Recommender systems

Jelke Bloem

Text Mining Amsterdam University College

April 1st, 2025

Announcements

- Friday 04/04: Reading assignment test: BERT
- Tuesday 08/04: Project topics and groups
- Tuesday 08/04: Programming Assignment 3 deadline
- Friday 11/04: Project update 0 (repository+proposal)

Overview

- General concepts
- 2 k-Nearest Neighbours
- More concepts
- 4 Evaluation


General concepts

Definition

- Recommender systems (RS) focus on predicting the relationship between users and items.
- The relationship can take many forms: advertisement, preference (e.g., "likes"), retrieval (e.g., of information on the Web).
- How are preference data acquired?
 - Implicitly, e.g., videos watched.
 - Explicitly, e.g., likes on videos.
- How are users and items characterized?
 - Demographic features;
 - Social information;
 - Internet of Things (e.g., geolocation);
 - Contents;
 - **.**..



Recommended for you, Thomas






Notebook 9: MovieLens 1M dataset

- 1 million ratings by 6040 users
- 3592 movies
- User gender, age range, occupation, zip-code
- Movie genre


Classification of RS

- Approach: collaborative vs content-based filtering.
- Methods: memory vs model-based.
- Algorithms and techniques.
- Kind and quality of recommendation.
- ..

Collaborative vs content-based filtering



CONTENT-BASED FILTERING



Collaborative vs content-based filtering

- Collaborative filtering (CF): for a given user, recommendations are based on the preferences from those users that have most in common with him/her.
- Intuition: people with similar taste will make similar choices.
- Content-based filtering (CBF): recommend items similar to those that the user considered positively in the past.
- Intuition: recommendations are based on the relevant characteristics of the objects intended for recommendation (text, video, sound).


Collaborative vs content-based filtering

- Collaborative filtering (CF): popular methods include k-Nearest
 Neighbours and matrix factorization.
- Content-based filtering (CBF): given user profiles and the properties
 of items, standard algorithms are used to decide if an item should be
 recommended to a given user (classification), or how the given user
 would score a certain item (regression).
- Note: it is rare to find "pure" filtering approached: most systems use combinations, they are thus hybrid, and use all the state-of-the-art machinery available (neural networks).

Methods

- Memory-based: based on the direct use of data (i.e., they use only the matrix of user-items ratings). These methods are also called non-parametric in ML parlance. Example: k-NN.
- Model-based: based on a model which uses the data in turn. These
 methods are also called parametric in ML parlance. Example: matrix
 factorization.
- Reminder: A parametric model is one that can be parametrized by a finite number of parameters. We encountered this before.

Classification of RS



https:


//towardsdatascience.com/introduction-to-recommender-systems-6c66cf15ada

k-Nearest Neighbours

k-Nearest Neighbours

- The most popular *memory-based* algorithm for *collaborative filtering* recommendations;
- the method most popular at the beginning of RS;
- conceptually simple and easy to implement, and it generally produces good predictions;
- based on similarity measures;
- two main versions: **user-to-user** and **item-to-item**.
- Remember the word-context matrix? Let us build a user-item matrix now!


User-to-user



https:

// towards datascience.com/introduction-to-recommender-systems-6c66cf15 ada

Item-to-item



https:

//towardsdatascience.com/introduction-to-recommender-systems-6c66cf15ada

k-Nearest Neighbours with user-to-user

Given an active user a:

- lacktriangledown use a similarity measure to determine the k most-similar users to a;
- ② obtain the prediction on item *i* for user *a* by using one aggregation approaches on the item ratings in *a*'s neighborhood:
 - average
 - weighted sum
 - adjusted weighted aggregation (deviation-from-mean)
 - **.**..
- Ochoose the top-n items by selecting the n items with the highest scores calculated by applying the previous steps on the items that have not yet been rated by user a.

Notebook 9: User-to-user kNN example with these steps

k-Nearest Neighbours with item-to-item

Given an active user a:

- use a similarity measure to determine the k most-similar items to those already rated by a;
- ② choose the top-n items by selecting the n items with the highest scores on the items that have not yet been rated by user a.

Notebook 9: Item-to-item kNN example with these steps (end of notebook)

Similarity measures


- Many options, recall class on word vectors and see https://docs. scipy.org/doc/scipy/reference/spatial.distance.html.
- 2 Euclidean.
- Osine: considers the angle between two vectors, not the magnitude (norm).
- Pearson correlation: strength of linear dependence.
- It is usually easy to turn a distance into a similarity (take the inverse or subtract from the max value it can take).
- More during the lab.. Notebook 9, "Similarity measures" (and how to choose)

User-to-user vs item-to-item

- ① User-to-user does not **scale** well: O(ndk) with n users, d items and k neighbours. Imagine how many users and items are on Amazon..
- ② Item-to-item k-NN usually reduces this scalability problem. $O(d^2)$ but in practice often much less complex, why?
- In practice, approximate k-NN methods as well as caching help a lot.
- Sparsity is a huge issues for both approaches.
- User-to-user k-NN is simple to implement and often more appropriate with smaller in-memory datasets that change frequently.
- The two approaches can be combined.

More concepts

Matrix factorization



https:

// towards data science.com/introduction-to-recommender-systems-6c66cf15 ada

Matrix factorization

- We can solve the sparsity problem and use **dense vectors**, as we did with word vectors.
- We can then use k-NN on dense vectors instead (or as features for other models).
- Matrix factorization, e.g., SVD, is done using standard optimization techniques: define a loss function (e.g., MSE of the original vs reconstructed matrix) and minimize it.

Cold-start problem

- Issue raising when we do not have enough data to make reliable recommendations:
 - ▶ new community or user: when starting up a new RS or for a new user. Solution 1: encourage users to make ratings (e.g., Netflix). Solution 2: use CF recommendations only when you have enough data. Solution 3: other data and hybrid.
 - ▶ New item: new items usually are not rated, so that they are not recommended. Not a big deal if you have items that can be discovered also by other means. Solution: to have a group of motivated users that rate new items or use hybrid.

More challenges


- Rich get richer: when highly popular items dominate your RS.
- ② Information confinement area (or "filter bubbles"): when serendipity does not happen and users get too much of the same.
- 3 Solutions: mix signals, emphasize recency.

Evaluation

Metrics

- Prediction metrics between predicted and actual: mean absolute/squared error, root mean squared error, normalized mean absolute error, ..
- Set recommendation metrics: evaluate the reduced set of recommendations returned by the RS using standard metrics (precision, recall, F-measure, accuracy).
- Rank recommendation metrics: take into consideration the ranking (ordering) of the results.
- Novelty metrics: degree of difference between the recommended items and those known by the user.
- Diversity metrics: degree of differentiation among the recommended items (to keep variety high).
- Stability metrics: how much the predictions produced by an RS change in a given period of time.

Users



References

- J. Bobadilla, F. Ortega, A. Hernando and A. Gutiérrez (2013): Recommender systems survey.
- 2 T. Segaran (2007): *Programming Collective Intelligence*. (Chapters 2 and 3).
- Recent neural network-based approaches:
 - https:
 //link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s10791-017-9321-y
 - https://arxiv.org/pdf/1707.07435.pdf
 - https://arxiv.org/pdf/1907.06902.pdf