A Survey of XAI Methods and Alignment with Autonmous Vehicles

David Kaczynski
University of Michigan

***Abstract*—This is my abstract. So, I've been looking a lot at the interpretation and explanation of black box machine learning methods, namely, neural networks, because they're mysterious, fascinating, and a little bit scary. There are lots of options available for tools for developing neural networks, but the workflow of a data scientist is pretty well defined: data preparation, feature extraction, dimensionality reduction, training, testing, and analysis of results. We are specifically going to be focusing on a specific set of methods for analyzing results known as XAI, eXplainable Artificial intelligence. In this paper, we explore the history and background that has popularized the use of black box machine learning methods, their relationships with society, the problems that XAI hopes to solve, and the challenges it faces in doing so. Then, we take our exploration of XAI a step further by aligning its use cases with the development and use of autonomous vehicle technology.**

I. Introduction

A. History and Concepts

Machine learning and artificial intelligence (AI/ML) have gained mainstream attention as consumer products featuring these technologies permeate into everyday life. Healthcare, transportation, supply chains, stock markets, social media, national security, genetic engineering, political science, and smart homes are all applying AI/ML to make faster, more accurate decisions and to automate tasks that previously required a human expert [citations needed]. As a wider audience is exposed to AI/ML, new questions are on the tips of people's tongues, like "what is the difference between artificial intelligence and machine learning?" and "do I need to be worried about computers making decisions that directly impact my health, security, and privacy?".

While artificial intelligence is a more familiar term and has a broader, amorphous definition, machine learning is a branch of AI that can be defined relatively explicitely. Machine learning is the algorithmic processing of training data to create a computer program that can be used for repeatable tasks, such as making decisions or extracting insights from data. In this sense, the computer can said to be "learning" by looking at existing data to create a model that can be applied to new data. Some methods, such linear regression, are old news scooby doo. Even the hot topic of neural networks was introduced while you were in grade school. What *is* new is the availability of data, the raging locomotive research interest, and powerful GPUs and distributed commodity hardware to actually perform large scale AI/ML activity. Despite AI being directly in the name, the field of XAI typically is more focused on so-called "black box" machine learning models, such as deep neural networks.

Deep learning is a relatively new set of methods of machine learning based off an older concept called neural networks. Thanks to advancement in GPU technology, cheaper and faster processing of linear equations has become affordable and available to a wider audience of consumers, including researchers, hobbyists, and professionals. They excel and these things but not at those things, but hey, they're even getting better at those things like creeping us out with people faces.

Love it or hate it, AI/ML are like the Patriots: they're here, they're winning, and there's nothing you can do about it. Our lives are on the line. Seriously. Medical diagnosis, autonomous vehicles, personal and private details from the IoT...for safety, trust, and progress, XAI is srs, srsly.

B. Organization of Paper

This paper is organized into the following sections.

- *Background*: Specifically, what are existing methods of XAI? Also, there are some other pretty darn thorough surveys on XAI.
- *Use Cases*: Methods of XAI can be used to the benefit users with a wide variety of backgrounds. We identify four general use cases for data scientists and consumers.
- *Alignment with Autonomous Vehicles*: The perspectives of both engineers and consumers of autonomous vehicles (AV) are considered as the relationship between XAI and AV are explored.
- *Challenges*: XAI is a relatively young field, lacking formality, and there are several legitimate criticisms against it. It is important to recognize the shortcomings of XAI and assess the current feasibility and progress in overcoming its obstacles.
- *Conclusion and Future Research*: A summary of the alignment between XAI and AV is presented along with an outline of how identified obstacles may be approached.

II. Background

XAI is the interpretation and explanation of machine learning models. In order to go further, the concepts of "interpretation" and "explanation" warrant a more formal definition:

- an **interpretation** is the mapping of an abstract concept (e.g. a predicted class) into a domain that the human can make sense of, and
- an **explanation** is the collection of features of the interpretable domain, that have contributed for a given example to produce a decision (e.g. classification or regression) [1].

