Assignment - 2

DIVYA CHANDRASEKARAN_811284790

2024-04-14

```r
#Loading the required libraries

library(ISLR)
library(dplyr)
```

```
##
## Attaching package: 'dplyr'

## The following objects are masked from 'package:stats':
##
##     filter, lag

## The following objects are masked from 'package:base':
##
##     intersect, setdiff, setequal, union
```

```r
library(glmnet)
```

```
## Warning: package 'glmnet' was built under R version 4.3.3

## Loading required package: Matrix

## Loaded glmnet 4.1-8
```

```r
library(caret)
```

```
## Loading required package: ggplot2

## Loading required package: lattice
```

```r
library(ggplot2)
library(lattice)
library(Matrix)
library(rpart)
library(rpart.plot)
```

```
## Warning: package 'rpart.plot' was built under R version 4.3.2
```

```r
#For this assignment, we only need the following attributes: "Sales", "Price", "Advertising", "Populati

Carseats_filterd <-Carseats%>%select("Sales","Price","Advertising","Population","Age","Income","Educati
```

```r
#QUESTION B1
#Build a decision tree regression model to predict Sales based on all other attributes ("Price", "Adver

#Checking which attribute comes on the to of the tree

Model <-rpart(Sales~.,data = Carseats_filterd,method = 'anova')
summary(Model)
```

```
## Call:
## rpart(formula = Sales ~ ., data = Carseats_filterd, method = "anova")
##   n= 400
##
##            CP nsplit rel error    xerror       xstd
## 1  0.14251535      0 1.0000000 1.0046654 0.06936254
## 2  0.08034146      1 0.8574847 0.9100200 0.06492636
## 3  0.06251702      2 0.7771432 0.8759313 0.06409794
## 4  0.02925241      3 0.7146262 0.7986033 0.05809757
## 5  0.02537341      4 0.6853738 0.8274692 0.05903216
## 6  0.02127094      5 0.6600003 0.8188120 0.05711664
## 7  0.02059174      6 0.6387294 0.8024041 0.05724687
## 8  0.01632010      7 0.6181377 0.7910084 0.05477465
## 9  0.01521801      8 0.6018176 0.8096254 0.05603429
## 10 0.01042023      9 0.5865996 0.8541827 0.06069556
## 11 0.01000559     10 0.5761793 0.8551006 0.06032805
## 12 0.01000000     12 0.5561681 0.8527503 0.06028927
##
## Variable importance
##       Price Advertising         Age      Income  Population   Education
##          49          18          16           8           6           3
##
## Node number 1: 400 observations,    complexity param=0.1425153
##   mean=7.496325, MSE=7.955687
##   left son=2 (329 obs) right son=3 (71 obs)
##   Primary splits:
##       Price       < 94.5  to the right, improve=0.14251530, (0 missing)
##       Advertising < 7.5   to the left,  improve=0.07303226, (0 missing)
##       Age         < 61.5  to the right, improve=0.07120203, (0 missing)
##       Income      < 61.5  to the left,  improve=0.02840494, (0 missing)
##       Population  < 174.5 to the left,  improve=0.01077467, (0 missing)
##
## Node number 2: 329 observations,    complexity param=0.08034146
##   mean=7.001672, MSE=6.815199
##   left son=4 (174 obs) right son=5 (155 obs)
##   Primary splits:
##       Advertising < 6.5   to the left,  improve=0.11402580, (0 missing)
##       Price       < 136.5 to the right, improve=0.08411056, (0 missing)
##       Age         < 63.5  to the right, improve=0.08091745, (0 missing)
##       Income      < 60.5  to the left,  improve=0.03394126, (0 missing)
##       Population  < 23    to the left,  improve=0.01831455, (0 missing)
```

```
##   Surrogate splits:
##       Population < 223   to the left,  agree=0.599, adj=0.148, (0 split)
##       Education  < 10.5  to the right, agree=0.565, adj=0.077, (0 split)
##       Age        < 53.5  to the right, agree=0.547, adj=0.039, (0 split)
##       Income     < 114.5 to the left,  agree=0.547, adj=0.039, (0 split)
##       Price      < 106.5 to the right, agree=0.544, adj=0.032, (0 split)
##
## Node number 3: 71 observations,    complexity param=0.02537341
##   mean=9.788451, MSE=6.852836
##   left son=6 (36 obs) right son=7 (35 obs)
##   Primary splits:
##       Age        < 54.5  to the right, improve=0.16595410, (0 