



DOE/SPR/EA-2040

**Finding of No Significant Impact and Final Environmental Assessment
for the Strategic Petroleum Reserve Repair/Enhancement of Access to
Remote Pipeline Valve Stations West Hackberry, Calcasieu and
Cameron Parishes, Louisiana**

U. S. Department of Energy
Strategic Petroleum Reserve
900 Commerce Road East
New Orleans, Louisiana 70123

December 2016

**FINAL ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT FOR THE STRATEGIC PETROLEUM RESERVE
REPAIR/ENHANCEMENT OF ACCESS TO REMOTE PIPELINE VALVE STATIONS
WEST HACKBERRY, CALCASIEU AND CAMERON PARISHES, LOUISIANA**

US DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

DOE/EA-2040

DECEMBER 2016

Table of Contents

Finding of No Significant Impact	i
Table of Contents.....	iv
1.0 Introduction	1
1.1 Purpose and Need for the Proposed Actions.....	1
1.2 Description of the Proposed Actions	2
1.2.1 Valve Station WH-2 Access	2
1.2.2 Valve Station WH-4 Access	3
1.2.3 Valve Station WH-5 Access	3
1.2.4 Valve Station WH-6 Access	4
2.0 Alternatives to the Proposed Actions	4
2.1 No Action Alternative.....	4
2.2 Alternative Actions.....	4
2.2.1 Alternative 1.....	4
2.2.2 Alternative 2.....	4
3.0 Affected Environment.....	5
3.1 Land Use.....	5
3.1.1 Existing Land Use and the Built Environment	5
3.1.2 Future Land Use	7
3.1.3 Access and Right of Way	7
3.2 Geology	7
3.2.1 Physiography.....	7
3.2.2 Seismicity	8
3.2.3 Soils and Prime Farmland.....	8
3.3 Coastal Zone Management and Coastal Resources.....	8
3.4 Floodplain Management.....	8
3.5 Water Resources.....	9
3.5.1 Wetlands	9
3.5.2 Other Waters	11
3.5.3 Aquifers.....	11
3.5.4 Water Quality.....	12
3.6 Ecological Resources	12
3.6.1 Threatened and Endangered Species	13
3.6.2 Critical Habitat and Natural Communities	15

3.6.3	Migratory Birds	16
3.6.4	Nesting Bird Colonies	19
3.6.5	Eagle Nests	19
3.6.6	Submerged Aquatic Vegetation	19
3.6.7	Essential Fish Habitat	19
3.7	Navigation	20
3.8	Recreational Resources	20
3.9	Climate and Climate Change	20
3.10	Air Quality	21
3.11	Noise	21
3.12	Visual Resources	21
3.13	Cultural Resources	21
3.14	Socioeconomics and Environmental Justice	23
3.15	Public Health and Worker Safety	24
3.16	Waste Management/Hazardous Materials	24
4.0	Environmental Effects	25
4.1	Direct Impacts Analysis	25
4.1.1	Access and Right of Way	28
4.1.2	Soils and Prime Farmland	28
4.1.3	Coastal Zone	28
4.1.4	Floodplain and Wetlands	28
4.1.4.1	Floodplain Assessment	28
4.1.4.2	Wetlands Assessment	29
4.1.5	Other Waters of the US	29
4.1.6	Water Quality	30
4.1.7	Threatened and Endangered Species	30
4.1.8	Critical Habitat and Natural Communities	30
4.1.9	Migratory Birds	30
4.1.10	Nesting Bird Colonies and Eagle Nests	30
4.1.11	Submerged Aquatic Vegetation	30
4.1.12	Essential Fish Habitat	31
4.1.13	Navigation	31
4.1.14	Recreational Resources	31
4.1.15	Climate and Climate Change	31

4.1.16	Air Quality	31
4.1.17	Public Health	31
4.1.18	Worker Safety	32
4.1.19	Waste Management and Hazardous Materials	32
4.2	Indirect and Cumulative Impacts	32
4.3	Mitigation.....	33
5.0	Public and Agency Coordination.....	33
5.1	Public Involvement and Outreach Activities.....	35
5.2	Permitting	35
6.0	Floodplain Statement of Findings	35
6.1	Description of the Proposed Action.....	36
6.2	Why the Action is Located in the Floodplain	36
6.3	Alternatives Considered.....	36
6.4	Conformance to Applicable Floodplain Standards	36
6.5	Steps to Minimize Harm to the Floodplain	36
7.0	Conclusion.....	36
8.0	References	37
9.0	List of Acronyms.....	38
10.0	List of Preparers	41

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1.	Field Assessment of Habitat within a 100-foot Buffer of Proposed Actions.....	10
Table 2.	Water Quality Assessment in the Project Vicinity.....	12
Table 3.	ESA-Protected Species Known to Occur in Calcasieu and Cameron Parishes.....	13
Table 4.	ESA-Protected Species with Potential to Occur in Vicinity of Proposed Project.....	14
Table 5.	Migratory Birds Known to Occur in Calcasieu and Cameron Parishes.....	16
Table 6.	Previous Cultural Resource Investigations within 1 mile (1.6 kilometers) of Valve Stations.....	22
Table 7.	Direct Impacts Analysis	25

LIST OF APPENDICES

- A. Figures
- B. Photos
- C. Design Drawings
- D. FEMA Floodmaps

- E. List of Migratory Birds in BCR 25 and 37
- F. Demographic Data
- G. Agency Correspondence
- H. Notice of Availability and Affidavit of Publication

1.0 Introduction

This Environmental Assessment (EA) has been prepared in accordance with the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) of 1969, the Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) Regulations for Implementing NEPA codified in Title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulation (CFR) Parts 1500-1508, the US Department of Energy (DOE) NEPA Implementing Procedures at 10 CFR Part 1021, Compliance with Floodplain and Wetland Environmental Review Requirements at 10 CFR Part 1022, and the Strategic Petroleum Reserve (SPR) Project Management Office NEPA Implementation Plan approved in 2010.

The EA has been prepared to evaluate impacts to social, economic, and natural resources associated with a proposed project to improve access to four Valve Stations for the SPR pipeline, West Hackberry (WH) located in southwestern Louisiana.

Block valves located at the existing Valve Stations are the first line of protection for the SPR pipeline. With these valves the operator can isolate any segment of the line for maintenance work or isolate a rupture or leak. The existing Valve Stations not only contain block valves, but also field devices with instrumentation, data gathering units, and communication systems for transfer of field data to a central location in real time. Access to the Valve Stations and all of these components require field inspection, maintenance, and repairs.

1.1 Purpose and Need for the Proposed Actions

The purpose of the project is to improve existing access to four SPR-WH Valve Stations in southwestern Louisiana as shown on **Figure 1** located in **Appendix A**. Current conditions present safety concerns including navigational hazards and the potential for injury to personnel from uncertain footing. Damage or loss to vessels, maintenance equipment, and other gear is also possible. Difficulties accessing the valve sites increase costs and extend the timeframe for routine and emergency maintenance.

Sustainability of the valve station access features is also an issue due to continuing land loss and shoreline erosion.

The goals of the project are to improve safety for personnel and property, to reduce costs and increase the efficiency of maintenance operations at the valve stations, and to ensure future access to the SPR-WH Valve Stations.

All of the Valve Stations are accessed from the water by way of walking paths, which are overgrown with vegetation. The shorelines at WH-2, WH-4, and WH-5 consist of elevated spoil banks (**Figure 2** in **Appendix A**) created by construction of the Gulf Intracoastal Waterway (GIWW). These banks are difficult to access due to elevation, shallow water during low water seasons, and rip-rap installed to stabilize the shoreline.

Access at WH-2 requires climbing a ladder up a three-sided, sheetpile bulkhead, which supports a davit crane. The elevation of the landing created by the bulkhead is approximately +8 North American Datum 1983 (NAD83). The tops of the banks to the east and west approach +12 feet NAD83. Both sides of the bulkhead are stabilized with rip-rap (**Photo 1** in **Appendix B**). The existing footpath is overgrown, but its alignment is visible as a line of stressed vegetation.

Valve Station WH-4 cannot be accessed from the GIWW due to a rip-rap berm that defines the northern edge of the Vinton Drainage Canal. The berm and canal separate the GIWW and the WH-4 bank.

Siltation and submerged rocks washed out from the berm prevent boats from entering the canal safely (**Photo 2 in Appendix B**). Landing near the valve site is currently accomplished by navigating through Black Bayou Cutoff to the west and returning east through the marsh to the Vinton Drainage Canal and landing at the SPR right-of-way (ROW).

The south bank of the GIWW at WH-5 lies at approximately +4 feet NAD 83 and has been reinforced with rip-rap (**Photo 3 in Appendix B**). The footpath for Valve Station WH-5 is overgrown and barely visible. Water on the site is close to the surface much of the year.

Boat access for WH-6 is accomplished as a soft landing on a narrow beach that rises to approximately +2 feet NAD83 (**Figure 2A**) on the east bank of the Sabine River. The beach has been stabilized with crushed oyster shell and sits between one and one and one-half feet above the water (**Photo 4 in Appendix B**).

1.2 Description of the Proposed Actions

The proposed actions are intended to improve access to the valve station by constructing boat landings and elevated walkways for Valve Stations WH-2, WH-4, and WH-5. Existing footpaths for WH-2 and WH-6 are proposed to be resurfaced as needed. New walking paths at WH-4 and WH-5 are proposed.

No dredging or other disturbance of waterbottoms will be required for construction of the proposed project except for the placement of timber piles. All equipment will be delivered to the site utilizing barges and all work will be conducted from the barges or within the footprint of the project. Walking paths will be cleared with a bobcat or by hand, and the limestone will be mostly laid by hand. A minimal amount of vegetative clearing and soil grubbing will be required. All materials will be disposed of within non-wet areas within the pipeline ROW.

Specific proposed actions for each valve station are described below. Selected design drawings are provided in **Appendix C**.

