

SCIFs - State of the Art and Future Considerations

diyscif

May21, 2021

Abstract

This paper will examine the different constructive and technical measures employed by governmental, non-governmental, and corporate actors to protect their secret communications in the physical realm. It will define the target ideal state of “information security”, identify information sources that must be controlled to reach this state, set up quantitative limits on these information sources discoverable externally, provide example attack techniques, and propose various passive and active countermeasures to reach these limits and defend against these attacks. Finally it will present an example module that achieves these specifications measurably.

Note, this paper is limited in scope to constructive and technical measures and does not focus on IT or organisational security measures, like encryption, security-related review/monitoring of employees, and classification levels. It also does not dwell on specific countries bureaucratic protocols, but instead aims to present a unified picture of the global state of the art.

Contents

1	What is a SCIF?	2
2	Information Security - Ideal State and Practical Tradeoffs	3
3	Passive Outside Observer	4
3.1	Visual	4
3.2	Acoustic	5
3.3	Electromagnetic/TEMPEST	6
4	Limits	7
4.1	Visual	7
4.2	Acoustic	7
4.3	Electromagnetic/TEMPEST	9

5	Active Attacker	10
5.1	Visual	11
5.2	Acoustic	11
5.3	Electromagnetic/TEMPEST	12
6	Countermeasures	13
6.1	Physical	13
6.1.1	Construction Security	13
6.1.2	Intrusion Resistance	16
6.1.3	Intrusion Detection Systems	19
6.1.4	Access Control	19
6.1.5	Locks	19
6.1.6	CCTV	19
6.2	Visual	19
6.3	Acoustic	19
6.3.1	Sound Attenuation	19
6.3.2	Sound Masking	19
6.3.3	Microphone Jamming	19
6.4	Electromagnetic/TEMPEST	19
6.4.1	Electromagnetic Shielding	19
6.4.2	System Monitoring	19
6.4.3	Signal Jamming	19
6.5	Bug Sweeping	19
7	Example Module	19
7.1	Physical	19
7.2	Visual	19
7.3	Sound	19
7.4	Electromagnetic/TEMPEST	19
	References	19

1 What is a SCIF?

Sensitive compartmented information facility (SCIF) is a term used by U.S. military and intelligence organizations to describe secure, enclosed areas designated for handling sensitive, classified information. They come in many different shapes and sizes, each designed for a specific mission demand. They can be installed permanently in buildings, designed as mobile units, set up temporarily, and even built aboard aircraft and naval vessels. What unites these different variants is the common goal of creating a designated space with rigorous security practices that thwarts all relevant passive outside observers and active attackers.

SCIFs are by no means exclusive to U.S. government institutions. They are used internationally by a wide variety of actors, from other governments to international organizations to corporations and NGOs. The term has become

the most commonly used and will also be used in this paper to refer to structures specifically built to protect information processed inside them.

Most countries keep their specifications for these secure facilities secret. The United States, however, have published comprehensive information on their engineering practices under Intelligence Community Directive (ICD) 705 “Sensitive Compartmented Information Facilities” and its associated technical specifications. In this paper, ICD 705 will be supplemented by private contractors’ informational material, documents released under the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA), leaked documents, and scientific literature to create a comprehensive picture of the current state of the art and give an outlook on future improvements.

2 Information Security - Ideal State and Practical Tradeoffs

A communication link or room is considered secure if information travelling through it cannot be intercepted by unauthorized parties. This is a theoretical ideal state that *cannot* be reached. However, one can employ various countermeasures to secure a communication link or room to such a degree that it can be practically considered as secure against an attacker with certain resources.

Both defenders and attackers are constrained by limited resources. Viewing attack techniques from a resource perspective allows a defender to determine whether they are “in scope”, given the threat level, and if countermeasures must be deployed against them. Resources are best expressed in terms of cost, time, and technical skill required. Taking into account these parameters, the defender is able to develop a mission-specific threat model that allows him to employ his *limited* resources effectively to defend against the most likely and serious attacks.