missing)
##       Price      < 75.5  to the right, improve=0.08365773, (0 missing)
##       Income     < 30.5  to the left,  improve=0.03322169, (0 missing)
##       Education  < 10.5  to the right, improve=0.03019634, (0 missing)
##       Population < 268.5 to the left,  improve=0.02383306, (0 missing)
##   Surrogate splits:
##       Advertising < 4.5   to the right, agree=0.606, adj=0.200, (0 split)
##       Price       < 73    to the right, agree=0.592, adj=0.171, (0 split)
##       Population  < 272.5 to the left,  agree=0.592, adj=0.171, (0 split)
##       Income      < 79.5  to the right, agree=0.592, adj=0.171, (0 split)
##       Education   < 11.5  to the left,  agree=0.577, adj=0.143, (0 split)
##
## Node number 4: 174 observations,    complexity param=0.02127094
##   mean=6.169655, MSE=4.942347
##   left son=8 (58 obs) right son=9 (116 obs)
##   Primary splits:
##       Age         < 63.5  to the right, improve=0.078712160, (0 missing)
##       Price       < 130.5 to the right, improve=0.048919280, (0 missing)
##       Population  < 26.5  to the left,  improve=0.030421540, (0 missing)
##       Income      < 67.5  to the left,  improve=0.027749670, (0 missing)
##       Advertising < 0.5   to the left,  improve=0.006795377, (0 missing)
##   Surrogate splits:
##       Income     < 22.5  to the left,  agree=0.678, adj=0.034, (0 split)
##       Price      < 96.5  to the left,  agree=0.672, adj=0.017, (0 split)
##       Population < 26.5  to the left,  agree=0.672, adj=0.017, (0 split)
##
## Node number 5: 155 observations,    complexity param=0.06251702
##   mean=7.935677, MSE=7.268151
##   left son=10 (28 obs) right son=11 (127 obs)
##   Primary splits:
##       Price       < 136.5 to the right, improve=0.17659580, (0 missing)
##       Age         < 73.5  to the right, improve=0.08000201, (0 missing)
##       Income      < 60.5  to the left,  improve=0.05360755, (0 missing)
##       Advertising < 13.5  to the left,  improve=0.03920507, (0 missing)
##       Population  < 399   to the left,  improve=0.01037956, (0 missing)
##   Surrogate splits:
##       Advertising < 24.5  to the right, agree=0.826, adj=0.036, (0 split)
##
## Node number 6: 36 observations,    complexity param=0.0163201
##   mean=8.736944, MSE=4.961043
##   left son=12 (12 obs) right son=13 (24 obs)
##   Primary splits:
##       Price       < 89.5  to the right, improve=0.29079360, (0 missing)
```

```
##       Income      < 39.5  to the left,  improve=0.19043350, (0 missing)
##       Advertising < 11.5  to the left,  improve=0.17891930, (0 missing)
##       Age         < 75.5  to the right, improve=0.04316067, (0 missing)
##       Education   < 14.5  to the left,  improve=0.03411396, (0 missing)
##   Surrogate splits:
##       Advertising < 16.5  to the right, agree=0.722, adj=0.167, (0 split)
##       Income      < 37.5  to the left,  agree=0.722, adj=0.167, (0 split)
##       Age         < 56.5  to the left,  agree=0.694, adj=0.083, (0 split)
##
## Node number 7: 35 observations
##   mean=10.87, MSE=6.491674
##
## Node number 8: 58 observations,    complexity param=0.01042023
##   mean=5.287586, MSE=3.93708
##   left son=16 (10 obs) right son=17 (48 obs)
##   Primary splits:
##       Price      < 137   to the right, improve=0.14521540, (0 missing)
##       Education  < 15.5  to the right, improve=0.07995394, (0 missing)
##       Income     < 35.5  to the left,  improve=0.04206708, (0 missing)
##       Age        < 79.5  to the left,  improve=0.02799057, (0 missing)
##       Population < 52.5  to the left,  improve=0.01914342, (0 missing)
##
## Node number 9: 116 observations,    complexity param=0.01000559
##   mean=6.61069, MSE=4.861446
##   left son=18 (58 obs) right son=19 (58 obs)
##   Primary splits:
##       Income     < 67    to the left,  improve=0.05085914, (0 missing)
##       Population < 392   to the right, improve=0.04476721, (0 missing)
##       Price      < 127   to the right, improve=0.04210762, (0 missing)
##       