1.2.1 Valve Station WH-2 Access

- Remove davit crane from existing bulkhead landing along with anchor bolts, leave the concrete pad in place. All materials removed will be recycled in an approved manner.
- Construct a timber pile foundation to support a 25-foot by 4-foot galvanized metal walkway that will extend approximately 35 feet into the GIWW from the existing sheet pile bulkhead wall. The walkway will be constructed of galvanized steel grating, channels, and angles complete with handrails.
- Construct a boat landing at the end of the walkway made with a galvanized steel grating platform measuring approximately 8 feet x 6 feet. Elevation of the platform will be set at approximately +3 feet NAVD88 for docking boats. A metal stairway will connect the galvanized walkway to the boat landing. Handrails and bumpers will be constructed for safety.
- The top grating of the galvanized walkway will be elevated to approximately +8 NAVD88 and will connect to a walking path with a single metal step that will rest on the davit foundation, which will be roughened and re-surfaced with structural grout to the appropriate elevation.

- Construct a 6-foot wide aggregate walking path in two sections totaling 605 feet at grade generally following the alignment of an existing footpath between the shore side end of the walkway and the WH-2 valve site. The ground surface will be stripped to a depth of approximately 6 to 8 inches to reach firm undisturbed soil. A layer of filter cloth, earthen fill, and a minimum of six inches of crushed limestone surfacing will be used to construct the walking path.
- Acquire new perpetual access ROW within the green box depicted on the design drawings provided in **Appendix C**.

1.2.2 Valve Station WH-4 Access

- Construct a timber pile foundation to support a 100-foot by 4-foot galvanized metal walkway that will extend from the shore near the mouth of the Vinton Drainage Canal, cross the canal and rip-rap berm on the perpendicular and extend approximately 40 feet into the GIWW. The walkway will be constructed of galvanized steel grating, channels, and angles complete with handrails.
- Construct a boat landing at the end of the walkway made with a galvanized steel grating platform measuring approximately 8 feet x 6 feet. Elevation of the platform will be set at approximately +3 feet NAVD88 for docking boats. A metal stairway will connect a galvanized walkway to the boat landing. Handrails and bumpers will be constructed for safety.
- The galvanized walkway will be elevated to approximately +8 NAVD88 and will connect to a walking path with a metal stairway. The landing pad for the stairway shall be minimum 3000 psi concrete with a light broom finish and all edges to have $\frac{3}{4}$ " 45° chamfer. Backfill under foundation shall be in accordance with DOE specifications.
- Construct an aggregate walking path at grade approximately 150 feet in length and 6 feet wide from the stairway and landing pad, approaching the SPR ROW at a 60-degree angle. The ground surface will be stripped to a depth of approximately 6 to 8 inches to reach firm undisturbed soil. A layer of filter cloth, earthen fill, and a minimum of six inches of crushed limestone surfacing will be used to construct the walking path.
- Acquire new perpetual access ROW within the green box depicted on the design drawings provided in **Appendix C**.

1.2.3 Valve Station WH-5 Access

- Construct a timber pile foundation to support a 75-foot by 4-foot galvanized metal walkway that will cross the rip-rap stabilizing the shoreline and extend approximately 60 feet into the GIWW. The walkway will be constructed of galvanized steel grating, channels, and angles complete with handrails. Construct a boat landing at the end of the walkway made with a galvanized steel grating platform measuring approximately 8 feet x 6 feet. Elevation of the platform will be set at approximately +3 feet NAVD88 for docking boats. A metal stairway will connect the walkway to the boat landing. Handrails and bumpers will be constructed for safety.

- The galvanized walkway will be elevated to approximately +8 NAVD88 and will connect to the walking path with a metal stairway. The landing pad for the stairway shall be minimum 3000 psi concrete with a light broom finish and all edges to have $\frac{3}{4}$ " 45° chamfer. Backfill under foundation shall be in accordance with DOE specifications.
- Construct an aggregate walking path at grade approximately 427 feet in length and 6 feet wide between the shore side end of the walkway and Valve Station WH-5. The ground surface will be stripped to a depth of approximately 6 to 8 inches to reach firm undisturbed soil. A layer of filter cloth, earthen fill, and a minimum of six inches of aggregate surfacing will be used to construct the walking path.
- Acquire new perpetual access ROW within the green box depicted on the design drawings provided in **Appendix C**.

1.2.4 Valve Station WH-6 Access

- Overlay an existing footpath in four sections totaling 476 feet in length and 6 feet wide between the shore and the WH-6 valve site. A layer of filter cloth and a minimum of six inches of aggregate surfacing will be used to construct the walking path.
- Acquire new perpetual access ROW within the green box depicted on the design drawings provided in **Appendix C**.

2.0 Alternatives to the Proposed Actions

Alternatives to the proposed action include the No Action Alternative and a set of alternative actions.

2.1 No Action Alternative

The No Action Alternative would continue to access the valve stations without any improvements. Maintenance activities would continue to be performed as needed.

2.2 Alternative Actions

2.2.1 Alternative 1

The first set of alternative actions would involve upgrading the existing access features without any new construction. This alternative would replace the aggregate on all four footpaths and replace the corroded bulkhead and ladder at WH-2. This alternative would eventually replace the rip-rap supporting the bulkhead at WH-2 and the rip-rap stabilizing the bank landing at WH-5. Crews needing to access Valve Site WH-4 would continue to approach by navigating through Black Bayou Cutoff and Black Bayou Lake. These actions do not meet the purpose and need for the project. Access would continue to challenge the safety and efficiency of maintenance personnel and equipment. Therefore, this alternative was dropped from further consideration.

2.2.2 Alternative 2

Another set of alternative actions considered would utilize elevated walkways instead of limestone surfaced footpaths. However, the area of impact would be two to three times greater due to the need to bring in heavy equipment to build the structure. The cost would also be approximately 10 times greater than the proposed project. This cost would not be offset by a reduction in impacts to wetlands,

other waters, and other natural habitats since these impacts are negligible to minor in intensity. Therefore, this alternative was dropped from further consideration.

3.0 Affected Environment



*Exhibit 1 – Aerial View of GIWW near Valve Stations WH-4 and WH-5: south bank to the left.
Source: Panoramio – Photos by stefkuna.*

3.1 Land Use

The project areas are uninhabited. WH-2, WH-4, and WH-5 fall within the Calcasieu Parish Zoning District A-1, which is designated for agricultural use. A-1 Districts outside the Urban Service Area allow for almost any kind of development. However, the remoteness of the area and fragility of the land and soils limits the feasibility and reasonableness of most types of development. WH-6 is located in Cameron Parish, which has not adopted any land use regulations.

3.1.1 Existing Land Use and the Built Environment

Existing land use of the project area consists generally of activities related to oil and gas operations, commercial navigation, livestock grazing, and recreational activities. The built environment consists of the GIWW and attendant spoil banks; berms for water management that also support access roads; water wells and underground injection facilities; underground pipelines (including the SPR); oil and gas wells and storage facilities; high-voltage transmission lines; and telecommunications towers. Most of the oil/gas wells and underground injection facilities are clustered around an above-ground storage tank (AST) battery for the Black Bayou Oil Field, located on the Black Bayou Cutoff Canal, approximately two miles south of WH-5 (**Figure 1** in **Appendix A**). Very few wells and other structures exist in the vicinity of WH-6. Development consists mostly of man-made canals.

REPAIR/ENHANCEMENT OF ACCESS TO REMOTE PIPELINE VALVE STATIONS, WEST HACKBERRY, LA
DOE/EA-2040

A relatively recent class of land use in the vicinity of the project area is wetlands restoration. Mostly within open waters and broken marsh, projects include hydrological modifications and sediment and nutrient trapping schemes. Terraces have been constructed in the project vicinity to reduce wind-generated wave fetch and protect existing land as part of the State Master Plan for Coastal Restoration, or as mitigation for impacts to coastal wetlands from private sector activities. Several terrace lines



Exhibit 2 – Typical Terracing Project Constructed to Reduce Wave Fetch and Protect Existing Land.
Source: Panoramio – Photos by stefkuna.

protect islands of land directly south of WH-5. This mitigation project included the construction and planting of approximately 1,772 linear feet of wave dampening terraces.

One of the earliest terrace projects in the project area protects the south bank of the GIWW east of WH-4 at the northern shoreline of Black Bayou Lake. Another row south and southwest of WH-4 winds around fragments of land within the western portion of Black Bayou Lake. According to the January 24, 2012 Fact Sheet for the Black Bayou Terraces Project (State Coastal Master Plan Priority Project List 22, Project R4-CS-01), these early terraces were constructed by the National Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) as a project to demonstrate the usefulness of terraces in the area. An expansion of that demonstration project was constructed by Ducks Unlimited utilizing North American Wetlands Conservation Act funds. The National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS) has proposed to construct an additional 183,000 linear feet of earthen terraces to the east of the DU field as a Coastal Master Plan project to complement the existing projects.

Two sections of terraces can also be seen on aerial images near WH-2. In 2007, 5,358 linear feet of terraces were authorized for construction as mitigation for oil and gas activities. In 2008, an additional 8,560 linear feet of terraces were authorized for the same reason. These structures are located south and east of WH-2, respectively. South of the 2007 project is a terrace field enclosed within a berm that was constructed by USDA in West Black Lake for the Wetlands Reserve Program in 2014-2015.

3.1.2 Future Land Use

No future land use has been adopted for the project area. Given its remoteness and the fragility of the land and soils, future land use will continue with livestock grazing, oil and gas operations, navigation, and wetlands creation projects as part of the Master Plan or for compensatory mitigation.

3.1.3 Access and Right of Way

The project valve sites are accessed by boat from the south bank of the GIWW and the east bank of the Sabine River. The valve stations are located within the SPR ROW. The walking path for access to WH-2 is within an existing SPR-WH ROW, but new perpetual access ROW within the green box depicted on the design drawing provided in **Appendix C** will be required for the dock. New perpetual access ROW within the green boxes depicted on the drawings in **Appendix C** will be required for WH-4, WH-5, and WH-6.