Interpretations are often presented in the form of a heat map layer on an image, natural language generated to either describe a decision or to describe a boundary of what a model can or cannot do, or an easier-to-explain model, such as a decision tree. An explanation is the relationship between a human-interpretable concept, such as words or shapes, some aspect of a machine learning model, such as how or why a decision was made. There is ambiguity and a lack of formality around the concept of an explanation that is discussed further in V Challenges in XAI.

Some trained ML models may have easier-to-explain internals than others. Decision trees are a common example of an explainable ML model. The output of the decision tree can be directly back to identify the values of specific features that lead the model to its decision. On the other hand, the internals of deep neural networks (DNN), by default, are opaque and are not directly interpretable. The any node in the output layer of a neural network has many inputs with many weights, each of which may have many more inputs with many weights. The value of a single input feature can propagate through potentially every node in a neural network, making it challenging to isolate the contribution of the value of a single feature in the decision of the model. In addition to the challenge in tracing a single feature through the a DNN, a single feature, such as the pixel in an image, may not have an isolated interpretation for humans to understand. In that sense, the relevancy of an isolated input feature may not have meaning or value from the human's perspective. Other difficult-to-explain machine learning models include support vector machines (SVM), random forests, and Gaussian belief networks.

There are various methods of XAI that can be applied in machine learning, both during or after the training of the ML model. A priori methods are those in which a traditionally black box model can be constructed in such a way that it either is easier to explain with other methods or can generate an explanation alongside its traditional output. Examples of generated explanations include using a LSTM DNN alongside a CNN to generate natural language explanations [2] or embedding prototypes of outputs classes directly in the CNN [3]. A posteriori methods of XAI include visualizations techniques such as Deep Taylor decomposition and Layer-wise Relevance Propagation to generate heat maps that can be over-layed on the original input to help identify patterns in the relevancy of input features, such as highlighting shapes or regions that either supported or detracted from the network's decision.

In this section, methods of XAI or organized into the types of artifacts that are generated: visualization, verbalization, data provenance, and model induction.

A. Visualization Techniques

1) LRP: Layer-wise relevance propagation (LRP) is an a posteriori method of generating heat maps, or saliency maps, to highlight the positive and, sometimes, negative relevancy of input features in the output layer, or decision, of a DNN. LRP is functionally a backward pass of the output layer back through the neural network to the input layer. At its core, LRP is not a mathematical function but a set of constraints that defines properties of the relationship between layers in a DNN. Data scientists can substitute existing or new activation functions to apply different highlight with different levels of sensitivity the relationship between the input and output layers of the DNN.

The heat maps generated by LRP are not limited to image inputs. Researchers have applied heat maps generate dy LRP to natural language, genetic sequences, and 3D models of molecules.

2) Activation maximization: Activation maximization is an a posteriori method for generating an input for a model that maximizes the activation of a specific output neuron[4], such as a class label. In theory, the input that maximizes the output would be some sort of ideal or target input, but in practice, the input that maximizes the activation of a neuron may not resemble other similar training samples. Further literature searching needs to be done in this area to identify cases in which valuable relationships were discovered via AM.

3) Prototypes in CNNs: Thus far in research, the concept of building a CNN with a prototype layer has been applied in image classification tasks. A prototype is essentially an image of one of the target labels of the classifier. The prototype image can either be a subset of an image from the training data or it can be images of the subject class from outside of the training data. When the prototype layer is constructed from subsets of training images, the theory is that each prototype represents some interpretable, defining characteristic of the class, such as a color pattern on a bird or the shape of ears on a bear.

Once a CNN has been constructed using a prototype layer, a data scientist can inspect the activation of various prototypes from that layer to gain insights into the importance of those characteristics in the CNN's decision.

