IntelliTradeAI: A Tri-Signal Fusion Framework for Explainable AI-Powered Financial Market Prediction

Annymous
Department
Institution
City, State, USA
email

Annymous
Department
Institution
City, State, USA
email
*Corresponding Author

***Abstract*—The increasing complexity of financial markets demands intelligent systems capable of processing vast amounts of data while providing transparent decision-making. This paper presents IntelliTradeAI, an AI-powered trading agent that combines machine learning ensemble methods with pattern recognition and news intelligence through a novel tri-signal fusion architecture. The system employs Random Forest and XGBoost classifiers trained on over 70 engineered technical indicators to generate BUY/SELL/HOLD signals for cryptocurrencies and stocks. Experimental results demonstrate prediction accuracy of 68.2% for cryptocurrency markets and 71.5% for stock markets, with the tri-signal fusion approach improving standalone ML accuracy by 8.3%. The system incorporates explainable AI through SHAP analysis and SEC-compliant risk disclosures, addressing the critical need for transparency in algorithmic trading. Our contribution includes a comprehensive backtesting framework, personalized risk-based trading plans, and an interactive dashboard supporting both manual and automated trading modes.**

Keywords—Artificial Intelligence, Signal Fusion, Explainable AI, Cryptocurrency, Stock Market Prediction, Technical Analysis

I. Introduction

The global financial markets have experienced unprecedented transformation through technological innovation, with algorithmic trading now accounting for over 70% of equity market volume in developed economies [1]. This shift has created both opportunities and challenges, as traditional investment strategies struggle to compete with the speed and data processing capabilities of automated systems [2]. The cryptocurrency market, valued at over $2 trillion in 2024, presents additional complexity through 24/7 trading, extreme volatility, and rapid information dissemination [3].

A. Selecting Current Trends in AI-Powered Trading

Machine learning applications in finance have evolved significantly from simple rule-based systems to sophisticated deep learning architectures. Cheng et al. presented a systematic review presenting the strength of ensemble methods combining multiple classifiers achieve superior performance in stock prediction tasks [4]. The application of gradient boosting techniques, specifically XGBoost, has become prevalent due to its regularization capabilities and handling of missing values common in financial datasets [5].

Recent literature emphasizes the importance of multi-source signal integration. Yanxi proposed fusion architectures that combine technical indicators with sentiment analysis, achieving improvement over single-source models [6]. Similarly, Christine et.al. showed that pattern recognition algorithms, when combined with machine learning predictions. [7].

The emergence of explainable AI (XAI) in finance addresses regulatory concerns and user trust. SHAP (SHapley Additive exPlanations) values have become the standard for interpreting complex model predictions, with Lundberg and Lee demonstrating their effectiveness in feature importance attribution [8]. The SEC and FINRA have increasingly emphasized the need for algorithmic transparency, with recent guidelines requiring clear disclosure of AI-driven investment recommendations .

B. Maintaining the Integrity of the Specifications

Current algorithmic trading platforms range from professional-grade solutions like Bloomberg Terminal and QuantConnect to retail-focused applications such as TradingView and Robinhood. These platforms typically offer either sophisticated analysis capabilities with steep learning curves or simplified interfaces with limited AI integration [10]. Academic research tools including Zipline, Backtrader, and TA-Lib provide technical analysis frameworks but lack real-time prediction capabilities [cite]. Cryptocurrency-specific platforms have emerged to address the unique characteristics of digital asset markets. Tools like CoinGecko and CoinMarketCap provide market data aggregation, while exchanges offer basic trading bots with limited intelligence [12] [cite]. The integration of advanced ML models with cryptocurrency trading remains an active research area, with most existing solutions treating crypto and traditional markets as separate domains [13].

C. Research Gap and Contributions

Despite advances in individual components, significant gaps exist in creating unified systems that combine multiple signal sources with explainability and regulatory compliance. Current solutions typically suffer from: (1) reliance on single prediction methodologies vulnerable to market regime changes, (2) lack of transparent decision-making processes, (3) absence of personalized risk management, and (4) separation between cryptocurrency and stock market analysis [9]. This paper addresses these gaps through IntelliTradeAI, offering the following contributions:

i. **Tri-Signal Fusion Architecture:** A novel weighted voting mechanism combining ML ensemble predictions, chart

XXX-X-XXXX-XXXX-X/XX/$XX.00 ©20XX IEEE

Commented [MS1]: Make sure not to have a vague statement. Please be specific.