3.2 Geology

The project area is located in the Gulf Coastal Plain of Louisiana that is characterized by flat to rolling topography broken by many streams, river riparian areas, and marsh wetlands. The coastal plain is divided into two sections, the Alluvial Plain of the Mississippi River and the Chenier Plain of southwestern coastal Louisiana. The proposed project is located in the Chenier Plain situated largely on the cast spoils (made land) found on the south bank of the GIWW.

The project area is in the West Gulf Coastal Plain geomorphic province. Wooded ridges (cheniers) were created atop Pleistocene Prairie Terraces by river sediments being pushed westward by shoreline currents in the Gulf of Mexico. Natural ridges were formed by the repeated overbank flood sedimentation of rivers in southeast Louisiana (Owen 2008). The SPR-WH pipeline and valve stations are located near the surface boundary of the Pleistocene uplands and late-Holocene chenier plain. Surface sediments of the valve stations are likely Holocene (recent) age underlain by Pleistocene age deposits.

3.2.1 Physiography

The Chenier Plain is a Holocene strand plain composed of cheniers and intervening mudflat wetlands vegetated with marsh or swamp vegetation. The mudflats form as prograding tidal flats along the open, but low-energy Gulf of Mexico coast. Mudflat sediments are reworked during shoreline retreat, building a chenier ridge of sand and shells. Cheniers are extended by the longshore current into areas not being actively eroded (Owen 2008).

Dominant water features in the area are the Calcasieu and Sabine Rivers and Calcasieu and Sabine Lakes. Major man-made channels are the Calcasieu Ship Channel, the Sabine-Neches Ship Channel, and the GIWW, which extends east-west generally along the Louisiana state coastal zone boundary. The GIWW connects the Sabine River and Calcasieu Ship Channel across the Gum Cove Ridge, an upland outcrop of late to middle Pleistocene Prairie Terrace. This ridge separates WH-2 from WH-4 and WH-5, all of which are located on the south bank of the GIWW. On either side of the ridge, geologic deposits are comprised of Holocene muds, sands, and shells that have buried the Pleistocene Prairie formations. Valve Station WH-6, located approximately 2 miles south-southwest of the convergence of the GIWW and Sabine River, also lies atop Holocene deposits. These Holocene deposits are breaking up due to subsidence as well as erosion of the chenier and beach ridges that protected them.

Valve Station WH-2 is located on a small island of Prairie Terrace bounded by the GIWW on the north, Gum Cove Ridge to the west, and West Black Lake to the south and east. Valve Station WH-4 is located

on the east bank of the Vinton Drainage Canal and north of a shallow water pond connected to an extensive area of open water known as Black Bayou Lake. Valve Station WH-5 is located approximately 1000 feet west of the Black Bayou Cutoff Canal north of another section of open water connected to Black Bayou that is composed of broken marsh and ponds. Valve Station WH-6 is located south of the intersection of two man-made canals, Burton Shell Slip and the high voltage transmission line maintenance canal. In general, the only topographic relief in the project area is found along the spoil banks of the GIWW and natural levees of the Sabine River.

3.2.2 Seismicity

The Gulf of Mexico is generally regarded as a stable zone of the North American plate, outside of the influence of any plate tectonic active boundary. Recent studies have reported more seismic activity in the Gulf than previously documented, but this activity is centered at the southwestern corner of the Gulf near the Bay of Campeche. No seismic activity that would affect the project area has been documented inside the bounds of the Continental Shelf within the northern Gulf of Mexico (Franco et al. 2013)

3.2.3 Soils and Prime Farmland

The soils within the immediate project area are predominantly Udifluvents, 1 to 20 percent slopes, which were deposited during the construction and maintenance of the GIWW and the Sabine River channels (**Figures 3 and 3A in Appendix A**). Soils in this area are rated as 15 percent hydric. The valve station footpaths cross these spoil banks, and the valve stations are located within or close to mapping units described as Bancker muck, 0 to 0.2 percent slope, very frequently flooded (WH-6); Gentilly muck, 0 to 0.5 percent slopes, very frequently flooded (WH-5); Clovelly muck, 0 to 0.2 percent slopes, very frequently flooded (WH-4); Edgerly loam, 0 to 1 percent slopes (WH-2), rarely flooded. The first three types are rated by the NRCS as 100 percent hydric. Edgerly loam is rated as non-hydric and is the only soil type in the project area considered prime farmland.

3.3 Coastal Zone Management and Coastal Resources

The SPR-WH pipeline, valve stations, and proposed actions are located in the Louisiana coastal zone. Plans for the proposed project were submitted to the Office of Coastal Management (OCM) for a review to determine whether the proposed actions are consistent with the Louisiana Coastal Resources Program (LCRP) in accordance with Section 307(c) of the Federal Coastal Zone Management Act (FCZMA) of 1972.

3.4 Floodplain Management

According to the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) floodmaps provided in **Appendix D**, the project areas are located in Special Flood Hazard Areas (SFHA) subject to inundation by the one-percent annual chance flood. Valve Station WH-2 and its footpath are mapped on flood map number 22019C0615F, effective on 02/18/2011, which identifies the Base Flood Elevation (BFE) as between +10 and +11 feet. Valve Stations WH-4 and WH-5 and their footpaths are mapped on flood map number 22019C0590F, effective on 02/18/2011. The BFEs for these stations are +10 feet and +9 to +10 feet, respectively. WH-6 and its footpath are mapped on flood map number 22023C0050H, effective on 11/16/2012. The BFE for this station is +10 feet. Valve Stations WH-2 and WH-5 are also located in a coastal flood zone (VE) with an additional hazard from wave action.

3.5 Water Resources

The project area spans two hydrologic semi-distinct hydrologic units, the Calcasieu River basin and the Sabine River basin. Construction of the GIWW significantly altered regional hydrology by connecting the two rivers and major ship channels across the Gum Cove Ridge effectively merging the two river basins into one. The GIWW also cut off all of the natural bayous and upland sheet flow that historically affected marshes, and channelized freshwater inflow more directly to the Gulf of Mexico, partially bypassing the marshes (HILCP_3 2002).

3.5.1 Wetlands

Wetlands in the project area are within the estuarine system where the waters of the GIWW and Sabine River meet the tides of the Gulf of Mexico. These systems are intertidal, that is, the substrate is exposed and irregularly flooded by tides, as opposed to the subtidal zone waterbottoms of the GIWW, Sabine River, and surrounding ponds and impoundments, which are always inundated.

Dominant vegetation in these wetlands consists of persistent emergent species such as saltmeadow cordgrass (*Spartina patens*). Widgeon grass (*Ruppia maritima*) and wild celery (*Vallisneria americana*) populate waterbottoms, when they are sufficiently shallow, protected by land, and experience low turbidity and good light penetration. Small beds of submerged aquatic vegetation (SAV) occur in ponds scattered throughout marshes of coastal Louisiana like those that surround the valve sites. Open water substrates are generally sand/mud bottoms to a water depth of not greater than 3 to 4 feet.

As illustrated on **Figure 4 (Appendix A)**, National Wetlands Inventory (NWI) identifies the wetlands in the vicinity of WH-2 as Estuarine Intertidal Emergent Persistent Diked/Impounded (E2EMPh). Wetlands in the vicinity of WH-4 and WH-5 are classified as Estuarine Intertidal Emergent Persistent Irregularly Flooded Oligohaline (E2EM1P6), which indicates salinities of 0.5-5 parts per thousand (ppt). These classifications are consistent with fresh and intermediate marsh types (LACWCS 2005). Wetlands in the vicinity of WH-6 (**Figure 4A in Appendix A**) are classified as Estuarine Intertidal Emergent Persistent Irregularly Flooded Mesohaline (E2EM1P5), which indicates salinities of 5-18 ppt. This classification is consistent with intermediate and brackish marsh types (LACWCS 2005).

The Coastwide Reference Monitoring System (CRMS) site in the vicinity of the valve stations have reported that water salinities in the years 2008-2016 have fluctuated between 0 and almost 30 ppt, which is the normal salinity of water in the Gulf of Mexico. Growing season (March-November) readings for 2015 near WH-2 ranged between 1.75 and 18.51 ppt. The range near WH-4 and WH-5 for the same period was 0.08 and 10.85. The range at the CRMS site nearest WH-6 was 0.13 and 11.76 ppt. These salinities indicate that saltwater intrusion is more pronounced around WH-2 and that any brackish to saline marsh is more likely to be found around this location.

Field surveys of the valve site areas, conducted on June 14, 2016, assessed the habitats within a 100-foot buffer of the proposed project including other waters. The field assessments are intended for preliminary analysis only and do not constitute a wetlands delineation sufficient to support a request of jurisdictional determination from the USACE. **Table 1** provides the estimated number of acres of uplands, wetlands, and other waters within the areas assessed. **Figure 5 (Appendix A)** illustrates the assessment areas and identifies the potential wetlands and other waters of the US located within the buffered area. Descriptions of the habitats follow the table. Estimated maximum impacts to assessed

REPAIR/ENHANCEMENT OF ACCESS TO REMOTE PIPELINE VALVE STATIONS, WEST HACKBERRY, LA
DOE/EA-2040

wetlands and other waters from the proposed activities are discussed in **Section 4 – Environmental Consequences**.

Table 1. Field Assessment of Habitat within a 100-foot Buffer of Proposed Actions.

Valve Station	Buffered Area (acres)	Habitat	Acres
WH-2	4.66	Upland	4.21
		Wetlands	0.00
		Other Waters	0.45
WH-4	3.27	Upland	2.48
		Wetlands	0.00
		Other Waters	0.79
WH-5	3.59	Upland	1.89
		Wetlands	1.19
		Other Waters	0.51
WH-6	3.03	Upland	0.09
		Wetland	2.52
		Other Waters ¹	0.42

¹Includes 0.07 acres of inundated footpath.

