*, y^*) = 0$$
 (1)

$$L_{y}(x^{*}, y^{*}, \lambda^{*}) = f_{y}(x^{*}, y^{*}) - \lambda^{*}g_{y}(x^{*}, y^{*}) = 0$$
(2)

$$L_{\lambda}(x^*, y^*, \lambda^*) = c - g(x^*, y^*) = 0$$
(3)

Now note that implicitly the optimal inputs x^* and y^* depend on c. So we could express x^* and y^* as functions of c, i.e., $x^*(c)$ and $y^*(c)$. Then optimal value of f is given by $f(x^*(c), y^*(c))$.

Now, say, we want to know how the optimal value of f changes if we relax

the constraint i.e. if we increase c slightly. To find this we can differentiate $f(x^*(c), y^*(c))$ with respect to c, then by chain-rule:

$$\frac{d}{dc}f(x^*(c), y^*(c)) = f_x(x^*, y^*) \cdot \frac{dx^*}{dc} + f_y(x^*, y^*) \frac{dy^*}{dc}$$
(4)

Now note that from (1) and (2), we have $f_x(x^*, y^*) = \lambda^* g_x(x^*, y^*)$ and $f_y(x^*, y^*) = \lambda^* g_y(x^*, y^*)$. Plugging these terms in equation (4), we get:

$$\frac{d}{dc}f\left(x^{*}(c), y^{*}(c)\right) = \lambda^{*} \underbrace{\left[g_{x}\left(x^{*}, y^{*}\right) \cdot \frac{dx^{*}}{dc} + g_{y}\left(x^{*}, y^{*}\right) \frac{dy^{*}}{dc}\right]}_{=1}$$

The term in the parenthesis is 1 because if we take the derivative of (3) with respect to c, we get

$$g_x(x^*, y^*) \cdot \frac{dx^*}{dc} + g_y(x^*, y^*) \frac{dy^*}{dc} = 1$$

So we have that,

$$\frac{d}{dc}f\left(x^*(c), y^*(c)\right) = \lambda^*$$

In which case, λ^* tells us what happens to the optimal value of the function by relaxing the constraint. Whenever, $\lambda^* > 0$, the optimal value increases and whenever $\lambda^* < 0$, it decreases.

Note: In the class, we came to the above conclusion by taking the derivative of L with respect to c. We showed that $dL(x^*, y^*, \lambda^*)/dc = \lambda^*$. Both approaches are equivalent because at the optimal value L = f as the constraint always binds. In the hindsight, I think the proof I outline here is slightly more intuitive.

3. (a)
$$L(x, y, \omega, \lambda) = x + 2y + 3\omega + xy - y\omega + \lambda(10 - x - y - 2\omega)$$

First-order conditions:

$$L_x = 1 + y - \lambda = 0$$

$$L_y = 2 + x - \omega - \lambda = 0$$

$$L_\omega = 3 - y - 2\lambda = 0$$

$$L_\lambda = 10 - x - y - 2\omega = 0$$

(b)
$$L(x, y, \omega, \lambda) = x^2 + 2xy + y\omega^2 + \lambda (24 - 2x - y - \omega^2) + \mu(8 - x - \omega)$$

First-order conditions:

$$L_x = 2x + 2y - 2\lambda - \mu = 0$$

$$L_y = 2x + \omega^2 - \lambda = 0$$

$$L_\omega = 2y\omega - 2\omega\lambda - \mu = 0$$

$$L_\lambda = 24 - 2x - y - \omega^2 = 0$$

$$L_\mu = 8 - x - \omega = 0$$

4.
$$L(x, y, \lambda) = f(x, y) + \lambda(-G(x, y))$$

First-order conditions:

$$L_x = f_x - \lambda G_x = 0$$

$$L_y = f_y - \lambda G_y = 0$$

$$L_\lambda = -G(x, y) = 0$$