Proofs

Lemma 1. The constrained optimization of (19) is equivalent to:

$$\underset{\{f(\vec{\mathbf{u}}_{1:T}||\vec{\mathbf{z}}_{1:T},\vec{\mathbf{x}}_{1:T})\}}{\operatorname{argmax}} H(\vec{\mathbf{U}}_{1:T}||\vec{\mathbf{Z}}_{1:T},\vec{\mathbf{X}}_{1:T}) \tag{34}$$

where:
$$f(\vec{\mathbf{u}}_{1:t}||\vec{\mathbf{z}}_{1:T}, \vec{\mathbf{x}}_{1:T}) = \prod_{t=1}^{T} f(\vec{u}_t|\vec{\mathbf{u}}_{1:t-1}, \vec{\mathbf{z}}_{1:t}, \vec{\mathbf{x}}_{1:t});$$
 (35)

 $\forall t \in \{1 \cdots T\}, \vec{\mathbf{u}}_{1:t} \in \vec{\mathcal{U}}_{1:t}, \vec{\mathbf{z}}_{1:t} \in \vec{\mathcal{Z}}_{1:t}, \vec{\mathbf{x}}_{1:t} \in \vec{\mathcal{X}}_{1:t}, \vec{\mathbf{x}}'_{1:t} \in \vec{\mathcal{X}}_{1:t},$

such that
$$f(\vec{u}_t | \vec{\mathbf{u}}_{1:t-1}, \vec{\mathbf{z}}_{1:t}, \vec{\mathbf{x}}_{1:t}) \ge 0, \int_{\vec{u}_t \in \vec{\mathcal{U}}_t} f(\vec{u}_t | \vec{\mathbf{u}}_{1:t-1}, \vec{\mathbf{z}}_{1:t}, \vec{\mathbf{x}}_{1:t}) = 1,$$
 (36)

$$f(\vec{u}_t|\vec{\mathbf{u}}_{1:t-1},\vec{\mathbf{z}}_{1:t},\vec{\mathbf{x}}_{1:t}) = f(\vec{u}_t|\vec{\mathbf{u}}_{1:t-1},\vec{\mathbf{z}}_{1:t},\vec{\mathbf{x}}'_{1:t}). \tag{37}$$

Proof of Lemma 1. The previously developed theory of maximum causal entropy [28] shows the causally conditioned probability distribution defined according to affine constraint (15),(16) and (18) are equivalent to it defined by the decomposition into a product of conditional probabilities (35),(36). Then, we show partial observability constraint (17) implies (37).

$$\forall \vec{\mathbf{u}}_{1:T} \in \vec{\mathcal{U}}_{1:T}, \vec{\mathbf{x}}_{1:T}, \mathbf{x}'_{1:T} \in \vec{\mathcal{X}}_{1:T}, \vec{\mathbf{z}}_{1:T} \in \vec{\mathcal{Z}}_{1:T},$$

$$\prod_{t=1}^{T} f(\vec{u}_{t} | \vec{\mathbf{u}}_{1:t-1}, \vec{\mathbf{z}}_{1:t}, \vec{\mathbf{x}}_{1:t}) = \prod_{t=1}^{T} f(\vec{u}_{t} | \vec{\mathbf{u}}_{1:t-1}, \vec{\mathbf{z}}_{1:t}, \vec{\mathbf{x}}'_{1:t})$$
It is possible, $f(\vec{u}_{1} | \vec{z}_{1}, \vec{x}_{1}) \cdots f(\vec{u}_{t} | \vec{\mathbf{u}}_{1:t-1}, \vec{\mathbf{z}}_{1:t}, \vec{\mathbf{x}}_{1:t}) \cdots f(\vec{u}_{T} | \vec{\mathbf{u}}_{1:T-1}, \vec{\mathbf{z}}_{1:T}, \vec{\mathbf{x}}_{1:T})$

$$= f(\vec{u}_{1} | \vec{z}_{1}, \vec{x}_{1}) \cdots f(\vec{u}_{t} | \vec{\mathbf{u}}_{1:t-1}, \vec{\mathbf{z}}_{1:t}, \vec{\mathbf{x}}'_{1:t}) \cdots f(\vec{u}_{T} | \vec{\mathbf{u}}_{1:T-1}, \vec{\mathbf{z}}_{1:T}, \vec{\mathbf{x}}_{1:T})$$
Thus, $f(\vec{u}_{t} | \vec{\mathbf{u}}_{1:t-1}, \vec{\mathbf{z}}_{1:t}, \vec{\mathbf{x}}_{1:t}) = f(\vec{u}_{t} | \vec{\mathbf{u}}_{1:t-1}, \vec{\mathbf{z}}_{1:t}, \vec{\mathbf{x}}'_{1:t}).$

It is easy to show (37) implies (17).

