From: Alison Boyce aboyce@perlaw.ca @

Subject: RE: Access, Custody, and Unreasonable Demands [Perlaw-HMCY-0001]

Date: March 26, 2021 at 1:40 PM

To: Darryl Ross darrylondunlin@gmail.com **Cc:** Emily Sabourin esabourin@perlaw.ca

Dear Mr. Ross,

I confirm receipt of your email.

I will revert back to you as soon as I can.

Sincerely,



Alison Boyce
Lawyer/Avocate
PERLEY-ROBERTSON, HILL & MCDOUGALL LLP/s.r.l.
1400-340 Albert Street, Ottawa, ON K1R 0A5

T: 613.566.2224 | F: 613.238.8775 | E: aboyce@perlaw.ca

This e-mail is confidential, may be privileged, and is intended for the use of the addressee only. If you are not the addressee, please inform the sender by reply e-mail immediately and delete this e-mail and all copies.

Ce courriel est confidentiel et peut être protégé par le secret professionnel. Il est à l'usage exclusif du destinataire. Si le destinataire ne peut être joint ou vous est inconnu, veuillez informer l'expéditeur immédiatement et détruire ce message ainsi que toute copie.

From: Darryl Ross <darrylondunlin@gmail.com>

Sent: March 26, 2021 1:12 PM

To: Alison Boyce <aboyce@perlaw.ca>

Subject: Access, Custody, and Unreasonable Demands

Attention: courriel externe | external email

Hi Alison,

I hope you are well and staying healthy, both you and yours.

I'm writing to address a very serious issue that I would hope we can address through mediation or arbitration. However, that would mean communication, which appears not to be something your client is willing to do. I sincerely hope I am wrong. I'm sorry I haven't heard back from you, and that your client is unwilling to communicate with me and is going to great lengths to ensure I cannot have contact with our daughters, Charlotte and Alice. Your client refuses to share any information with me about their activities, well-being, their psychological state when put in the context of how many times she has made unilateral and extreme decisions with no regard for their feelings, rights, or what they might be thinking (displaying a complete lack of what a psychologist would call a theory of mind). Your client has told me directly she wants no contact with me, which I have no fundamental quarrel with, except that we have two daughters, and she has made that decision for our daughters to severely restrict their relationship with their father. The facts are clear, and this situation is only getting worse.

Further, your client has announced on several occasions that it is within her rights to disregard court orders and, I am paraphrasing, but I could just as well quote, she believes Child Services has given her the authority to do so. Child Services closed the case and stated that supervision was not necessary, and did not give your client special or official powers to justify acts that restrict my rights, which are only secondary to the rights of our daughters.

I have had a substantial change in circumstances that I expect will have to be discussed and addressed. I am researching the situation and waiting for more information, and will be in contact early next week whether or not I hear from you. I have to assume your client is aware I have not been able to make payments to FRO, and whether or not she has informed you, it is very odd but also fitting given her actions, that there has been no communication. And to be clear I am describing her actions and statements. I have

AB

noway of knowing what her motivation or reasoning is.

Failure to make payments to FRO is vexing to me but in no way intentional. Instead, I am trying to rectify the situation and make up for missed payments. I intend to follow the court order to the extent possible.

Before I do, however, I will be addressing in a separate letter your client's onerous restrictions that may prevent me from being able to see Alice on or around her birthday and to see Charlotte as well. I am reviewing recent cases that are similar and waiting for legal counsel and will explain more clearly the current facts of the situation. For now, I can say that your client's decision to strictly control Alice's ability to maintain and develop an individual relationship with me is unjustified and once again I insist that she see a psychologist as recommended by Dr, Brenhouse. Her actions, regardless of intent, fit those described in other cases where the custodial parent creates a situation in which the children are estranged, driven apart from, and isolated from the other parent.

Again, I will be in touch early	v next week when I	have information for you	as well as to address the	se extremely concerning actions.

Sincerely,

Darryl