The habitat within the WH-2 area of assessment is primarily comprised of upland pasture. Dominated by typical species such as bahia grass (*Paspalum notatum Flueggé*) and Bermuda grass (*Cynodon dactylon*), the assessed area also contains Brazilian vervain (*Verbena incompta*), annual marsh elder (*Iva annua*), and buttercup (*Ranunculus L.*). Vegetation is low due to livestock grazing (**Photo 5 in Appendix B**). Emergent wetlands species such as saltmeadow cordgrass and rush (*Juncus spp.*) were observed in the distance (**Photo 6 in Appendix B**), but the elevation and hydrology of the assess area do not indicate the presence of wetlands within 100 feet of the proposed activities. Although the walking path to the valve station is overgrown, limestone can be seen beneath the vegetation (**Photo 7 in Appendix B**), and (**Photo 8 in Appendix B**) illustrates that the alignment of the path is discernible due to distressed and compacted vegetation. A delineation in accordance with the 1987 Manual and request for jurisdictional determination should be submitted to the USACE to confirm that no jurisdictional wetlands would be impacted by the proposed project.

The WH-4 spoil bank habitat illustrated in (**Photo 9 in Appendix B**) is densely vegetated with shrubs, saplings, and young woody species dominated by hackberry (*Celtis laevigata*). This habitat was assessed as non-wet due to the higher elevations and absence of wetlands hydrology on the spoil bank. No footpath exists at this location and vegetation between the GIWW and the SPR pipeline ROW would have to be cleared to accommodate access. The habitat in the pipeline ROW is also non-wet, dominated by great ragweed (*Ambrosia trifida*) as shown in (**Photo 10 in Appendix B**). Proposed activities for access enhancement would terminate at the forested edge of the ROW. No work is proposed for the pipeline ROW area, where vegetation is maintained as low growth. A delineation in accordance with the 1987 Manual and request for jurisdictional determination should be submitted to the USACE to confirm that no jurisdictional wetlands would be impacted by the proposed project.

Access to Valve Station WH-5 is located within the existing Colonial Pipeline ROW (**Photo 11 in Appendix B**), which is maintained as an herbaceous habitat. Slightly more than half the land within the area of assessment contains emergent and scrub/shrub wetlands. The uplands observed are dominated by annual marsh elder (**Photo 12 in Appendix B**). The presence of saltmeadow cordgrass and other marsh species increases as one approaches the southern end of the area, and marsh surrounds the valve station on three sides (**Photo 13 in Appendix B**). A delineation in accordance with the 1987 Manual and request for jurisdictional determination should be submitted to the USACE to determine the extent of jurisdictional wetlands on the site and ensure that direct impacts are avoided or minimized.

Access to Valve Station WH-6 crosses a low bank stabilized with crushed oyster shell (**Photo 4 in Appendix B**). The area beyond the shell beach is vegetated primarily with eastern baccharis (*Baccharis halimifolia*). Hackberry and dwarf palmetto (*Sabal minor*) were also present in this area and many signs of cattle and hog activity were observed. The existing footpath and the valve station are surrounded by marsh species such as common rush, saltmeadow cordgrass, and bulrush (*Schoenoplectus spp.*). The footpath was 2-4 inches inundated at the time of the field survey (**Photo 14 in Appendix B**). A delineation in accordance with the 1987 Manual and request for jurisdictional determination should be submitted to the USACE to determine the extent of jurisdictional wetlands and other waters on the site and ensure that impacts are avoided or minimized.

3.5.2 Other Waters

Timber piles will be driven into the waters of the GIWW for support of the galvanized walkways and boat landings for WH-2, WH-4, and WH-5. At the time of the field survey, water depths in the vicinity of the proposed activities were measured. Depths at WH-2 ranged from 4.5 to 5 feet. Depths at WH-4 were approximately 4.5 feet. Depths at WH-5 ranged from 2.5 to 4 feet. Waters at WH-2 and WH-5 were noticeably turbid, with muddy bottoms and less than 2 inches of visibility. The waterbottom at WH-4 contains submerged rocks that have washed away from the rip-rap berm armoring the north bank of the Vinton Drainage Canal (**Photo 2 in Appendix B**). The Sabine River at WH-6 has a sandy substrate that was one to one and one/half feet below the water surface at the time of the field survey. A slight wave fetch was noticeable and the water was slightly turbid. Portions of the footpath (0.07 acre) leading to Valve Station WH-6 were assessed as other waters due to the presence of a hard bottom and a lack of vegetation due to apparent persistent inundation (**Photo 15 in Appendix B**).

3.5.3 Aquifers

The southern boundary of the areal extent of freshwater in the Chicot Aquifer System is just south of the boundary between Calcasieu and Cameron Parishes. The proposed project valve stations and the SPR-WH pipeline are located above the aquifer, which is primarily recharged in Rapides, Evangeline, Allen, Vernon, and Beauregard Parishes. Fifteen parishes withdraw water from the aquifer. In 2010, the rate of withdrawal was approximately 650 megagallons per day (Mgal/d) with over 50 percent used for rice and general irrigation purposes. Calcasieu Parish withdrew approximately 86 Mgal/d and Cameron Parish withdrew approximately 8 Mgal/d from the aquifer in that year (USGS and DOTD 2011). One hundred percent of the 1.72 Mgal/d used for public supply in Cameron Parish was drawn from the aquifer. Approximately 98 percent of the 26.23 Mgal/d withdrawn for public supply in Calcasieu Parish was drawn from the aquifer; the other two percent comes from surface water sources (USGS and DOTD 2011).

REPAIR/ENHANCEMENT OF ACCESS TO REMOTE PIPELINE VALVE STATIONS, WEST HACKBERRY, LA
DOE/EA-2040

The upper confining unit of the aquifer in the vicinity of Valve Stations WH-2, WH-4, and WH-5 ranges from 160 to 240 feet. The upper confining unit at Valve Station WH-6 is 80-160 feet thick (USGS and DOTD 2004). None of the project activities will penetrate the upper confining unit of the aquifer.

3.5.4 Water Quality

The proposed project areas are located within the Calcasieu and Sabine River basins. Major waterways in the vicinity of the valve stations include the GIWW, the Sabine River, and Black Bayou, which extends from the Black Bayou Oil Field south of WH-4 and WH-5 to the Sabine River south of WH-6. WH-2 is located near the northwestern edge of Black Lake, a three-square mile shallow waterbody. Various man-made canals and shallow water impoundments are also located in the vicinity of the project area.

Table 2 shows the results of water quality monitoring by LDEQ in 2014 for basin subsegments in the vicinity of the project areas.

Table 2. Water Quality Assessment in the Project Vicinity.

Subsegment	Description	Designated Uses		
		Swimming	Boating	Fish and Wildlife Propagation
LA031002	GIWW from West Calcasieu Basin to Calcasieu Lock	F	F	F
LA030403	Black Lake	F	F	F
LA110301	Sabine River from below Sabine Island WMA	F	F	F
LA110302	Black Bayou from Pirogue Ditch to Sabine Lake	F	F	F
LA110602	Black Bayou from GIWW to Pirogue Ditch	F	F	F

Source: LDEQ 2015

F – Fully supported

As shown, water quality in these waters fully supports the designated uses of swimming, boating, and fish and wildlife propagation.

Field surveys conducted on June 14, 2016 noted that the waters near the shore at WH-2 and WH-5 were noticeably turbid, with muddy bottoms and less than 2 inches of visibility. No oil sheen or other indicators of spills or discharges into the waters near the valve sites were observed. A Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP) is required of all DOE contractors for construction activities to ensure that discharges do not affect the quality of waters in the vicinity of the proposed project.

3.6 Ecological Resources

The ecosystems in the project area are generally characterized by estuarine features that support an abundance of natural resources important to the state of Louisiana. The project area is between three and six miles north of the Sabine National Wildlife Refuge (NWR), which is the largest coastal marsh refuge on the Gulf of Mexico. This refuge is a major nursery area for many estuarine-dependent marine species as well as home to alligators and other reptiles, mammals, and numerous wading, water, and marsh birds. The NWR provides habitat for more than 300 species of birds, 26 species of mammals, 41

species of reptiles and amphibians, 132 species of fish and 68 species of marine invertebrates. Wildlife species diversity in productive coastal wetlands is second only to rainforest wildlife diversity (USFWS 2012).

3.6.1 Threatened and Endangered Species

The USFWS, NMFS, and the Louisiana Natural Heritage Program (LNHP) managed by the Louisiana Department of Wildlife and Fisheries (LDWF) track species protected under the Endangered Species Act (ESA). **Table 3** lists the threatened and endangered (T&E) species reported by LDWF to have occurred in Calcasieu and Cameron Parishes.

Although the bald eagle is no longer listed by the Federal Government as an ESA-protected species, it is federally protected under the Golden and Bald Eagle Protection Act and the Migratory Bird Treaty Act (MBTA). The delisted brown pelican is also protected under the MBTA.

Table 3. ESA-Protected Species Known to Occur in Calcasieu and Cameron Parishes.

Species Common Name	Scientific Name	Federal Protection	State Status	Habitat Description
Animals				
Bald Eagle	<i>Haliaeetus leucocephalus</i>	ESA Delisted; GBEPA and MBTA	Endangered	Nests primarily in the tops of trees near open water. Feeds in open lakes. In LA. Nests more likely in southeast.
Brown Pelican	<i>Pelecanus occidentalis</i>	ESA Delisted; MBTA	Endangered	Usually occurs in bays, tidal estuaries or along the coast. Nests commonly in shrub thickets within dunes of barrier islands. Feeds in deep and shallow coastal waters.
Interior Least Tern	<i>Sternula antillarum athalassos</i>	ESA Endangered; MBTA	Endangered	Nest on barren to sparsely vegetated sandbars along rivers, sand and gravel pits, lake and reservoir shorelines, and occasionally gravel rooftops. They hover over and dive into standing or flowing water to catch small fish.
Manatee	<i>Trichechus manatus</i>	ESA Endangered	Endangered	Marine open water, bays, and rivers. Generally restricted to rivers and estuaries although manatees may enter salt water when traveling from site to site. Often found in waters with submerged aquatic beds or floating vegetation.
Piping Plover	<i>Charadrius melanotos</i>	ESA Threatened; MBTA	Threatened/ Endangered	Generally found on beaches and mudflats of barrier islands in southeastern coastal parishes.
Red-cockaded Woodpecker	<i>Picoides borealis</i>	ESA Endangered; MBTA	Endangered	Long-leaf pine forests and mixed pine-upland hardwood forests with mature trees and cleared mid-story.