Lemma 2. The constrained optimization defined in Lemma 1 is equivalent to:

$$\underset{\{f(\vec{\mathbf{u}}_{1:T}||\vec{\mathbf{z}}_{1:T})\}}{\operatorname{argmax}} H(\vec{\mathbf{U}}_{1:T}||\vec{\mathbf{Z}}_{1:T}) \tag{38}$$

 $\forall \vec{\mathbf{u}}_{1:T} \in \vec{\mathcal{U}}_{1:T}, \vec{\mathbf{z}}_{1:T}, \mathbf{z}'_{1:T} \in \vec{\mathcal{Z}}_{1:T},$

$$f(\vec{\mathbf{u}}_{1:T}||\vec{\mathbf{z}}_{1:T} \ge 0, \int_{\vec{\mathbf{u}}'_{1:T} \in \vec{\mathcal{U}}_{1:T}} f(\vec{\mathbf{u}}'_{1:T}||\vec{\mathbf{z}}_{1:T}) d\vec{\mathbf{u}}'_{1:T} = 1,$$
 (39)

 $\forall \tau \in \{1, \cdots, T\} \text{ such that } \vec{\mathbf{z}}_{1:\tau} = \vec{\mathbf{z}}'_{1:\tau},$

$$\int_{\vec{\mathbf{u}}_{\tau+1:T} \in \vec{\mathcal{U}}_{\tau+1:T}} f(\vec{\mathbf{u}}_{1:T} || \vec{\mathbf{z}}_{1:T}) \ d\vec{\mathbf{u}}_{\tau+1:T} = \int_{\vec{\mathbf{u}}_{\tau+1:T} \in \vec{\mathcal{U}}_{\tau+1:T}} f(\vec{\mathbf{u}}_{1:T} || \vec{\mathbf{z}}'_{1:T}) \ d\vec{\mathbf{u}}_{\tau+1:T}. \tag{40}$$

Proof of Lemma 2.

$$\forall t \in \{1, \dots, T\}, \vec{\mathbf{u}}_{1:t} \in \vec{\mathcal{U}}_{1:t}, \vec{\mathbf{z}}_{1:t} \in \vec{\mathcal{Z}}_{1:t}, \vec{\mathbf{x}}_{1:t} \in \vec{\mathcal{X}}_{1:t}, \vec{\mathbf{x}}'_{1:t} \in \vec{\mathcal{X}}_{1:t}, f(\vec{u}_{t}|\vec{\mathbf{u}}_{1:t-1}, \vec{\mathbf{z}}_{1:t}, \vec{\mathbf{x}}_{1:t}) = f(\vec{u}_{t}|\vec{\mathbf{u}}_{1:t-1}, \vec{\mathbf{z}}_{1:t}, \vec{\mathbf{x}}'_{1:t}) = f(\vec{u}_{t}|\vec{\mathbf{u}}_{1:t-1}, \vec{\mathbf{z}}_{1:t})$$
Then,
$$\prod_{t=1}^{T} f(\vec{u}_{t}|\vec{\mathbf{u}}_{1:t-1}, \vec{\mathbf{z}}_{1:t}, \vec{\mathbf{x}}_{1:t}) = \prod_{t=1}^{T} f(\vec{u}_{t}|\vec{\mathbf{u}}_{1:t-1}, \vec{\mathbf{z}}_{1:t}) = f(\vec{\mathbf{u}}_{1:T}||\vec{\mathbf{z}}_{1:T})$$

Similar to the proof of Lemma 1, the causally conditioned probability distribution defined by a product of conditional probabilities are equivalent to the affine constraint (39), (40).

To show the object function (38) is equivalent to (19), we first show

$$\begin{split} &\int_{\vec{\mathbf{x}}_{1:T} \in \vec{\mathcal{X}}_{1:T}} f(\vec{\mathbf{z}}_{1:T}, \vec{\mathbf{x}}_{1:T} || \vec{\mathbf{u}}_{1:T-1}) \\ &= \frac{\int_{\vec{\mathbf{x}}_{1:T} \in \vec{\mathcal{X}}_{1:T}} \prod_{t=1}^{T} f(\vec{z}_{t}, \vec{x}_{t} | \vec{\mathbf{z}}_{1:t-1}, \vec{\mathbf{x}}_{1:t-1}, \vec{\mathbf{u}}_{1:t-1}) \prod_{t=1}^{T} f(\vec{u}_{t} | \vec{\mathbf{u}}_{1:t-1}, \vec{\mathbf{z}}_{1:t})}{\prod_{t=1}^{T} f(\vec{u}_{t} | \vec{\mathbf{u}}_{1:t-1}, \vec{\mathbf{z}}_{1:t})} \\ &= \frac{\int_{\vec{\mathbf{x}}_{1:T} \in \vec{\mathcal{X}}_{1:T}} f(\vec{\mathbf{u}}_{1:T}, \vec{\mathbf{z}}_{1:T}, \vec{\mathbf{x}}_{1:T})}{\prod_{t=1}^{T} f(\vec{u}_{t} | \vec{\mathbf{u}}_{1:t-1}, \vec{\mathbf{z}}_{1:t})} = \frac{f(\vec{\mathbf{u}}_{1:T}, \vec{\mathbf{z}}_{1:T})}{\prod_{t=1}^{T} f(\vec{u}_{t} | \vec{\mathbf{u}}_{1:t-1}, \vec{\mathbf{z}}_{1:t})} \\ &= \frac{\prod_{t=1}^{T} f(\vec{u}_{t} | \vec{\mathbf{u}}_{1:t-1}, \vec{\mathbf{z}}_{1:t}) \prod_{t=1}^{T} f(\vec{z}_{t} | \vec{\mathbf{z}}_{1:t-1}, \vec{\mathbf{u}}_{1:t-1})}{\prod_{t=1}^{T} f(\vec{u}_{t} | \vec{\mathbf{u}}_{1:t-1}, \vec{\mathbf{z}}_{1:t})} \\ &= \prod_{t=1}^{T} f(\vec{z}_{t} | \vec{\mathbf{z}}_{1:t-1}, \vec{\mathbf{u}}_{1:t-1}) = f(\vec{\mathbf{z}}_{1:T} || \vec{\mathbf{u}}_{1:T-1}) \end{split}$$

Then,
$$H(\vec{\mathbf{U}}_{1:T}||\vec{\mathbf{Z}}_{1:T}, \vec{\mathbf{X}}_{1:T})$$

$$= \int_{\vec{\mathbf{u}}_{1:T}, \vec{\mathbf{z}}_{1:T}, \vec{\mathbf{x}}_{1:T}} f(\vec{\mathbf{z}}_{1:T}, \vec{\mathbf{x}}_{1:T}) f(\vec{\mathbf{z}}_{1:T}, \vec{\mathbf{x}}_{1:T}||\vec{\mathbf{u}}_{1:T-1}) \log f(\vec{\mathbf{u}}_{1:T}||\vec{\mathbf{z}}_{1:T}, \vec{\mathbf{x}}_{1:T})$$

$$= \int_{\vec{\mathbf{u}}_{1:T}, \vec{\mathbf{z}}_{1:T}} f(\vec{\mathbf{u}}_{1:T}||\vec{\mathbf{z}}_{1:T}) \log f(\vec{\mathbf{u}}_{1:T}||\vec{\mathbf{z}}_{1:T}) \int_{\vec{\mathbf{x}}_{1:T}} f(\vec{\mathbf{z}}_{1:T}, \vec{\mathbf{x}}_{1:T}||\vec{\mathbf{u}}_{1:T-1})$$

$$= \int_{\vec{\mathbf{u}}_{1:T}, \vec{\mathbf{z}}_{1:T}} f(\vec{\mathbf{u}}_{1:T}||\vec{\mathbf{z}}_{1:T}) \log f(\vec{\mathbf{u}}_{1:T}||\vec{\mathbf{z}}_{1:T}) f(\vec{\mathbf{z}}_{1:T}||\vec{\mathbf{u}}_{1:T-1})$$

$$= H(\vec{\mathbf{U}}_{1:T}||\vec{\mathbf{Z}}_{1:T})$$

Lemma 3. Suppose the constrained optimization problem in Lemma 2 has the following additional constraint: $(F: \vec{\mathcal{U}}_{1:T} \times \vec{\mathcal{Z}}_{1:T} \to R^N, \vec{\mathbf{c}} \in R^N)$

$$\mathbb{E}_{f(\vec{\mathbf{u}}_{1:T}, \vec{\mathbf{z}}_{1:T})} \left[F(\vec{\mathbf{U}}_{1:T}, \vec{\mathbf{Z}}_{1:T}) \right] = \vec{\mathbf{c}}$$

$$\tag{41}$$

Then the solution to this optimization problem has the form:

$$\hat{f}(\vec{u}_t | \vec{\mathbf{u}}_{1:t-1}, \vec{\mathbf{z}}_{1:t}) = e^{Q(\vec{\mathbf{u}}_{1:t}, \vec{\mathbf{z}}_{1:t}) - V(\vec{\mathbf{u}}_{1:t-1}, \vec{\mathbf{z}}_{1:t})}$$

where Q and V functions take the following recursive form:

$$Q(\vec{\mathbf{u}}_{1:t}, \vec{\mathbf{z}}_{1:t}) = \begin{cases} \lambda^T F(\vec{\mathbf{u}}_{1:T}, \vec{\mathbf{z}}_{1:T}), & t = T; \\ \mathbb{E}[V(\vec{\mathbf{U}}_{1:t}, \vec{\mathbf{Z}}_{1:t+1}) | \vec{\mathbf{u}}_{1:t}, \vec{\mathbf{z}}_{1:t}], & t < T \end{cases}$$
$$V(\vec{\mathbf{u}}_{1:t-1}, \vec{\mathbf{z}}_{1:t}) = \operatorname{softmax}_{\vec{u}_t} Q(\vec{\mathbf{u}}_{1:t}, \vec{\mathbf{z}}_{1:t}) \triangleq \log \int_{\vec{u}_t} e^{Q(\vec{\mathbf{u}}_{1:t}, \vec{\mathbf{z}}_{1:t})} d\vec{u}_t$$

Proof of Lemma 3. We first show for any joint distribution $g(\vec{\mathbf{u}}_{1:T}, \vec{\mathbf{z}}_{1:T})$, the following equation holds:

$$\mathbb{E}_g \left[-\log \hat{f}(\vec{\mathbf{U}}_{1:T} || \vec{\mathbf{Z}}_{1:T}) \right] = \int_{\vec{z}_1} f(\vec{z}_1) V(\vec{z}_1) - \mathbb{E}_g \left[\lambda^T F(\vec{\mathbf{U}}_{1:T}, \vec{\mathbf{Z}}_{1:T}) \right]$$
(42)

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{E}_{g} \left[\sum_{t=1}^{T} -\log \hat{f}(\vec{U}_{t} | \vec{\mathbf{U}}_{1:t-1}, \vec{\mathbf{Z}}_{1:t}) \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{g} \left[-\lambda^{T} F(\vec{\mathbf{U}}_{1:T}, \vec{\mathbf{Z}}_{1:T}) - \sum_{t=1}^{T-1} Q(\vec{\mathbf{U}}_{1:t}, \vec{\mathbf{Z}}_{1:t}) + \sum_{t=1}^{T} V(\vec{\mathbf{U}}_{1:t-1}, \vec{\mathbf{Z}}_{1:t}) \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{g} \left[-\lambda^{T} F(\vec{\mathbf{U}}_{1:T}, \vec{\mathbf{Z}}_{1:T}) \right] - \int_{\vec{\mathbf{u}}_{1:T}, \vec{\mathbf{z}}_{1:T}} g(\vec{\mathbf{u}}_{1:T}, \vec{\mathbf{z}}_{1:T}) \sum_{t=1}^{T-1} \int_{\vec{z}_{t+1}} f(\vec{z}_{t+1} | \vec{\mathbf{u}}_{1:t}, \vec{\mathbf{z}}_{1:t}) V(\vec{\mathbf{u}}_{1:t}, \vec{\mathbf{z}}_{1:t+1}) \\ &+ \int_{\vec{\mathbf{u}}_{1:T}, \vec{\mathbf{z}}_{1:T}} g(\vec{\mathbf{u}}_{1:T}, \vec{\mathbf{z}}_{1:T}) \sum_{t=1}^{T} V(\vec{\mathbf{u}}_{1:t-1}, \vec{\mathbf{z}}_{1:t}) \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{g} \left[-\lambda^{T} F(\vec{\mathbf{U}}_{1:T}, \vec{\mathbf{Z}}_{1:T}) \right] - \sum_{t=1}^{T-1} \int_{\vec{\mathbf{u}}_{1:t}, \vec{\mathbf{z}}_{1:t+1}} g(\vec{\mathbf{u}}_{1:t}, \vec{\mathbf{z}}_{1:t+1}) V(\vec{\mathbf{u}}_{1:t}, \vec{\mathbf{z}}_{1:t+1}) \\ &+ \int_{\vec{\mathbf{u}}_{1:T}, \vec{\mathbf{z}}_{1:T}} V(\vec{\mathbf{u}}_{1:t-1}, \vec{\mathbf{z}}_{1:t}) \sum_{t=1}^{T} V(\vec{\mathbf{u}}_{1:t-1}, \vec{\mathbf{z}}_{1:t}) \end{split}$$

which implies equation (42)

For any arbitrary causally conditional probability distribution $g(\vec{\mathbf{u}}_{1:T}||\vec{\mathbf{z}}_{1:T})$ satisfies with expectation constraint (41), we show:

$$H_{g}(\vec{\mathbf{U}}_{1:T}||\vec{\mathbf{Z}}_{1:T}) \leq H_{\hat{f}}(\vec{\mathbf{U}}_{1:T}||\vec{\mathbf{Z}}_{1:T})$$

$$\mathbb{E}_{g}\left[-\log g(\vec{\mathbf{U}}_{1:T}||\vec{\mathbf{Z}}_{1:T})\right]$$

$$= -\int_{\vec{\mathbf{u}}_{1:T},\vec{\mathbf{z}}_{1:T}} g(\vec{\mathbf{u}}_{1:T},\vec{\mathbf{z}}_{1:T}) \log \left(\frac{g(\vec{\mathbf{u}}_{1:T}||\vec{\mathbf{z}}_{1:T})f(\vec{\mathbf{z}}_{1:T}||\vec{\mathbf{u}}_{1:T-1})}{\hat{f}(\vec{\mathbf{u}}_{1:T}||\vec{\mathbf{z}}_{1:T})} \hat{f}(\vec{\mathbf{u}}_{1:T}||\vec{\mathbf{z}}_{1:T})\right)$$

$$= -D_{KL}\left(g(\vec{\mathbf{u}}_{1:T},\vec{\mathbf{z}}_{1:T})||\hat{f}(\vec{\mathbf{u}}_{1:T},\vec{\mathbf{z}}_{1:T})\right) - \int_{\vec{\mathbf{u}}_{1:T},\vec{\mathbf{z}}_{1:T}} g(\vec{\mathbf{u}}_{1:T},\vec{\mathbf{z}}_{1:T}) \log \hat{f}(\vec{\mathbf{u}}_{1:T}||\vec{\mathbf{z}}_{1:T})$$

$$\leq -\int_{\vec{\mathbf{u}}_{1:T},\vec{\mathbf{z}}_{1:T}} g(\vec{\mathbf{u}}_{1:T},\vec{\mathbf{z}}_{1:T}) \log \hat{f}(\vec{\mathbf{u}}_{1:T}||\vec{\mathbf{z}}_{1:T})$$

$$= \int_{\vec{\mathbf{z}}_{1}} f(\vec{\mathbf{z}}_{1})V(\vec{\mathbf{z}}_{1}) - \mathbb{E}_{g}\left[\lambda^{T}F(\vec{\mathbf{U}}_{1:T},\vec{\mathbf{z}}_{1:T})\right]$$

$$= \int_{\vec{\mathbf{z}}_{1}} f(\vec{\mathbf{z}}_{1})V(\vec{\mathbf{z}}_{1}) - \mathbb{E}_{\hat{f}}\left[\lambda^{T}F(\vec{\mathbf{U}}_{1:T},\vec{\mathbf{z}}_{1:T})\right]$$

$$= H_{\hat{f}}(\vec{\mathbf{U}}_{1:T}||\vec{\mathbf{Z}}_{1:T})$$

 D_{KL} is the Kullback-Leibler divergence which is non-negative[8]. Thus, $\hat{f}(\vec{u}_t|\vec{\mathbf{u}}_{1:t-1},\vec{\mathbf{z}}_{1:t})$ is the solution to the optimization problem in Lemma 2 incorporates with expectation constraint (41).

Proof of Theorem 1. We first incorporate the expectation constraint (20) into the constrained optimization problem defined in Lemma 2

$$\begin{split} &\mathbb{E}_{f(\vec{\mathbf{u}}_{1:T},\vec{\mathbf{z}}_{1:T+1},\vec{\mathbf{x}}_{1:T+1})} \left[\sum_{t=1}^{T+1} \vec{\mathbf{X}}_t \vec{\mathbf{X}}_t^T \right] \\ &= \int_{\vec{\mathbf{u}}_{1:T},\vec{\mathbf{z}}_{1:T+1},\vec{\mathbf{x}}_{1:T+1}} f(\vec{\mathbf{u}}_{1:T}||\vec{\mathbf{z}}_{1:T},\vec{\mathbf{x}}_{1:T}) f(\vec{\mathbf{z}}_{1:T+1},\vec{\mathbf{x}}_{1:T+1}||\vec{\mathbf{u}}_{1:T}) \sum_{t=1}^{T+1} \vec{x}_t \vec{x}_t^T \\ &= \int_{\vec{\mathbf{u}}_{1:T},\vec{\mathbf{z}}_{1:T}} f(\vec{\mathbf{u}}_{1:T}||\vec{\mathbf{z}}_{1:T}) f(\vec{\mathbf{z}}_{1:T}||\vec{\mathbf{u}}_{1:T-1}) \frac{\int_{\vec{\mathbf{x}}_{1:T+1},\vec{\mathbf{z}}_{T+1}} f(\vec{\mathbf{z}}_{1:T+1},\vec{\mathbf{x}}_{1:T+1}||\vec{\mathbf{u}}_{1:T}) \sum_{t=1}^{T+1} \vec{x}_t \vec{x}_t^T}{f(\vec{\mathbf{z}}_{1:T}||\vec{\mathbf{u}}_{1:T-1})} \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{f(\vec{\mathbf{u}}_{1:T},\vec{\mathbf{z}}_{1:T})} \left[\frac{\int_{\vec{\mathbf{X}}_{1:T+1},\vec{\mathbf{z}}_{T+1}} f(\vec{\mathbf{z}}_{1:T+1},\vec{\mathbf{X}}_{1:T+1}||\vec{\mathbf{U}}_{1:T}) \sum_{t=1}^{T+1} \vec{\mathbf{X}}_t \vec{\mathbf{X}}_t^T}{f(\vec{\mathbf{z}}_{1:T}||\vec{\mathbf{U}}_{1:T-1})} \right] \end{split}$$

According to Lemma 3, the solution to the constrained problem defined in Lemma 2 incorporates with the expected constraint (41) takes the following recursive form:

And let
$$V'(\vec{\mathbf{u}}_{1:T-2}, \vec{\mathbf{z}}_{1:T-1}) = \log \int_{\vec{u}_{T-1}} Q'(\vec{\mathbf{u}}_{1:T-1}, \vec{\mathbf{z}}_{1:T-1})$$

For t < T - 1, the argument to $Q'(\vec{\mathbf{u}}_{1:t}, \vec{\mathbf{z}}_{1:t}), V'(\vec{\mathbf{u}}_{1:t-1}, \vec{\mathbf{z}}_{1:t})$ is similar. We redefine $Q(\vec{\mathbf{u}}_{1:t}, \vec{\mathbf{z}}_{1:t}) = Q'(\vec{\mathbf{u}}_{1:t}, \vec{\mathbf{z}}_{1:t})$ and $V(\vec{\mathbf{u}}_{1:t-1}, \vec{\mathbf{z}}_{1:t}) = V'(\vec{\mathbf{u}}_{1:t-1}, \vec{\mathbf{z}}_{1:t})$ which gives the recursive form in Theorem 1.

