

Integrability, localisation and bifurcation of an elastic conducting rod in a magnetic field

David Sinden
Fraunhofer Institute for Digital Medicine MEVIS, Bremen

7th Workshop on Dynamical Systems & Ergodic Theory in Northern Germany

June 9th 2023

University of Hamburg

Introduction


A rod in a uniform magnetic field

Spatially complex localisation

Hamiltonian-Hopf-Hopf bifurcation

Conclusions

Introduction




- Classical problem: modern approach
- The reduction estimated at a billion dollars over ten years for the international space station alone [1]
- Tethers need to be described as an elastic rod rather wire
- Highly (geometrically) nonlinear

A Cosserat rod is defined by a centreline \mathbf{r} and directors $\{\mathbf{d}_1, \mathbf{d}_2, \mathbf{d}_3\}$ by


$$\mathbf{r}' = \mathbf{v} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{d}_i' = \mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{d}_i.$$

The strains \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} relates the configuration to the balance equations through the hyperelastic constitutive relations. In order to separate geometric from material nonlinearities, linear constitutive relations are chosen throughout



$$u_1 = m_1/B_1, \quad u_2 = m_2/B_2, \quad u_3 = m_3/C \quad \text{and} \quad v_3 = 1 + n_3/K.$$

- Parallels between the **motion** of a heavy spinning top and the **shape** of a rod under torque and tension
- Arc-length plays the role of time
- Sleeping top - straight rod
- Precession - helical rod
- Homoclinic - localised modes
- Regions of stability reversed
- Simplification, no shear, no extension, no initial curvature, nonlinear constitutive relations ...



Simplest rod model is the force-free rod. In the spatial frame

$$\mathbf{m}' = \mathbf{0}.$$

In the director frame

$$\mathbf{m}' = \mathcal{J}(\mathbf{m}) \nabla \mathcal{H}(\mathbf{m}) = \mathbf{m} \times \mathbf{u}$$

structure matrix and Hamiltonian given by

$$\mathcal{J} = -\mathcal{J}^T = \hat{\mathbf{m}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -m_3 & m_2 \\ m_3 & 0 & -m_1 \\ -m_2 & m_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{H} = \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{m} \cdot \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{m}).$$

One-dimensional null-space spanned by the gradient of the Casimir

$$\nabla C_1 = \frac{1}{2} (m_1, m_2, m_3)^T \Rightarrow C_1 = \mathbf{m} \cdot \mathbf{m}.$$

The system is globally **superintegrable** [2].

The non-canonical system is in fact a **Lie-Poisson** system

$$\{f, g\}_\mu = \left\langle \mu, \left[\frac{\delta f}{\delta \mu}, \frac{\delta g}{\delta \mu} \right] \right\rangle$$

where $\mu \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ and $f, g: \mathfrak{g}^* \mapsto \mathbb{R}$, with an associated inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ and Lie bracket on the Lie algebra $[\cdot, \cdot]$.

The inner product associates elements of the Lie algebra with its dual and the Lie bracket acts on the function derivatives of f and g with respect to the field variable, that is $\delta f / \delta \mu: \mathfrak{g}^* \mapsto \mathfrak{g}$.

The Poisson bracket is a Lie-Poisson bracket

$$\{f, g\}_{(m)} = - \underbrace{m \cdot (\nabla_m f \times \nabla_m g)}_{\text{twist}}.$$

where the Lie bracket is given by the direct sum of elements

$$[\xi, \eta] = \xi \times \eta$$

and the inner product is the dot product.

Corresponds to the Euler top.

For a rod under end tension and moment in the spatial frame

$$\mathbf{m}' = \mathbf{n} \times \mathbf{r}' \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{n}' = \mathbf{0}.$$

In the body frame

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{m} \\ \mathbf{n} \end{pmatrix}' = \begin{pmatrix} \hat{\mathbf{m}} & \hat{\mathbf{n}} \\ \hat{\mathbf{n}} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{u} \\ \mathbf{v} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathcal{H} = \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{m} + \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{d}_3) \cdot \mathbf{n} + \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{d}_3$$

where $\mathbf{d}_3 = (0, 0, 1)$. System has two Casimirs

$$C_1 = \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{n} \quad \text{and} \quad C_2 = \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{m}.$$

If the principal bending stiffnesses are equal, i.e. isotropic, is **integrable**.

$$I_1 = \mathbf{m} \cdot \mathbf{d}_3.$$

Other integrable cases: Kovalevskaya and Chaplygin-Goryachev.