REPAIR/ENHANCEMENT OF ACCESS TO REMOTE PIPELINE VALVE STATIONS, WEST HACKBERRY, LA
DOE/EA-2040

Species Common Name	Scientific Name	Federal Protection	State Status	Habitat Description
Plants				
American Chaffseed	<i>Schwalbea americana</i>	ESA Endangered		Pimple mounds in savannahs should be the priority habitat in southwest Louisiana. Associates include longleaf pine, blackjack oak, narrowleaf silkggrass, and hair-awn muhly grass.

Source: LDWF 2016a.

ESA-Endangered Species Act

GBEPA-Golden and Bald Eagle Protection Act

MBTA-Migratory Bird Treaty Act

Species associated with pine forests, such as the red-cockaded woodpecker and American chaffseed, and beach birds such as the piping plover, are not likely to be found within the project area. A field survey performed on June 14, 2016 did not observe any eagles, pelicans, terns, or manatees. An osprey (*Pandion haliaetus*) viewed near WH-6 was the only notable sighting of birds during the field survey.

Not all species protected under the ESA are identified as occurring within a particular parish. Species listed by LDWF, but without parish identification, and their habitats were reviewed in order to determine if they have the potential to occur in the vicinity of the proposed project.

Table 4. ESA-Protected Species with Potential to Occur in Vicinity of Proposed Project.

Species Common Name	Scientific Name	Federal Protection	State Status	Habitat Description
Sea Turtles				
Green Sea Turtle	<i>Chelonia mydas</i>	ESA Threatened ¹	Threatened	Migration range includes the Gulf of Mexico onshore and offshore waters. No nesting habitat in Louisiana.
Hawksbill Sea Turtle	<i>Eretmochelys imbricata</i>	ESA Endangered	Endangered	Migration range includes the Gulf of Mexico onshore and offshore waters. No nesting habitat in Louisiana.
Kemp's Ridley Sea Turtle	<i>Lepidochelys kempii</i>	ESA Endangered	Endangered	Migration range includes the Gulf of Mexico onshore and offshore waters. Developmental habitats are coastal areas sheltered from high winds and waves such as embayments, estuaries, and nearshore temperate waters. No nesting habitat in Louisiana.
Leatherback Sea Turtle	<i>Dermochelys coriacea</i>	ESA Endangered	Endangered	Migration range includes the Gulf of Mexico onshore and offshore waters. No nesting habitat in Louisiana.

REPAIR/ENHANCEMENT OF ACCESS TO REMOTE PIPELINE VALVE STATIONS, WEST HACKBERRY, LA
DOE/EA-2040

Species Common Name	Scientific Name	Federal Protection	State Status	Habitat Description
Loggerhead Sea Turtle	<i>Caretta caretta</i>	ESA Endangered	Endangered	Migration range includes the Gulf of Mexico onshore and offshore waters. No nesting habitat in Louisiana.
Other Species				
Florida Panther	<i>Puma concolor coryi</i>	ESA Endangered	Endangered	Historic range included Louisiana, but current habitat limited to swamps and forests of southern Florida.
Whooping Crane	<i>Grus americana</i>	Experimental Population, Non-Essential	Endangered	Estuarine marshes, shallow bays, and tidal flats. Suitability of southwest Louisiana habitat is being assessed for nonmigratory populations reintroduced in 2011. ²

Sources: NMFS and USFWS 2015, NMFS and USFWS 2013a, NMFS and USFWS 2013b, USFWS 2016b.

ESA-Endangered Species Act

¹North Atlantic Distinct Population Segment (DPS) per Federal Register Vol. 81, No. 66, April 6, 2016.

²Federal Register, Vol. 76, No. 23, February 3, 2011.

The only sea turtle nesting beach documented in Louisiana was on Breton Island in 1990. This island is part of the Breton NWR that is comprised of barrier islands in St. Bernard and Plaquemine Parishes. Reported distribution of non-nesting sea turtles includes Sabine Lake (Kot et al 2015), which is approximately five miles downriver from Valve Station WH-6. Sea turtle habitat suitability of the lake is classified as excellent for the loggerhead sea turtle (*Caretta caretta*), but only good at the southern end and marginal at the northern end for Kemp's ridley sea turtle (*Lepidochelys kempii*) (Kot et al 2015). No sea turtles were sighted during the field surveys conducted on June 14, 2016. Coordination with NMFS determined that the GIWW is outside the known range for sea turtles.

Whooping cranes (*Grus americana*) were extirpated in Louisiana by the middle of the 20th century. Reintroduced in 2011 and 2015 to White Lake Wetlands Conservation Area in Vermilion Parish, approximately 60 miles east of the project area, these cranes have been tracked or sighted in Allen, Avoyelles, and Iberia Parishes, as well as in Texas. No cranes were sighted during the field surveys conducted on June 14, 2016.

3.6.2 Critical Habitat and Natural Communities

Critical habitat is defined as a specific geographic area that contains features essential for the conservation of a federal T&E species. Designated critical habitat near the project area is the Cameron Parish shoreline along the Gulf of Mexico. This area, approximately 20 miles south of the project area, is considered critical habitat for the piping plover (USFWS 2016). The Louisiana Gulf Coast is also designated as critical habitat for manatee and endangered sea turtle, but the habitat does not extend into the project area.

Besides the marshlands of the Gulf Coast Chenier Plain, which may vary from freshwater to brackish, two forested natural communities of state concern are found in the vicinity of the project—the Coastal Live Oak-Hackberry Forest, formed on abandoned ridges or cheniers, and bottomland hardwood (BLH) forests. Along the GIWW, woody species typical of these communities may be present at higher

elevations, but conditions in the area do not support mature forests of this type. Any likely habitats with species typical of these habitats would be present as low, stunted vegetation impacted by the invasion of Chinese tallow (*Triadica sebifera*=*Sapium sebiferum*). Neither of these special communities was identified during the field surveys conducted on June 14, 2016.

A third community in the area is the Coastal Prairie, remnants of which are known to exist within the Sabine NWR. On the south end of its range in Cameron Parish, Coastal Prairie may occur on ridges surrounded by marsh. Known as wet or marsh fringing prairie, these systems are notable for the extreme diversity of grasses. The suppression of natural fires has impacted the quality of this habitat by allowing for the invasion of certain woody species, such as Chinese tallow, that form dense thickets. No indicators of Coastal Prairie were identified during the field surveys conducted on June 14, 2016.

3.6.3 Migratory Birds

The project area contains habitat for migratory birds, which are protected under the MBTA. The bald eagle and the brown pelican were delisted as federally protected T&E species, but continue to be protected under the MBTA.

In 2008, the USFWS published a list of birds of conservation concern within 38 bird conservation regions (BCR). The project area falls near the boundary between BCR 37, Gulf Coastal Prairie (U.S. portion only) and BCR 25, West Gulf Coastal Plain/Ouachitas. The complete lists of migratory birds of conservation concern in these two BCRs are provided in **Appendix E**. Migratory birds with a documented occurrence by LDWF in Cameron and/or Calcasieu Parishes are listed in **Table 5**. An online geographic database was queried for these birds. Sightings within 10 miles of the project area are noted in the table.

Table 5. Migratory Birds Known to Occur in Calcasieu and Cameron Parishes.

Species Common Name	Scientific Name	BCR 25 and 37 Species of Concern?	Sightings within 10 miles of Project Area (2013-2016)?	Habitat Descriptions	Habitat in Project Area?
American Swallow-tailed Kite	<i>Elanoides forficatus</i>	Yes	Yes	Wooded river swamps. Requires tall trees for nesting and nearby open country with abundant prey. In North America found mostly in open pine woods near marsh or prairie, cypress swamps, other riverside swamp forest.	No
Bachman's Sparrow	<i>Peucaea aestivalis</i>	Yes	No	Breeds in early succession pine woodlands or in mature longleaf pine. Also found occasionally in open habitats with dense grasses and forbs.	No

REPAIR/ENHANCEMENT OF ACCESS TO REMOTE PIPELINE VALVE STATIONS, WEST HACKBERRY, LA
DOE/EA-2040

Species Common Name	Scientific Name	BCR 25 and 37 Species of Concern?	Sightings within 10 miles of Project Area (2013-2016)?	Habitat Descriptions	Habitat in Project Area?
Bald Eagle	<i>Haliaeetus leucocephalus</i>	Yes	Yes	Nests primarily in the tops of trees near open water. Feeds in open lakes. In LA, nests more likely in southeast.	Yes
Brown Pelican	<i>Pelecanus occidentalis</i>	No	Yes	Usually occurs in bays, tidal estuaries or along the coast. Nests commonly in shrub thickets within dunes of barrier islands. Feeds in deep and shallow coastal waters.	Yes
Common Ground-Dove	<i>Columbina passerine¹</i>	No	No	Cultivated land including farms, orchards, and old cane fields. Clearings, roadsides, and wood edges	No
Cooper's Hawk	<i>Accipiter cooperii</i>	No	Yes	Deciduous, mixed and evergreen forests as well as deciduous areas of riparian habitat. Can be tolerant of human disturbance in suburban areas.	No
Crested Caracara	<i>Caracara cheriway</i>	No	Yes	This species occurs in open areas such as prairies or rangeland with scattered trees.	Yes
Glossy Ibis	<i>Plegadis falcinellus</i>	No	Yes	Generally flocks can be found in marshes. Nests in shrubs and trees near water.	Yes
Least Tern ²	<i>Sternula antillarum</i>	Yes(c)	Yes	Sea beaches, bays, large rivers, salt flats. Along coast generally where sand beaches close to extensive shallow waters for feeding. Inland, found along rivers with broad exposed sandbars, lakes with salt flats nearby.	No
Piping Plover	<i>Charadrius melanotos</i>	No	No	Generally found on beaches and mudflats of barrier islands in southeastern coastal parishes.	No