The IC Tech Spec-for ICD/ICS 705 (Office of the National Counterintelligence Executive 2012, p. 20) bases its threat modelling on country-level threat ratings derived from the Department of State’s (DoS) Security Environment Threat List (SETL). Specifically, the ICD establishes appropriate construction criteria based on the host country’s SETL technical threat rating. A country-level view is most useful to government organizations, however, other actors, especially corporate actors, may have to rely on different factors to determine threat level. Other possible criteria from which to derive a threat level include value of information handled and named/identified threats.

The fundamental assumption for threat modelling is that it is highly unlikely for an attacker to expend more resources to carry out an attack than the objective value of the attainable information.

3 Passive Outside Observer

There are various information sources that can leak from the secure facility and be intercepted. These can generally be grouped into visual, acoustic, and electromagnetic information source leaks. A passive observer can use different sensors, like telescopic cameras, directional microphones, and high-sensitivity antennae, to capture and analyze these information source leaks and draw conclusions about the sensitive information processed. A covert location outside the SCIF perimeter is almost impossible to detect and counteract. Therefore, information leaks must be prevented at the source.

3.1 Visual

Visual leaks are any direct view of sensitive information or surface whose reverberations can be captured with a laser and then translated into usable information. When speaking, glass panes or mirrors in a room are set into vibration. When there is visual insight into the room (e.g. from the neighboring building), a laser beam can be directed onto these reflecting surfaces and the reflected beam can be received again. The reflected beam is modulated by the oscillations. By demodulation, the conversation can be made audible (Wolfsperger 2008, p. 463). Direct views can also provide valuable insights into information processed and even serve as a basis for other attacks, like lip-reading of sensitive discussions.

Barring holes in the SCIF perimeter, like propped-open doors, visual leaks can only be captured through windows.



Figure 1: Laser Microphone Sptectra M+

Capture Technique	Cost	Time	Technical Skill Required
Direct View	medium	low	low
Lip Reading	medium	low	medium
Reverberations Captured by Laser	high	low	medium

3.2 Acoustic

Acoustic leaks are sound waves that escape the enclosed areas, either directly or through structure-borne sound transmission. These can be captured with directional microphones, contact microphones, and well placed conventional microphones. An example of such an advantageous placement would be in an unmuffled ventilation or heating duct.

Acoustic leaks provide some of the most valuable insights. Discussions, conferences, and chatter contain secrets in their purest form. Through them, an attacker doesn't only attain sensitive material, he also gains insight into underlying priorities and considerations, much more so than from a leaked document. Like Christoph Waltz's character from the 2009 Quentin Tarantino film "Inglourious Basterds" says "I love rumors! Facts can be so misleading, where rumors, true or false, are often revealing."



Figure 2: Parabolic Microphone G-PKS PRO EX

Digital sound processing software can further enhance an outside passive attackers capabilities to reconstruct, clarify, and analyze sound leaks.

Capture Technique	Cost	Time	Technical Skill Required
Directional Microphones	medium	low	low
Contact Microphones	low	medium	medium

Capture Technique	Cost	Time	Technical Skill Required
Conventional Microphones	low	medium	medium

3.3 Electromagnetic/TEMPEST

Compromising electromagnetic waves unintentionally emitted from information processing equipment, like computers, screens, and even printers are another source for information leaks. These radio or electrical signals, sounds, and vibrations can be captured with antennae, microphones, and other sensors, and allow inferences to be made about the information processed, sometimes even allowing its complete reconstruction (Liu, Samwel, Weissbart, Zhao, Lauret, Batina, Larson 2020). They can also serve as a side-channel for attacks on cryptography (Genkin, Pachmanov, Pipman, Tromer 2015). The techniques for extraction and analysis of compromising electromagnetic emanations fall under the commonly used U.S. National Security Agency codename TEMPEST (U.S. National Security Agency 1972).

These attack techniques require high technical skill to develop, however once established are easy and fast to reproduce with [affordable equipment](#). Although execution is fast, reconnaissance, planning and setup, especially for well-protected facilities, can entail significant time expenditure.