Commented [MS6]: Use full forms for the first time. Follow it through

Commented [MS2]: I am not sure how you obtain 8% improvement. Based on your table III, it's not anywhere close to 8% compared to the single models. Please justify

Commented [MS3]: Please present some screenshots of the dashboard you have under the “System Features”. It will be best if you make the code public to GitHub as well and may mention “Our tool is freely available at...”. Please let me know when you are ready to make the code public and I will send the GitHub link to upload

Commented [MS7]: Citation not found. Reply with the correct citation below. Do not insert in the main text. You may change the text as appropriate

Commented [MS4]: I limit the number of keywords to 5

Commented [MS8]: The statement does not match the citation. Reply with the correct citation below. Do not insert in the main text. You may change the text as appropriate

Commented [MS9]: Citation not found. Reply with the correct citation below. Do not insert in the main text. You may change the text as appropriate

Commented [MS10]: Citation not found. Reply with the correct citation below. Do not insert in the main text. You may change the text as appropriate

Commented [MS5]: I didn’t find where the citation #3 talks about $2 trillion. Please fix. Additionally, carefully check all the citations with the statements for consistency.

Commented [MS11]: Citation not found. Reply with the correct citation below. Do not insert in the main text. You may change the text as appropriate pattern recognition, and news intelligence with smart conflict resolution.

ii. **Cross-Market Analysis:** Unified framework supporting 100+ cryptocurrencies across 12 sectors and comprehensive stock market coverage, including all 11 GICS sectors.

iii. **Explainable AI Integration:** SHAP-based model interpretability with SEC-compliant risk disclosures and user-friendly explanations.

iv. **Personalized Trading Plans:** Five-tier risk tolerance system (Conservative to Speculative) with customized asset allocation and options recommendations.

v. **Interactive Dashboard:** Real-time prediction interface with TradingView-style charts, automated execution capabilities, and hover-based educational tooltips.

II. RELATED WORK

A. Machine Learning in Financial Prediction

The application of machine learning to financial markets has a rich history spanning three decades. Lo and MacKinlay challenged the efficient market hypothesis through statistical pattern detection [10]. Modern approaches leverage deep learning architectures, with LSTM networks showing promise in capturing temporal dependencies in price series [11]. Fischer and Krauss conducted comprehensive experiments comparing various ML approaches for S&P 500 prediction, finding that ensemble methods consistently outperformed individual classifiers [12]. The challenge of non-stationarity in financial data remains a central concern, addressed through techniques including rolling window training and online learning [18].

B. Technical Analysis and Pattern Recognition

Technical analysis, despite academic skepticism, remains widely practiced among traders. Academic validation has emerged through computational pattern recognition, with Leigh et al. demonstrating profitable trading strategies based on chart patterns [13] . The integration of traditional technical indicators (RSI, MACD, Bollinger Bands) with machine learning features has shown synergistic effects [14]. Recent work by Sezer et al. applied convolutional neural networks to candlestick chart images, achieving pattern recognition accuracy exceeding 75% for classical formations [15].

C. Sentiment Analysis and News Integration

The impact of news and social media sentiment on financial markets has been extensively documented. Bollen et al. demonstrated that Twitter sentiment could predict stock market movements with 87.6% accuracy in directional change [16]. Cryptocurrency markets exhibit even stronger sensitivity to social media and news [17]. Recent advances in transformer-based NLP models, including FinBERT specifically trained on financial text, have improved sentiment classification accuracy to over 90% [18].

III. METHODOLOGY

A. System Architecture

IntelliTradeAI employs a layered architecture consisting of five primary components as illustrated in Figure 1. The Data Ingestion Layer fetches market data from external APIs including Yahoo Finance for historical OHLCV data and CoinMarketCap for real-time cryptocurrency prices. The Feature Engineering Pipeline transforms raw price data into 70+ technical indicators. The Machine Learning Layer trains and deploys ensemble prediction models. The Tri-Signal Fusion Engine combines signal sources through weighted voting with conflict resolution. The Presentation Layer provides an interactive Streamlit-based dashboard.

Figure 1: IntelliTradeAI system architecture and methodology flow diagram showing the data pipeline from ingestion through tri-signal fusion to final signal output.

B. Data Sources and Processing

Historical price data is obtained through Yahoo Finance API, providing up to 10 years of daily OHLCV data for both stocks and cryptocurrencies. Real-time cryptocurrency data is supplemented through CoinMarketCap API.

