# Apache Pig Latin

Rim Moussa

rim.moussa@gmail.com

## Pig Latin: Building High-Level Dataflows over Map-Reduce

- High-level data processing language
  - Explicit data flow programming
- Pig's infrastructure layer consists of a compiler that produces sequences of Map-Reduce programs (workflow of MR jobs)
  - Manages all the details of connecting jobs and data flow
- Similar Projects
  - Sawzall (Google),
  - DryadLINK (MicroSoft)
- Execution modes
  - Local
  - Hadoop Cluster
- Piggybank.jar: open source UDFs

## Pig Latin (ctnd.1)

- Dynamic schema, schema-free
- Data Model
  - A relation is a bag i.e. collection of tuples.
  - A *tuple* is an ordered set of *fields*.
  - A *field* is a piece of data.
- Data types:
  - int, long, float, double, chararray, bytearray, boolean, datatime, big integer, big decimal
  - Complex:
    - Tuple: ordered set of fields, e.g. (Sam, 20)
    - Bag: collection of tuples, e.g. {(Sam, 20), (Joe, 25)}
    - Map: a set of key-value pairs, e.g. [name#Sam, age#20]

## **Relational Operations**

Loading and Storing

LOAD To Load the data from the file system (local/HDFS) into a relation.

STORE To save a relation to the file system (local/HDFS)

#### Filtering

FILTER BY To remove unwanted rows from a relation.

DISTINCT To remove duplicate rows from a relation.

FOREACH, GENERATE To generate data transformations based on columns

## Grouping and Joining

JOIN To join two or more relations.

COGROUP To group the data in two or more relations.

GROUP To group the data in a single relation.

CROSS To create the cross product of two or more relations.

## Relational Operations

Sorting

SORT To arrange a relation in a sorted order based on one or more fields

(ascending or descending) on.

LIMIT To get a limited number of tuples from a relation.

Combining and Splitting

UNION To combine two or more relations into a single relation.

SPLIT To split a single relation into two or more relations.

Diagnostic Operations

DUMP To print the contents of a relation on the console

DESCRIBE To describe the schema of a relation.

EXPLAIN To view the logical, physical, or MapReduce execution plans

ILLUSTRATE To view the step-by-step execution of a series of statements.

## SQL statement of Q16 -TPC-H Benchmark

<Q16> The Parts/Supplier Relationship Query counts the number of suppliers who can supply parts that satisfy a particular customer's requirements. The customer is interested in parts of eight different sizes as long as they are not of a given type, not of a given brand, and not from a supplier who has had complaints registered at the Better Business Bureau.

## Pig script of Q16 -TPC-H Benchmark

```
--- Suppliers with no complaints
supplier = LOAD 'TPCH/supplier.tbl' USING PigStorage('|') AS
(s suppkey:int, s name:chararray, s address:chararray, s nationkey:int,
s phone:chararray, s acctbal:double, s comment:chararray);
supplier pb= FILTER supplier BY NOT(s comment matches
'.*Customer.*Complaints.*');
suppkeys pb = FOREACH supplier pb GENERATE s suppkey;
--- Parts size in 49, 14, 23, 45, 19, 3, 36, 9
part = LOAD 'TPCH/part.tbl' USING PigStorage('|') AS (...);
parts = FILTER part BY (p brand != 'Brand#45') AND NOT (p type matches
'MEDIUM POLISHED.*') AND (p_size IN (49, 14, 23, 45, 19, 3, 36, 9);
---Join partsupp, selected parts, selected suppliers
partsupp = LOAD 'TPCH/partsupp.tbl' using PigStorage('|') AS (...);
part partsupp = JOIN partsupp BY ps_partkey, parts BY p_partkey;
not pb supp = JOIN part partsupp BY ps suppkey, suppkeys pb BY
s suppkey;
selected = FOREACH not pb supp GENERATE ps suppkey, p brand, p type,
p size;
grouped = GROUP selected BY (p_brand,p_type,p_size);
count supp = FOREEACH grouped GENERATE flatten(group),
COUNT(selected.ps_suppkey) as supplier_cnt;
result = ORDER count_supp BY supplier_cnt DESC, p_brand, p_type, p_size;
STORE result INTO 'OUTPUT PATH/tpch query16';
```

## Relational Operations (ctnd. 2)

- Pig Supports different Join algorithms:
  - hash join --default,
  - merge join (using 'merge'),
  - skew (using 'skewed') ,
  - replication if small table (using 'replicated')
- Pig vs. MapReduce
  - Less code and less development effort
  - High level of abstraction
- Pig vs. SQL
  - The step-by-step method of creating a program in Pig is much cleaner and simpler to use than the single block method of SQL. It is easier to keep track of what your variables are, and where you are in the process of analyzing your data. (Jasmine Novak, eng. Q Yahoo!)

#### References

- C. Olston, B. Reed, U. Srivastava, R. Kumar and A. Tomkins. *Pig Latin: A Not-So-Foreign Language for Data Processing*. ACM SIGMOD 2008.
- Chuck Lam. *Hadoop in Action*. Manning. 2011.
- Tom White. *Hadoop: The Definitive Guide*. O'Reilly, Yahoo! Press. 2009. https://github.com/tomwhite/hadoop-book/
- R. Moussa, TPC-H Benchmarking of Apache Pig Latin in a Hadoop cluster /Grid5000, UTIC RR'2011.