

# MATH 143

BERND STURMFELS

*Davis Foote\**

*University of California, Berkeley*

*August 27<sup>th</sup>, 2015 – December 10<sup>th</sup>, 2015*

## CONTENTS

1	Geometry, Algebra, and Algorithms	1
1.1	Ideals . . . . .	1
1.2	Polynomials in One Variable . . . . .	2
2	Grobner Bases	3
2.1	Orderings on Monomials . . . . .	3
2.2	A Division Algorithm in $R$ . . . . .	4
2.3	Monomial Ideals and Dickson's Lemma . . . . .	6
2.4	The Hilbert Basis Theorem and Groebner Bases . . . . .	7

## 1 GEOMETRY, ALGEBRA, AND ALGORITHMS

### 1.1 Ideals

1.1 DEFINITION. A subset  $I$  of  $R = K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  is an **ideal** if

(1)  $0 \in I$

---

\*djfoote@berkeley.edu

*Lecture 1  
September 1<sup>st</sup>, 2015*

$$(2) f, g \in I \Rightarrow f + g \in I$$

$$(3) f \in I, h \in R \Rightarrow h \cdot f \in I$$

1.2 DEFINITION. The **ideal generated** by polynomials  $f_1, \dots, f_s \in R$  is

$$\langle f_1, \dots, f_s \rangle := \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^s h_i f_i : h_i \in R \right\}$$

1.3 PROPOSITION. If  $f_1, \dots, f_s$  and  $g_1, \dots, g_t$  generate the same ideal  $I$ , they have the same variety  $V(I)$ .

1.4 LEMMA. Conversely, if  $V \subseteq K^n$  is any variety, then  $I(V) = \{f \in R : f(a) = 0 \text{ for all } a \in V\}$

1.5 EXAMPLE. Let  $V = \{(t, t^2, t^3) \in \mathbb{R}^3\}$ , the “twisted cubic curve.” Then  $I(V) = \langle y - z^2, z - x^3 \rangle$ . So any polynomial which vanishes at  $V$  is a polynomial combination of  $y - z^2$  and  $z - x^3$ .

1.6 LEMMA. If  $f_1, \dots, f_s \in R$ , then  $\langle f_1, \dots, f_s \rangle \subseteq I(V(f_1, \dots, f_s))$ , but equality need not hold.

*Proof.* Suppose  $f = \sum_{i=1}^s h_i f_i$ . Since each  $f_i$  vanishes on  $V(f_1, \dots, f_s)$ , so does  $f$ . This means  $f \in I(V(f_1, \dots, f_s))$ .  $\square$

## 1.2 Polynomials in One Variable

1.7 DEFINITION. Let  $f = a_0 x^m + a_1 x^{m-1} + \dots + a_m \in K[x]$  with  $a_0 \neq 0$ . The **leading term** of  $f$  is  $LT(f) = a_0 x^m$ .

1.8 FACT.  $\deg(f) \leq \deg(g) \Leftrightarrow LT(f)$  divides  $LT(g)$

1.9 PROPOSITION (Division Algorithm). Fix  $g \in K[x] \setminus \{0\}$ . Every  $f \in K[x]$  can be written uniquely as  $f = q \cdot g + r$ , where  $q, r \in K[x]$  and ( $r = 0$  or  $\deg(r) < \deg(g)$ ).

TODO: Typeset this later ALGORITHM Input:  $g, f$  Output:  $q, r$  as in \*  $q := 0, r := f$  while  $r \neq 0$  and  $LT(g)$  divides  $LT(r)$  do  $q := q + \frac{LT(r)}{LT(g)} r := r - \frac{LT(r)}{LT(g)} \cdot g$

1.10 COROLLARY. Every  $f \in K[x] \setminus \{0\}$  has at most  $\deg(f)$  many roots.

*Proof.* Induction on  $m = \deg(f)$ . True for  $m = 0, 1$ . For  $m \geq 2$ , if  $f$  has no roots in  $K$ , done. Otherwise, let  $a \in K$  be a root, and write  $f = q \cdot (x - a) + r$  where  $r$  is a constant. We have  $f(a) = r = 0 \Rightarrow q$  divides  $r$  and  $\deg(q) < m$ , so it satisfies the conclusion.  $\square$

1.11 COROLLARY. Every ideal in  $K[x]$  has the form  $\langle f \rangle$  for some  $f \in K[x]$ . Here  $f$  is unique up to a multiplicative scalar.

