

Theoretical cosmology project

Jacopo Tissino

2020-10-14

Contents

0.1	Statistical methods in cosmology	1
0.2	Path integral basics	1
0.2.1	The probability density functional	6
0.3	Applications	17

0.1 Statistical methods in cosmology

From [Nat17, sec. 4].

Two point correlation function:

$$1 + \xi(r_{12}) = \frac{dP}{dP_{\text{indep}}} = \frac{dP}{n^2 dV_1 dV_2}. \quad (0.1.1)$$

Fractal dimension! The number of galaxies within a radius R around a given one scales like $R^{3-\gamma}$.

Hierarchical models: N -point correlation functions can be calculated from the two-point one.

Bias model: $\delta_g = b\delta$ with constant b , where δ_g is the density perturbation for galaxies and δ is the one for dark matter.

Power spectrum definition, which by Wiener-Khinchin is the Fourier transform of the two-point correlation function. Expression for ξ in terms of P and Bessel functions as a single integral.

0.2 Path integral basics

Following [Zai83].

We start from the space of square-integrable functions $q(x)$, endowed with a product and an orthonormal basis ϕ_n . We consider (multi-)linear *functionals*, which are maps from the space of square-integrable functions (or from tuples of them) to \mathbb{R} or \mathbb{C} . These can be represented as functions of infinitely many variables, countably so if we use the basis ϕ_n , uncountably so if we use the continuous basis x .

A functional $F[q]$ can be represented as a power series

$$F[q] = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \prod_{i=1}^n \int dx_i q(x_i) f(x_1, \dots, x_n). \quad (0.2.1)$$

Examples of this are the exponential series corresponding to the function $f(x)$, mapping $q(x)$ to $e^{(f,q)}$ where the brackets denote the scalar product in the space, and the Gaussian series corresponding to the kernel $K(x, y)$, mapping $q(x)$ to $e^{(q,K,q)}$, where

$$(q, K, q) = \int dx dy q(x) q(y) K(x, y) . \quad (0.2.2)$$

Functional derivatives describes how the output of the functional changes as the argument goes from $q(x)$ to $q(x) + \eta(x)$, where $\eta(x)$ is small. This will be a linear functional of η to first order, so we define the functional derivative with the expression

$$F[q + \eta] - F[q] \Big|_{\text{linear order}} = \int \eta(y) \frac{\delta F}{\delta q(y)} dy . \quad (0.2.3)$$

The analogy to finite-dimensional spaces is as follows: the functional derivative $\delta F / \delta q(y)$ corresponds to the *gradient* $\nabla^i F$, while the integral in the previous expression corresponds to the *directional derivative* $(\nabla^i F) \eta^j g_{ij}$. The metric is present since the gradient is conventionally defined with a vector-like upper index; in our infinite-dimensional space the scalar product is given by the integral.

Practically speaking, the most convenient way to calculate a functional derivative is by taking $\eta(x)$ to be such that it only differs from zero in a small region near y , and let us define

$$\delta\omega = \int \eta(x) dx . \quad (0.2.4)$$

Then, we define

$$\frac{\delta F}{\delta q(y)} = \lim_{\delta\omega \rightarrow 0} \frac{F[q + \eta] - F[q]}{\delta\omega} . \quad (0.2.5)$$

In order for the limit to be computed easily, it is convenient for $\eta(x)$ to be in the form $\delta\omega \times \text{fixed function}$, so that we are only changing the normalization as we shrink $\delta\omega$. A common choice is then

$$\eta(x) = \delta\omega \delta(x - y) . \quad (0.2.6)$$

If we apply this procedure to the identity functional $q \rightarrow q$, we find

$$\frac{\delta q(x)}{\delta q(y)} = \lim_{\delta\omega \rightarrow 0} \frac{q(x) + \delta\omega \delta(x - y) - q(x)}{\delta\omega} = \delta(x - y) . \quad (0.2.7)$$

The variable q is one-dimensional, if instead we wanted to consider a multi-dimensional coordinate system q_α by the same reasoning we would find

$$\frac{\delta q_\alpha(x)}{\delta q_\beta(y)} = \delta_{\alpha\beta} \delta(x - y) . \quad (0.2.8)$$

An example: the functional derivative of a functional F_n defined by

$$F_n[q] = \int f(x_1, \dots, x_n) q(x_1) \dots q(x_n) dx_1 \dots dx_n , \quad (0.2.9)$$

where f is a symmetric function of its arguments, is given by

$$\frac{\delta F_n}{\delta q(y)} = n \int f(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, y) q(x_1) \dots q(x_{n-1}) dx_1 \dots dx_{n-1} , \quad (0.2.10)$$

a function of y .

A **linear transformation** is in the form

$$q(x) = \int K(x, y) q'(y) dy . \quad (0.2.11)$$

If this transformation has an inverse, which is characterized by the kernel K^{-1} , then we must have the orthonormality relation

$$\int K(x, y) K^{-1}(y, z) dy = \int K^{-1}(x, y) K(y, z) dy = \delta(x - z) . \quad (0.2.12)$$

We can do **Legendre transforms**: if we have a functional F we can differentiate with respect to the coordinate q to find

$$\frac{\delta F[q]}{\delta q(x)} = p(x) , \quad (0.2.13)$$

in analogy to the momentum in Lagrangian mechanics. Then, we can map $F[q]$ to a new functional $G[p]$ which will only depend on the momentum:

$$G[p] = F[p] - \int q(x) p(x) dx . \quad (0.2.14)$$

We can also define functional integration, by

$$\int F[q] [dq] = \int \hat{F}(\{q_i\}) \prod_i dq_i . \quad (0.2.15)$$

On the right-hand side we are using the expression of the functional as a function of infinitely many variables which we discussed above; we are then integrating over each of the coordinates in this infinite dimensional function space. The infinite-dimensional measure is also often denoted as $\mathcal{D}q$.