B. Verbalization of Explanations

1) Generating explanation in parallel: Verbalization of CNN decisions [2]

2) Counterfactual explanations: An introduction of counter factual explanations as a method of explaining decisions without interpreting "black box" internals [5]

3) Rule-based decision systems: While rule-based decision systems may not be the result of a machine learning method of training, these rule-based systems excel at verbal explanations. Soar is a good example of this.

C. Data Provenance

Data provenance is the attribution of the origins of data and the transformation that it undergoes in its journey. Data provenance can be used to trace decisions and analysis to the raw input data. Also, data provenance can be used to analyze how specific training samples influence an ML model.

1) LAMP: Calculating the contribution of individual training samples to a trained model's decision [6]

2) Metadata persistence: Heterogenous tools are available for modern data scientists, but much of the workflow of a data scientist remains the same: clean, extract, train, and evaluate (oversimplified). Data provenance can be used to

create relationships between the raw sources of data through all of the transformations and training that generated an ML model, along with relationships to various evaluation metrics. In this context, data provenance can be considered a method of XAI that explains how a model was created and how its performance compares to the performance of other models, all the way from raw training data to statistical analysis of test results. Architectures, data models, and wrappers have been developed to aid data scientists in capturing valuable metadata on the workflow of developing ML models.

D. Model Induction

Model induction is the generating a more explainable model, such as a decision tree, from a black box model, such as a DNN.

E. Existing Surveys in XAI

- Comprehensive survey of visualizations as XAI techniques [7]
- Methods of LRP & heatmaps and their various use cases in research[1]
- A comprehensive survey of a variety of XAI techniques, includes sensitivity analysis (like heatmapping), rule and model extraction, activation maximization, and more [8], but it doesn't mention verbalization as a technique
- Network graphs plotting relationships between topics and sub-topics related to XAI [9]
- Another broad survey of techniques in the field of XAI along with a discussion on its history and fundamental concepts, but again, no mention of verbalization as a technique[10]

III. Use Cases

We've layed out various methods of XAI, but the question still remains of how do these methods provide value to anyone. Here, we explore the various users who interface with XAI and what value is provided.

A. As a data scientist, I can use the explanation of model decisions to identify opportunities to improve the training dataset and make the model more robust

- Montavan et al provide multiple real examples of researchers using a popular XAI method called Layerwise Relevance Propogation (LRP) for convolutional neural networks [1]. In one example, it was discovered that a computer vision classifier was classifying horses based on a watermark that was present in the training dataset.
- The image of a dog was misclassified as a wolf [11]. Feature relevancy revealed that the snowy ground around the dog was deemed as the most relevant input pixels. The model can be improved by adding images of dogs with snowy backdrops to the training dataset.
- Liu et al focus on interpreting the decisions of a model used to identify cybersecurity threats [12]. The researchers interpret the model's decisions that failed to successfully label threats. The interpretations help the researchers identify how to generate specific perterbations in the training data that improve the training of the model against previously misidentified threats. The accuracy of the model improves.
- LAMP is a tool that can trace the decision of a trained model to the importance that individual samples had on that decision. [6]

B. As a potential consumer of an AI/ML product, such as an autonomous vehicle or virtual assistant, an explanation of how the product is making its decisions will improve my trust in the product

- Human subjects are asked about how much they trust a classifier before and after its explanations are made available [11].
- Decisions from autonomous vehicles and advanced driver assistance systems (ADAS) may be explained using XAI methods to answer the questions "How?" and "Why?". Koo et al measure both the emotional impact and impact in making safer decisions when drivers are provided answers to these questions [13]

C. As a provider of an AI/ML product, I am responsible for providing an explanation for how my product makes its decisions in the case of being the subject of an investigation or defendant in a lawsuit