Figure 3: Display of one monitor reproduced on another using its TEMPEST emanations and a \$40 software defined radio + antenna set up. RTL-SDR.com (2017)

Capture Technique	Cost	Time	Technical Skill Required
Direct Leaks	low	medium	high
Side-channel on Cryptography	low	medium	high

4 Limits

This section will set quantitative limits on information sources available to an outside passive observer. Since information source leaks must be protected at the source, it is important to know the extent of attenuation necessary to assure adequate protection.

4.1 Visual

No visual information should be accessible to an outside passive observer. Visual information source leaks are the easiest to avoid and should therefore be wholly prevented. Even observation of the entrypoint could provide insights into the comings and goings of authorized personnel and should therefore be obscured as much as possible.

4.2 Acoustic

Acoustic emissions must be reduced by at least a weighted sound reduction index of $R'_w = 52$ dB. This measure roughly corresponds to the Sound Transmission Class 50 listed in the IC Tech Spec-for ICD/ICS 705 as an enhanced rating for areas that provide for amplified conversations (Office of the National Counterintelligence Executive 2012, p. 66). We use this as a general minimum measure, because the IC Tech Spec is geared towards military and other government facilities that provide a large measure of Security in Depth (SID), meaning that only semi-trusted personnel ever get within earshot of the SCIF. Security in Depth is a “multilayered approach, which effectively employs human and other physical security measures [like fences, walls, and guarded entry gates] throughout the installation or facility to create a layered defense against potential threats” (Naval Facilities Engineering Systems Command Northwest 2012, p. 20). Additionally, SID increases the probability of detection of nefarious activity because of continuous friendly-forces presence (Office of the National Counterintelligence Executive 2012, p. 3). These conditions cannot be guaranteed for all locations, especially in the corporate realm, so we strive to compensate reduced SID with a higher degree of sound insulation. When possible, $R'_w = 52$ dB should be exceeded.

R'_w represents the resulting sound insulation between two rooms, taking into account all sound transmission paths (Tichelmann, Pfau 2000, p. 26). This explicitly includes not only transmission through dividing components, but also so-called “flank transmission” over adjoining building components. In this phenomenon sound waves cause vibrations in flanking walls and then linearly travel

through them into the other room (Möser 2009, p. 254). R'_w is a cumulative value calculated on the basis of the weighted sound reduction index of each component R_w (Tichelmann, Pfau 2000, p. 34).

R_w is calculated by measuring sound transmission from one test cabin into another divided by the test component. The test is carried out in one-third octave or octave steps. White noise, a random signal with equal intensity across different frequencies, with the given bandwidth is used as test sound. A frequency response curve R is thus obtained in the so-called building-acoustics frequency range between 100 Hz and 3.15 kHz. The frequency response curve R is then compared to a reference curve B in order to derive a single comparison value. In the comparison, the reference curve is shifted in 1 dB steps onto the frequency response curve until the sum of the undershoots S_U of the frequency response curve compared to the reference curve is less than 32 dB. (Möser 2009, pp. 256–257)




Figure 4: For the definition of the weighted sound reduction index R_w . B = Reference curve, B_v = Shifted reference curve, M = Measured values, U = Undershoots of M compared to B_v . Gösele, Schröder (2004)

From this diagram we can also see that for a $R_w = 52$ dB (the reference curve) the fundamental frequency of the male voice - 125 Hz - only undergoes a sound attenuation of ca. 35 dB. Given a 60 dB conversation sound-level the sound attenuation is not sufficient to protect from a close proximity attacker. Passive sound-attenuation measures should be specifically evaluated in the 125 Hz to 300 Hz range, and significantly exceed the reference curve's performance.

Airborne sound transmission via ventilation and structure-borne sound transmission via ducts, such as water and ventilation pipes, can significantly reduce sound insulation (Deutsches Institut für Normung 2018, p. 19). In some cases they can even provide direct channels for an outside observer to capture sound on (Office of the National Counterintelligence Executive 2012, p. 13). Hence, they must be treated with special attention. A mistake on a component penetrating the SCIF perimeter, like a duct or vent, can render useless all other attenuation.