The force is fixed in the spatial frame, but rotates in the body frame. The dynamics are generated by a Poisson bracket with a semi-direct product extension

$$\{f, g\}_{(m, n)} = -m \cdot (\nabla_m f \times \nabla_m g) - \underbrace{n \cdot (\nabla_m f \times \nabla_n g + \nabla_n f \times \nabla_m g)}_{\text{force}}.$$

which is a Lie-Poisson bracket for

$$[(\xi, u), (\eta, v)] = (\xi \times \eta, \xi \times v - \eta \times u).$$

Corresponds to a heavy top

Superintegrable solutions are **helices** with additional integral $m \cdot m$.

Integrability

For a conducting rod in a uniform magnetic field, in the spatial frame ($\mathbf{B} = B\mathbf{e}_3$)

$$\mathbf{m}' = \mathbf{n} \times \mathbf{r}', \quad \mathbf{n}' = \underbrace{\lambda \mathbf{e}_3 \times \mathbf{r}'}_{\text{Lorentz force, } \lambda = IB} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{e}_3' = \mathbf{0}.$$

In the director frame - noncanonical Hamiltonian system

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{m} \\ \mathbf{n} \\ \mathbf{e}_3 \end{pmatrix}' = \begin{pmatrix} \hat{\mathbf{m}} & \hat{\mathbf{n}} & \hat{\mathbf{e}}_3 \\ \hat{\mathbf{n}} & \lambda \hat{\mathbf{e}}_3 & 0 \\ \hat{\mathbf{e}}_3 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathcal{H} = \frac{1}{2}u \cdot \mathbf{m} + \frac{1}{2}(v - d_3) \cdot \mathbf{n} + d_3 \cdot \mathbf{n}.$$

The system has a Poisson bracket:

$$\begin{aligned} \{f, g\}_{(m,n,e_3)} = & -m \cdot (\nabla_m f \times \nabla_m g) - n \cdot (\nabla_m f \times \nabla_n g + \nabla_n f \times \nabla_m g) \\ & - \underbrace{e_3 \cdot (\nabla_m f \times \nabla_{e_3} g + \nabla_{e_3} f \times \nabla_m g)}_{\text{evolution of field}} \\ & - \underbrace{\lambda e_3 \cdot (\nabla_n f \times \nabla_n g)}_{\text{effect of field}} \end{aligned}$$

extended by **semi-direct extension**, describing the evolution of the magnetic field in the body, and a cocycle, describing the Lorentz force, called a **Liebnitz extension** [3]. Lie bracket is

$$[(\xi, u, w), (\eta, v, x)] = (\xi \times \eta, \xi \times v - \eta \times u, \xi \times x - \eta \times w - \lambda u \times v).$$

A nine-dimensional system with three Casimirs

$$C_1 = \mathbf{e}_3 \cdot \mathbf{e}_3, \quad C_2 = \mathbf{e}_3 \cdot \mathbf{n} \quad \text{and} \quad C_3 = \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{n} + 2\lambda \mathbf{m} \cdot \mathbf{e}_3,$$

two additional first integrals when isotropic and inextensible

$$I_1 = \mathbf{m} \cdot \mathbf{d}_3 \quad \text{and} \quad I_2 = \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{m} + \lambda B_1 \mathbf{d}_3 \cdot \mathbf{e}_3$$

and one Hamiltonian

$$\mathcal{H} = \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{m} + \mathbf{d}_3 \cdot \mathbf{n}.$$

Thus system is completely integrable

Conserved quantities have no immediate physical interpretation.

The family of equations can be generated by a **Lax pair** [4] with a spectral parameter α

$$\frac{d}{ds}\Gamma(\alpha) = \left[\Gamma(\alpha), \hat{d}_3\alpha + \hat{u}\right],$$

where

$$\Gamma(\alpha) = K\hat{d}_3\alpha + \Gamma_0 + \Gamma_1\alpha^{-1} + \dots + \Gamma_n\alpha^{-n} \in \mathfrak{so}(3), \quad n \in \mathbb{N},$$

with

$$\Gamma_0 = \hat{m}, \quad \Gamma_1 = \hat{n} \quad \text{and} \quad \Gamma_2 = \lambda\hat{e}_3 \dots$$

and conserved quantities

$$I_i = -\frac{1}{4}\text{residue}_{\mu=0} \left(\alpha^{i-1} \text{trace} \left[\Gamma(\alpha)^2 \right] \right) \quad \text{for} \quad i = -1, 0, 1, \dots, n-1,$$

$$C_i = -\frac{1}{4}\text{residue}_{\mu=0} \left(\alpha^{i-1} \text{trace} \left[\Gamma(\alpha)^2 \right] \right) \quad \text{for} \quad i = n, n+1, n+2, \dots, 2n.$$

