

BIO00056I

Directed study 12 (worksheet): Comparative genomics

Daniel Jeffares

2025-11-17

Table of contents

1	Learning objectives	1
2	Introduction	1
3	The data	2
3.1	How we generated gene gain/loss data	2
4	The exam style questions	4
5	Model answers	5

1 Learning objectives

This worksheet aims to:

- Help you to understand evolutionary changes in genomes *between* species
- Provide a chance to learn to interpret phylogenetic trees
- And we consider gene gain and loss over a clade of species, a type evolutionary changes in genomes that we

2 Introduction

Trypanosomatids are a clade of single celled eukaryotes that includes *Leishmania* parasites. Many Trypanosomatid species are parasites of humans and/or animals, so there is a strong motivation for understanding their evolution and adaptation. All Trypanosomatid species live in two hosts

- a vertebrate host (usually a mammal)
- an insect host (the *vector*)

One route of adaptation is the gradual divergence of genes by point mutations (SNPs), which leads to gradual changes in proteins. These random changes are affected by natural selection, which can lead to adaptation.

Another route is the loss or gain of entire genes, Figure 1 (below) shows that this gene gain or loss does occur.

3 The data




Figure 1: **Figure 1.** A gene presence/absence heatmap for some Trypanosomatid species. Each *column* corresponds to a gene. Cream (light or yellow) bars indicate that a gene is *absent* in this species, and dark maroon (reddish) bars indicate that a gene is *present*. Each *row* corresponds to a *species*. The cladogram on the left was generated from the gene gain/loss data. In this example it is not important which species included. In this exercise we are mainly concerned with the two main clades; A) *Leishmania* species and relatives and B) *Trypanosome* species and relatives. The dotted line indicates the division between the two main clades.

3.1 How we generated gene gain/loss data

This is **comparative genomics** data. We download the sequences of all the protein-coding genes of each of these species, from the database [TriTrypDB](#). We then found clusters of orthologous groups (ie: groups of orthologs), using the OrthoFinder software. OrthoFinder identifies

the clusters of *orthologous groups*. The plot above does not show when a species has multiple copies of a gene in an orthologous group (paralogs).

i Orthologs, paralogs and orthologous groups

Two genes are **orthologs** if they are in different species and have evolved from a common ancestral gene by speciation. Orthologous genes often retain the same function in the course of evolution.

Paralogs are like orthologs, in that they have evolved from a common ancestral gene, but they are in the *same species* and have arisen by gene duplication.

Orthologous groups are groups of genes from different species that are all orthologous to each other. An orthologous group may contain one gene from each species, or it may contain multiple genes from some species (paralogs).

We can compare the cladogram of the Trypanosomatid species to Figure 2 (below), which is a phylogenetic tree drawn using the single nucleotide differences in ~1000 single copy genes (genes that every species has exactly one of). This phylogenetic tree will be very reliable, as it is created from tens of thousands of single nucleotide differences.

You will recall that we examined a phylogenetic tree of influenza viruses in [workshop 5](#). The influenza virus phylogenetic tree spanned only a few years, whereas this Trypanosomatid tree spans millions of years.

But remember that within-population process (especially genetic drift and natural selection) give rise to the differences *between species* regardless of timescale. A big difference is that we expect many more differences to have accumulated over millions of years than over a few years.




Figure 2: **Figure 2.** A phylogenetic tree of the same Trypanosomatid species, drawn using single nucleotide differences in ~1000 single copy genes. This tree has the same species as the gene presence/absence heatmap (Figure 1). Species names are written slightly differently, because Figure 1 indicates the name of the strain used.

4 The exam style questions

Question 1. Do Figure 1 and Figure 2 show the same tree topology?

Hint: You can check this by:

- Checking that the two main divisions of species in Figure 1 and Figure 2 have the same members. This will provide a rough check of the two trees.
- Examining closely related species pairs or *sister groups* that sit right next to each other on the tree in Figure 1. Checking that these sister groups are preserved in Figure 2. It might help to print them out and mark species pairs in the two figures.

- You won't need to examine all the sister groups to find some that are not the same.

Question 2. What do you notice about the gene content of the two main clades of species (A and B) in Figure 1? If there is a pattern, what evolutionary processes that operate within species will influence this?

Question 3. Would you expect such changes to affect traits or fitness? If so, would you expect the average fitness change to be large or small?

Attach approximate quantities to fitness changes here.

5 Model answers

Will be provided on this page at a later date.