4.3 Electromagnetic/TEMPEST

Electromagnetic emissions should be reduced by the values defined in National Security Specification for Shielded Enclosures NSA 94-106. This specification sets forth an attenuation for a 1 kHz - 1 MHz H (magnetic) Field of 20 dB @ 1kHz, 56 dB @ 10 kHz 90 dB @ 100 kHz, and 100 dB @ 1 MHz. For a 1 kHz - 10 MHz E (electromagnetic) Field it requires 70 dB @ 1kHz, and 100 dB at 10 kHz, 100 kHz, 1 MHz, and 10 MHz. For a 100 MHz - 10 GHz Plane Wave it also requires 100 dB attenuation.




Figure 5: Electromagnetic Attenuation Requirements. U.S. National Security Agency (1994)

The field test is carried out with a parallel setup. A continuous wave source generates a wave in the range of 1 KHz to 10 GHz. Two antennae are placed, one on either side of the shielding. One antenna acts as a transmitting (TX) antenna and the other as a receiving (RX) antenna. The antennae are separated by a distance of 61 centimeters plus the wall thickness. The signal from the

RX antenna is fed back into a receiver. Attenuation levels can then be read from a spectrum analyzer. Magnetic field, electronic field, and plane wave attenuations are then measured at various specified frequencies. Attenuation tests are performed around the entire door frame, air ducts, filters and through any accessible joint or penetration. (U.S. National Security Agency 1994)




Figure 6: Test setup for NSA-94-106. EMCTEST Technologies (2018)

A RF shielding system is only as effective as its weakest component (Krieger Products 2015). Shielding material faults and gaps in the shield should be carefully avoided. These holes become more critical the higher the frequency of the shielded field (Wolfsperger 2008, pp. 292–293).

Apart from airborne electromagnetic waves, emanations can also leak from a SCIF on cables and wires. Instead of travelling through the air, unwanted signals can travel along wires out of the SCIF (Wolfsperger 2008, p. 210) inducing electromagnetic fields where they can be captured and turned into usable intelligence. With the right setup using different tools for power, data, and control connections these information source leaks can be entirely eliminated.

5 Active Attacker

Apart from passively observing information source leaks from outside the secure facility, an attacker can also actively attack the space to place sensors inside the SCIF and transmit sensitive visual, acoustic, or electromagnetic information

to the outside. He can also seek to weaken the passive attenuation in order to increase the information yield of passive observance.

This chapter intends to give some general ideas about possible attack vectors, not to list out specific attacks and describe their execution. New attack methods are constantly being developed and only few ever get published. Thankfully most can be prevented by sticking to the same established general countersurveillance measures and security practices.

5.1 Visual

The goal of all visual attacks is to place cameras inside the SCIF. These cameras allow an attacker to gain valuable insights into the sensitive information being handled or processed inside the enclosed area. Cameras can be inserted by someone who gains physical access to the space, inserted through HVAC ducts, or drilled through the perimeter.

Another attack avenue is taking over installed CCTV cameras. The video feed from these cameras could allow insights into the SCIF's comings and goings, and, with badly placed cameras, even into the information processed. This attack can also target the built-in cameras of information processing equipment like laptops.

Cameras transmit video feeds to the outside using radio/electromagnetic waves or wired connections. Wired connections could be specially installed for the attack or hijack existing lines, either directly or as emanations along their unshielded exterior.

Attack Technique	Cost	Time	Technical Skill Required
Inserting Camera	low	low	medium
Hijacking Existing Camera	low	medium	high

5.2 Acoustic

An attacker may also attempt to place a microphone in the SCIF. To do this he can either physically insert a new microphone or hijack one of the built-in microphones of devices already located in the room. Acoustic information is usually most sensitive, especially in conference rooms or discussion areas.

Similar to visual attacks, avenues for placing a microphone are physical entry, HVAC ducts, and hole drilling. In order to exfiltrate information the attacker again utilizes either radio/electromagnetic waves or wired connections, existing or specially placed. Another attack is finding a weak spot in the sound attenuating shell and placing a contact microphone directly on it.




Figure 7: Contact Microphone Gutzeit GmbH

An attacker could also seek to weaken the sound attenuation measures by tampering with the sound masking, destroying insulation or purposely creating sound bridges.

