

| Group No. | Comments | Marks |
|-----------|--|-------|
| G-1 | Several real life examples make the report attractive; methods have been demonstrated using R; references are also provided (though not in a consistent manner); I was expecting a more detailed about Bayesian experimental design. Overall, excellent write-up, with no grammatical errors. | 19/20 |
| G-2 | Too much of text; methods were discussed, however lacks in implementation. Figures are not properly cited. Recall that the purpose of the assignment was to implement more, rather than a complete theoretical coverage. | 12/20 |
| G-3 | The report provides an overview of the two methods, along with their pros and cons; clear demonstration is made using R and Python; too much of text; mathematical notations/figures are copied without any citation; references are also provided (though not in a consistent manner). Overall, nice group effort. | 15/20 |
| G-4 | The write-up nicely summarizes several concepts of ANOVA and its extensions/modifications. Examples are provided with their demonstration in Python. However, the report lacks in 'expert opinion' or a summary/cookbook of all methods. Some tables have been copied. References are also provided (though not in a consistent manner). Overall, a good collaborative effort. | 17/20 |
| G-5 | The report mentions important parametric and non-parametric goodness-of-fit methods. Practical examples are provided to clarify the inherent concepts. | 16/20 |

| | | |
|-----|---|-------|
| | Demonstrations are made using R. No references are mentioned. Also, I was expecting that the group will provide some expert on the pros-and-cons of Chi-square and K-S statistics. | |
| G6 | Excellent write-up, with a very clear explanation of the concepts. Demonstrations are made using Python. Figures are clear and informative. References are included, though not in a consistent manner. I found some typos. | 19/20 |
| G7 | The report explain linear vs. non-linear regression models. Demonstrations are made using excel tools. However, I don't find much discussion on the post-analysis part of regression analysis. References are included, though not in a consistent manner. The report requires major improvement. | 14/20 |
| G8 | The report covers both approaches, and demonstrated the idea using an example. However, more examples are needed to appreciate the approach. Proper conclusions, pros-and-cons, and references are not provided. | 13/20 |
| G9 | The report explains ANOVA and its extensions in great detail. However, the report is of 25 pages! Too much of text, and many figures/tables are copied. Implementations/interpretations and even group contribution are unclear. The reports needs major improvement in its quality. Referencing is inconsistent. | 12/20 |
| G10 | Where is your implementation/demonstration? The report only covers theoretical aspects of the goodness-of-fit tests, and | 13/20 |

| | | |
|-----|---|-------|
| | summarizes some examples available in literature. Referencing is incorrect. A comparison of different methods should have been provided. Anyway, a good initiative and group effort in preparing flawless report. | |
| G11 | Though the report provides a detailed description of ANOVA and its variations, I don't see an extensive comparison among different methods. The implemented results and their novelty are not discussed. Several tables are copied. Referencing is incorrect. Major improvement is suggested. | 13/20 |
| G12 | The report nicely explains different methods of experimental design. Examples are provided to bring more clarity, though a complete implementation is not attempted. In fact, I was expecting a summary of various designs and some cookbook for a layman. References are not mentioned. Good group effort. | 14/20 |
| G13 | The extended report (~17 pages!) summarizes all concepts of ANOVA and its extensions in detail. Some implementations are made. However, almost all tables are directly copied, providing a negative impression. Anyway, a good collaborative effort was made. | 14/20 |
| G14 | A good start, but then it has lost its direction and purpose. Moreover, I don't see enough implementation. Too much of text, and does not clearly provide any guidelines of data collection. Why are the figures copied, illegible? I don't find references. Anyway, a good team effort. | 13/20 |