Thus the governing equations for elastic conducting rod in a **non-uniform** “twisted” hyper-magnetic field are integrable.

$$\mathbf{m}' + \mathbf{r}' \times \mathbf{n} = \mathbf{0}, \quad \mathbf{n}' + \mathbf{r}' \times \mathbf{B} = \mathbf{0}, \quad \mathbf{B}' + \mathbf{r}' \times \mathbf{D} = \mathbf{0} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{D}' = \mathbf{0}.$$

Gives $B_x = y$, $B_y = -x$, $B_z = 0$, where (x, y, z) and (B_x, B_y, B_z) are components of \mathbf{r} and \mathbf{B} relative to the spatial frame $\{\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2, \mathbf{e}_3\}$, and \mathbf{e}_3 is chosen to be in the direction of \mathbf{D} . Thus the system describes a rod in a linearly-varying magnetic field generated by a uniform ‘hypermagnetic’ field \mathbf{D} . Hamiltonian is as before, structure matrix is

$$\mathcal{J} = \begin{pmatrix} \hat{m} & \hat{n} & \hat{B} & \hat{D} \\ \hat{n} & \hat{B} & \hat{D} & 0 \\ \hat{B} & \hat{D} & 0 & 0 \\ \hat{D} & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Spatial Chaos




Figure 1: The Euler angles relating two coordinate frames.

Euler angles reduce nine-dimensional non-canonical system to six-dimensional canonical system

$$\mathcal{H}(\theta, p_\theta, \psi, p_\psi) = \frac{p_\theta^2}{2B_1} + \frac{1}{2B_1} \left(\frac{p_\psi - p_\phi \cos \theta}{\sin \theta} \right)^2 + \frac{p_\phi^2}{2C} + C_2 \cos \theta$$

$$+ \sin \psi \sin \theta \sqrt{C_3 - C_2^2 - 2\lambda p_\psi}$$

with two integrals

$$I_1 = p_\phi, \quad I_2 = B_1 \lambda \cos \theta + C_2 p_\psi$$

$$- \sqrt{C_3 - C_2^2 - 2\lambda p_\psi} \left(p_\theta \sin \psi - \cos \psi \left(\frac{p_\phi - p_\psi \cos \theta}{\sin \theta} \right) \right).$$





Figure 2: Phase plane at various energy levels at section $\cos \psi = 0.9$.

An approximation to splitting of stable/unstable manifolds




On the scaling

$$2C_1 - C_2^2 = a\delta^2, \quad \lambda = b\delta^2 \quad \text{and} \quad C_2/K = c\delta$$

reduced extensible Hamiltonian takes the form

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_\delta(\theta, p_\theta, \psi, p_\psi) = & \mathcal{H}_0(\theta, p_\theta) + \delta \left(\mathcal{H}_1^\lambda(\theta, \psi, p_\psi) + \mathcal{H}_1^\epsilon(\theta) \right) \\ & + \delta^2 \mathcal{H}_2^{\epsilon\lambda}(\theta, \psi, p_\psi) + \delta^3 \mathcal{H}_3^{\epsilon\lambda^2}(\theta, \psi, p_\psi) + \mathcal{O}(\delta^4). \end{aligned}$$

Mel'nikov's method can be applied in three possible cases:



- Perturb Lagrange rod,
- Perturb extensible rod,
- Perturb magnetic rod.

If extensible detailed Mel'nikov analysis shows loss of integrability.

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{M}_h^{(1)}(\psi_0) &= \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f_0 \wedge f_1 d\psi = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left\{ \mathcal{H}_0 + \mathcal{H}_1^\epsilon, \mathcal{H}_1^\lambda \right\}_{(\theta, p_\theta)} ds \\
&= 2\sqrt{a - 2bp_\psi} \cos \psi_0 \int_0^{+\infty} p_\theta \cos \bar{\psi} \sin \theta \left(1 + \frac{C_2}{K} \cos \theta \right) ds \\
&\quad - 2\sqrt{a - 2bp_\psi} \sin \psi_0 \int_0^{+\infty} p_\theta \sin \bar{\psi} (1 + \cos \theta) \left(\cos \theta + \frac{C_2}{K} \cos 2\theta \right) ds.
\end{aligned}$$




Figure 3: Poincaré sections

For case (ii)

$$\mathcal{M}_h^{(1)}(\psi_0) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left\{ \mathcal{H}_0, \mathcal{H}_1^\epsilon + \mathcal{H}_1^\lambda \right\}_{(\theta, p_\theta)} ds$$

but both perturbations are integrable

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left\{ \mathcal{H}_0, \mathcal{H}_1^\epsilon \right\}_{(\theta, p_\theta)} ds = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left\{ \mathcal{H}_0, \mathcal{H}_1^\lambda \right\}_{(\theta, p_\theta)} ds = 0.$$