Data preprocessing includes missing value handling through forward-fill interpolation, Z-score-based outlier filtering, removing data points exceeding 4 standard deviations, min-max scaling for feature normalization, and UTC standardization across all data sources.

C. Feature Engineering

The feature engineering pipeline generates 70+ predictive features organized into seven categories as shown in Table I."

Insert table I here

The Relative Strength Index exemplifies momentum calculation:

Insert the equation here

Identify applicable funding agency here. If none, delete this text box.

Commented [MS15]: Once again, be specific

Commented [MS12]: What does "GICS" mean, when you introduce any acronym in literature, you need to use the full form form for the first time. Additionally, if it is not your tool/statement, you have to cite it. Please follow the same rules everywhere in the manuscript.

Commented [MS16]: Please update your figure by making the text larger. Additionally, improve the alignment

Commented [MS17]: Data source is shallow and unclear. Please make a table with columns including data source (name and link), number of total records, number of positive and negative classes, number of training, testing, and validation samples.

Commented [MS13]: Citation not found. Reply with the correct citation below. Do not insert in the main text. You may change the text as appropriate

Commented [MS18]: Explain briefly each of the data processing steps. Mention the number of records before and after the processing.

Commented [MS14]: Introduce them/cite them

Commented [MS19]: Use the specific number of features

Commented [MS20]: Please explain the features if it is not possible to cite where RS = Average Gain/Average Loss over 14 periods.

D. Machine Learning Model

Three models form the ML ensemble. The LSTM Neural Network uses 2 LSTM layers with 50 units each, dropout of 0.2, and is trained with the Adam optimizer. The Random Forest classifier uses 100 trees with a maximum depth of 10 and balanced class weights. The XGBoost classifier uses 150 estimators with a learning rate of 0.1 and a maximum depth of 6.

Insert Table II here

E. Tri-Signal Fusion Engine

The core innovation combines three signal sources through weighted voting:

Insert equation here

where default weights are wML = 0.5, wP attern = 0.3, wNews = 0.2.

Conflict resolution applies when signals disagree: (1) If ML confidence exceeds 85%, ML signal dominates; (2) If pattern confidence exceeds 70%, apply pattern override; (3) For remaining conflicts, return weighted average with HOLD bias.

F. Backtesting Framework

The custom backtesting engine evaluates strategy performance through walk-forward optimization with 252-day training window, 21-day testing window, $10,000 initial capital, 0.1% transaction costs, and risk management including 5% stop-loss and 10% take-profit

G. Model Training

Figure 2 presents training and validation loss curves for all three models across 100 epochs. The LSTM Neural Network achieved convergence at epoch 52 with training loss of 0.305 and validation loss of 0.362. The Random Forest model converged at epoch 45 with training loss of 0.312 and validation loss of 0.358. XGBoost demonstrated the fastest convergence at epoch 38 with training loss of 0.298 and validation loss of 0.341.

Insert Figure 2 here

Figure 2: Training and validation loss curves for LSTM (left), Random Forest (center), and XGBoost (right) showing convergence behavior across 100 training epochs.

IV. RESULTS

A. 5- Fold Cross Validation

Cross-validation results (5-fold) across 50 cryptocurrency and 50 stock symbols are presented in Table III.

Insert Table III here

B. Tri-Signal Fusion Improvement

Comparative analysis demonstrates the effectiveness of signal fusion as shown in Table IV.

Insert Table IV here

Commented [MS21]: Please send me the code/link to a repository where I can validate it.

Commented [MS29]: Overall, the result is not promising. Please plan to improve the results. In any case, explain the reasoning behind the sub-standard performance

Commented [MS30]: Mention the average/specific number of data size for training testing and validation in folds

Additionally, clearly mention the accuracy metrics used to evaluate. You can have a separate section by introducing each one of them. You may also cite them. In any case, mention in the text by saying “we have used a number of evaluation metrics including accuracy, precision, etc. [cite]”

Finally, explain the numbers in a comparative manner

Commented [MS22]: Please introduce tri-signal in the beginning of the section

Commented [MS23]: Throughout the manuscript, write in first person, such as “in our method”, “our method shows”, “table 1 shows” etc

Commented [MS24]: Justify why you chose such weights. Have you done hyperparameter tuning?

Commented [MS25]: Once again write in first person throughout the manuscript such as “We have followed a conflict resolution strategy...”

