

Demonstration of Tests of Conversion of PM Dot Notation to Parentheses

Dennis J. Darland

January 16, 2025

SECTION 0. VERIFICATION TESTS (of dot to paren dot icn)

For each proposition is given:

- 1: the PM notation with dots.
 - 2: the notation with parentheses
 - 3: the Polish (with Lukasiewicz symbols) notation
-

*2•06† $\vdash p \supset q . \supset : q \supset r . \supset . p \supset r$

Version with parentheses

*2•06† $(p \supset q) \supset ((q \supset r) \supset (p \supset r))$

Polish Lukasiewicz notation

*2•06† $CCpqCCqrCpr$

*3•47† $\vdash p \supset r . q \supset s . \supset : p . q . \supset . r . s$

Version with parentheses

*3•47† $(p \supset r) \wedge (q \supset s) \supset ((p) \wedge (q) \supset (r) \wedge (s))$

Polish Lukasiewicz notation

*3•47† $CKCprCqsKCKpqrs$

*4•22† $\vdash p \equiv q . q \equiv r . \supset . p \equiv r$

Version with parentheses

*4•22† $(p \equiv q) \wedge (q \equiv r) \supset (p \equiv r)$

Polish Lukasiewicz notation

*4•22† $CKEpqEqrEpr$

*4•41† $\vdash p . \vee . q . r : \equiv . p \vee q . p \vee r$

Version with parentheses

*4•41† $((p) \vee (q) \wedge (r)) \equiv (p \vee q) \wedge (p \vee r)$

Polish Lukasiewicz notation

*4•41† $KEKApqrApqApr$

*4•43† $\vdash p . \equiv : p \vee q . p \vee \sim q$

Version with parentheses

*4•43† $(p) \equiv ((p \vee q) \wedge (p \vee \sim q))$

Polish Lukasiewicz notation

$$*4\cdot43\vdash EpKApqApNq$$

$$*4\cdot44\vdash \vdash p.\equiv\vdash p.\vee.p.q$$

Version with parentheses

$$*4\cdot44\vdash (p) \equiv ((p) \vee (p) \wedge (q))$$

Polish Lukasiewicz notation

$$*4\cdot44\vdash EpKAppq$$

$$*4\cdot87\vdash \vdash p.q.\supset.r\equiv\vdash p.\supset.q\supset r\equiv\vdash q.\supset.p\supset r\equiv\vdash q.p.\supset.r$$

Version with parentheses

$$*4\cdot87\vdash ((p) \wedge (q) \supset (r)) \equiv ((p) \supset (q \supset r)) \equiv ((q) \supset (p \supset r)) \equiv ((q) \wedge (p) \supset (r))$$

Polish Lukasiewicz notation

$$*4\cdot87\vdash EEECKpqrCpCqrCqCprCKqpr$$

$$*4\cdot88\vdash \vdash p.q.\supset.r\equiv\vdash p.\supset.q\supset r\equiv\vdash q.\supset.p\supset r\equiv\vdash q.p.\supset.r$$

Version with parentheses

$$*4\cdot88\vdash (p) \wedge (q) \supset (r) \equiv ((p) \supset (q \supset r)) \equiv ((q) \supset (p \supset r)) \equiv ((q) \wedge (p) \supset (r))$$

Polish Lukasiewicz notation

$$*4\cdot88\vdash EEECKpqrCpCqrCqCprCKqpr$$

$$*5\cdot33\vdash \vdash p.q.\supset.r\equiv\vdash p:p.q.\supset.r$$

Version with parentheses

$$*5\cdot33\vdash (p) \wedge (q \supset r) \equiv (p) \wedge ((p) \wedge (q) \supset (r))$$

Polish Lukasiewicz notation

$$*5\cdot33\vdash KEKpCqrpCKpqr$$

From Landon D. C. Elkind's Paper in Russell: Vol. 43, no. 1, page 44

$$*431\cdot441\vdash p\vee q.\equiv.r\supset s$$

Version with parentheses

$$*431\cdot441\vdash (p \vee q) \equiv (r \supset s)$$

Polish Lukasiewicz notation

$$*431\cdot441\vdash EApqCrs$$

$$*431\cdot442\vdash p.\vee.q\equiv r:\supset:s$$

Version with parentheses

$$*431\cdot442\vdash ((p) \vee (q \equiv r)) \supset ((s))$$

Polish Lukasiewicz notation

$$*431\cdot442\vdash CApEqrs$$

$$*431\cdot443\vdash p\vee q.\equiv.r:\supset:s$$

Version with parentheses

$$*431\cdot443\vdash ((p \vee q) \equiv (r)) \supset ((s))$$

Polish Lukasiewicz notation

$$*431\cdot443\vdash CEApqrs$$

$$*431\cdot444\vdash p:\vee:q\equiv r.\supset.s$$

Version with parentheses

$$*431\cdot444\vdash (p) \vee ((q \equiv r) \supset (s))$$

Polish Lukasiewicz notation

*431•444† $ApCEqrs$

*431•445† $p:\mathbf{V}:q.\equiv.r\supset s$

Version with parentheses

*431•445† $(p) \mathbf{V} ((q) \equiv (r \supset s))$

Polish Lukasiewicz notation

*431•445† $ApEqCr s$

From same, page 54

*431•54† $p.q:r.s:\supset:p.s:r.q$

Version with parentheses

*431•54† $((p) \wedge (q)) \wedge ((r) \wedge (s)) \supset ((p) \wedge (s)) \wedge ((r) \wedge (q))$