- Human subjects conduct fictitious banking scenarios, both with and without descrimination-aware data mining (DADM) tools [14]. The accuracy and presence of discrimination in decisions was compared across tools.
- Autonomous vehicles and vehicles with ADAS from Tesla, Google, and GM Cruise have been involved with numerous traffic incidencts, ranging from trivial to fatal [15] [16] [17] [18]
- Researchers discuss non-technical challenges and high-level technical challenges in investigating transparent model design in private industry [19]
- In the criminal justice system, judges and parole boards may apply predictive models as tools in making decisions. Racial discrimination has been uncovered in such tools [20] [21].
- A magazine article describes the GPDR's "right to explanation" and includes a survey of research in identifying and rectifying descrimination [22]

D. As a member of a team of data scientists, I want to improve collaboration and reduce the duplication of effort by being able to effectively store, query, and trace how and on what data our AI/ML models were trained, and, potentially, be able to trace a decision to the relevancy of each training item

- Researchers develop a tool on the distributed computation framework Spark that traces individual records through transformations and their relationship to aggregated or dervied values [23].
- A team at from Amazon developed a platform and storage schema for effectively persisting and querying the activity

of heterogenous ML tools [24], providing the ability to replicate training of models and a platform for conducting analytics across development of ML models.

IV. Alignment with Autonomous Vehicles

The use cases defined in III Use Cases span users with a wide variety of backgrounds.

V. Challenges in XAI

- Feature relevancy can be compromised by using a mask on the input that is virtually undetectable to the human eye [25].
- The concept of an explanation is subjective; there is no quantitative way of saying "yes, this decision is explained" or "no, this decision is not explained." [26]
- Due to the diverse audience of XAI, an explanation may be too technical or not technical enough to people of different backgrounds.
- Private industry is rarely incentivized to expose algorithms and ML models to researchers [19].

VI. Conclusion and Future Research

Apply XAI to IV Alignment with Autonomous Vehicles and also identify V Challenges in XAI that may be worked towards.

References

[1] G. Montavon, W. Samek, and K.-R. Müller, "Methods for interpreting and understanding deep neural networks," *Digital Signal Processing*, vol. 73, pp. 1 – 15, 2018.

[2] L. A. Hendricks, Z. Akata, M. Rohrbach, J. Donahue, B. Schiele, and T. Darrell, "Generating visual explanations," in *Computer Vision – ECCV 2016* (B. Leibe, J. Matas, N. Sebe, and M. Welling, eds.), (Cham), pp. 3–19, Springer International Publishing, 2016.

[3] C. Chen, O. Li, A. Barnett, J. Su, and C. Rudin, "This looks like that: deep learning for interpretable image recognition," *CoRR*, vol. abs/1806.10574, 2018.

[4] A. Nguyen, A. Dosovitskiy, J. Yosinski, T. Brox, and J. Clune, "Synthesizing the preferred inputs for neurons in neural networks via deep generator networks," in *NIPS*, 2016.

[5] S. Wachter, B. D. Mittelstadt, and C. Russell, "Counterfactual explanations without opening the black box: Automated decisions and the GDPR," *CoRR*, vol. abs/1711.00399, 2017.

[6] S. Ma, Y. Aafer, Z. Xu, W.-C. Lee, J. Zhai, Y. Liu, and X. Zhang, "LAMP: Data provenance for graph based machine learning algorithms through derivative computation," in *Proceedings of the 2017 11th Joint Meeting on Foundations of Software Engineering*, pp. 786–797, 2017.

[7] F. M. Hohman, M. Kahng, R. Pienta, and D. H. Chau, "Visual Analytics in Deep Learning: An Interrogative Survey for the Next Frontiers," *IEEE Transactions on Visualization and Computer Graphics*, vol. 25, no. 1, pp. 1–20, 2018.

[8] R. Guidotti, A. Monreale, S. Ruggieri, F. Turini, F. Giannotti, and D. Pedreschi, "A Survey of Methods for Explaining Black Box Models," *ACM Comput. Surv.*, vol. 51, pp. 93:1–93:42, Aug. 2018.

