

# Math Basics for Binary Medical Image Classification

## 1 Data and Model

We have input images  $x \in \mathcal{X}$  with binary labels  $y \in \{0, 1\}$  (e.g., **pneumonia** vs. **normal**). A neural network with parameters  $\theta$  maps  $x$  to two *logits*:

$$\mathbf{z}(x; \theta) = (z_0(x; \theta), z_1(x; \theta)) \in \mathbb{R}^2.$$

We convert logits to class probabilities using the *softmax*:

$$p_\theta(y = k \mid x) = \frac{e^{z_k(x; \theta)}}{e^{z_0(x; \theta)} + e^{z_1(x; \theta)}} \quad (k = 0, 1).$$

The prediction is  $\hat{y} = \arg \max_k p_\theta(y = k \mid x)$ , and the model's confidence is  $\max_k p_\theta(y = k \mid x)$ .

## 2 Training Objective: Cross-Entropy

Given a training set  $\{(x_i, y_i)\}_{i=1}^n$ , we minimize the average *cross-entropy* (*CE*) loss:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{CE}}(\theta) = -\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \left[ \mathbf{1}\{y_i = 1\} \log p_\theta(1 \mid x_i) + \mathbf{1}\{y_i = 0\} \log p_\theta(0 \mid x_i) \right].$$

This encourages the model to assign high probability to the true class. We optimize  $\theta$  by gradient-based methods (e.g., AdamW).

## 3 Evaluation: Accuracy

On a test set  $\{(x_j, y_j)\}_{j=1}^m$ , the *accuracy* is

$$\text{Acc} = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^m \mathbf{1}\{\hat{y}_j = y_j\}.$$

Accuracy checks “how often we are correct” under a fixed decision threshold (argmax).

## 4 Evaluation: AUROC (Discrimination)

For binary tasks, let  $s(x) = p_\theta(1 \mid x)$  be the positive-class score. The *ROC* curve varies a threshold  $t$  and plots True Positive Rate vs. False Positive Rate. The *Area Under the ROC* (*AUROC*) can be understood as

$$\text{AUROC} = \Pr[s(x^+) > s(x^-)],$$

the probability that a randomly chosen positive example  $x^+$  scores higher than a randomly chosen negative example  $x^-$ . AUROC measures *ranking quality* regardless of any fixed threshold.

## 5 Calibration and ECE

A model is *well-calibrated* if its predicted confidence matches the empirical accuracy. Let  $c_j = \max_k p_\theta(y = k \mid x_j)$  be the confidence of prediction for  $x_j$ . Divide  $[0, 1]$  into  $B$  bins, e.g.  $[0, \frac{1}{B}), [\frac{1}{B}, \frac{2}{B}), \dots$ . For bin  $b$ , define

$$\text{conf}(b) = \frac{1}{|b|} \sum_{j \in b} c_j, \quad \text{acc}(b) = \frac{1}{|b|} \sum_{j \in b} \mathbf{1}\{\hat{y}_j = y_j\},$$

where  $|b|$  is the number of test points whose confidences fall in bin  $b$ . The *Expected Calibration Error (ECE)* is the weighted average of the absolute gap:

$$\text{ECE} = \sum_{b=1}^B \frac{|b|}{m} |\text{acc}(b) - \text{conf}(b)|.$$

Small ECE means “when the model says 0.8, it is correct about 80% of the time”.

**Reliability Diagram.** Plot  $\text{acc}(b)$  (vertical) versus  $\text{conf}(b)$  (horizontal) with the diagonal line  $y = x$ . Points close to the diagonal indicate better calibration.

## 6 Post-hoc Temperature Scaling

To improve calibration without changing the classifier’s ranking, we adjust logits by a single *temperature*  $T > 0$  (learned on a validation set):

$$\tilde{\mathbf{z}}(x; \theta, T) = \frac{\mathbf{z}(x; \theta)}{T}, \quad \tilde{p}_\theta(y = k \mid x; T) = \frac{e^{\tilde{z}_k(x; \theta, T)}}{\sum_\ell e^{\tilde{z}_\ell(x; \theta, T)}}.$$

We choose  $T$  to minimize the validation negative log-likelihood (NLL):

$$T^* = \arg \min_{T > 0} - \sum_{(x, y) \in \text{Val}} \log \tilde{p}_\theta(y \mid x; T).$$

Applying  $T^*$  typically reduces ECE (better-calibrated probabilities) while leaving AUROC almost unchanged (ranking preserved).

## 7 Generalization: Train/Validation/Test

To estimate performance fairly:

- **Train** set fits parameters  $\theta$  by minimizing  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{CE}}$ .
- **Validation** set tunes choices (e.g., early stopping, temperature  $T$ ).
- **Test** set is used once for the final report (no tuning).

Good models have high AUROC/accuracy on validation and test, and low ECE (honest probabilities).