This integral will not always exist, however in the cases in which it does we can change variables. Let us consider a linear change of variable, whose kernel is $K(x, y)$, such that (compactly written) $q = Kq'$.

Then, we want to compute the integral

$$\int F[Kq'] [dKq'] \quad (0.2.16)$$

as an integral in $[dq]$: in order to do so, we need to relate the two functional measures. We start by expressing both q and q' in terms of an orthonormal basis ϕ_i : inserting this into the linear transformation law we get

$$q(x) = \int K(x, y) q'(y) dy \quad (0.2.17)$$

$$\sum_i q_i \phi_i(x) = \int K(x, y) \sum_j q'_j \phi_j(y) dy \quad (0.2.18)$$

$$\sum_i q_i \underbrace{\int \phi_i(x) \phi_k(x) dx}_{\delta_{ik}} = \sum_j q'_j \underbrace{\int K(x, y) \phi_j(y) \phi_k(x) dy dx}_{k_{jk}} \quad (0.2.19)$$

$$q_k = \sum_j q'_j k_{jk}. \quad (0.2.20)$$

Then, the measure will transform with the determinant $\det K = \det k$, which we can now express as an infinite product of the eigenvalues of k :

$$[dq] = \left| \frac{\partial q}{\partial q'} \right| [dq'] = \det K [dq']. \quad (0.2.21)$$

Usually functional integrals cannot be computed analytically; the exception is given by Gaussian integrals, which generalize the finite-dimensional result

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} A_{ij} x_i x_j + i b_j x_j\right) dx_1 \dots dx_n = \sqrt{\frac{(2\pi)^n}{\det A}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} (A^{-1})_{ij} b_i b_j\right). \quad (0.2.22)$$

Here A_{ij} is an n -dimensional real matrix (which WLOG can be taken to be symmetric) while b_i is an n -dimensional vector. The result comes from a transformation of the coordinates according to the finite-dimensional

This can be interpreted as a “functional” (still finite-dimensional, so just a function, but we will generalize soon) of b_i ; we write it with an additional normalization N for convenience:

$$Z[b] = N \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} A_{ij} x_i x_j + b_j x_j\right) dx_1 \dots dx_n = N \sqrt{\frac{(2\pi)^n}{\det A}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} (A^{-1})_{ij} b_i b_j\right), \quad (0.2.23)$$

and if we rescale the normalization N so that $Z[\vec{0}] = 1$ we get

$$Z[b] = \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} (A^{-1})_{ij} b_i b_j\right). \quad (0.2.24)$$

The infinite-dimensional generalization of this result amounts to replacing all the sums (expressed implicitly with Einstein notation here) with integrals; also conventionally we change the names of the variables to $x \rightarrow q$, $A \rightarrow K$, $b \rightarrow J$:

$$Z[J] = N \int \mathcal{D}q \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \int dx dy K(x, y) q(x) q(y) + i \int dx q(x) J(x)\right) \quad (0.2.25)$$

$$= \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \int dx dy J(x) J(y) K^{-1}(x, y)\right). \quad (0.2.26)$$

Let us now give some examples of applications of this result: $K(x, y) = \sigma^{-2} \delta(x - y)$ means $K^{-1}(x, y) = \sigma^2 \delta(x - y)$, so

$$Z[J] = \exp\left(-\frac{\sigma^2}{2} \int dx J^2(x)\right). \quad (0.2.27)$$

This, as we shall see, can be used to give us a description of white noise, which is uncorrelated in momentum space.

Let us consider another example, whose physical application is to describe the motion of a massive scalar boson with Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L} = \underbrace{\frac{1}{2}\partial_\mu\phi\partial^\mu\phi - \frac{1}{2}\mu^2\phi^2}_{\mathcal{L}_0} + \mathcal{L}_I(\phi), \quad (0.2.28)$$

where the self-interaction term is some non-quadratic function of ϕ , often taken to be proportional to ϕ^3 or ϕ^4 .

The Feynman path integral corresponding to this Lagrangian is given by the functional

$$Z[J] = N \int \mathcal{D}\phi \exp\left(i \int \mathcal{L}(\phi) + J\phi \, dx\right). \quad (0.2.29)$$

Let us start with the non-interacting case, that is, we compute Z_0 with only the quadratic term in the Lagrangian. This can be expressed, in the formalism from before, using the kernel

$$K(x, y) = (-\square_x - \mu^2)\delta(x - y). \quad (0.2.30)$$

Now, the expression the functional is given in terms of K^{-1} : what is the inverse of this kernel? The definition reduces to

$$\int K(x, y)K^{-1}(y, z) \, dy = \delta(x - z) \quad (0.2.31)$$

$$-(\square_x + \mu^2)K^{-1}(x, z) = \delta(x - z), \quad (0.2.32)$$

which is readily solved in momentum space, with a $+i\epsilon$ prescription in order to avoid the pole in the integration: what we find is called the *Green's function*,

$$K^{-1}(x, z) = G(x - z) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^4} \int \frac{e^{-ik \cdot (x-z)}}{k^2 + \mu^2 - i\epsilon} \, dk, \quad (0.2.33)$$

so the unperturbed functional reads

$$Z_0[J] = \exp\left(-\frac{i}{2} \int dx \, dy \, G(x - y)J(x)J(y)\right). \quad (0.2.34)$$