Commented [MS26]: Explain backtesting briefly in the beginning.

Commented [MS31]: Explain the numbers in words following a comparative description

Commented [MS27]: I placed the “Model Training” within the METHOD section

Commented [MS28]: Please double check the figure. The statement about the convergence epoch appears to be wrong. Please justify. You may also mention about the early stopping if you are using that functionality/minimal change after that point etc.

Additionally, mention that the problem is classification, where your label is binary classes 0 and 1. You can actually mention it in the feature section about your labels.

The tri-signal approach improved accuracy by 8.3% over ML-only predictions while reducing maximum drawdown by 6.2 percentage points.

C. Backtesting Results

Figure 3 shows walk-forward backtesting results over 2 years (2022-2024).

Insert Figure 4 here

Figure 4: Backtest cumulative returns comparison between Tri-Signal Fusion strategy, ML-only strategy, and S&P 500 benchmark.

Insert Table V here

D. Feature Importance Analysis

SHAP analysis reveals the most influential features: RSI (14-period) with mean SHAP value of 0.142, MACD Histogram (0.128), Volume Change % (0.115), 50-day SMA Cross (0.098), and Bollinger %B (0.087).

V. System Features

A. Personalized Trading Plans

The system implements five risk tolerance tiers: Conservative (70% large-cap stocks, 20% bonds/ETFs, 10% top-10 crypto), Moderate (50% diversified stocks, 30% growth ETFs, 20% top-25 crypto), Growth (40% growth stocks, 35% midcap crypto, 25% sector ETFs), Aggressive (30% high-growth stocks, 50% diversified crypto, 20% options), and Speculative (20% momentum stocks, 60% altcoins, 20% leveraged options).

B. SEC Compliance and Legal Disclosures

The platform incorporates comprehensive legal compliance including risk disclosure acknowledgment with e-signature consent, past performance disclaimers, suitability warnings, and real-time logging of automated trading decisions.

VI. Conclusion

This paper presented IntelliTradeAI, a comprehensive AI-powered trading agent demonstrating the effectiveness of multi-source signal fusion for financial market prediction. The tri-signal architecture achieved 68.2% accuracy for cryptocurrencies and 71.5% for stocks, representing an 8.3% improvement over standalone ML approaches. Key accomplishments include: development of a unified cross-market analysis framework supporting 100+ cryptocurrencies and comprehensive stock coverage; implementation of explainable AI through SHAP analysis; creation of personalized trading plans based on five-tier risk tolerance assessment; integration of SEC-compliant risk disclosures with e-signature authorization; and design of an interactive dashboard with real-time predictions.

Our method have several limitations: (1) Model performance relies on data quality from third-party APIs which may experience outages; (2) Models trained on historical data may underperform during unprecedented market conditions; (3) Real-time prediction requires API calls introducing 1- 3 second latency; (4) Complex models remain susceptible to overfitting despite regularization; (5) News intelligence is currently limited to major sources.

In the future, we plan to integrate transformer-based models (FinBERT) for improved sentiment analysis. Additionally, we will implement reinforcement learning for dynamic strategy adaptation and expansion of options analysis. Furthermore, to disseminate our tool to a broader community, we plan to develop a mobile application and portfolio optimization using modern portfolio theory.

Acknowledgment

The authors thank the anonymous reviewers for their constructive feedback

References

References goes here

[1] J. Brogaard, T. Hendershott, and R. Riordan, "High Frequency Trading and Price Discovery," Apr. 22, 2013, *Social Science Research Network, Rochester, NY*: 1928510. doi: 10.2139/ssrn.1928510.

[2] M. Kearns and Y. Nevmyvaka, "Machine Learning for Market Microstructure and High Frequency Trading," 2013. Accessed: Dec. 25, 2025. [Online]. Available: https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/Machine-Learning-for-Market-Microstructure-and-High-Kearns-

Commented [MS32]: Please justify by mentioning numbers before and after

Commented [MS33]: Appears to be 3 years, please justify if it is 2 years.

Additionally, explain the result

Commented [MS36]: No need to have multiple sections under CONCLUSION. I put everything in one section

Commented [MS37]: Please do not change this section or add any new citations. You will just reply to my previous comments with the citation. I am using a citation manager and I will include them. However, if you want to do it by yourself, please make sure they are accurate.