Attack Technique	Cost	Time	Technical Skill Required
Mic over Existing Lines	low	medium	high
Mic over Specially Placed Lines	medium	high	high
Mic Wireless	low	low	medium
Contact Microphone on Weak Spot	low	medium	medium
Hijacking Existing Microphones	low	low	high
Weakening Sound Attenuation	medium	medium	high

5.3 Electromagnetic/TEMPEST

Instead of passively capturing TEMPEST emanations from outside the SCIF perimeter, an attacker could also seek to place an antenna within the SCIF. He could then amplify the signals and thereby overpower the shielding or exfiltrate them on some other channel. He could also seek to weaken the electromagnetic shield by purposely creating holes in it or tampering with protective equipment, like power line filters.

Electromagnetic attacks are possible, but it is more likely that an attacker would place acoustic or visual sensors, which provide more direct insight into sensitive information, given the physical access necessary for these types of attacks.

Another attack is taking over devices present in the room and using their wireless capabilities to capture TEMPEST emanations. If these devices are network-connected, an attacker could exfiltrate data on their normal data connection, without having to setup an additional exfiltration path. However, similar to the insertion of bugging devices it is more likely that he will use this high level of operating system access to hijack the device's microphone or camera.

Attack Technique	Cost	Time	Technical Skill Required
Antenna + Amplification	low	medium	low
Antenna + Existing Lines	low	medium	high
Antenna + Placed Lines	medium	medium	high
Weakening Shield	medium	medium	medium
Hijack Existing Device	low	medium	high

6 Countermeasures

6.1 Physical

6.1.1 Construction Security

Later passive and active countermeasures are completely ineffective if the SCIF is breached during construction. Therefore meticulous preparation of and adherence to a Construction Security Plan (CSP) is required. The CSP covers construction personnel, site perimeter, site access, and construction materials.

6.1.1.1 Construction Personnel

Construction personnel must be vetted and monitored so that they do not pose an insider threat to the construction site and resulting facility. The IC Tech Spec-for ICD/ICS 705 (Office of the National Counterintelligence Executive 2012, pp. 23–27) provides for evaluation of construction workers by origin and clearance level. For example, it forbids the use of workers from SETL threat level “critical technical threat level.” Furthermore, it requires biographical data (full name, current address, Social Security Number (SSN), date and place of birth (DPOB), proof of citizenship, etc.) and fingerprint cards for background checks of all non-cleared construction personnel. It requires finish work in high-threat countries to be carried out by SECRET-cleared U.S. personnel and requires access to the site to be withdrawn if adverse security, Counterintelligence (CI), or criminal activity is detected. It also sets various requirements for the monitoring/accompanying of non-cleared workers. As an example, for new facilities it allows non-cleared workers, monitored by Construction Surveillance Technicians (CSTs) - dedicated personnel that supplement site access controls, implement screening and inspection procedures, as well as monitor construction and personnel - to perform the installation of major utilities and feeder lines. It requires that all construction personnel receive a security briefing prior to entering the site, so they know which rules to follow and what suspicious activity to report. If a construction worker leaves the project under unusual circumstances, the ICD requires the event be documented and the appropriate officer to be notified.

Not all these measures for the security vetting of construction personnel can be implemented by non-government actors. These actors should try to build a staff of long-term, trustworthy workers or employ a company that does so.

Video surveillance during the construction phase can supplement other monitoring efforts. However, it requires constant attention, just like any other non-technological security measure. Even with all the possible security mitigations and background checking, the human factor as an inside threat remains one of the most sensitive areas and the hardest to defend against.

Threats from construction personnel can also further be mitigated by careful inspection after each construction phase’s completion and [bug sweeping](#) before commissioning of the SCIF.

6.1.1.2 Site Perimeter

Without a secure perimeter, access to and within the site cannot be controlled. A secure fencing or other form of perimeter should be erected, and continuously monitored for unauthorized penetration. For renovation projects, barriers should be installed to segregate construction workers from operational activities, providing protection against unauthorized access and visual observation. When expanding SCIF space into uncontrolled areas, maximum demolition of the uncontrolled areas should be carried out beforehand. This protects against existing threats and ensures a “clean slate” before building a new SCIF. (Office of the National Counterintelligence Executive 2012, p. 25).

