

PERLEY-ROBERTSON, HILL & McDOUGALL LLP/s.r.l.

Lawyers / Patent & Trade-Mark Agents Avocats / Agents de brevets et de marques de commerce Reply to/Communiquez avec:
Alison Boyce
613.566.2224 aboyce@perlaw.ca

August 21, 2019

BY EMAIL

Mr. Darryl Ross Ottawa, ON darrylondunlin@gmail.com

Dear Mr. Ross:

Re: Holmes and Ross - Access Schedule

Our Reference: HMCY0001

I refer to your correspondence of today and yesterday.

Incident of August 20, 2019

My client advises me that you failed to return the children after access and threatened not to return the children, intentionally ignoring the court order that is in place. During your email exchange yesterday with Ms. Holmes, you stated that you refused to return the children to her care until Ms. Holmes gave in and agreed to your demands for a revised access schedule. This caused Ms. Holmes serious concern, which is why she felt she had no choice but to call the police to intervene. You must not use your children as a weapon in negotiations with Ms. Holmes.

Further, you then emailed Ms. Holmes indicating that you would agree to return the children to her care as of 6:30 p.m. after you had returned from Britannia Beach with the children. When Ms. Holmes arrived, per your request, you refused to abide by your agreement and still refused to return the children. Ms. Holmes was forced to call the police in order to enforce the court order.

It was not Ms. Holmes' preference to have the police become involved in this matter. It also creates unnecessary stress and discomfort for the children. However, when you unilaterally acted and withheld the children from Ms. Holmes, it left her no choice but to call the police. I would also note that the police also informed you that you were in breach of the Court Order.

Kindly be advised that if you continue to act in this matter and use self-help tactics to force an access schedule that you want, I will be seeking instructions to bring a motion for contempt of court and also for an order for police enforcement of the access provisions of the order dated June 18, 2018. Ms. Holmes will also be seeking costs against you.



Govern yourself accordingly.

Yours very truly,

Alison Boyce

482: