24/04/2019.

Sprint review + retrospective.

- workflow is a user story.
- Rebecca to make single metric for all stories + calculate velocity.

- no testing performed in sprint 1. → technical debt.
- no database in sprint 1

- challenges:
  - Google API for login not flexible. Styling was limited.
  - styling is NB to aid functionality.
  - ejs makes it impossible to style. ejs is depracated. (no longer supported).
  - * flaw: trips back button reloads old inputs.
  - * routing file needs rules, structuring, organisation.
  - * controlling + routing needs to be better understood
  - roles are flexible but we need to maintain ~~these~~ the "final authority" that # group members have.
  - on first sprint, not all group members were on same page about workflow, pull requests, technologies etc. Miscommunication was a problem.
  - * at least 2 reviews required per pull request on development. on master: 3. Tyson to institute the rule.
  - lack of technical support/understanding
  - * group coding is NB.
  - having one missing group member was challenging in communication.
  - * have a meeting to communicate everyone's skillsets + strengths. Not everyone is on the same page technically.
  - * constructing DB tables. Focus on this in next sprint.
  - checks for user inputs in trip titles.

* async + defer. Damian + Tyson to sort out.
- work hours of individuals does not sync.
* have a discussion about "working hours" as a team.
- physical standup needs to happen — whatsapp doesn't work. Standup on fridays for 30 mins.
- we need to be patient w each other due to very different and busy schedules. ☺
* be brave to alter people's code if you know what to do + can see what's wrong.
* Damian will do a code clean-up before release every sprint (once all features are merged into dev.)
* everyone to look at documentation relevant to this project. → have a file in docs folder and in wiki w links to all docs we should read about. ✱
* Tyson to transfer docs folder to wiki.

- delete old feature branches + make new ones off dev.
- find the term for a "popup" window.

- strengths:
  - good work discipline despite difficult circumstances.
  - we picked up the ball for each other when others where tired / struggling.
  - hardly any merge conflicts.
  - good management of Git (commits, pulls etc.)