Thus **second order** analysis needs to be performed.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}_h^{(2)}(\psi_0) = & \frac{1}{2} \int_{\psi_0}^{+\infty} f_0 \wedge D^2 f_0 (\mathbf{x}_1^s)^2 d\psi + \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\psi_0} f_0 \wedge D^2 f_0 (\mathbf{x}_1^u)^2 d\psi \\ & + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\psi_0}^{+\infty} f_0 \wedge Df_1 \mathbf{x}_1^s d\psi + \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\psi_0} f_0 \wedge Df_1 \mathbf{x}_1^u d\psi \\ & + \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f_0(\mathbf{x}_0) \wedge f_2(\mathbf{x}_0, \psi) d\psi. \end{aligned}$$

- Homoclinic solutions found numerically with angle ψ as 'time' and action \mathcal{I} as Hamiltonian.
- Robust numerical method using bisection method to find first order approximation to flow, \mathbf{x}_1 computed subject to being **bounded** and **transverse** to flow forwards and backwards in time.




Figure 4: Second order Mel'nikov integral showing existence of simple zero

Bifurcation

Ordinarily trivial configuration, a straight twisted rod, is about a fixed point, now it is a **periodic** solution $\gamma(s)$ with period τ .

$$\gamma(s) = (0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, \sin(s(1 + \nu)/2), \cos(s(1 + \nu)/2)).$$

Under the τ -mapping $\gamma(\tau) = \mathbf{p}$ the periodic orbit is the fixed point

$$\mathbf{p} = \gamma(\tau) = (0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1).$$

- Computation and continuation of localised solutions needs modification.
- Floquet theory required, monodromy matrix computed.
- No closed form analytical expressions for buckling values.

The system is **reversible**. Thus two involutions exist

$$\begin{aligned}
 R_1 : (m_1, m_2, m_3, n_1, n_2, n_3, e_{31}, e_{32}, e_{33}) &\mapsto \\
 &(-m_1, m_2, m_3, -n_1, n_2, n_3, e_{31}, -e_{32}, e_{33}) \quad \text{and} \\
 R_2 : (m_1, m_2, m_3, n_1, n_2, n_3, e_{31}, e_{32}, e_{33}) &\mapsto \\
 &(m_1, -m_2, m_3, n_1, -n_2, n_3, e_{31}, -e_{32}, e_{33}) \quad \text{as } s \mapsto -s.
 \end{aligned}$$

R_i -Reversible configurations pass through a **symmetric section** \mathcal{S}_i

$$\mathcal{S}_i = \text{Fix}(R_i) \quad \text{for } \gamma(n\tau) = \mathbf{p} \quad \text{where } \text{with } \mathbf{p} \in \mathcal{S}_i.$$

Symmetric section is three-dimensional

$$\mathcal{S}_1 = \left\{ \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^9 : m_1(1) = n_1(1) = e_{31}(1) = 0 \right\}.$$

- In order to avoid the polar singularity inherent in the Euler angles when $\theta = 0$ and reduce the dimension of the full system Euler parameters are used to convert quantities from the spatial frame into the director frame.

- The four Euler parameters $q = (q_1, q_2, q_3, q_4)$ are subject to

$$1 = q_1^2 + q_2^2 + q_3^2 + q_4^2.$$

- The ten-dimensional system $\mathbf{x} = (m, n, q)$ has three Casimirs and a constraint.





Figure 5: Spectrum of the monodromy matrix when $\nu = 1/3$ with $\epsilon = 0$ (blue), $\epsilon = 0.05$ (cyan) and $\epsilon = 0.1$ (magenta). The coloured regions correspond elliptic periodic orbits, the dashed lines are codimension-one curves at which the multipliers are stationary and reverse direction.

- Shooting from fixed point of a map \mathbf{p} over half range into 3D symmetric section \mathcal{S} of a reversibility.
- Three parameter shooting with $(\delta_1, \delta_2, \mathcal{T})$

$$\mathbf{x}(0) = \mathbf{p} + \varepsilon \delta_1 (\mathbf{v}_1 \sin \delta_2 + \mathbf{v}_2 \cos \delta_2)$$

where \mathbf{v}_1 and \mathbf{v}_2 are vectors in the two-dimensional unstable linear subspace of the fixed point \mathbf{p} .



- Homoclinic solutions are **codimension-zero**.

Localised solutions are found for isolated branches of the shooting parameters.