---

1.12 PROPOSITION. Let  $f, g \in K[x]$ . Then

- (1) The greatest common divisor  $\text{GCD}(f, g)$  is unique
- (2)  $\text{GCD}(f, g)$  generates the ideal  $\langle f, g \rangle$
- (3) There is an algorithm for finding  $\text{GCD}(f, g)$

1.13 EXAMPLE. Decide whether  $x^2 - y$  lies in  $\langle x^3 + x^2 - 4x + 4, x^2 - 4x + 4, x^3 - 2x^2 - x + 2 \rangle$ .

First, compute the GCD of these three polynomials. It is  $x - 2$ . So the above ideal is equal to  $\langle x - 2 \rangle$ .

$$x^2 - 4 = (x + 2)(x - 2) \in \langle x - 2 \rangle$$

To find which linear combination of the above polynomials equals  $x^2 - 4$ , use the extended Euclidean algorithm.

## 2 GROBNER BASES

Problems concerning ideals in  $R = K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ :

- Description: Does every ideal  $I \subseteq R$  have a finite generating set?
- Membership: Given  $I \subseteq R$  and  $f \in R$ , how to test whether  $f \in I$
- Solving Equations: Describe  $V(f_1, \dots, f_s)$
- Implicitization: Compute the image in  $K^n$  of a polynomial parameterization  $(x_i = g_i(f_1, \dots, f_m))_{1 \leq i \leq n}$

### 2.1 Orderings on Monomials

We are concerned with  $R = K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ . What is the leading term of a polynomial in  $R$ ?

2.1 REMARK. We can define a bijection between monomials  $x^a = x_1^{a_1} x_2^{a_2} \cdots x_n^{a_n}$  in  $R$  to vectors  $a$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n$ .

2.2 DEFINITION. A **monomial ordering** on  $R$  is a total ordering  $>$  on  $\mathbb{Z}$  such that

- (1) If  $a > b$  and  $c \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n$  then  $a + c > b + c$ .
- (2)  $>$  is a well-ordering, i.e. every non-empty subset has a least element.

2.3 LEMMA. A monomial ordering  $>$  is a well-ordering if and only if every strictly decreasing sequence  $a(1) > a(2) > a(3) > \cdots$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n$  eventually terminates.

*Proof.* ( $\Rightarrow$ ) Suppose  $>$  is not a well-ordering. Pick  $S \subset \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n$  with no least element. Pick  $a(1) \in S$ . We can find  $a(1) > a(2)$  in  $S$ , and  $a(2) > a(3)$ , etc.

( $\Leftarrow$ ) If  $a(1) > a(2) > a(3) > \cdots$  is an infinite sequence then  $S = \{a(1), a(2), a(3), \dots\}$  has no least element. □

For each of the following orderings, we refer to  $a$  and  $b$  vectors of exponents as described in Remark 2.1.

2.4 DEFINITION (Lexicographic ordering).  $a >_{\text{lex}} b$  if the leftmost nonzero entry in  $a - b$  is positive. Referred to as “lex.”

2.5 DEFINITION (Graded lexicographic ordering).  $a >_{\text{grlex}} b$  if  $|a| > |b|$ . If  $|a| = |b|$ , ties are broken lexicographically. This ordering respects total degree. Referred to as “grlex.”