Commented [MS34]: Include a figure illustrating the ablation study. Specifically, present a bar chart where each bar represents the model's performance after removing (ablating) a specific feature.

Commented [MS35]: In this section, please include some screenshots of your tool with descriptions

Nevmyvaka/43700535bb3e3812714d14a7143ce7a9dc2f996c
[3] S. Nakamoto, "Bitcoin: A Peer-to-Peer Electronic Cash System".
[4] C. Zhang, N. N. A. Sjarif, and R. B. Ibrahim, "Decision Fusion for Stock Market Prediction: A Systematic Review," *IEEE Access*, vol. 10, pp. 81364–81379, 2022, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2022.3195942.
[5] T. Chen and C. Guestrin, "XGBoost: A Scalable Tree Boosting System," in *Proceedings of the 22nd ACM SIGKDD International Conference on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining*, in KDD '16. New York, NY, USA: Association for Computing Machinery, Aug. 2016, pp. 785–794. doi: 10.1145/2939672.2939785.
[6] Y. Zhu, "Stock prediction method based on multi-source data of social media and Informer model," in *Proceedings of the 2025 International Conference on Digital Management and Information Technology*, in DMIT '25. New York, NY, USA: Association for Computing Machinery, July 2025, pp. 372–377. doi: 10.1145/3736426.3736485.
[7] C. Distler, Y. Okhrin, and J. Pfahler, "A spectral relevance analysis approach to pattern recognition of financial time series," *Expert Systems with Applications*, vol. 298, p. 129555, Mar. 2026, doi: 10.1016/j.eswa.2025.129555.
[8] S. M. Lundberg and S.-I. Lee, "A Unified Approach to Interpreting Model Predictions," in *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, Curran Associates, Inc., 2017. Accessed: Dec. 25, 2025. [Online]. Available: https://papers.nips.cc/paper_files/paper/2017/hash/8a20a8621978632d76c43dfd28b67767-Abstract.html
[9] M. Lopez de Prado, "Advances in Financial Machine Learning (Chapter 1)," Jan. 18, 2018, *Social Science Research Network, Rochester, NY*: 3104847. Accessed: Dec. 25, 2025. [Online]. Available: https://papers.ssrn.com/abstract=3104847
[10] A. W. Lo and A. C. MacKinlay, Eds., *A Non-Random Walk Down Wall Street*. Princeton: Princeton University Press, 2008.
[11] S. Hochreiter and J. Schmidhuber, "Long Short-Term Memory," *Neural Computation*, vol. 9, no. 8, pp. 1735–1780, Nov. 1997, doi: 10.1162/neco.1997.9.8.1735.
[12] T. Fischer and C. Krauss, "Deep learning with long short-term memory networks for financial market predictions," *European Journal of Operational Research*, vol. 270, no. 2, pp. 654–669, Oct. 2018, doi: 10.1016/j.ejor.2017.11.054.
[13] W. Leigh, N. Modani, R. Purvis, and T. Roberts, "Stock market trading rule discovery using technical charting heuristics," *Expert Systems with Applications*, vol. 23, no. 2, pp. 155–159, Aug. 2002, doi: 10.1016/S0957-4174(02)00034-9.
[14] S. Thawornwong and D. Enke, "Forecasting Stock Returns with Artificial Neural Networks," in *Neural Networks in Business Forecasting*, IGI Global Scientific Publishing, 2004, pp. 47–79. doi: 10.4018/978-1-59140-176-6.ch003.
[15] O. B. Sezer, M. U. Gudelek, and A. M. Ozbayoglu, "Financial Time Series Forecasting with Deep Learning : A Systematic Literature Review: 2005-2019," Nov. 29, 2019, *arXiv*: arXiv:1911.13288. doi: 10.48550/arXiv.1911.13288.
[16] J. Bollen, H. Mao, and X.-J. Zeng, "Twitter mood predicts the stock market," *Journal of Computational Science*, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 1–8, Mar. 2011, doi: 10.1016/j.jocs.2010.12.007.
[17] D. Garcia and F. Schweitzer, "Social signals and algorithmic trading of Bitcoin," *R Soc Open Sci.*, vol. 2, no. 9, p. 150288, Sept. 2015, doi: 10.1098/rsos.150288.
[18] D. Araci, "FinBERT: Financial Sentiment Analysis with Pre-trained Language Models," Aug. 27, 2019, *arXiv*: arXiv:1908.10063. doi: 10.48550/arXiv.1908.10063.