REPAIR/ENHANCEMENT OF ACCESS TO REMOTE PIPELINE VALVE STATIONS, WEST HACKBERRY, LA
DOE/EA-2040

Species Common Name	Scientific Name	BCR 25 and 37 Species of Concern?	Sightings within 10 miles of Project Area (2013-2016)?	Habitat Descriptions	Habitat in Project Area?
Red-cockaded woodpecker	<i>Picoides borealis</i>	No	No	Long-leaf pine forests and mixed pine-upland hardwood forests with mature trees and cleared mid-story.	No
Roseate Spoonbill	<i>Platalea ajaja</i>	No	Yes	Prefers freshwater but is also known to inhabit varieties of marine and brackish waters. Forages in shallow water ponds or sloughs in saline to freshwater marshes.	Yes
Sandhill Crane ³	<i>Grus canadensis</i>	No	Yes	Prairies, fields, and marshes.	Yes
Snowy Plover	<i>Charadrius alexandrinus</i>	Yes(c)	No	Nests in loose colonies on open beaches. Winter habitat is mostly on dry sandy or shell beaches, above the high tide mark and along the coast or on barrier islands.	No
Sprague's Pipit	<i>Anthus spragueii</i>	Yes(nb)	No	Plains, shortgrass prairies. Breeds in relatively dry grassland, especially native prairie, avoiding brushy areas and cultivated fields. Winters in similar shortgrass habitats including pastures and prairies, and grassy patches within fields.	No
Wilson's Plover	<i>Charadrius wilsonia</i>	Yes	No	Coastal areas that are saline and thinly vegetated including salt flats, coastal lagoons, beaches and sand dunes.	No

Sources: Audubon 2016, eBird. 2012, LDWF 2016, USFWS 2008, and USFWS 2013.

¹Subspecies *C. p. passerina* is found from Eastern Texas throughout the Gulf States including Louisiana.

²Interior population of T&E species

³Individuals in Louisiana belong to subspecies *Grus Canadensis tabida*, Mid-continent Gulf Coast population.

(c) non-listed subspecies or population of T&E species in this BCR.

(nb) non-breeding in this BCR.

Species associated with pine forests, tall trees, beaches, and sandy shores are not likely to be found in the vicinity of the valve sites. Other migratory birds may use the forest, shrub, and grass habitats in the area, but field surveys performed on June 14, 2016 did not observe any eagles, pelicans, terns, cranes,

REPAIR/ENHANCEMENT OF ACCESS TO REMOTE PIPELINE VALVE STATIONS, WEST HACKBERRY, LA
DOE/EA-2040

caracara, or spoonbills. An osprey viewed near WH-6 was the only notable bird sighted during the field survey.

3.6.4 Nesting Bird Colonies

Flat grasslands and marshes of southwestern Louisiana provide excellent habitat for colonial waterbirds. Consequently, the project areas were surveyed on June 14, 2016 for colonies of nesting pelicans and other colonial birds such as herons, egrets, ibis, roseate spoonbills, anhingas, and cormorants as well as gulls, terns, and/or black skimmers, but none were observed.

3.6.5 Eagle Nests

Bald eagles are philopatric and tend to return to the area of their birth. This is one reason why eagles in Louisiana, whose populations have increased since the prohibition of DDT in 1972, have historically preferred nesting in the south central coast (LDWF 2016). By 1975, surveys had identified only 7 active nests in Louisiana. These nests were located in Terrebonne, Lafourche, and Assumption Parishes. By the winter of 2007-2008, 82 percent of all active nests found by LDWF were located within 80 kilometers (50 miles) of Mandalay NWR in Terrebonne Parish, which is approximately 200 miles east of the project area.

Active nests have been found across the state and eagles are expected to continue moving away from the historic nesting areas into other suitable habitats as the species population continues to grow (Smith 2014). Suitable habitat for nesting eagles is defined as being in forest or woody wetlands, less than 1 kilometer (0.6 mile) from open water. Emergent herbaceous wetlands close to open water are also defined as suitable habitat as long as the distance of this habitat is within 1 kilometer of another forest or woody wetland (Smith 2014).

No eagle nests were sighted during the field surveys conducted on June 14, 2016.

3.6.6 Submerged Aquatic Vegetation

Seagrass beds in the estuaries of Louisiana are communities of rooted “grasses” that grow in shallow, protected waters with low turbidity. Temperature, salinity levels, substrate, wave action, and light penetration are key factors in determining the floral and faunal composition of these beds. Substrates are generally sand/mud bottoms to a water depth of not greater than 3 to 4 feet. Small beds occur in ponds scattered throughout marshes of coastal Louisiana.

Field surveys did not observe any SAV in the GIWW at the proposed landing sites for WH-2, WH-4, or WH-5. A sparse distribution of coon’s tail (*Ceratophyllum demersum*) was identified near the east bank of the Vinton Drainage Canal at WH-4. Two SAV species, widgeon grass (*Ruppia maritima*) and Eurasian water-milfoil (*Myriophyllum spicatum*), were found near the grassy shore south of the shell beach at WH-6 (**Photo 16 in Appendix B**). Neither of these pockets of SAVs is within the immediate area of proposed activities.

3.6.7 Essential Fish Habitat

Essential fish habitat (EFH) is designated by National Oceanographic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) Fisheries and the regional fishery management councils for species managed under the Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act. The waters and substrates of the GIWW and the Sabine River are part of the Gulf of Mexico region and comprise EFH for spawning, breeding,

feeding, and maturing of fish species in accordance with fishery management plans for red drum, reef fish and coastal migratory pelagics, and shrimp. Habitat areas of particular concern and EFH areas protected from fishing are not located in the vicinity of the proposed project (NOAA 2016).

3.7 Navigation

The GIWW is a man-made, shallow-draft navigation canal that extends along the Gulf of Mexico coastline from Brownsville, Texas to St. Marks, Florida for approximately 1,100 miles, with an additional 200 miles of networked canals in Louisiana. The waterway provides a channel with a controlling depth of 12 feet (3.7 meters), designed primarily for barge transportation. The canal links all of the Gulf Coast ports and enables these ports to access the inland waterway system of the United States. In 2014, tonnage on the GIWW totaled 126.1 million short tons of domestic cargo. Approximate annual tonnage through the Calcasieu Lock, which is east of the project area, is 46 million. The reach in the vicinity of the Valve Stations, Statute Mile 250 to 260, experiences light traffic. Commercial fishing vessels, oil and gas work boats, and recreational watercraft are the primary users.

The reach of the GIWW between the Sabine River and the Calcasieu Ship Channel is approximately 500 feet wide from bank to bank and the channel depth is currently maintained at 12 feet. The Sabine River near Valve Station WH-6 is a natural waterway that is a designated segment of the GIWW. Its channel width is approximately 200 feet and channel depth is maintained at 30 feet. The Port of Orange in Texas is a deep-draft port approximately 5 miles upstream of WH-6 and 0.5 miles from the convergence of the GIWW and the Sabine River. The principal activity at the Port of Orange is long term lay berthing, which includes Maritime Administration ships, transfer of domestic cargo between other transportation modes, barge and tug dry docking, fleeting and repair /new construction of tugs, barges and offshore petroleum drilling rigs. Petroleum related traffic between the Gulf of Mexico and Orange has been reduced since the recent downturn in oil prices.

3.8 Recreational Resources

The project area contains abundant opportunities for recreational boating, fishing, wildlife viewing, and duck hunting. Several boat launches are within 10 miles of the valve station project area, but no public boat launches are located along the project area reach of the GIWW. No launches or boat ramps are located on the Louisiana side of the Sabine River near WH-6, but a municipal boat launch is located in Orange, Texas near the Navy Shipyard, and several public ramps are open on Adams and Cow Bayous across the Sabine from WH-6.

Most recreational activities in the immediate project area require a boat. Activities in the immediate area of the valve sites, such as duck hunting, are restricted by private land rights. Waterfowl and alligator hunting as well as fishing, boating, and birding are permitted in Sabine NWR, south of the project area.

3.9 Climate and Climate Change

The climate is subtropical marine with long humid summers and short moderate winters. Based on 30-year normals (1981-2010), annual average temperatures range from 59 to 78 degrees Fahrenheit(F) with temperatures in August reaching the low 90s and January lows near 40 degrees F. Average annual rainfall is 57 inches with June and July generally experiencing the highest amounts of precipitation (National Weather Service <http://www.srh.noaa.gov/lch/?n=KLCH>). During the summer, prevailing

southerly winds produce conditions favorable for afternoon thundershowers. In the colder seasons, the area is subjected to frontal movements that produce squalls and sudden temperature drops. River fogs are prevalent in the winter and spring when the temperature of the major waterbodies ~~are~~ is somewhat colder than the air temperature. Over a dozen hurricanes have made landfall in this area since recordkeeping began.

The project area is low-lying, subject to shallow coastal flooding, and is vulnerable to sea level rise from climate change.