Lemma 4. The distribution of belief state $\vec{\mathbf{X}}_t|b_t \sim N(\vec{\mu}_{b_t}, \Sigma_{b_t})$ is recursively defined as following and Σ_{b_t} is independent of b_t .

$$\vec{\mu}_{b_1} = \vec{\mu} + \Sigma_{d_1}^T \mathbf{C}^T (\Sigma_o + \mathbf{C} \Sigma_{d_1}^T \mathbf{C}^T)^{-1} (\vec{\mathbf{Z}}_1 - \mathbf{C} \vec{\mu})$$
(43)

$$\Sigma_{b_1} = \Sigma_{d_1} - \Sigma_{d_1}^T \mathbf{C}^T (\Sigma_o + \mathbf{C} \Sigma_{d_1}^T \mathbf{C}^T)^{-1} \mathbf{C} \Sigma_{d_1}$$

$$\tag{44}$$

$$\vec{\mu}_{b_{t+1}} = \mathbf{B}\vec{\mathbf{U}}_t + \mathbf{A}\vec{\mu}_{b_t} + (\Sigma_d + \mathbf{A}\Sigma_{b_t}^T \mathbf{A}^T)^T \mathbf{C}^T$$

$$(\Sigma_o + \mathbf{C}(\Sigma_d + \mathbf{A}\Sigma_{b_t}^T \mathbf{A}^T)^T \mathbf{C}^T)^{-1} (\vec{\mathbf{Z}}_{t+1} - \mathbf{C}(\mathbf{B}\vec{\mathbf{U}}_t + \mathbf{A}\vec{\mu}_{b_t}))$$
(45)

$$\Sigma_{b_{t+1}} = \Sigma_d + \mathbf{A} \Sigma_{b_t}^T \mathbf{A}^T - (\Sigma_d + \mathbf{A} \Sigma_{b_t}^T \mathbf{A}^T)^T \mathbf{C}^T$$

$$(\Sigma_o + \mathbf{C}(\Sigma_d + \mathbf{A}\Sigma_{b_t}^T \mathbf{A}^T)^T \mathbf{C}^T)^{-1} \mathbf{C}(\Sigma_d + \mathbf{A}\Sigma_{b_t}^T \mathbf{A}^T)$$
(46)

Proof of Lemma 4. Since $\vec{\mathbf{Z}}_1|\vec{x}_1 \sim N(\mathbf{C}\vec{x}_1, \Sigma_o)$ and $\vec{\mathbf{X}}_1 \sim N(\vec{\mu}, \Sigma_{d_1})$, applying Gaussian transformation techniques, it is easy to show that the distribution of initial belief state $\vec{\mathbf{X}}_1|b_1$ (that is $\vec{\mathbf{X}}_1|\vec{z}_1$) is a Gaussian distribution with mean (43) and variance (44).

Note that
$$f(\vec{x}_{t+1}|\vec{x}_t, \vec{u}_t, b_t) = f(\vec{x}_{t+1}|\vec{x}_t, \vec{u}_t)$$
 $\vec{\mathbf{X}}_{t+1}|\vec{x}_t, \vec{u}_t \sim N(\mathbf{A}\vec{x}_t + \mathbf{B}\vec{u}_t, \Sigma_d)$

$$f(\vec{x}_t|\vec{u}_t, b_t) = f(\vec{x}_t|b_t) \quad \vec{\mathbf{X}}_t|b_t \sim N(\vec{\mu}_{b_t}, \Sigma_{b_t})$$
Then $\vec{\mathbf{X}}_{t+1}|\vec{u}_t, b_t \sim N(\mathbf{B}\vec{u}_t + \mathbf{A}\vec{\mu}_{b_t}, \Sigma_d + \mathbf{A}\Sigma_{b_t}^T\mathbf{A}^T)$
Furthermore $f(\vec{z}_{t+1}|\vec{x}_{t+1}, \vec{u}_t, b_t) = f(\vec{z}_{t+1}|\vec{x}_{t+1})$ $\vec{\mathbf{Z}}_{t+1}|\vec{x}_{t+1} \sim N(\mathbf{C}\vec{x}_{t+1}, \Sigma_o)$