This by itself might not seem very useful, the motion of a free massive boson can be calculated with easier methods. However, the real power of this path integral is the possibility to write the interacting term perturbatively: the interaction Lagrangian is a function of ϕ , which is what we find if we perform a functional integration of the argument of the exponential in $Z_0[J]$ with respect to J ; so we can express the full functional as

$$Z[J] = \exp\left(i \int dx \, \mathcal{L}_I\left(\frac{1}{i} \frac{\delta}{\delta J(x)}\right)\right) \underbrace{\int \mathcal{D}\phi \exp\left(i \int dx (\mathcal{L}_0 + J\phi)\right)}_{=Z_0[J]} \quad (0.2.35)$$

$$= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{i^n}{n!} \left[\int dx \mathcal{L}_I \left(\frac{1}{i} \frac{\delta}{\delta J(x)} \right) \right]^n Z_0[J]. \quad (0.2.36)$$

We can use this to compute the Green's functions:

$$G(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \frac{1}{i^n} \frac{\delta Z[J]}{\delta J(x_1) \dots \delta J(x_n)} \Big|_{J=0}. \quad (0.2.37)$$

Review from the PI notes why this makes sense.

0.2.1 The probability density functional

We can interpret the quantity

$$\exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(q, K, q)\right) \mathcal{D}q \quad (0.2.38)$$

as a *probability density functional* $dP[q]$, since

1. it is positive definite;
2. it is normalized, as long as we set its integral, $Z[0]$, to 1;
3. it goes to zero as $q \rightarrow \pm\infty$.

If this is the case, then we ought to be able to compute the average value of a functional $F[q]$ as

$$\langle F[q] \rangle = \int F[q] dP[q] = \int \mathcal{D}q \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(q, K, q)\right) F[q], \quad (0.2.39)$$

which we can generalize to any non-gaussian probability density functional by replacing the exponential $\exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(q, K, q)\right)$ with a generic $\mathcal{P}[q]$.

A useful kind of average we can compute is given by the N -point correlation function,

$$C^{(N)}(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \langle q(x_1) \dots q(x_n) \rangle. \quad (0.2.40)$$

With the formula we gave earlier, this can be computed as

$$C^{(N)}(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \int \mathcal{D}q \mathcal{P}[q] \prod_i q(x_i). \quad (0.2.41)$$

Here we can make use of a trick: going back to the Gaussian probability case, consider the functional derivative

$$\frac{1}{i} \frac{\delta Z[J]}{\delta J(x_1)} \Big|_{J=0} = \frac{1}{i} \frac{\delta}{\delta J(x)} \Big|_{J=0} \int \mathcal{D}q \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(q, K, q) + i(J, q)\right) \quad (0.2.42)$$

$$= \int \mathcal{D}q \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(q, K, q)\right) q(x_1) = \langle q(x_1) \rangle = C^{(1)}(x_1), \quad (0.2.43)$$

which actually holds for any probability density functional, we did not make use of the gaussianity. So, in general we will be able to write

$$C^{(N)}(x_1 \dots x_n) = \frac{1}{i^N} \frac{\delta^n Z[J]}{\delta J(x_1) \dots \delta J(x_n)} \Big|_{J=0}. \quad (0.2.44)$$

The correlation functions, which as we discussed in an earlier section are crucial when discussing structure formation, can be “simply” calculated by functional differentiation as long as we have the generating functional $Z[J]$. This generating functional is very similar mathematically to a partition function in statistical mechanics, and it serves an analogous role: its derivatives allow us to characterize the dynamics of the system.

Now, any functional $\mathcal{F}[q]$ can be expressed through a functional Taylor series:

$$\mathcal{F}[q] = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \int dx_1 \dots dx_n \frac{\delta^n \mathcal{F}[q]}{\delta q(x_1) \dots \delta q(x_n)} \Big|_{q=0} q(x_1) \dots q(x_n), \quad (0.2.45)$$

so if we compute the average value $\langle \mathcal{F}[q] \rangle$ we find

$$\langle \mathcal{F}[q] \rangle = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \int dx_1 \dots dx_n \frac{\delta^n \mathcal{F}[q]}{\delta q(x_1) \dots \delta q(x_n)} \Big|_{q=0} \underbrace{\langle q(x_1) \dots q(x_n) \rangle}_{=C^{(N)}(x_1 \dots x_n)} \quad (0.2.46)$$

$$= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-i)^n}{n!} \int dx_1 \dots dx_n \frac{\delta^n \mathcal{F}[q]}{\delta q(x_1) \dots \delta q(x_n)} \Big|_{q=0} \frac{\delta^n Z[J]}{\delta J(x_1) \dots \delta J(x_n)} \Big|_{J=0} \quad (0.2.47)$$

$$= \mathcal{F}\left[-i \frac{\delta}{\delta J}\right] Z[J] \Big|_{J=0}. \quad (0.2.48)$$

Formally this makes sense, but what does it mean to calculate the field at a derivation operator? Should this just be interpreted as a shorthand for the Taylor expansion or is there more to it?