2.6 DEFINITION (Graded reverse lexicographic ordering).  $a >_{\text{grevlex}} b$  if  $|a| > |b|$ . If  $|a| = |b|$ ,  $a >_{\text{grevlex}} b$  if the rightmost nonzero entry in  $a - b$  is negative. Referred to as “grevlex.”

2.7 EXAMPLE. Consider quadratic monomials in  $n = 4$  variables. Refer to the variables as  $a, b, c, d$ .

In grlex,  $a^2 > ab > ac > ad > b^2 > bc > bd > c^2 > cd > d^2$ .

In grevlex,  $a^2 > ab > b^2 > ac > bc > c^2 > ad > bd > cd > d^2$ .

2.8 DEFINITION. Fix a monomial order  $>$  and let  $f = \sum_a c_a x^a \in R$ .

- The **multidegree** of  $f$  is  $\max\{a \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n : c_a \neq 0\}$ .
- The **leading coefficient** is  $L(f) = C_{\text{multideg}(f)} \in K^* = K \setminus \{0\}$ .
- The **leading monomial** is  $LM(f) = x^{\text{multideg}(f)}$
- The **leading term** is  $LT(f) = L(f) \cdot LM(f)$

2.9 EXERCISE. Which order (lex, grlex, grevlex) was used in writing

(a)  $7x^2y^4z - 2xy^6 + x^2y$

(b)  $xy^3z + xy^2z^2 + x^2z^3$

(c)  $x^4y^5z + 2x^3y^2z - 4xy^2z^4$

## 2.2 A Division Algorithm in $R$

**Goal:** Divide  $f$  by  $\{f_1, \dots, f_s\}$ , i.e. write  $f = a_1f_1 + \cdots + a_sf_s + r$ . The sum of all  $a_if_i$  is called the quotient, and  $r$  is called the remainder. We also want  $r$  to be small.

2.10 EXAMPLE.  $f = x^2y + xy^2 + y^2$ ,  $f_1 = xy - 1$ ,  $f_2 = y^2 - 1$ .  $f = (x + y)f_1 + 1 \cdot f_2 + (x + y + 1)$ .

None of the terms in  $r$  is divisible by  $LM(f_1)$  or by  $LM(f_2)$ . However, the remainder is generally not unique:  $f = xf_1 + (x + 1)f_2 + (2x + 1)$ .

Hence  $\langle f_1, f_2 \rangle \ni r - r' = y - x$ . What is  $V(f_1, f_2)$ ?  $\{(1, 1), (-1, -1)\}$ .

2.11 THEOREM (Division Algorithm). Fix a monomial ordering  $>$  on  $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n$  and let  $F = (f_1, \dots, f_s)$  be an ordered tuple of polynomials in  $R$ . Then every other polynomial  $f \in R$  can be written as  $f = a_1f_1 + \dots + a_sf_s + r$  where  $a_i, r \in R$  and

- the remainder  $r$  is a  $K$ -linear combination of monomials, none of which is divisible by any of the leading terms of  $f_1, \dots, f_s$ . (Intuitively, the remainder is small.)
- $\text{multideg}(f) \geq \text{multideg}(a_if_i)$  for all  $a_i$  with  $a_i \neq 0$

*Proof.* We present an algorithm to compute such a decomposition.

TODO: typeset this later

Input:  $f_1, \dots, f_s, f$

Output:  $a_1, \dots, a_s, r$  such that the above hold.

$(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_s) := (0, 0, \dots, 0)$

$p := f$

while  $p \neq 0$  do:

$i := 1$

divisionOccurred := false

while  $i \leq s$  and (divisionOccurred=false) do:

if  $LT(f_i)$  divides  $LT(p)$  then:

$a_i := a_i + \frac{LT(p)}{LT(f_i)}$

$p := p - \left(\frac{LT(p)}{LT(f_i)}\right) \cdot f_i$

divisionOccurred=true

else:

$i := i + 1$

if divisionOccurred=false then:

$r := r + LT(p)$

$p := p - LT(p)$

The invariant on the outer while loop is  $f = a_1f_1 + \dots + a_sf_s + p + r$ . Intuitively,  $p$  is the part of  $f$  which hasn't been decomposed yet. Therefore when the loop is exited and  $p$  is 0, we have the desired decomposition.