3.10 Air Quality

As authorized by the Clean Air Act, the EPA has established National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for six criteria pollutants: carbon monoxide (CO), sulfur dioxide (SO₂), nitrogen dioxide (NO₂), ozone (O₃), lead (Pb), and particulate matter smaller than 2.5 microns (PM_{2.5}). Primary NAAQS specify ambient concentrations of these pollutants that are protective of the public health. Secondary NAAQS specify ambient concentrations of these pollutants that are protective of property. The project is located in the US Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) Air Quality Control Region 106, Southern Louisiana/Southeast Texas Interstate. This region includes all of Cameron and Calcasieu Parishes and is designated as an area that is unclassified or in attainment for all NAAQS.

3.11 Noise

The ambient noise level is quiet, disturbed only by marine vessel traffic and oil and gas operations. Wind and water provide a natural backdrop for sounds made by birds and other wildlife. SPR-WH operations do not affect these noise levels or disturb the natural soundscape. Construction activities would have a temporary effect; birds and other noise sensitive wildlife would avoid the area until construction is complete.

3.12 Visual Resources

The project area is a remote and watery landscape with low growing grasses and shrubs. The low relief and large extents of surface water can be visually monotonous, but these features do allow for panoramic views of the natural environment and provide great opportunities for photography of sunrises, sunsets, and wildlife.

3.13 Cultural Resources

No historic standing structures are located in the vicinity of the project area. Prehistoric and historic archaeological resources connected to Native American activities are most likely found on the levees or natural waterways. Valve Station WH-2, WH-4, and WH-5 are located on a man-made section of the GIWW and the areas surrounding these sites are less likely to contain archaeological resources than WH-6, located on the east bank of the Sabine River.




Exhibit 3 – Sunrise over Gum Cove. Source: Panoramio - Photo by conjoin5.

A records search of using the Louisiana State Historic Preservation Officer (LASHPO), Division of Archaeology cultural resources database identified 12 previous investigations (**Table 6**) within the within a one-mile (1.6-kilometer) radius around the valve station project areas.

Table 6. Previous Cultural Resource Investigations within 1 mile (1.6 kilometers) of Valve Stations

LASHPO ID	Project Description	Reference	Valve Station
22-0106	Archaeological investigations along the Gulf Intracoastal Waterway	Gagliano et al. 1975	WH-2, WH-4, WH-5
22-0113	Archaeology survey for a six-inch natural gas pipeline	Gagliano et al. 1976	WH-2, WH-4, WH-5
22-0128	Archaeological reconnaissance for Texoma Group Strategic Petroleum Reserve Sites	Thomas et al. 1977	WH-5
22-0366	Archaeological and historical survey along the proposed Texas-Louisiana pipeline	McIntire 1978	WH-6
22-0536	Archaeology survey for a 42 inch crude oil pipeline	Neuman 1978	WH-2
22-1926	Cultural resources survey for a 10-inch pipeline	Skinner et al. 1995	WH-2, WH-6
22-2401	Archaeology survey for Sabine Propylene Pipeline L.P Project	Miller 2001	WH-6
22-2506	Marine remote sensing survey	Enright and Watts 2002	WH-6
22-2707	Cultural resources survey for the Cheniere Creole Trail pipeline	Dixon et al. 2005	WH-4, WH-5

**REPAIR/ENHANCEMENT OF ACCESS TO REMOTE PIPELINE VALVE STATIONS, WEST HACKBERRY, LA
DOE/EA-2040**

LASHPO ID	Project Description	Reference	Valve Station
22-2859	Cultural resources survey and archaeological inventory for the Kinder Morgan Pipeline Project	Handly et al. 2010	WH-5, WH-6
22-2984	Cultural resources survey of the proposed Kaiser-Francis Oil Company Black Bayou Storage Project	Handly et al. 2007	WH-5
22-4570	Cultural resources survey for the proposed Aegis Ethane Header, Segment 1	Nash 2014	WH-4, WH-5, WH-6

These studies identified three archaeological sites within the one-mile radius of WH-6. Site #16CM20 is located approximately 0.5 mile southeast of the valve station area on the bank of the river. The survey was conducted by airboat and the area was inundated at the time; however, no evidence of eroding shell midden deposits was noted during the survey. This site is not considered eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places (NRHP). Sites #16CM159 and #16CM160 are located east of the current bank approximately 0.5 mile and 0.8 mile south of WH-6, respectively. Site forms identified these sites as shell middens, presumably of prehistoric origins. The LASHPO database does not list these sites, meaning that their status for the NRHP is undetermined.

No evidence of shell middens or other recognizable archaeological resources were observed during the field survey of the WH-6 project area conducted on June 14, 2016.

3.14 Socioeconomics and Environmental Justice

The proposed project area is located within Census Tracts (CT) 32 and 34 in Calcasieu Parish and CT 9702.01 in Cameron Parish. Valve Site WH-2 is located within Block 1217, Block Group (BG) 1 in CT 32, WH-4 is within Block 1091, BG 1 in CT 34, WH-5 is within Block 1090, BG 1 in CT 34, and WH-6 is within Block 2016, BG 2 in CT 9702.01. None of these blocks are populated. All of the project area CT and BG populations were reported to be over 90 percent non-Hispanic white in the 2010 decennial census (Census Bureau 2010). This information was used to determine no low-income or minority populations are present within the immediate area of the proposed project activities.

According to the American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates (Census Bureau 2014), 8.3 percent of individuals in Cameron Parish and 17.2 percent in Calcasieu Parish reported incomes below the poverty level for the 12 months preceding the survey. Populations in the areas surrounding the valve sites experienced poverty at rates equivalent to the respective parishes, with the exception of BG 1, CT 34 in Calcasieu Parish, which reported a poverty rate of 26.2 percent. The project area blocks are unpopulated; therefore, none of these low-income populations are located in the vicinity. Detailed demographic information is provided in **Appendix F**.

A visual analysis utilizing Google Earth aerial imagery was performed to determine the distance between the proposed project activities and the closest areas with evidence of buildings and other structures related to residential land uses. The area closest to Valve Site WH-6 is approximately 2.5 miles north, across the Sabine River in West Orange, Texas. The other valve sites are at least 5 miles from any residential development. These distance from populated communities limits the potential for the project 1) to create exposure pathways (routes by which the minority or low-income population may

come into contact with chemical, biological, physical, or radiological effects) to affect low-income and minority communities 2) to cause negative effects on ecological, aesthetic, historic, cultural, economic, social, or health consequences to these communities; and 3) to disproportionately affect these communities in an adverse manner or to withhold its benefits from low-income and minority communities.

In addition, economic activities in the immediate project area are limited to oil and gas extraction and transportation, waterborne transportation, livestock grazing, and hunting and fishing leases, all industries that employ low numbers of workers, who do not remain in the area for long-periods of time. The closest port facilities, where workers are temporally and spatially concentrated are in Lake Charles, Louisiana and Orange, Texas. The latter area is approximately 2 miles from Valve Site WH-6 across the Sabine River. The Sabine NWR and Creole Nature Trail attract tourists, hunters, fishermen, and wildlife watchers to the area, but the immediate vicinity of the valve stations is accessible only by water and too remote for typical tourism.

3.15 Public Health and Worker Safety

One of the goals of the proposed project is to improve safety for maintenance personnel. Another goal is to reduce costs and increase the efficiency of maintenance operations at the valve stations. The valve stations not only contain block valves, but also field devices with instrumentation, data gathering units, and communication systems for transfer of field data to a central location in real time. This set-up is utilized to manage maintenance work, monitor pipeline operations, and isolate ruptures or leaks in a timely manner, actions that are protective of public health and worker safety.

Construction activities would be conducted under federal, state, and local laws that provide a safe and healthful work environment. In-water construction would take place near the shore, away from the navigation channels of the GIWW and the Sabine River. None of the proposed activities would pose health or safety threats to the public.

3.16 Waste Management/Hazardous Materials

The purpose of the project is to improve access to and maintenance of the valve stations, which are key elements in containing leaks or ruptures within the pipeline segments. The proposed project is designed to create a beneficial effect by reducing the intensity and temporal extent of contamination from petroleum releases from the valve sites and pipeline segments in the project area. The proposed project construction activities do not require any major subsurface disturbance, except for the timber piles for WH-2, WH-4, and WH-5 that will be driven near the shore and within the waterbottom of the GIWW.

A review of LDEQ records reveals that the SPR-WH reported only two brine water spills, one in 2009 and one in 2014. Both of these non-emergency incidents occurred at the West Hackberry Oil Storage Facility approximately 7 miles southeast of WH-2, and involved discharge of brine water onto the ground. Both spills were cleaned and no further action was required.

On March 31, 2016, a mobile crane loading a barge at the same site developed a hydraulic leak and approximately 0.5 gallon of fluid/oil was released to the ground. A strong south wind and high tide caused one to two cups of hydraulic oil to enter Black Lake, creating a light sheen. The leak from the crane was stopped, and site personnel used absorbents to try to control the sheen. No impact was

observed. The incident was reported to the National Response Center (NRC) by phone on the day of the incident. An incident report from the NRC and a followup letter from DOE were sent to LDEQ on April 4, 2016 and logged into the Electronic Document Management System (EDMS). No subsequent correspondence or actions related to this instance are documented in the EDMS files. Field surveys of the valve site areas did not find any materials or structures associated with hazardous waste, and no distressed vegetation or staining of ground soils indicative of a recent release were observed.

The SPR-WH Is a Conditionally Exempt Small Quantity Generator (CESQG), meaning that it generates 100 kilograms or less per month of hazardous waste or one kilogram or less per month of acutely hazardous waste. All waste generated by CESQGs must be delivered to a person or facility who is authorized to manage it.

Any hazardous materials generated during construction would be disposed of as required by the construction plans and permits. Best management practices to reduce the amount of waste, and a spill response plan are required of all DOE contractors to ensure that hazardous waste is not released into the environment.

4.0 Environmental Effects

Adverse impacts to protected resources and potential effects on programs and other issues of public concern were analyzed in coordination with DOE. Other agencies with an interest in potentially affected resources and programs were also consulted. Documentation of concurrence with the findings of the analysis by several of the agencies consulted are provided in **Appendix G**.

