## Demonstration of Tests of Conversion of PM Dot Notation to Parentheses

Dennis J. Darland

January 31, 2024

```
SECTION 0. VERIFICATION TESTS (of dot to paren dot icn)
```

For each proposition is given:

```
1: the PM notation with dots.
```

2: the notation with parentheses

3: the Polish (with Lukasiewicz symbols) notation

```
*2\cdot06\vdash p \supset q \supset q \supset r \supset p \supset r
Version with parentheses
*2\cdot06\vdash(p\supset q)\supset((q\supset r)\supset(p\supset r))
*3\cdot47: p\supset r\cdot q\supset s \supset p\cdot q\supset r\cdot s
Version with parentheses
*3\cdot 47\vdash (p\supset r)\land (q\supset s)\supset ((p)\land (q)\supset (r)\land (s))
*4\cdot22 \vdash p \equiv q \quad q \equiv r \quad \supset \quad p \equiv r
Version with parentheses
*4\cdot22\vdash(p\equiv q)\land(q\equiv r)\supset(p\equiv r)
*4\cdot41 p \lor q \cdot p \lor r \equiv p \lor q \cdot p \lor r
Version with parentheses
*4\cdot41\vdash((p)\lor(q)\land(r))\equiv(p\lor q)\land(p\lor r)
*4\cdot43\vdash p \equiv p \lor q p \lor \sim q
Version with parentheses
*4\cdot43\vdash(p)\equiv((p\lor q)\land(p\lor \sim q))
*4\cdot44 \vdash p \equiv p \lor p q
Version with parentheses
*4\cdot44\vdash(p)\equiv((p)\lor(p)\land(q))
*4 \cdot 87 \vdash :: p \cdot q \cdot \supset \cdot r : \equiv : p \cdot \supset \cdot q \supset r : \equiv : q \cdot \supset \cdot p \supset r : \equiv : q \cdot p \cdot \supset \cdot r
Version with parentheses
*4·87\((p) \land (q) \rightarrow (r)) \equiv ((p) \rightarrow (q \rightarrow r)) \equiv ((q) \rightarrow (p)
\supset r)) \equiv ((q) \land (p) \supset (r))
*4 \cdot 88 \vdash : p \cdot q \cdot \supset \cdot r \cdot \equiv : p \cdot \supset \cdot q \supset r : \equiv : q \cdot \supset \cdot p \supset r : \equiv : q \cdot p \cdot \supset \cdot r
Version with parentheses
*4.88 \vdash (p) \land (q) \supset (r) \equiv ((p) \supset (q \supset r)) \equiv ((q) \supset (p \supset r))
```

```
\equiv ((q) \land (p) \supset (r))
*5 \cdot 33 \vdash : p \cdot q \supset r = : p : p \cdot q \cdot \supset .r
Version with parentheses
*5\cdot33\vdash(p)\land(q\supset r)\equiv(p)\land((p)\land(q)\supset(r))
From Landon D. C. Elkind's Paper in Russell: Vol. 43, no. 1, page 44
*431 \cdot 441 \vdash p \lor q \equiv r \supset s
Version with parentheses
*431·441\(\(\(p\q\)q\)\\ \equiv (r\cap s)
*431\cdot442 p \lor q \equiv r : \supset s
Version with parentheses
*431\cdot442\vdash((p)\lor(q\equiv r))\supset((s))
*431\cdot443\vdash p \lor q \equiv r \supset s
Version with parentheses
*431 \cdot 443 \vdash ((p \lor q) \equiv (r)) \supset ((s))
*431 \cdot 444 \vdash p : \lor : q \equiv r : \supset .s
Version with parentheses
*431\cdot444\vdash(p)\lor((q\equiv r)\supset(s))
*431\cdot445 \vdash p \lor q \equiv r \supset s
Version with parentheses
*431·445\vdash (p) \lor ((q) \equiv (r \supset s))
From same, page 54
*431 \cdot 54 \vdash p \cdot q \cdot r \cdot s : \supset p \cdot s \cdot r \cdot q
Version with parentheses
*431 \cdot 54 \vdash ((p) \land (q)) \land ((r) \land (s)) \supset ((p) \land (s)) \land ((r) \land (q))
check longer prop name
Version with parentheses
Propositions involving quantifiers
*9\cdot 2\vdash (x) psix \supset psiy
Version with parentheses
*9\cdot 2\vdash (((x))psix) \supset (psiy)
*9.21 (x) psix \supset phix \supset (x) psix \supset (x) phix
Version with parentheses
*9\cdot21\vdash(((x))psix\supset phix)\supset(((x))psix)\supset((x))
phix
*9.22 : (x) \cdot psix \supset phix \cdot \supset (\exists x) \cdot psix \cdot \supset (\exists x) \cdot phix
Version with parentheses
*9\cdot22\vdash(((x))psix\supset phix)\supset(((\mathbf{H}x))psix)\supset((\mathbf{H}x))
phix
*9.31\vdash: (\exists x) · phix · \lor · (\exists x) · phix : \supset · (\exists x) · phix
Version with parentheses
*9.31\vdash (((((\mathbf{g}x))phix) \lor ((\mathbf{g}x))phix)) \supset ((\mathbf{g}x))phix
*9\cdot401!: p: \bigvee: q. \bigvee. (\exists x) . psix : \supset : q: \bigvee: p. \bigvee. (\exists x) . psix
Version with parentheses
*9.401\vdash (((p) \lor ((q) \lor ((\lnot x))psix))) \supset ((q) \lor ((p) \lor (
```

```
(\mathbf{T}x))psix)
*10\cdot35: (\mathbf{g}x) \cdot p \cdot psix \cdot \equiv : p : (\mathbf{g}x) \cdot psix
Version with parentheses
*10\cdot35\vdash(((\mathbf{H}x))p)\land(psix)\equiv(p)\land(((\mathbf{H}x))psix)
*11\cdot 2\vdash (x,y) \cdot phi[x,y] \cdot \equiv (y,x) \cdot phi[x,y]
Version with parentheses
*11.2\(\((x,y)\)\(\text{phi}[x,y]\)\(\equiv ((y,x)\)\(\text{phi}[x,y]\)
One Step in proof of 11.55 I wanted example of 2 adjacent quantifiers - hard to find.
*11.551 : (x) : (\exists y) . psix . phi[x, y] . \equiv : psix : (\exists y) . phi[x, y]
Version with parentheses
*11.551\((((x))(\(\mathbf{g}y)\))psix) \land (phi[x,y]) \equiv (psix) \land ((
(\mathbf{\pi}y))phi[x,y]
From same, page 46
Prefix •46 unknown. Line 262 *431 \cdot 46 \vdash (x) \cdot psix \cdot phix \cdot \supset (x) \cdot psix
Version with parentheses
Prefix •46 unknown. Line 268 *431•46 (((x))psix) \land (phix) \supset ((x))psix
Other Tests
*99·99\vdash:. \sim(\mathbf{H}x): \simpsix. \supset. (x). \simpsix
Version with parentheses
*99.99\vdash ((\sim(\pi x))\sim psix) \supset ((x))\sim psix
```