

# Sequential Nondeterminism, Hoare Triples 1 & 2

CS 536: Science of Programming, Spring 2023

Due Thu **Feb 16, 11:59 pm** [not Sep 16]

## A. Problems [60 points total]

### Class 7: Sequential Nondeterminism

1. [12 = 2 \* 6 points] Let  $DO$  be the nondeterministic loop [2023-02-13 do/od keywords]
 
$$\text{do } x \neq 0 \rightarrow x := x - 1; y := y + 1 \square x \neq 0 \rightarrow x := x - 1; y := y + 2 \text{ od}$$
  - a. First, let's work on what a typical loop iteration does over an arbitrary state  $\sigma = \{x = \beta, y = \delta\}$ . Assume  $\beta \geq 2$  and calculate the two states we can be in after a single iteration of the loop. I.e., what are the  $\tau$  where  $\langle DO, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow^3 \langle DO, \tau \rangle$ ?
  - b. Extend part (a) to do  $\kappa$  iterations where  $1 < \kappa \leq \beta$ . What is the set of final states  $\Sigma'$  we can reach in  $3\kappa$  iterations? I.e., what is  $\Sigma' = \{\tau \in \Sigma \mid \langle DO, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow^{3\kappa} \langle DO, \tau \rangle\}$ ?

### Classes 8 & 9: Hoare Triples, pt 1 & 2

2. [16 = 4 \* 4 points]
  - a. Using backward assignment, what can we use for precondition  $p_1$  in the triple  $\{p_1\} b := b + b \{b * c \leq d - b\}$ ? (Mild hint: Be careful with parenthesization)
  - b. Using backward assignment, what can we use for  $p_2$  in  $\{p_2\} x := m \{1 \leq x * y \leq n * m\}$ ?
  - c. Using backward assignment, what can we use for  $p_3$  in  $\{p_3\} y := n \{p_2\}$ ?
  - d. Joining parts (b) and (c), what can we use for  $p_4$  in  $\{p_4\} y := n; x := m \{1 \leq x * y \leq n * m\}$ ?
3. [6 = 2 \* 3 points] Let  $p_0 \rightarrow p$ ,  $p \rightarrow p_1$ ,  $q_0 \rightarrow q$ , and  $q \rightarrow q_1$  all be valid. From  $\{p\} S \{q\}$ , there are four triples of the form  $\{p_i\} S \{q_j\}$  that get by replacing  $p$  by  $p_0$  or  $p_1$  and  $q$  by  $q_0$  or  $q_1$ .
  - a. If  $\sigma \models \{p\} S \{q\}$ , which of the four triples  $\sigma \models \{p_i\} S \{q_j\}$  is/are also satisfied by  $\sigma$  under  $\models$ ? Briefly justify.
  - b. Repeat part (a) but under total correctness.
4. [8 = 2 \* 4 points] Say  $\sigma \models \{p_1\} S \{q_1\}$  and  $\sigma \models \{p_2\} S \{q_2\}$ .
  - a. Does  $\sigma \models \{p_1 \wedge p_2\} S \{q_1 \vee q_2\}$ ? Justify briefly.
  - b. Does  $\sigma \models \{p_1 \vee p_2\} S \{q_1 \wedge q_2\}$ ? Justify briefly.

5. [10 points] Answer the following questions below about the relationships between or variations of correctness triples. Assume  $\sigma \neq \perp$  and  $S$  is deterministic.
- [4 points] There are four statements of the form  $\sigma (\models \text{ or } \models) \{p\} S \{q \text{ or } \neg q\}$ . Which (if any) of them are implied by  $\sigma \models_{\text{tot}} \{p\} S \{q\}$ ?
  - [4 points] There are eight statements of the form  $\sigma (\models \text{ or } \models) \{p\} S \{q \text{ or } \neg q\}$ . Which (if any) of them are implied by  $\sigma \models_{\text{tot}} \{T\} S \{q\}$ ?
  - [2 points] There are four statements of the form  $\sigma \models \{p \text{ or } \neg p\} S \{q \text{ or } \neg q\}$ . When can all four of them be satisfied at the same time, or is it impossible?

