

1 Interfere: Studying Intervention Response Prediction 2 in Complex Dynamic Models

3 **D. J. Passey**  ¹, **Alice C. Schwarze**  ², **Zachary M. Boyd**  ³, and **Peter J. Mucha**  ²

5 **1** University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, United States  **2** Dartmouth College, United States 

6 **3** Brigham Young University, United States 

DOI: [10.xxxxxx/draft](https://doi.org/10.xxxxxx/draft)

Software

- 7 [Review](#) 
- [Repository](#) 
- [Archive](#) 

Editor: [Open Journals](#) 

Reviewers:

- [@openjournals](#)

Submitted: 01 January 1970

Published: unpublished

License

18 Authors of papers retain copyright
 19 and release the work under a
 20 Creative Commons Attribution 4.0
 21 International License ([CC BY 4.0](#)).
 22

23 The Interfere package focuses on *intervention response prediction*—forecasting how complex, dynamic systems respond to novel interventions. While ideal data for benchmarking predictive methods for such problems would come from controlled, longitudinal experiments, such experiments are often infeasible due to confounding, cost, and ethical constraints. In contrast, many synthetic benchmarks rely on models with static linear relationships and/or independent noise profiles that lack the complex interdependent feedback present in the real world. However, many disciplines, including economics, ecology, and systems biology, employ mechanistic dynamic models (Baker et al., 2018; Banks et al., 2017; Brayton et al., 2014; Izhikevich & Edelman, 2008) with evidence demonstrating they capture important real-world characteristics. We propose using datasets generated by such complex systems to benchmark methods that predict intervention response. If a model captures important real-world characteristics and a method can accurately predict intervention response *for that model* then the method might also predict intervention response for similar real-world systems. Interfere works towards this vision by combining (1) a general interface for simulating interventions on dynamic models, (2) a suite of predictive methods and cross-validated hyperparameter optimization tools, and (3) an [extensible benchmark data set](#) of dynamic intervention response scenarios (see Figure 1).




Figure 1: Three-dimensional trajectories of sixty scenarios from differential equation and discrete time difference equation models. Blue trajectories represent natural behavior of the system. Red depicts response to a specified intervention. The three dimensions with highest variance are shown. These sixty scenarios comprise the downloadable [Interfere Benchmark 1.1.1](#).

²⁴ Statement of Need




Figure 2: Original System Trajectory (Left): The natural evolution of the quadratic Belozyorov system (Belozyorov, 2015) with small stochastic noise. **System Trajectory After Intervention (Center):** Exogenous control of $x(t)$ by $\text{do}(x(t) = \sin(t))$ for $t > 10$ affects $y(t)$. The intervention (black) and response (blue) indicate clear departure from natural behavior (green and gray). **Intervention Response Prediction (Right):** Equation discovery by SINDy (Brunton et al., 2016) is fit to data prior to the intervention to predict intervention response (red).

²⁵ Multiple frameworks have emerged for identifying causal relationships from data (Imbens &
²⁶ Rubin, 2015; Pearl, 2009; Wieczorek & Roth, 2019). Many frameworks assume a static, linear
²⁷ relationship. Because a linear approximation can be insufficient for anticipating the response
²⁸ of complex dynamic systems at medium and long times, non-linear, dynamic techniques have
²⁹ been developed for causal discovery and forecasting (e.g. Runge, 2022). Nevertheless, there
³⁰ are relatively few intervention oriented techniques to fit dynamic nonlinear models to data.

³¹ Interfere's benchmarking approach is enabled by a unified toolkit for simulating intervention
³² response, standardized method evaluation, and systematic cross-validation across diverse
³³ dynamic systems. By lowering barriers to method evaluation, Interfere facilitates development
³⁴ of prediction methods that translate from theory to real-world application.

³⁵ Primary Contributions

³⁶ Interfere provides (1) dynamically diverse counterfactuals, (2) cross-disciplinary forecast meth-
³⁷ ods, and (3) comprehensive and extensible benchmarking.




Figure 3: Can stochasticity help reveal associations between variables? In this example, Interfere compares intervention response prediction for deterministic and stochastic versions of the same system.