An example: consider a Gaussian field whose partition function $Z[J]$ is given by

$$Z[J] = \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(J, K^{-1}, J)\right). \quad (0.2.49)$$

Then, as before we can calculate the correlation functions through functional derivatives: the first ones are

$$\langle q(x) \rangle = \frac{1}{i} \frac{\delta Z[J]}{\delta J(x)} \Big|_{J=0} \quad (0.2.50)$$

$$= -i \int dy K^{-1}(x, y) J(y) \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(J, K^{-1}, J)\right) \Big|_{J=0} = 0 \quad (0.2.51)$$

$$\langle q(y)q(x) \rangle = - \frac{\delta^2 Z[J]}{\delta J(y)\delta J(x)} \Big|_{J=0} \quad (0.2.52)$$

$$= - \left(-K^{-1}(x, y) + \int dz dw K^{-1}(x, z) K^{-1}(y, w) J(w) \right) \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} (J, K^{-1}, J) \right) \Big|_{J=0} \quad (0.2.53)$$

$$= K^{-1}(x, y). \quad (0.2.54)$$

So, we have our result: *for a Gaussian variable, the two-point correlation function is the inverse of the kernel.* A similar, albeit quite long, calculation allows us to compute the N -point correlation function for the same Gaussian variable: we expand the exponential in $Z[J]$ in a power series, and when we differentiate it an even number of times we find

$$C^{2N}(x_1 \dots x_{2N}) = \left[K^{-1}(x_1, x_2) K^{-1}(x_3, x_4) \dots K^{-1}(x_{2N-1}, x_{2N}) \right]_{\text{symmetrized}}, \quad (0.2.55)$$

where “symmetrized” means that we must sum over all the permutations of the variables x_i in the argument of the inverse kernels; on the other hand, the odd correlation functions C^{2N+1} all vanish since they correspond to the integrals of odd functions over all space.

We can also apply this process in reverse: starting from the two-point correlation function we can reconstruct the kernel, and with it the probability density functional $dP[q]$.

In the Gaussian case, as long as we know the two-point function, which corresponds to the inverse kernel, we can reconstruct the N -point function. This can also be stated by saying that the “irreducible” N -point functions are all zero except for $N = 2$, since all the higher ones can be reduced to that one.

We shall see that all the reduced N -point functions can be recovered starting from the *generating functional* of connected correlation functions:

$$\mathcal{W}[J] = \log Z[J], \quad (0.2.56)$$

through the expansion

$$\mathcal{W}[J] = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{i^n}{n!} \int dx_1 \dots dx_n C_C^N(x_1 \dots x_N) J(x_1) \dots J(x_n). \quad (0.2.57)$$

These connected correlation functions C_C^N are not (in principle) related to the C^N from before.

What is the relation between them, though? What is the physical interpretation of these connected correlation functions?

We could also have defined $\mathcal{W}[J] = i \log Z[J]$, this is a matter of convention.

Now, we define the *classical field*

$$q_{\text{cl}}(x) = \frac{\delta \mathcal{W}[J]}{\delta J(x)}, \quad (0.2.58)$$

and the effective action $\Gamma[q_{\text{cl}}]$ as the Legendre transform of $\mathcal{W}[J]$:

$$\Gamma[q_{\text{cl}}] = \mathcal{W}[J] - \int dx q_{\text{cl}}(x) J(x), \quad (0.2.59)$$

from which we can then recover $J(x)$ as

$$J(x) = -\frac{\delta\Gamma[q_{\text{cl}}]}{\delta q_{\text{cl}}(x)}. \quad (0.2.60)$$

In the Gaussian case we have

$$\mathcal{W}[J] = \log Z[J] = -\frac{1}{2}(J, K^{-1}, J), \quad (0.2.61)$$

which, by direct comparison with the Taylor expansion, means that

$$C_C^2(x_1, x_2) = K^{-1}(x_1, x_2), \quad (0.2.62)$$

while $C^N \equiv 0$ for any $N \neq 2$. Also, our expression for q_{cl} yields

$$q_{\text{cl}}(x) = -\int dy K^{-1}(x, y)J(y), \quad (0.2.63)$$

from which we can express $J(x)$ by using the direct kernel $K(x, y)$:

$$\int dx q_{\text{cl}}(x)K(x, w) = -\int dy dw K^{-1}(x, y)K(x, w)J(y) = -\int dw \delta(y - w)J(y) = -J(w). \quad (0.2.64)$$

With an analogous procedure we can show that

$$(J, K^{-1}, J) = (q_{\text{cl}}, K, q_{\text{cl}}). \quad (0.2.65)$$

So in some sense J is a covariant vector while q is a contravariant one, right? can this be said in a better way?

The effective action then reads

$$\Gamma[q_{\text{cl}}] = -\frac{1}{2}(J, K^{-1}, J) + (J, K^{-1}, J) \Big|_{J=J(q_{\text{cl}})} \quad (0.2.66)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2}(q_{\text{cl}}, K, q_{\text{cl}}). \quad (0.2.67)$$

Now we have the tools to consider actual probabilities: starting from our classical field q , we want to compute the probability that it takes on a certain value $q \in (\alpha, \alpha + d\alpha)$ at a point \bar{x} : this is expressed with a probability density function in the form

$$\frac{dP_q}{d\alpha} = P_{q(x)}(\alpha; \bar{x}). \quad (0.2.68)$$

We want to write this “ $P(\alpha) d\alpha$ ” in terms of the functional integral; in order to do so, we start from the Fourier transform

$$\int d\beta \exp(i\beta\varphi) P_q(\beta; \bar{x}) = \left\langle e^{i\beta\varphi} \right\rangle_{\beta}. \quad (0.2.69)$$

The integral is a definite one, with bounds corresponding to the region in which P_q is nonzero, typically \mathbb{R} . The right-hand side is an average over the possible values taken on by the field q at the point \bar{x} , but it can also be computed by averaging over the possible *overall* field configurations, computed at a point \bar{x} :

$$\int d\beta \exp(i\beta\varphi) P_q(\beta; \bar{x}) = \left\langle e^{i\varphi(\bar{x})\varphi} \right\rangle_q. \quad (0.2.70)$$

This will be much harder to compute: it is a proper functional integral, to be computed according to (0.2.39); however it must give the same result.

