



## LeetCode 496 — Next Greater Element I

### 1. Problem Title & Link

- **Title:** LeetCode 496 — Next Greater Element I
- **Link:** <https://leetcode.com/problems/next-greater-element-i/>

### 2. Problem Statement (Short Summary)

You are given two arrays:

- `nums1` — a subset of `nums2`
- `nums2` — distinct integers

For each element in `nums1`, find its **next greater element** in `nums2`.

**Next Greater Element** = first element to the **right** in `nums2` that is **strictly greater**.

If none exists → return -1.

### 3. Examples (Input → Output)

#### Example 1

Input: `nums1 = [4,1,2]`, `nums2 = [1,3,4,2]`

Output: `[-1,3,-1]`

#### Example 2

Input: `nums1 = [2,4]`, `nums2 = [1,2,3,4]`

Output: `[3,-1]`

### 4. Constraints

- $1 \leq \text{nums1.length} \leq \text{nums2.length} \leq 1000$
- All values are unique
- $\text{nums1} \subseteq \text{nums2}$
- Must return answers in order of `nums1`

### 5. Core Concept (Pattern / Topic)

#### Monotonic Stack (Decrease Stack) + Hashmap

Scan `nums2` from left to right and maintain a **monotonic decreasing stack**:

- When a number is **greater** than stack top → it is the “next greater” for that top.
- Pop stack, assign its next greater in a hashmap.
- Push current number.

Finally, use hashmap for fast lookup for `nums1`.

### 6. Thought Process (Step-by-Step Explanation)



1. Traverse nums2
2. Maintain a stack where elements are **waiting** for next greater element
3. For each num:
  - While stack NOT empty and num > stack.top:
    - stack.top has found its next greater → store: nextGreater[x] = num
    - pop stack
  - Push current num
4. After finishing → remaining stack elements have no next greater → map them to -1
5. Answer queries for nums1 using hashmap

This gives **O(n)** time complexity.

## 7. Visual / Intuition Diagram

Example:

nums2 = [1, 3, 4, 2]

stack = []

Process:

- 1 → stack = [1]
- 3 → 3 > 1 → nextGreater[1] = 3, stack = [3]
- 4 → 4 > 3 → nextGreater[3] = 4, stack = [4]
- 2 → 2 < 4 → stack = [4, 2]

Remaining:

- nextGreater[4] = -1
- nextGreater[2] = -1

## 8. Pseudocode

```
map = {}
stack = empty

for num in nums2:
    while stack not empty and num > stack.top:
        map[stack.pop()] = num
    push num into stack

while stack not empty:
    map[stack.pop()] = -1

result = []
for num in nums1:
    result.add(map[num])
```



```
return result
```

## 9. Code Implementation

### ✓ Python

```
class Solution:
    def nextGreaterElement(self, nums1: List[int], nums2: List[int]) ->
List[int]:
    stack = []
    nge = {} # map: num -> next greater

    for num in nums2:
        while stack and num > stack[-1]:
            nge[stack.pop()] = num
        stack.append(num)

    # remaining have no next greater
    while stack:
        nge[stack.pop()] = -1

    return [nge[n] for n in nums1]
```

### ✓ Java

```
class Solution {
    public int[] nextGreaterElement(int[] nums1, int[] nums2) {
        Map<Integer, Integer> map = new HashMap<>();
        Stack<Integer> stack = new Stack<>();

        for (int num : nums2) {
            while (!stack.isEmpty() && num > stack.peek()) {
                map.put(stack.pop(), num);
            }
            stack.push(num);
        }

        while (!stack.isEmpty()) {
            map.put(stack.pop(), -1);
        }

        int[] res = new int[nums1.length];
```



```

        for (int i = 0; i < nums1.length; i++) {
            res[i] = map.get(nums1[i]);
        }

        return res;
    }
}

```

## 10. Time & Space Complexity

Metric	Complexity
Time	$O(n + m)$ — every element pushed + popped once
Space	$O(n)$ — stack + hashmap

## 11. Common Mistakes / Edge Cases

### ✗ Mistakes:

- Using nested loops  $\rightarrow O(n^2)$
- Confusing “next greater” with “greater anywhere”
- Forgetting to set remaining elements to -1
- Returning results in wrong order for nums1

### Edge cases:

- $\text{nums1} = \text{nums2}$
- strictly decreasing array
- $\text{nums2}$  of size 1

## 12. Detailed Dry Run (Step-by-Step)

Input:

$\text{nums1} = [4, 1, 2]$

$\text{nums2} = [1, 3, 4, 2]$

Process  $\text{nums2}$ :

1.  $\text{num} = 1$   
 $\text{stack} = [1]$
2.  $\text{num} = 3$   
 $3 > 1 \rightarrow \text{nextGreater}[1] = 3$   
 $\text{stack} = [3]$



3. num = 4  
4 > 3 → nextGreater[3] = 4  
stack = [4]
4. num = 2  
2 < 4 → push  
stack = [4,2]

Remaining:

- nextGreater[2] = -1
- nextGreater[4] = -1

Final map:

- 1 → 3
- 3 → 4
- 4 → -1
- 2 → -1

Answer for nums1:

[4→-1, 1→3, 2→-1] = [-1, 3, -1]

### 13. Common Use Cases

- Stock next greater price
- Next warmer day
- Bracket matching variants
- Stack-based monotonic patterns
- Scheduling tasks

### 14. Common Traps

- Forgetting that nums1 order must remain unchanged
- Misusing stack (push > pop order incorrect)
- Looking left instead of right

### 15. Builds To (Related Problems)

- **LC 503** — Next Greater Element II (circular array)
- **LC 739** — Daily Temperatures
- **LC 901** — Online Stock Span
- **LC 84** — Largest Rectangle in Histogram



## 16. Alternate Approaches + Comparison

Approach	Time	Space	Notes
Monotonic Stack	$O(n)$	$O(n)$	✓ Best
Brute Force	$O(n^2)$	$O(1)$	Too slow
Reverse scanning + stack	$O(n)$	$O(n)$	Similar but more steps

## 17. Why This Solution Works (Short Intuition)

By maintaining a **monotonic decreasing stack**, every time a bigger number appears, it becomes the next greater element for all smaller numbers on the stack. Only one pass needed.

## 18. Variations / Follow-Up Questions

- What if nums2 has duplicates?
- What if we want next **smaller** element?
- What if we want previous greater element?
- Circular version (LC 503)?