6.1.1.3 Site Access

Access control using badges and other forms of identification should be required entry to the site. Guards should monitor these entry points to prevent tampering and penetration attempts. Possible site control measures like identity verification, random searches, signs listing prohibited items, and vehicle inspections should be considered and weighed against the SCIF threat model. (Office of the National Counterintelligence Executive 2012, p. 27)

The IC Tech Spec also requires the use of cleared American guards (CAGs) to supervise non-U.S. and non-cleared U.S. guards, as well as to directly protect the site in high-threat, SETL category I countries. No equivalent to CAGs exists in the private sector. Their loyalty is difficult to recreate for a private actor who can’t offer the same long-term employment and ideological motivation. However, guards with a similar training level can be sourced from private suppliers and with organizational practices like supervision and vetting an adequate level of security can be ensured.

6.1.1.4 Construction Materials

Construction materials must be procured, transported, and stored in a secure way. If not, the entire security of the finished SCIF can be jeopardized by faulty or compromised materials. Inspectable materials are those that can be reasonably inspected with available measures. All other materials, as well as inspectable materials on which approved test methods were not carried out, are classified as non-inspectable and are subject to higher security requirements.

Inspectable materials can be procured from trusted suppliers without further security restrictions. Inspectable materials from non-trusted suppliers or shipped to the site in an unsecured manner should be inspected using approved methods and then moved to a secure storage area (SSA). If stored outside the SSA, a random selection of these materials should be inspected before use on the site. Non-inspectable materials should be procured from trusted suppliers or other approved channels and securely transported to the SSA. They can also be procured from untrusted suppliers if randomly chosen by trusted personnel from a suppliers shelf-stock without advance notice or indication of the intended use. No discernible purchasing patterns should be established while carrying out this randomized procurement procedure. (Office of the National Counterintelligence Executive 2012, pp. 27–29)

Secure transporation is not required for inspectable materials if they are inspected and then immediately placed in an SSA. If securely procured, shipped and stored inspectable materials may even be utilized without inspection. Non-inspectable materials, should be transported securely packaged or containerized and under the 24-hour control of an approved courier or escort officer. If this is not possible they should be securely shipped using approved transit security technical safeguards capable of detecting evidence of tampering or compromise. For government actors it may be interesting to require the transporation by military or flag carrying vessels. (Office of the National Counterintelligence Executive 2012, p. 30)

A secure storage area is a true floor to true ceiling, slab-to-slab construction of some substantial material, and a solid wood-core or steel-clad door equipped with a security lock. All inspected and securely shipped materials should be placed in the SSA immediately upon arrival and stored there until they are required for installation. Alternatively a shipping container located within a secure perimeter that is locked, alarmed, and monitored or a room or outside location enclosed by a secure perimeter that is under direct observation by cleared personnel can be used as an SSA. (Office of the National Counterintelligence Executive 2012, p. 31)

6.1.1.5 Inspection Methods

X-Ray, visual inspection, metal detectors and destructive tests can be used on inspectable materials to ensure their integrity. See (Office of the Director of National Intelligence 2020) for a detailed list of inspection methods and their uses.

6.1.1.6 Technical Security

The construction phase involves many different parties and material suppliers. It is almost impossible to defend against against down the entire supply chain. Security measures are only suitable to completely prevent the most primitive attacks and only serve to make advanced attacks more difficult. Therefore, a

technical surveillance countermeasure (TSCM) [inspection](#) should be carried out at all major construction milestones and before commissioning of the SCIF. This can effectively mitigate the impact of security breaches in the construction phase.

6.1.2 Intrusion Resistance

A secure perimeter is the foundation of a SCIF's security. Only it can prevent brute-force entries into the protected space. Without it, no other access control or locking measures are effective.