If we take the Fourier antitransform, we find

$$P_q(\alpha, \bar{x}) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int d\varphi e^{-i\varphi\alpha} \left\langle e^{i\varphi q(\bar{x})} \right\rangle_q \quad (0.2.71)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int d\varphi \left\langle e^{i\varphi(q(\bar{x})-\alpha)} \right\rangle_q \quad (0.2.72)$$

$$= \left\langle \delta(q(\bar{x}) - \alpha) \right\rangle_q. \quad (0.2.73)$$

This equation can be interpreted to mean the following: “the probability that the field q is equal to α at \bar{x} is given by the integral of the probabilities of all the field configurations which satisfy $q(\bar{x}) = \alpha$ ”.

This formalism can be generalized to N -point functions, the notation for the probability that for $j = 1 \dots N$ the field q takes on the value α_j at position x_j is as follows:

$$dP_q^N = P_q(\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_N; x_1 \dots x_N) d\alpha_1 \dots d\alpha_N \quad (0.2.74)$$

$$= P_q([\alpha_N]; [x_N]) d\alpha_1 \dots d\alpha_N \quad (0.2.75)$$

$$P_q([\alpha_N]; [x_N]) = \left\langle \prod_{j=1}^N \delta(q(x_j) - \alpha_j) \right\rangle_q. \quad (0.2.76)$$

Statistical independence corresponds to the statement that the product can be brought out of the average, and we are interested in the general case, in which this does not happen.

The question we generally ask is: what is this probability? We can try to find an expression for it in terms of the partition function $Z[J]$: we use once again the fact that

$$\delta(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int d\varphi e^{i\varphi x} \quad (0.2.77)$$

to see that

$$P_q([\alpha_N]; [x_N]) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^N} \int d\varphi_1 \dots d\varphi_N \exp\left(-i \sum_{j=1}^N \varphi_j \alpha_j\right) \left\langle \exp\left(i \sum_{j=1}^N \varphi_j q(x_j)\right) \right\rangle_q. \quad (0.2.78)$$

This can be brought back to the partition function by making use of the fact that

$$Z[J] = \left\langle \exp(i(J, q)) \right\rangle_q \quad (0.2.79)$$

$$= \int \mathcal{D}q P[q] \exp\left(i \int dx J(x) q(x)\right), \quad (0.2.80)$$

therefore

$$\left\langle \exp\left(i \sum_{j=1}^N \varphi_j q(x_j)\right) \right\rangle_q = Z\left[\sum_{j=1}^N \varphi_j \delta(x - x_j)\right] = Z[\tilde{J}_\varphi], \quad (0.2.81)$$

where we have used the fact that

$$i \int dx q(x) \left(\sum_{j=1}^N \varphi_j \delta(x - x_j)\right) = i \sum_{j=1}^N \varphi_j q(x_j). \quad (0.2.82)$$

So, we can compute the probability that the field reaches the values α_j at the points x_j as long as we can compute the partition function at $Z[\tilde{J}_\varphi]$, and then integrate N times:

$$P_q([\alpha_N], [x_N]) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^N} \int d\varphi_1 \dots d\varphi_N \exp\left(-i \sum_{j=1}^N \varphi_j \alpha_j\right) Z[\tilde{J}_\varphi]. \quad (0.2.83)$$

Let us compute this for the case of a Gaussian random field $q(x)$. The partition function evaluated at $\tilde{J}_\varphi = \sum_j \varphi_j \delta(x - x_j)$ is equal to

$$Z[\tilde{J}_\varphi] = \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(\tilde{J}_\varphi, K^{-1}, \tilde{J}_\varphi)\right) \quad (0.2.84)$$

$$= \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^N \varphi_i \varphi_j K^{-1}(x_i, x_j)\right). \quad (0.2.85)$$

Since it appears in the expression for the partition function, let us define the *covariance matrix*

$$M_{ij} = K^{-1}(x_i, x_j) = C^{(2)}(x_i, x_j), \quad (0.2.86)$$

so that the probability reads, using the usual result about Gaussian integrals,

$$P_q([\alpha_N], [x_N]) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^N} \int d\varphi_1 \dots d\varphi_N \exp\left(-i \sum_{j=1}^N \varphi_j \alpha_j\right) \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \varphi_i M_{ij} \varphi_j\right) \quad (0.2.87)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{(2\pi)^N \det M}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \alpha_i (M^{-1})_{ij} \alpha_j\right). \quad (0.2.88)$$

This is the standard expression for an N -variate Gaussian distribution whose covariance matrix is M_{ij} .

Application to Brownian motion.

Avoiding divergences: high- and low-pass filters. If $W_R(x)$ is our filter function, then the general correlation function reads

$$C_R^{(N)}(x_1 \dots x_N) = \int \mathcal{D}q P[q] \prod_{r=1}^N \int dy_r q(y_r) W_R(|y_r - x_r|) \quad (0.2.89)$$

$$= \int \prod_{r=1}^N dy_r W_R(|y_r - x_r|) C^{(N)}(y_1 \dots y_N). \quad (0.2.90)$$

The same holds for the *connected* correlation functions, so we can calculate the $C_{R,C}^{(N)}$: *smooth, connected* N -point correlation functions.