38 1. Dynamically Diverse Counterfactuals at Scale

39 Whereas many predictive methods are typically benchmarked on < 10 systems (Brunton et al.,
40 2016; Challu et al., 2023; Pathak et al., 2018; Prasse & Van Mieghem, 2022; Vlachas et al.,
41 2020), Interfere’s “dynamics” submodule contains > 50 models, including linear, nonlinear,
42 chaotic, continuous-time, discrete-time, stochastic, and deterministic models, from disciplines
43 including finance, ecology, biology, neuroscience and public health. Most importantly, Interfere
44 is built for studying interventions: the inherited “BaseDynamics” type allows exogenous
45 control of any observed state, added measurement noise, and, for most models, stochasticity
46 parameterized by a scalar or covariance matrix. Interfere thus offers a user-friendly framework
47 to produce complex dynamic intervention response and forecasting scenarios at scale.

48 2. Cross-Disciplinary Forecast Methods

49 Interfere integrates dynamic forecasting methodologies from deep learning (LSTM, NHITS),
50 applied mathematics (SINDy, Reservoir Computers) and social science (VAR). The “ForecastingMethod” class is expressive enough to describe, fit and predict with multivariate dynamic
51 models, and apply interventions to model states.

53 3. Comprehensive and Extensible Benchmarking

54 The [Interfere Benchmark](#) is a comprehensive and extensible set containing 60 intervention
55 response scenarios for testing, each simulated with different levels of stochastic noise. Each
56 scenario is housed in a JSON file, with metadata annotation, documentation, versioning and
57 commit hashes marking the Interfere commit used to generate data.
58 Scenarios were reviewed by hand with exogenous input such that none of the key variables settle
59 to steady state. Interventions were chosen so target variable response significantly departs
60 from prior behavior. We aim for this benchmark to facilitate future progress towards predicting
61 how complex systems respond to never before seen situations.

62 Related Software and Mathematical Foundations

63 Predictive Methods

64 Interfere draws from the Nixtla open source ecosystem for time series forecasting, including
65 cross validation and hyperparameter optimization. We implemented intervention support for
66 LSTM and NHITS from the NeuralForecast package, and for ARIMA from the StatsForecast
67 package (Azul Garza, 2022; Olivares et al., 2022). Interfere includes predictive methods from
68 the PySINDy (Kaptanoglu et al., 2022) and StatsModels (Seabold & Perktold, 2010) packages.
69 We also include ResComp, a reservoir computing method for forecasts (Harding et al., 2024).
70 Hyperparameter optimization is designed around the Optuna framework (Akiba et al., 2019).

71 Integrating a method into Interfere requires that the method supports (1) multivariate endoge-
72 nous dynamic forecasting, (2) exogenous variables, and (3) flexible length forecast windows or
73 recursive predictions. While few forecasting methods meet these criteria, we hope Interfere
74 will encourage development of additional methods.

75 Acknowledgements

76 This work was supported by an NSF Graduate Research Fellowship (DJP) and Army Research
77 Office award W911NF2510049. The content is solely the responsibility of the authors.