Polish Lukasiewicz notation

*431•54† $KCKKpqKrsKpsKrq$

check longer prop name

Version with parentheses

Polish Lukasiewicz notation

Propositions involving quantifiers

*9•2† $(x).\psi x.\supset.\psi y$

Version with parentheses

*9•2† $((x))\psi x \supset (\psi y)$

Polish Lukasiewicz notation

*9•2† $C(x)\psi x\psi y$

*9•21† $:(x).\psi x \supset \phi x.\supset:(x).\psi x.\supset.(x).\phi x$

Version with parentheses

*9•21† $((x))\psi x \supset \phi x \supset (((x))\psi x) \supset ((x))\phi x$

Polish Lukasiewicz notation

*9•21† $CCC(x)\psi x\phi x(x)\psi x(x)\phi x$

*9•22† $:(x).\psi x \supset \phi x.\supset:(\mathbf{E}x).\psi x.\supset.(\mathbf{E}x).\phi x$

Version with parentheses

*9•22† $((x))\psi x \supset \phi x \supset (((\mathbf{E}x))\psi x) \supset ((\mathbf{E}x))\phi x$

Polish Lukasiewicz notation

*9•22† $CCC(x)\psi x\phi x(\mathbf{E}x)\psi x(\mathbf{E}x)\phi x$

*9•31† $:(\mathbf{E}x).\phi x.\mathbf{V}.(\mathbf{E}x).\phi x:\supset.(\mathbf{E}x).\phi x$

Version with parentheses

*9•31† $(((((\mathbf{E}x))\phi x) \mathbf{V} ((\mathbf{E}x))\phi x)) \supset ((\mathbf{E}x))\phi x$

Polish Lukasiewicz notation

*9•31† $CA(\mathbf{E}x)\phi x(\mathbf{E}x)\phi x(\mathbf{E}x)\phi x$

*9•401† $::p:\mathbf{V}:q.\mathbf{V}.(\mathbf{E}x).\psi x::\supset::q:\mathbf{V}:p.\mathbf{V}.(\mathbf{E}x).\psi x$

Version with parentheses

*9•401† $((((p) \mathbf{V} ((q) \mathbf{V} ((\mathbf{E}x))\psi x))) \supset ((q) \mathbf{V} ((p) \mathbf{V} ((\mathbf{E}x))\psi x))$

Polish Lukasiewicz notation

*9•401† $CApAq(\mathbf{E}x)\psi xAqAp(\mathbf{E}x)\psi x$

*10•35† $:(\mathbf{E}x).p.\psi x.\equiv:p:(\mathbf{E}x).\psi x$

Version with parentheses

$$*10\cdot35 \vdash (((\mathfrak{A}x))p) \wedge (\psi x) \equiv (p) \wedge (((\mathfrak{A}x))\psi x)$$

Polish Lukasiewicz notation

$$*10\cdot35 \vdash KEK(\mathfrak{A}x)p\psi xp(\mathfrak{A}x)\psi x$$

$$*11\cdot2 \vdash (x, y) \cdot \phi[x, y] \cdot \equiv \cdot (y, x) \cdot \phi[x, y]$$

Version with parentheses

$$*11\cdot2 \vdash ((x, y) \cdot \phi[x, y]) \equiv ((y, x) \cdot \phi[x, y])$$

Polish Lukasiewicz notation

$$*11\cdot2 \vdash E(x, y) \cdot \phi[x, y](y, x) \cdot \phi[x, y]$$

One Step in proof of 11.55 I wanted example of 2 adjacent quantifiers - hard to find.

$$*11\cdot551 \vdash ::(x)::(\mathfrak{A}y) \cdot \psi x \cdot \phi[x, y] \cdot \equiv ::\psi x::(\mathfrak{A}y) \cdot \phi[x, y]$$

Version with parentheses

$$*11\cdot551 \vdash (((x))(\mathfrak{A}y))\psi x \wedge (\phi[x, y]) \equiv (\psi x) \wedge (((\mathfrak{A}y))\phi[x, y])$$

Polish Lukasiewicz notation

$$*11\cdot551 \vdash KEK(x)(\mathfrak{A}y)\psi x\phi[x, y]\psi x(\mathfrak{A}y)\phi[x, y]$$

From same, page 46

$$*431\cdot46 \vdash (x) \cdot \psi x \cdot \phi x \cdot \supset \cdot (x) \cdot \psi x$$

Version with parentheses

$$*431\cdot46 \vdash (((x))\psi x) \wedge (\phi x) \supset ((x))\psi x$$

Polish Lukasiewicz notation

$$*431\cdot46 \vdash CK(x)\psi x\phi x(x)\psi x$$

Other Tests

$$*99\cdot99 \vdash ::\sim(\mathfrak{A}x)::\sim\psi x \cdot \supset \cdot (x) \cdot \sim\psi x$$

Version with parentheses

$$*99\cdot99 \vdash ((\sim(\mathfrak{A}x))\sim\psi x) \supset ((x))\sim\psi x$$

Polish Lukasiewicz notation

$$*99\cdot99 \vdash CN(\mathfrak{A}x)N\psi x(x)N\psi x$$