Thus, it's easy to show the distribution of $\vec{\mathbf{X}}_{t+1}, \vec{\mathbf{Z}}_{t+1} | \vec{u}_t, b_t$) is:

$$N\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{B}\vec{u}_t + \mathbf{A}\vec{\mu}_{b_t} & \Sigma_d + \mathbf{A}\Sigma_{b_t}^T\mathbf{A}^T & (\Sigma_d + \mathbf{A}\Sigma_{b_t}^T\mathbf{A}^T)^T\mathbf{C}^T \\ \mathbf{C}(\mathbf{B}\vec{u}_t + \mathbf{A}\vec{\mu}_{b_t}) & \mathbf{C}(\Sigma_d + \mathbf{A}\Sigma_{b_t}^T\mathbf{A}^T) & \Sigma_o + \mathbf{C}(\Sigma_d + \mathbf{A}\Sigma_{b_t}^T\mathbf{A}^T)^T\mathbf{C}^T \end{pmatrix}$$

Finally, $f(\vec{x}_{t+1}|b_{t+1}) = f(\vec{x}_{t+1}|\vec{z}_{t+1},\vec{u}_t,b_t) = f(\vec{x}_{t+1},\vec{z}_{t+1}|\vec{u}_t,b_t)/f(\vec{z}_{t+1}|\vec{u}_t,b_t)$ which gives the distribution of $\vec{X}_{t+1}|b_{t+1}$ with mean (45) and variance (46).

Proof of Theorem 2.

$$\begin{split} &\mathbb{E}[\vec{\mathbf{X}}_{t+1}^T\mathbf{M}\vec{\mathbf{X}}_{t+1}|\vec{\mathbf{u}}_{1:t},\vec{\mathbf{z}}_{1:t}] = \mathbb{E}[\vec{\mathbf{X}}_{t+1}^T\mathbf{M}\vec{\mathbf{X}}_{t+1}|\vec{u}_t,b_t] \\ &= (\mathbf{B}\vec{u}_t + \mathbf{A}\vec{\mu}_{b_t})^T\mathbf{M}(\mathbf{B}\vec{u}_t + \mathbf{A}\vec{\mu}_{b_t}) + tr(\mathbf{M}(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_d + \mathbf{A}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{b_t}^T\mathbf{A}^T)) \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} \vec{u}_t \\ \vec{\mu}_{b_t} \end{bmatrix}^T \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{B} & \mathbf{A} \end{bmatrix}^T\mathbf{M} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{B} & \mathbf{A} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \vec{u}_t \\ \vec{\mu}_{b_t} \end{bmatrix} + constant \\ & \text{Thus } Q(\vec{\mathbf{u}}_{1:T},\vec{\mathbf{z}}_{1:T}) = Q(\vec{u}_T,\vec{\mu}_{b_T}) = \mathbb{E}[\vec{\mathbf{X}}_{t+1}^T\mathbf{M}\vec{\mathbf{X}}_{t+1}|\vec{u}_t,b_t] \text{ gives } \mathbf{W}_T. \\ &V(\vec{\mathbf{u}}_{1:T-1},\vec{\mathbf{z}}_{1:T}) = V(\vec{\mu}_{b_T}) = V(\vec{z}_T,\vec{u}_{T-1},\vec{\mu}_{T-1}) = \log \int_{\vec{u}_T} e^{Q(\vec{u}_T,\vec{\mu}_{b_T})} \\ &= \vec{\mu}_{b_T}^T(\mathbf{W}_{T(\mu,\mu)} - \mathbf{W}_{T(U,\mu)}^T\mathbf{W}_{T(U,U)}^T\mathbf{W}_{T(U,\mu)})\vec{\mu}_{b_T} + constant \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} \vec{z}_T \\ \vec{u}_{T-1} \\ \vec{\mu}_{b_{T-1}} \end{bmatrix}^T \mathbf{P}_T^T(\mathbf{W}_{T(\mu,\mu)} - \mathbf{W}_{T(U,\mu)}^T\mathbf{W}_{T(U,U)}^T\mathbf{W}_{T(U,U)}^T\mathbf{W}_{T(U,\mu)}) \mathbf{P}_T \begin{bmatrix} \vec{z}_T \\ \vec{u}_{T-1} \\ \vec{\mu}_{b_{T-1}} \end{bmatrix} + constant \\ \text{which gives } \mathbf{D}_T. \\ &\text{Thus } \mathbb{E}[V(\vec{\mathbf{U}}_{1:t},\vec{\mathbf{Z}}_{1:t+1})|\vec{\mathbf{u}}_{1:t},\vec{\mathbf{z}}_{1:t}] = \mathbb{E}[V(\vec{\mathbf{Z}}_{t+1},\vec{\mathbf{U}}_t,\vec{\mu}_{b_t})|\vec{u}_t,\vec{\mu}_{b_t}] \\ &= \mathbb{E}\left[\begin{bmatrix} \vec{u}_t \\ \vec{\mu}_{b_t} \end{bmatrix}^T \mathbf{D}_{t+1(u\mu,z)}\vec{\mathbf{Z}}_{t+1} + \vec{\mathbf{Z}}_{t+1}^T\mathbf{D}_{t+1(z,u\mu)} \begin{bmatrix} \vec{u}_t \\ \vec{\mu}_{b_t} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \vec{u}_t \\ \vec{\mu}_{b_t} \end{bmatrix}^T \mathbf{D}_{t+1(u\mu,u\mu)} \begin{bmatrix} \vec{u}_t \\ \vec{\mu}_{b_t} \end{bmatrix} + constant \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} \vec{u}_t \\ \vec{t}_{b_t} \end{bmatrix}^T \mathbf{D}_{t+1(u\mu,z)}\mathbf{C}_{BA} + \mathbf{C}_{BA}^T\mathbf{D}_{t+1(z,u\mu)} \\ &+ \mathbf{C}_{BA}^T\mathbf{D}_{t+1(u,u)}\mathbf{C}_{BA} + \mathbf{C}_{BA}^T\mathbf{D}_{t+1(u,u\mu,u\mu)} \begin{bmatrix} \vec{u}_t \\ \vec{\mu}_{b_t} \end{bmatrix} \\ &+ \mathbf{C}_{BA}^T\mathbf{D}_{t+1(u,u)}\mathbf{C}_{BA} + \mathbf{C}_{BA}^T\mathbf{D}_{t+1(u,u\mu,u\mu)} \begin{bmatrix} \vec{u}_t \\ \vec{\mu}_{b_t} \end{bmatrix} \\ &+ \mathbf{C}_{BA}^T\mathbf{D}_{t+1(u,u)}\mathbf{C}_{BA} + \mathbf{C}_{BA}^T\mathbf{D}_{t+1(u,u\mu,u\mu)} \begin{bmatrix} \vec{u}_t \\ \vec{\mu}_{b_t} \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