[9] A. Abdul, J. Vermeulen, D. Wang, B. Y. Lim, and M. Kankanhalli, "Trends and trajectories for explainable, accountable and intelligible systems: An HCI research agenda," in *Proceedings of the 2018 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*, CHI '18, (New York, NY, USA), pp. 582:1–582:18, ACM, 2018.

[10] A. Adadi and M. Berrada, "Peeking inside the black-box: A survey on explainable artificial intelligence (xai)," *IEEE Access*, vol. 6, pp. 52138–52160, 2018.

[11] M. T. Ribeiro, S. Singh, and C. Guestrin, "Ẅhy Should I Trust You?̈: Explaining the Predictions of Any Classifier," in *Proceedings of the 22Nd ACM SIGKDD International Conference on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining*, KDD '16, (New York, NY, USA), pp. 1135–1144, ACM, 2016.

[12] N. Liu, H. Yang, and X. Hu, "Adversarial Detection with Model Interpretation," in *Proceedings of the 24th ACM SIGKDD International Conference on Knowledge Discovery & Data Mining*, KDD '18, (New York, NY, USA), pp. 1803–1811, ACM, 2018.

[13] J. Koo, J. Kwac, W. Ju, M. Steinert, L. Leifer, and C. Nass, "Why did my car just do that? explaining semi-autonomous driving actions to improve driver understanding, trust, and performance," *International Journal on Interactive Design and Manufacturing (IJIDeM)*, vol. 9, pp. 269–275, Nov 2015.

[14] B. Berendt and S. Preibusch, "Better decision support through exploratory discrimination-aware data mining: foundations and empirical evidence," *Artificial Intelligence and Law*, vol. 22, pp. 175–209, Jun 2014.

[15] R. Read, "For the first time, google admits its autonomous car is at fault in fender-bender," *Washington Post*, 2016.

[16] The Tesla Team, "An update on last week's accident." https://www.tesla.com/blog/update-last-week

[17] E. Ackerman, "Fatal tesla self-driving car crash reminds us that robots aren't perfect," *IEEE Spectrum*, 2016.

[18] P. Bhavsar, K. Dey, M. Chowdhury, and P. Das, "Risk Analysis of Autonomous Vehicles in Mixed Traffic Streams," tech. rep., 2017.

[19] M. Veale, M. Van Kleek, and R. Binns, "Fairness and Accountability Design Needs for Algorithmic Support in High-Stakes Public Sector Decision-Making," in *Proceedings of the 2018 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*, CHI '18, (New York, NY, USA), pp. 440:1–440:14, ACM, 2018.

[20] R. Wexler, "When a Computer Program Keeps You in Jail," *The New York Times*, June 2017.

[21] J. Angwin, L. Jeff, S. Matta, and L. Kirchner, "Machine bias," *Pro Publica*, 2016.

[22] B. Goodman and S. Flaxman, "European union regulations on algorithmic decision-making and a "right to explanation"," *AI Magazine*, vol. 38, pp. 50–57, 2017.

[23] M. Interlandi, A. Ekmekji, K. Shah, M. A. Gulzar, S. D. Tetali, M. Kim, T. Millstein, and T. Condie, "Adding data provenance support to Apache Spark," *VLDB Journal*, vol. 27, no. 5, pp. 1–21, 2017.

[24] S. Schelter, J.-H. Böse, T. Klein, and S. Seufert, "Automatically Tracking Metadata and Provenance of Machine Learning Experiments," in *Machine Learning Systems Workshop*, 2017.

[25] X. Zhang, N. Wang, S. Ji, H. Shen, and T. Wang, "Interpretable deep learning under fire," *CoRR*, vol. abs/1812.00891, 2018.

[26] A. Bibal and B. Frénay, "Interpretability of machine learning models and representations: an introduction," in *European Symposium on Artificial Neural Networks, Computational Intelligence and Machine Learning*, 04 2016.