We can calculate these “smoothed” correlation functions through $Z[J]$ by choosing $J(x)$ in the form

$$J(x) = \int dy \varphi(x+y) W_R(y), \quad (0.2.91)$$

where φ is a generic function.

Since these regularized correlation functions do not explode at vanishing distances, we can define the N -th order **moment**:

$$\langle q_R^N \rangle = C_R^{(N)}(x \dots x) \quad (0.2.92)$$

and the N -th order **cumulant**:

$$\langle q_R^{(N)} \rangle_C = C_{R,C}^{(N)}(x \dots x). \quad (0.2.93)$$

Due to homogeneity and isotropy, neither of these depends on x . We expect these to diverge if we perform no filtering, which is equivalent to taking the filtering scale $R \rightarrow 0$. On the opposite limit, taking $R \rightarrow \infty$ amounts to averaging over all space, so we expect $\langle q_R^N \rangle \sim \langle q \rangle^N$, while $\langle q_R^N \rangle_C \sim 0$.

The moments of q_R can be obtained through the moment generating function

$$Z(\varphi) = Z[J_\varphi(x)] = Z[\varphi W_R(|x|)], \quad (0.2.94)$$

and we can define the *cumulant* generating function $W(\varphi)$ analogously. We can then define an effective action through a Legendre transform:

$$\Gamma(q_{R,\text{cl}}) = W(\varphi) - q_{R,\text{cl}} \varphi, \quad (0.2.95)$$

where the classical field $q_{R,\text{cl}}$ is given by

$$q_{R,\text{cl}} = \frac{dW(\varphi)}{d\varphi}. \quad (0.2.96)$$

The function $Z(\varphi)$ is just the Fourier transform of $P_R(\alpha, \bar{x})$:

$$Z(\varphi) = \langle e^{i\varphi q_R} \rangle_q = \int d\alpha e^{i\varphi \alpha} P_{q_R}(\alpha; \bar{x}), \quad (0.2.97)$$

so we can insert the explicit expression for the probability inside the inverse of this transform:

$$P_R(\alpha; \bar{x}) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int d\varphi e^{-i\alpha\varphi} Z(\varphi) \quad (0.2.98)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int d\varphi e^{-i\alpha\varphi} \int \mathcal{D}q P[q] \exp\left(i\varphi \int dy W_R(|y - \bar{x}|) q(y)\right). \quad (0.2.99)$$

We can expand $Z(\varphi)$ and $W(\varphi)$ as

$$Z(\varphi) = 1 + \sum_{N=1}^{\infty} \frac{i^N}{N!} \varphi^N \langle q_R^N \rangle \quad (0.2.100)$$

$$W(\varphi) = \sum_{N=1}^{\infty} \frac{i^N}{N!} \varphi^N \langle q_R^N \rangle_c, \quad (0.2.101)$$

why?

therefore

$$\langle q_R^N \rangle = \int d\alpha \alpha^N P_{q_R}(\alpha; \bar{x}), \quad (0.2.102)$$

which clarifies what was meant by saying that these are *moments*.

Do we have a similar relation for the connected moments?

P_{q_R} can be reconstructed starting from these moments.

We can take a different path, by transforming the probability measure: we start from the probability density functional evaluated at q , and calculate the expectation value of a generic functional $F[q]$ as

$$\int \mathcal{D}q P[q] F[q] \propto \int \mathcal{D}q_R P[(W^{-1}, q_R)] F[(W^{-1}, q_R)]. \quad (0.2.103)$$

The proportionality is because we need to include a Jacobian determinant, however probability densities must always be normalized to 1 so any constant is inessential.

Applying this to a Gaussian field amounts to mapping the kernel K to a “smoothed” kernel K_R , which corresponds to the inverse of the smoothed two-point correlation function: $\langle q_R(x) q_R(y) \rangle$.

Now, we move to a more physical example. We consider a Gaussian field q in three dimensions, whose mean is zero and whose two-point function in Fourier space is

$$\langle q(k) q(k') \rangle = (2\pi)^3 P(|k|) \delta^{(3)}(k - k'), \quad (0.2.104)$$

where $P(|k|)$ is called the **power spectral density** of the field q : it quantifies how much of the power of the field is transmitted at each frequency.

The **Wiener–Khinchin theorem** tells us that the smoothed two-point function is given by

$$\langle q_R(x) q_R(y) \rangle = G_R(|x - y|) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \int d^3k P(k) \tilde{W}_R^2(k) e^{ik \cdot (x - y)} \quad (0.2.105)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi^2} \int_0^\infty dk k^2 P(k) \tilde{W}_R^2(k) j_0(k|x-y|), \quad (0.2.106)$$

where j_0 is a Bessel function of the first kind. From the first expression we can read off the Fourier transform of K_R^{-1} , whose inverse will then be

$$\tilde{K}_R(k) = \frac{1}{P(k) \tilde{W}_R^2(k)}. \quad (0.2.107)$$

Now, let us consider a typical power-spectrum: $P(k) \propto k^n$. The smoothed two-point function will be asymptotically (in the $r \ll R$ or $r \gg R$ limits) be proportional to

$$G_R(r) \propto [\max(R, r)]^{-(n+3)}. \quad (0.2.108)$$

The $n = 0$ case is **white noise**, for which $G_R(0) \sim R^{-3}$.

Connection with a Poisson process...not clear

The $n = -3$ case is **flicker noise** corresponds to $1/f$ noise in 1D.