Proof of Theorem 3. It's easy to check the initial setting $\mathbf{W}_T = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{B} & \mathbf{A} \end{bmatrix}^T \mathbf{M} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{B} & \mathbf{A} \end{bmatrix}$ matches (5). For general case, we plug $\mathbf{D}_{t+1}(27)$ into \mathbf{W}_t (26) and check with $\mathbf{W}_{t(U,U)}$ first. To simplify proof, let's define

$$\phi_t = \mathbf{W}_{t(\mu,\mu)} - \mathbf{W}_{t(U,\mu)}^T \mathbf{W}_{t(U,U)}^{-1} \mathbf{W}_{t(U,\mu)}.$$

Then from (26),(27)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{W}_t &= \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{B} & \mathbf{A} \end{bmatrix}^T \mathbf{M} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{B} & \mathbf{A} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{B} - \mathbf{E}_{t+1} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{B} & \mathbf{A} - \mathbf{E}_{t+1} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{A} \end{bmatrix}^T \phi_{t+1} \mathbf{E}_{t+1} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{B} & \mathbf{C} \mathbf{A} \end{bmatrix} + \\ & \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{B} & \mathbf{C} \mathbf{A} \end{bmatrix}^T \mathbf{E}_{t+1}^T \phi_{t+1} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{B} - \mathbf{E}_{t+1} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{B} & \mathbf{A} - \mathbf{E}_{t+1} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{A} \end{bmatrix} + \\ & \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{B} & \mathbf{C} \mathbf{A} \end{bmatrix}^T \mathbf{E}_{t+1}^T \phi_{t+1} \mathbf{E}_{t+1} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{B} & \mathbf{C} \mathbf{A} \end{bmatrix} + \\ & \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{B} - \mathbf{E}_{t+1} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{B} & \mathbf{A} - \mathbf{E}_{t+1} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{A} \end{bmatrix}^T \phi_{t+1} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{B} - \mathbf{E}_{t+1} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{B} & \mathbf{A} - \mathbf{E}_{t+1} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{A} \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

$$\mathbf{W}_{t(U,U)} = \mathbf{B}^{T} \mathbf{M} \mathbf{B} + (\mathbf{B} - \mathbf{E}_{t+1} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{B})^{T} \phi_{t+1} \mathbf{E}_{t+1} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{B} + (\mathbf{E}_{t+1} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{B})^{T} \phi_{t+1} (\mathbf{B} - \mathbf{E}_{t+1} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{B}) + (\mathbf{E}_{t+1} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{B})^{T} \phi_{t+1} \mathbf{E}_{t+1} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{B} + (\mathbf{B} - \mathbf{E}_{t+1} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{B})^{T} \phi_{t+1} (\mathbf{B} - \mathbf{E}_{t+1} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{B})$$

$$= \mathbf{B}^{T} \mathbf{M} \mathbf{B} + \mathbf{B}^{T} \phi_{t+1} \mathbf{B}$$
That is $\mathbf{B}^{T} \mathbf{F}_{t+1} \mathbf{B} = \mathbf{B}^{T} \mathbf{M} \mathbf{B} + \mathbf{B}^{T} \phi_{t+1} \mathbf{B}$. (47)

By plugging out ϕ_{t+1} , the equation (47) matches equation (5). $\mathbf{W}_{t(U,\mu)}$, $\mathbf{W}_{t(\mu,U)}$, $\mathbf{W}_{t(\mu,\mu)}$ follow similar argument.