CSCI 556: Introduction to Cryptography

Homework - 1

Coyptosystem consists of keys, messages, encryption and decoyption algorithms. As we know from Kerckhoff's law, security of coyptosystem is not dependent on the security or confidentiality of any of its parts but on the keys and keys only.

Even Shannon reformulated the same as "Enemy already knows the system". So, et all boils down to management of the keys in the cryptosystem because we can assume that the attacker who obtains key can recover original message from encorpted data. Since key is the most important and critical part of eapptosystem, keyed cayptography is more practical howadays.

(2) Encryption function:

E(x) = ax +b mod 26

Decoyption function: Inverse of cipher text $D(x) = C(x-b) \mod 2b$

where c > moduler multiplicative inverse et a i.e., a * c = 1 mod 26

$$\Gamma = \begin{bmatrix} 7 & 14 \\ 3 & 23 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$d = 1(a^2)$$
 $d = 161 - 42 = 119$

adj
$$(\Gamma)$$
 = $\begin{bmatrix} 23 & -14 \\ -3 & 7 \end{bmatrix}$ mod $26 = \begin{bmatrix} 23 & 12 \\ 23 & 7 \end{bmatrix}$

$$\Gamma^{-1} = d^{-1} * adj(\Gamma)$$

$$7 [23 12] mod$$

$$= 7 \begin{bmatrix} 23 & 12 \\ 23 & 7 \end{bmatrix} \mod 26$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 161 & 84 \\ 161 & 49 \end{bmatrix} \mod 26$$

$$\Gamma^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 5 & 6 \\ 5 & 23 \end{bmatrix}$$

4

For an m+m matrix, there are 26 possibilities.

Trying all possible m*m natrixes that takes us at least 1000 years :

26 m² ≥ 1000 years

26°m² > 1000 x 365 days

> 1000 x 365 x 24 hours

> 1000 x 365 x 24 x 60 min

 26° $\stackrel{>}{\sim}$ 1000 x 365 x 24 x 60 x 60 seconds

 $26^{m^2} \ge 1000 \times 365 \times 24 \times 60 \times 60$

 $m^2 \log 26 \ge \log (1000 \times 365 \times 24 \times 60 \times 60)$

 $m^2 \geq 7.4198$

m > \\\7.4198

m ≥ 2.72

So m has to be atleast 3 i.e., it takes more than 1000 years to try all possible 3 x 3 matrices.

(5) 9 think RSA is more secure compared to mateix cipher for the following reasons: Matrix Cipher: i) Vulnerable to plain-text attack: a bype of attack where attacker after having access to both actual manage and encrypted message just tries to encrypt plain message message again until the finds the key.

again & again until the finds the way. It plain text attack is not the only way. It Plain text attack is not the only way. It will the plain text attack is not the only way. It will the plain text attack is not the only way takes advancement of cloud technologies it only takes advancement of cloud technologies to only takes minutes to find the wrect key. RSA: i) Widely used and accepted in modern world as a very seurce and effective encryption. (ii) Use of extremely longe prime numbers as keys.

Today these keys correspond to 617 digits.

Today these keys correspond to efficiently

iii) There is no known algorithm to efficiently

Lactor such longe numbers factor such large numbers. tacus some knows, even if public key is compromised no week the sender.

private key is what never bears the sender.

Musage is encrypted and sent using the decrypted by public key which can later be decrypted by public key which can later be private key which intended recipient's private key using the intended recipient's private key which is known only to the recipient.

I RSA is note server is more secure and effective So, RSA to Matrix-cipher in today's world. compared

(6)
$$P(b=1) = 2/3$$
 $P(b=0) = 1/3$ $P(r=0) = 1/2$

b N & is I when both b and & is equal to I. If either or both of them equals

o then b N & is o

o then is independent of b)

or is independent c = b N & is I

or Probability that c = b N & is I

$$P(c=1) = P(bnx=1)$$

= $P(b=1) * P(x=1)$
= $2/3 * 1/2 = 2/6 = 1/3$

(b) Probability that $c = b \wedge r$ is 0 $P(c = 0) = P(b \wedge r = 0)$ = P(b = 0) * P(r = 1) + P(b = 1) * P(r = 0) + P(b = 0) * P(r = 0) $= \frac{1}{3} * \frac{1}{2} + \frac{2}{3} * \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3} * \frac{1}{2}$ $= \frac{1}{6} + \frac{2}{6} + \frac{1}{6} = \frac{4}{6} = \frac{2}{3}$

(e) As we can see from above P(c=1)=1/3 and P(c=0)=2/3. So, if we get to know about c then we can seveal b to some extent. about c then we can seveal b to some extent. For example, if c=1 then we for sure know b=1 which is a great deal of information b=1 which is a great deal of information for attacker. Hence it is not a good idea to hide b in c using the Λ operation.