The algorithm is guaranteed to terminate because  $LT(p)$  is guaranteed to decrease in each iteration.  $\square$

2.12 FACT. The ordering of  $F = (f_1, \dots, f_s)$  matters.

2.13 EXAMPLE. Let  $f_1 = xy + 1$ ,  $f_2 = y^2 - 1$ . Dividing  $f = xy^2 - x$  by  $F = (f_1, f_2)$  gives the result  $f = y \cdot f_1 + 0 \cdot f_2 + (-x - y)$ . On the other hand, dividing  $f$  by  $F = (f_2, f_1)$  gives the result  $f = x \cdot f_2 + 0 \cdot f_1 + 0$ .

2.14 PROBLEM. How can we solve the ideal membership problem using such a division algorithm? If the remainder is 0, then we know the input  $f$  is in the ideal generated by  $F = (f_1, \dots, f_s)$ . However, as seen in the above example, we can run the algorithm and get a result with nonzero remainder even when  $f$  is in the ideal.

2.15 EXAMPLE. For fixed  $F$ , the map  $f \mapsto r$  is  $K$ -linear.

### 2.3 Monomial Ideals and Dickson's Lemma

2.16 DEFINITION. An ideal  $I$  in  $R = K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  is a **monomial ideal** if it is generated by a (possibly infinite) set of monomials  $x^a = x_1^{a_1} \cdots x_n^{a_n}$ , i.e.

$$I = \langle x^a : a \in A \rangle$$

where  $a \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ .

2.17 LEMMA. A monomial  $x^b$  lies in  $I_b$  if and only if there exists some  $a \in A$  such that  $x^a$  divides  $x^b$ .

TODO: Include graphic from textbook

2.18 LEMMA. Let  $I$  be a monomial ideal,  $f \in R$ . Then the following are equivalent:

- (1)  $f \in I$
- (2) Every term of  $f$  is in  $I$ .
- (3)  $f$  is a  $K$ -linear combination of monomials in  $I$ .

2.19 THEOREM (Dickson's Lemma). Every monomial ideal  $I = \langle x^a : a \in A \rangle$  is finitely generated. More precisely, there exists  $a_1, \dots, a_s \in A$  such that  $I = \langle x^{a_1}, \dots, x^{a_s} \rangle$ .

TODO: Complete this proof.

*Proof.* Proved by induction on  $n$ . For  $n = 1$ , the set  $A \subset \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$  has a smallest element  $b$  such that for all  $a \in A$ ,  $a \geq b$ . Thus  $I = \langle x^b \rangle$ .

Suppose the lemma is true for some  $n - 1$ . □

2.20 COROLLARY. Let  $>$  be a total ordering on  $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n$  satisfying ( $a > b$  and  $c \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n$  implies  $a + c > b + c$ ). Then  $>$  is a well-ordering if and only if  $a \geq 0$  according to the ordering for all  $a \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n$ .

## 2.4 The Hilbert Basis Theorem and Groebner Bases

2.21 DEFINITION. Fix a monomial ordering on  $R = K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ . Let  $I \subset R$  be a nonzero ideal. The **leading ideal**  $\langle LT(I) \rangle$  is the ideal generated by  $LT(I) = \{LT(f) : f \in I\}$ .

2.22 EXAMPLE. Using lex ordering with  $x > y > z$ , let  $I = \langle x + y + z, x + 2y + 3z \rangle$ .  $\langle LT(I) \rangle = \langle x, y \rangle$ .