### Definitions

" $\Sigma_0$  **partly**  $\models p$ " means there is a  $\tau \in \Sigma_0$  with  $\tau \models p$ .

" $\Sigma_0$  **partly**  $\not\models p$ " means there is a  $\tau \in \Sigma_0$  with  $\tau \models \neg p$ .

6. [8 = 2 \* 4 points] Now assume that  $\sigma \neq \perp$  and  $S$  is nondeterministic and answer the following questions.
- There are four statements of the form  $\sigma$  partly  $(\models \text{ or } \models) \{p\} S \{q \text{ or } \neg q\}$ . If  $\perp \notin M(S, \sigma)$ , then which (if any) of them are implied by  $\sigma \models \{p\} S \{q\}$ ?
  - Continuing, which (if any) of the remaining statements can occur (but might not) when  $\sigma \models \{p\} S \{q\}$ ?

# Sequential Nondeterminism, Hoare Triples 1 & 2

## Solutions

1. (Nondeterministic loop)
  - a.  $\{x = \beta - 1, y = \delta + 1\}, \{x = \beta - 1, y = \delta + 2\}$
  - b.  $\{x = \beta - \kappa, y = \delta''\}$  where  $\kappa \leq \delta'' \leq 2\kappa$ .  
 $\kappa$  times, we add 1 or 2 to  $\delta$ , so we add a minimum of  $\kappa$  and a maximum of  $2\kappa$  to  $\delta$ .
2. (Sequence of backward assignments)
  - a.  $(b + b) * c \leq d - (b + b)$
  - b.  $u \equiv 1 \leq m * y \leq n * m$
  - c.  $v \equiv 1 \leq m * n \leq n * m$
  - d.  $w \equiv v \equiv 1 \leq m * n \leq n * m$
3. (Weakening and strengthening conditions)
  - a.  $\{p_0\} S \{q_1\}$  by precondition strengthening and postcondition weakening.
  - b. Same:  $\{p_0\} S \{q_1\}$  by strengthening and postcondition weakening.
4. (Conjunctions and disjunctions of conditions)
  - a. Yes. If  $p_1 \wedge p_2$  holds then postcondition  $q_1$  holds because of  $p_1$  and  $q_2$  holds because of  $p_2$ , so postcondition  $q_1 \wedge q_2$  holds, and we can weaken that to  $q_1 \vee q_2$ .
  - b. No. If  $p_1 \vee p_2$  holds then postcondition  $q_1 \vee q_2$  holds, using reasoning similar to part (a), but  $q_1 \vee q_2$  doesn't imply  $q_1 \wedge q_2$ .  
 holds. If  $p_1$  holds then  $q_1$  (and therefore  $q_1 \vee q_2$ ) holds; alternatively if  $p_2$  holds then  $q_2$  (and therefore  $q_1 \vee q_2$ ) holds, and  $q_1 \vee q_2$  either way.
5. (Relationships among variations of triples)
  - a.  $\sigma \models_{\text{tot}} \{p\} S \{q\}$  implies  $\sigma \models \{p\} S \{q\}$  and  $\sigma \not\models \{p\} S \{\neg q\}$ .
  - b.  $\sigma \models_{\text{tot}} \{T\} S \{q\}$  implies  $\sigma \models \{p\} S \{q\}$  and  $\sigma \not\models \{p\} S \{\neg q\}$ .
  - c. If  $M(S, \sigma) = \{\perp\}$ , then all four of  $\sigma \models \{p\} S \{q\}$ ,  $\sigma \models \{p\} S \{\neg q\}$ ,  $\sigma \models \{\neg p\} S \{q\}$ ,  $\sigma \models \{\neg p\} S \{\neg q\}$  are satisfied by  $\sigma$ .
6. (Partial  $\models / \not\models$ )
  - a.  $\sigma \not\models \{p\} S \{q\}$  and  $\perp \notin M(S, \sigma)$  then  $M(S, \sigma)$  partly  $\models \neg q$ .
  - b.  $\sigma \not\models \{p\} S \{q\}$  and  $M(S, \sigma)$  partly  $\models q$  can still occur.