In the $n > 0$ case the smoothed two-point function $G_R(r)$ must be equal to zero somewhere, since we have the constraint¹

$$\int_0^\infty dr r^2 G_R(r) = 0. \quad (0.2.110)$$

What does this have to do with $n > 0$? Isn't this constraint always present?

The first zero-crossing of the correlation function, r_0 such that $G_R(r_0) = 0$, is called the **coherence length**.

If $n < 0$, we have fractal behaviour: as long as $G_R(r) \gg 1$, the fractal dimension is $D_F = -n$.

Clarify this...how would we show it?

A common technique in QFT is the **Wick rotation** $t \rightarrow -it_E$, needed in order to move from a generally ill-defined oscillatory path integral of an exponential $\exp(iS[q])$ in 4D space with an indefinite signature, to a Euclidean path integral of an exponential $\exp(-S_E[q])$ in 4D space with a Cartesian signature, so that the D'Alembert operator is mapped to the Laplace-Beltrami operator.

In general, our probability measure can be written as

$$P[q] = \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(q, K, q) - V[q]\right), \quad (0.2.111)$$

¹ This comes from the following line of reasoning: since the field has zero mean,

$$\int d^3y \langle q_R(x) q_R(y) \rangle = \left\langle q_R(x) \int d^3y q_R(y) \right\rangle = 0. \quad (0.2.109)$$

where the potential term encompasses all the non-quadratic parts of the probability. Linear terms can be removed with a change of variable, so we can expand it starting from third order:

$$V[q] = \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} dx_1 \dots dx_n K^{(n)}(x_1 \dots x_n) q(x_1) \dots q(x_n). \quad (0.2.112)$$

Now, if we define

$$Z[J] = \int \mathcal{D}q \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(q, K, q) - V[q] + i(q, J)\right), \quad (0.2.113)$$

then we can expand the potential term perturbatively:

$$Z[J] = \exp\left(-V\left(-i\frac{\delta}{\delta J}\right)\right) \int \mathcal{D}q \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(q, K, q) + i(q, J)\right) \quad (0.2.114)$$

$$= \exp\left(-V\left(-i\frac{\delta}{\delta J}\right)\right) \underbrace{\exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(J, K^{-1}, J)\right)}_{Z_0[J]} \quad (0.2.115)$$

$$= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-)^n}{n!} \left[V\left(-i\frac{\delta}{\delta J}\right)\right]^n Z_0[J]. \quad (0.2.116)$$

With this approach, we can **recover the probability** of the field attaining a certain value as a function of the cumulants.

The **saddle point expansion** is the following: we want to compute an integral in the form

$$J = \int d\tau \exp(-f(\tau)), \quad (0.2.117)$$

so we choose a τ_0 such that $f'(\tau_0) = 0$, and then approximate f up to second order:

$$J \approx \int d\tau \exp\left(-f(\tau_0) - \frac{1}{2}f''(\tau_0)(\tau - \tau_0)^2\right) \quad (0.2.118)$$

$$\approx \sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{f''(\tau_0)}} \exp(-f(\tau_0)). \quad (0.2.119)$$

We are effectively approximating the integrand as a Gaussian.

We can apply this to the calculation of path integrals: if the probability $P(q)$ can be written in terms of $Z(\varphi) = \exp(W(\varphi))$, then we have

$$P(q) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int d\varphi \exp(-i\varphi q + W(\varphi)). \quad (0.2.120)$$

The classical variable $q_{R,cl} = \bar{q}$ is defined as $\bar{q} = W'(\varphi)$, and the effective action is written in terms of it: $\Gamma(\bar{q}) = W(\varphi) - \bar{q}\varphi$. Therefore,

$$\frac{d\bar{q}}{d\varphi} = W''(\varphi), \quad (0.2.121)$$

while the derivative of the classical action reads

$$\Gamma''(\bar{q}) = \frac{d}{d\bar{q}}(-\varphi) = -[W''(\bar{q})]^{-1}. \quad (0.2.122)$$

This means that $d\varphi = -\Gamma''(\bar{q}) d\bar{q}$, which allows us to change variable:

$$P(q) = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \int \Gamma''(\bar{q}) d\bar{q} \exp(iq\Gamma'(\bar{q}) + \Gamma(\bar{q}) - \bar{q}\Gamma'(\bar{q})), \quad (0.2.123)$$

where we used the fact that

$$-iq\varphi + W(\varphi) = -iq\varphi + \Gamma(\bar{q}) + \bar{q}\varphi \quad (0.2.124)$$

$$= \Gamma(\bar{q}) + (\bar{q} - iq) \frac{d\Gamma(\bar{q})}{d\bar{q}}. \quad (0.2.125)$$

For large q , this oscillating integral is dominated by the points at which the phase does not change much: these are the stationary points of the argument of the exponential,

$$iq\Gamma''(\bar{q}) + \Gamma'(\bar{q}) - \Gamma'(\bar{q}) - \bar{q}\Gamma''(\bar{q}) = \Gamma''(\bar{q})(iq - \bar{q}) = 0, \quad (0.2.126)$$

meaning that $\bar{q} = iq$.