A multi-layered approach, using security in depth (SID), is most effective in ensuring a SCIF's physical security. The multiple layers can be a controlled perimeter, a secure installation, a building perimeter, an area surrounding the SCIF, and/or the SCIF Perimeter itself. If one of these layers is not existant in a sufficient degree it may be possible to supplement by beefing up one of the other layers.




Figure 8: Map for Security in Depth of a Military Installation. Naval Facilities Engineering Systems Command Northwest (2012), p. 20

The SCIF perimeter itself should be made from a substantial material that is difficult to penetrate in a covert way. The IC Tech Spec-for ICD/ICS 705 (Office of the National Counterintelligence Executive 2012, pp. 8–10) proposes different wall makeups for varying mission demands. For example, an open storage facility - one in which sensitive information is stored openly and left out when not in use without further protection (Naval Facilities Engineering Systems Command

Northwest 2012, p. 16) - without SID requires a true-floor to true-ceiling wall made up of (from controlled to uncontrolled side) two 5/8" gypsum wall boards (GWB), 3/4" #9 10 gauge expanded metal spot-welded or screwed every 6" to vertical studs, 16" metal studs and runners, acoustic fill, another 5/8" GWB. Specifically the combination of multiple GWBs and expanded metal makes for a very sturdy construction. Additionally, sheet metal laminated GWB, like Knauf Diamant Steel, can be used to further enhance the resistance capabilities of the GWB. Windows are highly discouraged for security reasons (Office of the National Counterintelligence Executive 2012, p. 12).




Figure 9: Wall B -Suggested Construction for Expanded Metal (Office of the National Counterintelligence Executive 2012, p. 17)

There should be only one primary entrance door to simplify personnel and visitor controlled entry. This door should be of a substantial material, like 1 3/4 inch-thick solid wood core or 1 3/4 inch-thick face steel. Its hinge pins should be located inside the SCIF perimeter or modified to prevent removal of the door, e.g., welded or set screws. (Office of the National Counterintelligence Executive 2012, pp. 11–12)

The alarm response time criteria on (Office of the National Counterintelligence Executive 2012, p. 14) requires a maximum response time of 15 minutes. Assuming that the door should resist entry for the entire alarm response time, i.e. an attacker should be captured before he breaches the door, this requirement roughly translates to DIN EN 1627 Resistance Class 5. RC 5 entails that an experienced attacker using hand tools, power tools, such as a drill, jigsaw or

reciprocating saw and an angle grinder with a max. disc diameter of 125 mm can't breach the door within 15 minutes. A SCIF door setup should meet or exceed this standard.

Closed storage of sensitive information in a security container is preferable over open storage when the SCIF is not in use. Even better, sensitive information should be stored in a separate vault when the SCIF is not in use. A security container according to the IC Tech Spec-for ICD/ICS 705 (Office of the National Counterintelligence Executive 2012, p. 5) must be a General Services Administration (GSA) approved safe, which typically complies to GSA Class 6 for storage of classified information such as documents, maps, drawings, and plans (Naval Facilities Engineering Systems Command 2021). GSA Class 6 has no forced entry requirements, but requires 30 minutes resistance to covert entry and 20 hours resistance to surreptitious entry. Commercial cabinets meeting EN 14450 Grade S2 likely also fulfill the requirements of GSA Class 6.



Figure 10: Hamilton GSA Approved Class 6 4 Drawer Security Container

- 6.1.3 Intrusion Detection Systems
- 6.1.4 Access Control
- 6.1.5 Locks
- 6.1.6 CCTV
- 6.2 Visual
- 6.3 Acoustic
 - 6.3.1 Sound Attenuation
 - 6.3.2 Sound Masking
 - 6.3.3 Microphone Jamming
- 6.4 Electromagnetic/TEMPEST
 - 6.4.1 Electromagnetic Shielding
 - 6.4.2 System Monitoring
 - 6.4.3 Signal Jamming
- 6.5 Bug Sweeping

7 Example Module

This section will propose an example solution for a Sensitive Compartmented Information Facility (SCIF). It will employ the above passive and active countermeasures in a shipping container sized ($\sim 6 \times 2.4 \times 2.7$ m) module to reach the quantitative limits on information source leaks defined above.