Age        < 37.5  to the right, improve=0.02858424, (0 missing)
##       Education  < 14.5  to the left,  improve=0.01187387, (0 missing)
##   Surrogate splits:
##       Education   < 12.5  to the right, agree=0.586, adj=0.172, (0 split)
##       Age         < 58.5  to the left,  agree=0.578, adj=0.155, (0 split)
##       Price       < 144.5 to the left,  agree=0.569, adj=0.138, (0 split)
##       Population  < 479   to the right, agree=0.560, adj=0.121, (0 split)
##       Advertising < 2.5   to the right, agree=0.543, adj=0.086, (0 split)
##
## Node number 10: 28 observations
##   mean=5.522857, MSE=5.084213
##
## Node number 11: 127 observations,    complexity param=0.02925241
##   mean=8.467638, MSE=6.183142
##   left son=22 (29 obs) right son=23 (98 obs)
##   Primary splits:
##       Age         < 65.5  to the right, improve=0.11854590, (0 missing)
##       Income      < 51.5  to the left,  improve=0.08076060, (0 missing)
##       Advertising < 13.5  to the left,  improve=0.04801701, (0 missing)
##       Education   < 11.5  to the right, improve=0.02471512, (0 missing)
##       Population  < 479   to the left,  improve=0.01908657, (0 missing)
##
## Node number 12: 12 observations
##   mean=7.038333, MSE=2.886964
##
```

```
## Node number 13: 24 observations
##   mean=9.58625, MSE=3.834123
##
## Node number 16: 10 observations
##   mean=3.631, MSE=5.690169
##
## Node number 17: 48 observations
##   mean=5.632708, MSE=2.88102
##
## Node number 18: 58 observations
##   mean=6.113448, MSE=3.739109
##
## Node number 19: 58 observations,    complexity param=0.01000559
##   mean=7.107931, MSE=5.489285
##   left son=38 (10 obs) right son=39 (48 obs)
##   Primary splits:
##       Population  < 390.5 to the right, improve=0.10993270, (0 missing)
##       Price       < 124.5 to the right, improve=0.07534567, (0 missing)
##       Advertising < 0.5   to the left,  improve=0.07060488, (0 missing)
##       Age         < 45.5  to the right, improve=0.04611510, (0 missing)
##       Education   < 11.5  to the right, improve=0.03722944, (0 missing)
##
## Node number 22: 29 observations
##   mean=6.893793, MSE=6.08343
##
## Node number 23: 98 observations,    complexity param=0.02059174
##   mean=8.933367, MSE=5.262759
##   left son=46 (34 obs) right son=47 (64 obs)
##   Primary splits:
##       Income      < 60.5  to the left,  improve=0.12705480, (0 missing)
##       Advertising < 13.5  to the left,  improve=0.07114001, (0 missing)
##       Price       < 118.5 to the right, improve=0.06932216, (0 missing)
##       Education   < 11.5  to the right, improve=0.03377416, (0 missing)
##       Age         < 49.5  to the right, improve=0.02289004, (0 missing)
##   Surrogate splits:
##       Education < 17.5  to the right, agree=0.663, adj=0.029, (0 split)
##
## Node number 38: 10 observations
##   mean=5.406, MSE=2.508524
##
## Node number 39: 48 observations
##   mean=7.4625, MSE=5.381106
##
## Node number 46: 34 observations,    complexity param=0.01521801
##   mean=7.811471, MSE=4.756548
##   left son=92 (19 obs) right son=93 (15 obs)
##   Primary splits:
##       Price       < 119.5 to the right, improve=0.29945020, (0 missing)
##       Advertising < 11.5  to the left,  improve=0.14268440, (0 missing)
##       Income      < 40.5  to the right, improve=0.12781140, (0 missing)
##       Population  < 152   to the left,  improve=0.03601768, (0 missing)
##       Age         < 49.5  to the right, improve=0.02748814, (0 missing)
##   Surrogate splits:
##       Education   < 12.5  to the right, agree=0.676, adj=0.267, (0 split)
```

```
##       Advertising < 7.5   to the right, agree=0.647, adj=0.200, (0 split)
##       Age         < 53.5  to the left,  agree=0.647, adj=0.200, (0 split)
##       Population  < 240   to the right, agree=0.618, adj=0.133, (0 split)
##       Income      < 41.5  to the right, agree=0.618, adj=0.133, (0 split)
##
## Node number 47: 64 observations
##   mean=9.529375, MSE=4.5078
##
## Node number 92: 19 observations
##   mean=6.751053, MSE=3.378915
##
## Node number 93: 15 observations
##   mean=9.154667, MSE=3.273025
```