We can then apply the saddle-point approximation:

$$P(q) \approx \frac{e^{i\Gamma(iq)}}{2\pi} \Gamma''(iq) \int d\bar{q} \exp\left(-\Gamma''(iq) \frac{(\bar{q} - iq)^2}{2}\right) \quad (0.2.127)$$

$$\approx \sqrt{\frac{\Gamma''(iq)}{2\pi}} \exp(\Gamma(iq)). \quad (0.2.128)$$

In the Gaussian case the probability reads

$$P(q) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma_R^2}} \exp\left(-\frac{q^2}{2\sigma_R^2}\right), \quad (0.2.129)$$

so we can recognize $\Gamma(\bar{q}) = \bar{q}^2/(2\sigma_R^2)$, while $W(\varphi) = \varphi^2/(2\sigma_R^2)$.

We can apply this approximation to the evaluation of the general case:

$$Z[J] = \underbrace{\int \mathcal{D}q \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(q, K, q) - V[q] + i(q, J)\right)}_{\exp(\mathcal{F}[q, J])}. \quad (0.2.130)$$

The functional integral will be dominated by the stationary points of $\mathcal{F}[q, J]$: we define q_0 as the field configuration satisfying

$$\left. \frac{\delta \mathcal{F}[q, J]}{\delta q} \right|_{q_0} = (K, q_0) + \left. \frac{\delta V[q]}{\delta q} \right|_{q_0} - iJ = 0. \quad (0.2.131)$$

This will depend on J in general. We then expand up to second order, as usual:

$$\mathcal{F}[q, J] \approx \mathcal{F}[q_0, J] + \frac{1}{2} \int dx dy \left. \frac{\delta^2 \mathcal{F}[q, J]}{\delta q(x) \delta q(y)} \right|_{q_0} (q(x) - q_0(x))(q(y) - q_0(y)) \Big|_{\text{symm}}. \quad (0.2.132)$$

Thanks to the functional equation for q_0 (0.2.131), we can write $\mathcal{F}[q_0, J]$ as

$$\mathcal{F}[q_0, J] = \frac{1}{2}(q_0, K, q_0) + V[q_0] - i(J, q_0) \quad (0.2.133)$$

$$= (K, q_0) + \left. \frac{\delta V[q]}{\delta q} \right|_{q_0} - \frac{i}{2}(q_0, J). \quad (0.2.134)$$

This is usually the “bottleneck” in the calculation, practically speaking. It is really hard to solve this functional equation. Also, we define

$$\left. \frac{\delta^2 \mathcal{F}}{q(x_1)q(x_2)} \right|_{q_0} = K(x_1, x_2) + \left. \frac{\delta^2 V[q]}{\delta q(x_1) \delta q(x_2)} \right|_{q_0} = \mathcal{Q}(x_1, x_2). \quad (0.2.135)$$

This confuses me: earlier we said that V starts from third order, since the quadratic term is brought out. What are we doing here? If we only consider the Gaussian part of V what is even the point of including it?

We then perform the integration with respect to the field $q - q_0$ (this amounts to adding a constant, so the measure does not change) and find

$$Z[q_0, J] \approx \mathcal{N} \frac{\exp(-\mathcal{F}[q_0, J])}{\sqrt{\mathcal{Q}[q_0, J]}}. \quad (0.2.136)$$

Using the identity $\log \det M = \text{Tr} \log M$ we can compute

$$W[q_0, J] \approx \mathcal{F}[q_0, J] - \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr} \log \mathcal{Q}[q_0, J] + \text{const}. \quad (0.2.137)$$

0.3 Applications

Definitions from [MLB86].

The second connected correlation function, computed with a smoothing at a scale R , can be used to define a variance: $\zeta^{(2)}(x, x) \sim \sigma_R^2$. With this we can then quantify “peaks” in the density perturbation $\delta(x) = (\rho - \langle \rho \rangle) / \langle \rho \rangle$.

Specifically, we define a function $\rho_{\nu, R}$ so that it is equal to 1 when the perturbation is ν sigmas above the average (which is $\delta = 0$ by definition), and 0 otherwise:

$$\rho_{\nu, R} = \Theta(\delta(x) - \nu \sigma_R). \quad (0.3.1)$$

We can define an N -point correlation for this “boolean density”:

$$\Pi_{\nu, R}^{(N)}(x_1 \dots x_N) = \left\langle \prod_{r=1}^N \rho_{\nu, R}(x_r) \right\rangle \quad (0.3.2)$$

quantifies the probability that the perturbation is above the threshold at all the positions x_r . We then define the *peak* correlation function

$$\tilde{\zeta}_{\text{dis},\nu,R}^{(N)} = \left\langle \frac{\prod_{r=1}^N \rho_{\nu,R}(x_r)}{\langle \rho_{\nu,R} \rangle^N} \right\rangle - 1. \quad (0.3.3)$$

According to equations 16 and 22 in [\[MLB86\]](#) the two-point correlation function with two different smoothing scales and thresholds is allowed to have zero-crossings.

Bibliography

- [MLB86] Sabino Matarrese, Francesco Lucchin, and Silvio A. Bonometto. “A Path-Integral Approach to Large-Scale Matter Distribution Originated by Non-Gaussian Fluctuations”. In: *The Astrophysical Journal Letters* 310 (Nov. 1, 1986), pp. L21–L26. DOI: [10.1086/184774](https://doi.org/10.1086/184774). URL: <http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/1986ApJ...310L..21M> (visited on 2020-10-03).
- [Nat17] U. Natale. *Note Del Corso Di Cosmologia*. 2017.
- [Zai83] M. H. Zaidi. “Functional Methods”. In: *Fortschritte der Physik/Progress of Physics* 31.7 (1983), pp. 403–418. ISSN: 1521-3978. DOI: [10.1002/prop.2190310703](https://doi.org/10.1002/prop.2190310703). URL: <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/prop.2190310703> (visited on 2020-10-04).