78 References

- 79 Akiba, T., Sano, S., Yanase, T., Ohta, T., & Koyama, M. (2019). Optuna: A next-generation
80 hyperparameter optimization framework. *The 25th ACM SIGKDD International Conference
81 on Knowledge Discovery & Data Mining*, 2623–2631.
- 82 Azul Garza, C. C., Max Mergenthaler Canseco. (2022). *StatsForecast: Lightning fast
83 forecasting with statistical and econometric models*. PyCon Salt Lake City, Utah, US 2022.
84 <https://github.com/Nixtla/statsforecast>
- 85 Baker, R. E., Peña, J.-M., Jayamohan, J., & Jérusalem, A. (2018). Mechanistic models versus
86 machine learning, a fight worth fighting for the biological community? *Biology Letters*,
87 14(5), 20170660. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rsbl.2017.0660>
- 88 Banks, H. T., Banks, J. E., Bommarco, R., Curtsdotter, A., Jonsson, T., & Laubmeier, A. N.
89 (2017). Parameter estimation for an allometric food web model. *International Journal of
90 Pure and Applied Mathematics*, 114(1). <https://doi.org/10.12732/ijpm.v114i1.12>
- 91 Belozyorov, V. Y. (2015). Exponential-algebraic maps and chaos in 3D autonomous quadratic
92 systems. *International Journal of Bifurcation and Chaos*, 25(04), 1550048. <https://doi.org/10.1142/S0218127415500480>
- 93 Brayton, F., Laubach, T., & Reischneider, D. (2014). The FRB/US model: A tool for
94 macroeconomic policy analysis. *FEDS Notes*, 2014-04, 03. <https://doi.org/10.17016/2380-7172.0012>
- 95 Brunton, S. L., Proctor, J. L., & Kutz, J. N. (2016). Discovering governing equations from
96 data by sparse identification of nonlinear dynamical systems. *Proceedings of the National
97 Academy of Sciences*, 113(15), 3932–3937. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1517384113>
- 98 Challu, C., Olivares, K. G., Oreshkin, B. N., Ramirez, F. G., Canseco, M. M., & Dubrawski, A.
99 (2023). NHITS: Neural Hierarchical Interpolation for Time Series Forecasting. *Proceedings
100 of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, 37(6), 6989–6997. <https://doi.org/10.1609/aaai.v37i6.25854>
- 101 Harding, S., Leishman, Q., Lunceford, W., Passey, D. J., Pool, T., & Webb, B. (2024). Global
102 103 104

- 105 forecasts in reservoir computers. *Chaos: An Interdisciplinary Journal of Nonlinear Science*,
106 34(2), 023136. <https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0181694>
- 107 Imbens, G. W., & Rubin, D. B. (2015). *Causal Inference for Statistics, Social, and Biomedical*
108 *Sciences: An Introduction* (1st ed.). Cambridge University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781139025751>
- 110 Izhikevich, E. M., & Edelman, G. M. (2008). Large-scale model of mammalian thalamocortical
111 systems. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 105(9), 3593–3598. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0712231105>
- 113 Kaptanoglu, A. A., Silva, B. M. de, Fasel, U., Kaheman, K., Goldschmidt, A. J., Callaham, J.,
114 Delahunt, C. B., Nicolaou, Z. G., Champion, K., Loiseau, J.-C., Kutz, J. N., & Brunton, S. L.
115 (2022). PySINDy: A comprehensive python package for robust sparse system identification.
116 *Journal of Open Source Software*, 7(69), 3994. <https://doi.org/10.21105/joss.03994>
- 117 Olivares, K. G., Challú, C., Garza, A., Canseco, M. M., & Dubrawski, A. (2022). *NeuralForecast: User friendly state-of-the-art neural forecasting models*. PyCon Salt Lake City, Utah, US
118 2022. <https://github.com/Nixtla/neuralforecast>
- 120 Pathak, J., Hunt, B., Girvan, M., Lu, Z., & Ott, E. (2018). Model-Free Prediction of Large
121 Spatiotemporally Chaotic Systems from Data: A Reservoir Computing Approach. *Physical
122 Review Letters*, 120(2), 024102. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.120.024102>
- 123 Pearl, J. (2009). *Causality* (2nd ed.). Cambridge University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511803161>
- 125 Prasse, B., & Van Mieghem, P. (2022). Predicting network dynamics without requiring the
126 knowledge of the interaction graph. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*,
127 119(44), e2205517119. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2205517119>
- 128 Runge, J. (2022). *Discovering contemporaneous and lagged causal relations in autocorrelated
129 nonlineare time series datasets*. arXiv. <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2003.03685>
- 130 Seabold, S., & Perktold, J. (2010). Statsmodels: Econometric and statistical mod-
131 eling with python. *9th Python in Science Conference*. <https://doi.org/10.25080/majora-92bf1922-011>
- 133 Vlachas, P. R., Pathak, J., Hunt, B. R., Sapsis, T. P., Girvan, M., Ott, E., & Koumoutsakos,
134 P. (2020). Backpropagation algorithms and Reservoir Computing in Recurrent Neural
135 Networks for the forecasting of complex spatiotemporal dynamics. *Neural Networks*, 126,
136 191–217. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neunet.2020.02.016>
- 137 Wieczorek, A., & Roth, V. (2019). Information Theoretic Causal Effect Quantification. *Entropy*,
138 21(10), 975. <https://doi.org/10.3390/e21100975>