```
#According to the above summary details the most vital attribute on deciding sales is "price".Therefore
```

```
#Less complex plot

decision_tree <- rpart(Sales~.,data = Carseats_filterd,method = "anova",control =rpart.control(minsplit
plot(decision_tree)
text(decision_tree)
```




```
#fancy RpartPlot
decision_tree2 <- rpart(Sales~.,data=Carseats_filterd,method='anova')
rpart.plot(decision_tree2)
```




```
#QUESTION B2
#QB2. Consider the following input* • Sales=9 • Price=6.54 • Population=124 • Advertising=0 • Age=76 •

mydata <-data.frame(Price=6.54,Population=124,Advertising=0,Age=76,Income=110,Education=10)
estimated_sales<-predict(decision_tree2,mydata)
estimated_sales
```

```
##       1
## 9.58625
```

```
#According to the above information, the estimated sales for this record using decision tree model is 9
```

```
#QUESTION B3
#QB3. Use the caret function to train a random forest (method='rf') for the same dataset. Use the caret

set.seed(123)
random_forest <-train(Sales~.,data = Carseats_filterd,method='rf')
summary(random_forest)
```

```
##                 Length Class      Mode
## call              4    -none-     call
## type              1    -none-     character
## predicted       400    -none-     numeric
## mse             500    -none-     numeric
```

```
## rsq             500    -none-     numeric
## oob.times       400    -none-     numeric
## importance        6    -none-     numeric
## importanceSD      0    -none-     NULL
## localImportance   0    -none-     NULL
## proximity         0    -none-     NULL
## ntree             1    -none-     numeric
## mtry              1    -none-     numeric
## forest           11    -none-     list
## coefs             0    -none-     NULL
## y               400    -none-     numeric
## test              0    -none-     NULL
## inbag             0    -none-     NULL
## xNames            6    -none-     character
## problemType       1    -none-     character
## tuneValue         1    data.frame list
## obsLevels         1    -none-     logical
## param             0    -none-     list
```

```r
print(random_forest)
```

```
## Random Forest
##
## 400 samples
##   6 predictor
##
## No pre-processing
## Resampling: Bootstrapped (25 reps)
## Summary of sample sizes: 400, 400, 400, 400, 400, 400, ...
## Resampling results across tuning parameters:
##
##   mtry  RMSE      Rsquared   MAE
##   2     2.405819  0.2852547  1.926801
##   4     2.421577  0.2790266  1.934608
##   6     2.447373  0.2681323  1.953147
##
## RMSE was used to select the optimal model using the smallest value.
## The final value used for the model was mtry = 2.
```

```r
plot(random_forest)
```




```
#According to the above summary, RMSE is the lowest when mtry=2. Therefore, mtry 2 gives the best perfo
```

```
#QUESTION B4
#Customize the search grid by checking the model's performance for mtry values of 2, 3 and 5 using 3 re

library(caret)
set.seed(123)
C_grid <- trainControl(method = "repeatedcv",number = 5,repeats = 3,search ="grid")
C_grid2 <- expand.grid(.mtry=c(2,3,5))
RF_grid <- train(Sales~.,data=Carseats_filterd,method="rf",tuneGrid=C_grid2,trControl=C_grid)
print(RF_grid)
```

```
## Random Forest
##
## 400 samples
##   6 predictor
##
## No pre-processing
## Resampling: Cross-Validated (5 fold, repeated 3 times)
## Summary of sample sizes: 320, 321, 319, 320, 320, 319, ...
## Resampling results across tuning parameters:
##
##   mtry  RMSE      Rsquared   MAE
##   2     2.405235  0.2813795  1.930855
##   3     2.401365  0.2858295  1.920612
```

```
##   5     2.417771  0.2821938  1.934886
##
## RMSE was used to select the optimal model using the smallest value.
## The final value used for the model was mtry = 3.
```

```r
plot(RF_grid)
```




```r
#According to the above summary, RMSE is the lowest when mtry=3. Therefore, mtry 3 gives the best perfo
```