- 7.1 Physical
- 7.2 Visual
- 7.3 Sound
- 7.4 Electromagnetic/TEMPEST

References

- DEUTSCHES INSTITUT FÜR NORMUNG, 2018. DIN 4109-1:2018-01: *Sound Insulation in Buildings - Part 1: Minimum Requirements*. Berlin: Beuth Verlag.
- EMCTEST TECHNOLOGIES, 2018. *NSA 94-106 Effectiveness Testing RF Shielded Enclosures*. 2018. <https://www.shieldingtests.com/?standard=NSA-94-106>.

- GENKIN, Daniel, PACHMANOV, Lev, PIPMAN, Itamar and TROMER, Eran, 2015. Stealing Keys from PCs using a Radio: Cheap Electromagnetic Attacks on Windowed Exponentiation. *Tel Aviv University*. February 2015.
- GÖSELE, K. and SCHRÖDER, E., 2004. Schalldämmung in Gebäuden. In: *Taschenbuch der Technischen Akustik*. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer-Verlag.
- KRIEGER PRODUCTS, 2015. *Radio Frequency Interference (RFI) Shielding Principles*. 2015. <https://www.kriegerproducts.com/downloads/general/RFI-Shielding-Principles.pdf>.
- LIU, Zhuoran, SAMWEL, Niels, WEISSBART, Léo, ZHAO, Zhengyu, LAURET, Dirk, BATINA, Lejla and LARSON, Martha, 2020. Screen Gleaning: A Screen Reading TEMPEST Attack on Mobile Devices Exploiting an Electromagnetic Side Channel. *Radboud University*. November 2020.
- MÖSER, Michael, 2009. *Technische Akustik*. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer-Verlag.
- NAVAL FACILITIES ENGINEERING SYSTEMS COMMAND, 2021. *Introduction to GSA-approved Security Containers*. January 2021. https://www.navfac.navy.mil/navfac_worldwide/specialty_centers/exwc/products_and_services/capital_improvements/dod_lock/SecurityHardware/SecurityContainers.html.
- NAVAL FACILITIES ENGINEERING SYSTEMS COMMAND NORTHWEST, 2012. *Physical Security of Sensitive Compartmented Information Facilities (SCIF)*. November 2012. https://www.washingtonpost.com/news/politics/wp-content/uploads/sites/11/2017/02/navfac_scif_ho.pdf.
- OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR OF NATIONAL INTELLIGENCE, 2020. *Inspectable Construction Materials Checklist*. July 2020. <https://www.dni.gov/files/Governance/Inspectable-Materials-Checklist-V15.pdf>.
- OFFICE OF THE NATIONAL COUNTERINTELLIGENCE EXECUTIVE, 2012. *Technical Specifications for Construction and Management of Sensitive Compartmented Information Facilities Version 1.2 - IC Tech Spec-for ICD/ICS 705*. 2012. <https://fas.org/irp/dni/icd/ics-705-ts.pdf>.
- RTL-SDR.COM, 2017. *TempestSDR: An SDR tool for Eavesdropping on Computer Screens via Unintentionally Radiated RF*. November 2017. <https://www.rtl-sdr.com/tempestsdr-a-sdr-tool-for-eavesdropping-on-computer-screens-via-unintentionally-radiated-rf/>.
- TICHELMANN, Karsten and PFAU, Jochen, 2000. *Entwicklungswandel Wohnungsbau: Neue Gebäudekonzepte in Trocken- und Leichtbauweise*. Wiesbaden: Vieweg+Teubner Verlag.
- U.S. NATIONAL SECURITY AGENCY, 1972. *TEMPEST: A Signal Problem*. 1972. <http://www.jproc.ca/crypto/tempest.pdf>.
- U.S. NATIONAL SECURITY AGENCY, 1994. *NSA 94-106 National Security Agency Specification for Shielded Enclosures*. 1994. <http://cryptome.info/0001/>

[nsa-94-106.htm](#).

WOLFSPERGER, Hans A., 2008. *Elektromagnetische Schirmung: Theorie und Praxisbeispiele*. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer-Verlag.