2.23 EXAMPLE. Using lex ordering, let  $I = \langle g_1, g_2, g_3 \rangle = \langle xy^2 - xy + x, xy - z^2, x - yz^2 \rangle$ . Give an example of  $g \in I$  such that  $LT(g) \notin \langle LT(g_1), LT(g_2), LT(g_3) \rangle$ .

$$g_2 - yg_3 = y^2z^4 - z^2.$$

2.24 PROPOSITION. (1)  $\langle LT(I) \rangle$  is a monomial ideal.

(2) There are  $g_1, g_2, \dots, g_s \in I$  such that  $\langle LT(I) \rangle = \langle LT(g_1), LT(g_2), \dots, LT(g_s) \rangle$ .

*Proof.* (1)  $\langle LT(I) \rangle$  is also generated by  $S = \{LM(g) : g \in I \setminus \{0\}\}$  because  $LT(g)$  and  $LM(g)$  differ by a constant.

(2)  $S$  is a set of monomials, so by Dickson's lemma,  $\langle LT(I) \rangle$  is finitely generated by a subset of  $S$ .

□

2.25 THEOREM (Hilbert Basis Theorem). Every ideal in  $R = K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  is finitely generated.

TODO: What about the case where  $r \neq 0$  and  $r \notin I$ ?

*Proof.* Choose  $g_1, \dots, g_s \in I$  such that  $\langle LT(I) \rangle = \langle LT(g_1), \dots, LT(g_s) \rangle$ .

Claim:  $I = \langle g_1, \dots, g_s \rangle$ .

Clearly  $\langle g_1, \dots, g_s \rangle \subset I$ . For the reverse inclusion, consider some  $f \in I$ . Apply the division algorithm to get a representation of  $f$  as

$$f = a_1g_1 + \dots + a_sg_s + r$$

where no term in  $r$  is divisible by any of the leading terms of the  $g_i$ 's.

If  $r = 0$ , we have obtained a representation of  $f$  as a combination of the  $g_i$ 's, so we are done. If instead  $r \neq 0$  and  $r \in I$ , then  $LT(r) \in \langle LT(I) \rangle$  so there exists some  $i$  such that  $LT(g_i)$  divides  $LT(r)$ , which is a contradiction to the division algorithm. □

2.26 DEFINITION. A finite subset  $G = \{g_1, \dots, g_s\}$  of an ideal  $I$  is a **Groebner basis** if

$$LT(I) = \langle LT(g_1), \dots, LT(g_s) \rangle$$

Note how this differs from a basis in linear algebra: there is no statement of minimality. If  $S$  is a Groebner basis for  $I$ , then  $S \cup S'$  is still a Groebner basis for  $I$ .

**2.27 COROLLARY.** *Fix a monomial order on  $R$ . Every ideal  $I \subset R$  has a Groebner basis. Any such Groebner basis generates  $I$*

In practice, these are computed using a computer, which requires as input an ideal and a monomial ordering.

**2.28 THEOREM.** *Let  $I_1 \subset I_2 \subset I_3 \subset \cdots$  be an ascending chain of ideals in  $R$ . Then this terminates, i.e. there exists a positive integer  $k$  such that  $I_k = I_{k+1} = I_{k+2} = \cdots$ .*

TODO: Complete this proof.

*Proof.* The set  $\bigcup_{j=0}^{\infty} I_j$  is an ideal. By the Hilbert Basis Theorem,  $I$  is finitely generated and  $I = \langle f_1, \dots, f_s \rangle$ . So there exists some  $k$  such that  $f_1, \dots, f_s \in I_k$ .  $\square$

**2.29 PROPOSITION.** *If  $I \subset R$  is an ideal, then  $\mathbf{V}(I)$  is an affine variety in  $K^n$ . In fact  $\mathbf{V}(I) = \mathbf{V}(f_1, \dots, f_s)$  for any finite generating set  $\{f_1, \dots, f_s\}$  of  $I$ .*

**2.30 THEOREM (Eisenbud-Evans Theorem).** *Every affine variety in  $K^n$  is the zero set of only  $n$  polynomials.*