Figure 6: A localised solution

When extensible or anisotropic there are an infinite number of multi-modal solutions comprised of “primary” uni-modal solutions.




Figure 7: Localised multi-pulse solution

With Euler parameters 10D monodromy matrix decouples:




- 4D trivial matrix containing Casimirs and constraint,
- 6D nontrivial matrix contains the ‘dynamics’.

Projection boundary conditions using **auto97** exploiting **exponential trichotomies** onto two-dimensional stable and centre manifolds of fixed point of periodic orbit [5]

$$(L_{c,s} - \mathbf{p}) \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0} \quad \text{where} \quad L_{c,s} \in \mathbb{R}^{6 \times 2}$$

along with conditions on three-dimensional symmetric section.


- **Ill-posed**: an extra boundary condition \Rightarrow truncation length \mathcal{T} freed.




Hamiltonian-Hopf bifurcations at

- two λ_{\pm} when $m > m_c$,
- one λ_c when $m = m_c$,
- zero when $m < m_c$,

critical values.



(a) $m = 1.9$




(b) $m = 1.81$


- Hamiltonian-Hopf bifurcation about a periodic solution from right $\lambda = \lambda_-$ and left $\lambda = \lambda_+$. Localised solutions bifurcate into straight twisted rods.
- Localisation-delocalisation-localisation can occur near codimension-two point.

Behaviour of Floquet multipliers for $m > m_c$




and $m = m_c$.







(a) $m = 1.9$



(b) $m = 1.81$



(c) $m = 1.775$



(d) $m = 1.3985$

Figure 9: Bifurcation diagram for single and multimodal localised configurations

Summary

- System is **Hamiltonian**, **Lie-Poisson** and **completely integrable**
- Physical realisation of abstract system [3]
- System is one member in a family of integrable systems expressed by a **Lax pair** [4]
- Hidden conditions on integrability: extensibility, shearability ...

- System is **Hamiltonian**, **Lie-Poisson** and **completely integrable**
- Physical realisation of abstract system [3]
- System is one member in a family of integrable systems expressed by a **Lax pair** [4]
- Hidden conditions on integrability: extensibility, shearability ...

- If extensible non-integrable and has spatially chaotic solutions

- System is **Hamiltonian**, **Lie-Poisson** and **completely integrable**
- Physical realisation of abstract system [3]
- System is one member in a family of integrable systems expressed by a **Lax pair** [4]
- Hidden conditions on integrability: extensibility, shearability ...
- If extensible non-integrable and has spatially chaotic solutions
- Three parameter shooting, exponential trichotomies
- **Hamiltonian-Hopf-Hopf** bifurcation
- Buckling due to increasing and decreasing external force
- **Sequential** merging of limit points for multimodal solutions

- Complete reduction to single degree of freedom system [?] may enable
 - Expression of system as an equivalent oscillator
 - Fixed point solutions: existence of superintegrable solutions
 - Closed form solutions for homoclinic solution: Mel'nikov method in case (iii)
 - Action-angle formulation

- Complete reduction to single degree of freedom system [?] may enable
 - Expression of system as an equivalent oscillator
 - Fixed point solutions: existence of superintegrable solutions
 - Closed form solutions for homoclinic solution: Mel'nikov method in case (iii)
 - Action-angle formulation
- Physical interpretation of conserved quantities

- Complete reduction to single degree of freedom system [?] may enable
 - Expression of system as an equivalent oscillator
 - Fixed point solutions: existence of superintegrable solutions
 - Closed form solutions for homoclinic solution: Mel'nikov method in case (iii)
 - Action-angle formulation
- Physical interpretation of conserved quantities
- Normal form for Hamiltonian-Hopf-Hopf bifurcation in the twistless case

Thankyou for your attention.

Any questions?



david_sinden



david.sinden@mevis.fraunhofer.de



github.com/djps/extensibility



djps.github.io

Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International License   



L. Johnson and M. Herrman.

International Space Station Electrodynamic Tether Reboost Study.

Technical Report NASA/TP-1998-208538, Marshall Space Flight Center, 1998.



F. Fassò.

Superintegrable Hamiltonian systems: geometry and perturbations.

Acta Appl. Math., 87:93–121, 2005.



J-L. Thiffeault and P. J. Morrison.

The twisted top.

Phys. Lett. A, 283:335–341, 2001.



O. Vivolo.

The monodromy of the Lagrange top and the Picard-Lefschetz formula.

J. Geom. Phys., 46:99–124, 2003.



L. Dieci and J. Rebaza.

Point-to-periodic and periodic-to-periodic connections.

BIT Numer. Math., 44:41–62, 2004.