Math Review Part II

Problem Set 4: Solutions

Divya Bhagia*

August 22, 2019

1. Substitute xz = 3 into the objective function and set up the Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L}(x, y, \lambda_1, \lambda_2) = 3 + yz - \lambda(y^2 + z^2 - 1)$$

First Order Conditions:

$$\frac{d\mathcal{L}}{dy} = z - 2\lambda y = 0$$

$$\frac{d\mathcal{L}}{dz} = y - 2\lambda z = 0$$

$$\frac{d\mathcal{L}}{d\lambda} = y^2 + z^2 - 1 = 0$$

The solutions are four (y, z) pairs such that $y = \pm \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ and $z = \pm \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$. For a maximum, y and z must have the same sign.

- 2. Simon and Blume, Example 18.5 and 19.7
- 3. Let's rewrite the problem as

$$\max -(x-a)^2 - (y-b)^2$$

s.t $x \le 1$
 $y \le 2$

Setting up the Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L}(x, y, \lambda_1, \lambda_2) = -(x - a)^2 - (y - b)^2 + \lambda_1(1 - x) + \lambda_2(2 - y)$$

^{*}PhD Candidate in Economics at Boston College (email: bhagia@bc.edu)

First Order Conditions:

$$\frac{d\mathcal{L}}{dx} = -2(x-a) - \lambda_1 = 0$$

$$\frac{d\mathcal{L}}{dy} = -2(y-b) - \lambda_2 = 0$$

Complementary Slackness Conditions:

$$\lambda_1(1-x) = 0$$
 where $\lambda_1 \ge 0, 1-x \ge 0$
 $\lambda_2(2-y) = 0$ where $\lambda_2 \ge 0, 2-y \ge 0$

Notice that

$$D^2 f(x,y) = \begin{bmatrix} -2 & 0\\ 0 & -2 \end{bmatrix}$$

which implies that f is concave and so the Kuhn-Tucker theorem will give us the global max.

- Case A: $a \le 1$, $b \le 2$ The solution is (a, b).
- Case B: $a \le 1, b > 2$: Then the second constraint must bind, while the first is slack. $\lambda_1 = 0, y = 2, x = a, \lambda_2 = 2(b - a) \ge 0$. Therefore (a, 2) is the solution.
- Case C: $a > 1, b \le 2$: Then the first constraint must bind, while the second is slack. $\lambda_2 = 0, x = 1, y = b, \lambda_1 = 2(a-1) \ge 0.$ Therefore (1, b) is the solution.
- Case D: a > 1, b > 2: Then both constraints bind. $x = a, y = b, \lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = 0$. Therefore (a, b) is the solution.
- 4. 4.1. Setting up the Lagrangian:

$$\mathcal{L}(x, y, \lambda_1, \lambda_2) = \ln(x+1) + \ln(y+1) + \lambda_1(c-x-2y) + \lambda_2(2-x-y)$$

First Order Conditions:

$$\frac{d\mathcal{L}}{dx} = \frac{1}{x+1} - \lambda_1 - \lambda_2 = 0$$
$$\frac{d\mathcal{L}}{dy} = \frac{1}{y+1} - 2\lambda_1 - \lambda_2 = 0$$

Complementary Slackness Conditions:

$$\lambda_1(c-x-2y) = 0$$
 where $\lambda_1 \ge 0, c-x-2y \ge 0$
 $\lambda_2(2-x-y) = 0$ where $\lambda_2 \ge 0, 2-x-y \ge 0$

- 4.2. There are four case
 - Case 1: Both constraints are slack $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = 0$. This contradicts the FOCs.
 - Case 2: Only the first constraint binds. $\lambda_2 = 0$, then $x + 2y = \frac{5}{2}$. Combining the first two FOCs we get x + 1 = 2y + 2. So $x = \frac{7}{4}$, $y = \frac{3}{8}$. But then $x + y = \frac{17}{8} > 2$, contradicting the second constraint.
 - Case 3: Only the second constraint binds $\lambda_1 = 0$, x + y = 2. Combining first two FOCs, we get x = y = 1. But then $x + 2y = 3 > \frac{5}{2}$, violating the second constraint.
 - Case 4: Both bind $x + 2y = \frac{5}{2}$ and x + y = 2, so $x = \frac{3}{2}$ and $y = \frac{1}{2}$. Combing the first two FOCs we get $\lambda_1 = \frac{4}{15} \geq 0$, $\lambda_2 = \frac{2}{15} \geq 0$ and so $(x, y) = (\frac{3}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$ is the solution.
- 4.3. By the Envelope Theorem $V'(\frac{5}{2}) = \frac{d}{dc}\mathcal{L}(x^*,y^*,\lambda_1^*,\lambda_2^*,c) = \lambda_1^* = \frac{4}{15}$