4.1 Direct Impacts Analysis

Resources and issues identified in **Section 3** were assessed to determine if any direct impacts would be expected from the proposed actions. Although the No Action Alternative does not meet the purpose and need for the project, analysis of its effects on the environment was conducted as a baseline comparison. **Table 7** presents the comparative impacts analysis of direct effects to relevant resources and issues. Agency concurrence on finding of no or unlikely effect on specific resource or issue is noted with an asterisk (*).

Table 7. Direct Impacts Analysis.

Resource/Issue	Potential Direct Effects	
	Proposed Actions	No Action
Land Use	No change to existing land use and compliant with land use regulations.*	No change to existing land use.
Access and Right of Way	New perpetual access ROW would be acquired. No relocations or diminished value from acquisition, are anticipated.	No new right-of-way would be acquired.
Seismicity	Not relevant.	Not relevant.
Soils and Prime Farmland	No impact on prime farmland.*	No effect.
Coastal Zone	Consistent with the Louisiana Coastal Resources Program.*	No effect.

REPAIR/ENHANCEMENT OF ACCESS TO REMOTE PIPELINE VALVE STATIONS, WEST HACKBERRY, LA
DOE/EA-2040

Resource/Issue	Potential Direct Effects	
	Proposed Actions	No Action
Floodplain Management	No effect on floodplain elevations from proposed project.* Project subject to inundation by 1-percent chance flood and coastal flood zone wave action.	No effect on floodplain elevations. Existing access subject to inundation by 1-percent chance flood and coastal flood zone wave action.
Wetlands	Potential permanent impacts to wetlands from access paths at WH-5 and WH-6 of less than 0.05 acre.	No effect.
Other Waters of the US	Potential permanent impacts to waters of the US from boat landings and timber piles at WH-2, WH-4, and WH-5 and from fill in inundated existing footpath at WH-6.	No effect.
Aquifers	Not relevant.	Not relevant.
Water Quality	Temporary increase in turbidity in GIWW from in-water construction. Effects to be mitigated through use of best management practices. Erosion control measures for land-based construction to be specified in SWPPP.	No effect.
T&E Species	Not likely to affect ESA-protected species. (Manatee*).	No effect.
Critical Habitat and Natural Communities	No critical habitat or natural communities of state concern are located within the project vicinity.	No effect.
Migratory Birds	Minor and temporary effect from construction activities and noise on certain species that may utilize vegetated habitats near the project area.	Negligible and temporary effect from maintenance activities and noise on certain species that may utilize vegetated habitats near the valve sites.
Nesting Bird Colonies	No nesting bird colonies found within the project vicinity during site visit. Two weeks prior to construction, another visual survey will be conducted to ascertain if any colonies are located within the proscribed boundaries. If any are identified, construction activities will be restricted to the pertinent non-nesting period.	No effect.

REPAIR/ENHANCEMENT OF ACCESS TO REMOTE PIPELINE VALVE STATIONS, WEST HACKBERRY, LA
DOE/EA-2040

Resource/Issue	Potential Direct Effects	
	Proposed Actions	No Action
Eagle Nests	No eagle nests found within the project vicinity during site visit. Two weeks prior to construction, another visual survey will be conducted to ascertain if any eagle nests are located within 600 meters of the construction zone. If any are identified, construction activities will be restricted to the pertinent non-nesting period.	No eagle nests found within the project vicinity.
Submerged Aquatic Vegetation	Minor and temporary effect from in-water construction activities.	No effect.
Essential Fish Habitat	Minor and temporary effect from in-water construction activities.	No effect.
Navigation	Negligible and temporary effect during construction. Aids to navigation to be implemented on boat landings.	No effect.
Recreational Resources	Minor and temporary effect on hunting and fishing in the project vicinity from construction activities and noise.	No effect.
Climate	No effect.	No effect.
Climate Change	Potential negative effect on proposed actions from sea level rise.	Potential negative effect on existing facilities from sea level rise.
Air Quality	Minor and temporary effect on air quality during construction from emissions from heavy equipment and fugitive dust.	No effect.
Noise	No sensitive human noise receptors effected. Minor and temporary effect on wildlife during construction activities.	No effect.
Visual Resources	No effect.	No effect.
Cultural Resources	No effect.*	No effect.
Socioeconomics	No effect.	No effect.
Environmental Justice	No effect on minority or low-income populations.	No effect minority or low-income populations.
Public Health	Beneficial effect from more efficient maintenance of valve station equipment reducing probability of intense and extensive contamination from petroleum releases.	Less efficient maintenance and monitoring of pipeline operations with higher probability of more intense and widespread contamination from ruptures or leaks.

REPAIR/ENHANCEMENT OF ACCESS TO REMOTE PIPELINE VALVE STATIONS, WEST HACKBERRY, LA
DOE/EA-2040

Resource/Issue	Potential Direct Effects	
	Proposed Actions	No Action
Worker Safety	Goal of the project is to improve safety for SPR-WH maintenance personnel.	No improvements to access or safety and SPR-WH maintenance personnel would continue to work under less than optimal conditions.
Waste Management	Minor waste generated during construction to be managed per construction plans and permits.	No effect.
Hazardous Materials	Beneficial effect from more efficient maintenance of valve station equipment reducing probability of intense and extensive contamination from petroleum releases.	Higher probability of more intense and widespread contamination from ruptures or leaks.

*Concurrence on this finding provided by pertinent regulatory agency (see **Appendix G**).

4.1.1 Access and Right of Way

New perpetual access ROW would be required for the project. Acquisition of this ROW would be conducted in accordance with real estate policies of the Federal Government and the provisions of P.L. 91-646, as amended. The acquisition would not relocate any structures. No reduction in the value of the adjacent properties is anticipated.

4.1.2 Soils and Prime Farmland

Soils and prime farmland would not be impacted by the proposed actions. Correspondence from the NRCS documenting this determination and exempting the proposed construction areas from the rules and regulations of the Farmland Protection Policy Act is provided in **Appendix G**.

4.1.3 Coastal Zone

The project avoids adverse impacts to coastal resources to the maximum extent practicable. Project plans will be submitted to the OCM for a consistency determination for review of compliance with the approved LCRP in accordance with Section 307(c) of the FCZMA.

4.1.4 Floodplain and Wetlands

In accordance with 10 CFR 1022.3, DOE shall incorporate floodplain management goals and wetland protection considerations into its decisionmaking processes. An evaluation of the proposed action and assessment of the potential effect to these resources was prepared, and as allowed by 10 CFR 1022.13(b), the floodplain and wetlands assessments are included in this NEPA document.

4.1.4.1 Floodplain Assessment

The SPR-WH valve stations are located in the floodplain; therefore, no practicable alternative to locating the proposed access features in the floodplain is available; therefore, DOE has designed the project to minimize potential harm within the floodplain, consistent with the policies set forth in Executive Order (EO) 11988, Floodplain Management (42 Federal Register [FR] 2951). The proposed action is not a critical action for which even a slight chance of flooding would be too great. The proposed actions would be located in the base floodplain, subject to inundation by the one-percent annual chance flood and coastal wave action, and are designed to be compatible with development in these SFHAs. Limited

grading and fill in the floodplain, as proposed, would cause minimal changes in land elevations. Therefore, the proposed actions would have a negligible impact on beneficial floodplain values and adjacent properties. It would have no effect on human lives.

4.1.4.2 Wetlands Assessment

As illustrated on **Figure 5 in Appendix A** and **Table 1**, a preliminary wetlands assessment determined that the project areas surrounding Valve Stations WH-2 and WH-4 do not contain any wetlands. The areas surrounding Valve Stations WH-5 and WH-6 do contain wetlands and **Figure 6 in Appendix A** illustrates that the proposed walking path at WH-5 would fill approximately 0.032 acre of herbaceous habitat already impacted by maintenance of the Colonial Pipeline ROW. WH-6 would fill approximately 0.004 acre of marsh habitat already impacted by cattle grazing and damage from feral hogs. The proposed project would result in the permanent loss of the functions and values associated with a total of 0.036 acre of wetlands.

Valve Stations WH-5 and WH-6 are located in areas surrounded by wetlands; therefore, no practicable alternative to locating the proposed walking paths in wetlands is available; therefore, DOE has designed the project to minimize impacts to wetlands, consistent with the policies set forth in EO 11990, Protection of Wetlands (42 FR 26961).

To offset the permanent loss of wetlands, compensatory mitigation would have to be assessed and executed as discussed in **Section 4.2**.

No impacts to wetlands would be caused in the area of Valve Station WH-5 from equipment staging, which would be conducted from a barge. Jurisdictional wetlands surrounding the proposed walkway would be identified and marked, and construction work zones and activities including potential incidental fill and runoff would be prohibited in these areas. Wetlands surrounding Valve Station WH-6 cannot be completely avoided during construction. Equipment staging would be conducted from a barge and work performed within the area of permanent impacts; however, some temporary impacts to wetlands would be expected. After construction, the natural contour would be restored and wetlands would regenerate after a complete growing season.

4.1.5 Other Waters of the US

The GIWW and Sabine River within the project area are traditionally navigable waters classified as waters (other than wetlands) of the US that are jurisdictional under the CWA. Waters adjacent to these waterbodies, such as wetlands and impoundments, may be determined jurisdictional on a case-by-case basis (USEPA and Department of the Army 2015).

The proposed actions include three walkways and boat landings elevated on timber piles for access to WH-2, WH-4, and WH-5. These features would impact the jurisdictional waters of the GIWW by introducing the proposed features into the water below the ordinary high water mark. A review of the proposed design drawings provided in **Appendix C** show that the timber piles would be the only structures permanently placed in the water. The walkways and landings would stay above the normal water level.

The existing footpath at Valve Station WH-6 contains a hard bottom and lacks vegetation due to apparent persistent inundation. This part of the footpath, totaling 0.07 acre, is within 200 feet of the Sabine River and may be determined to be jurisdictional waters of the US.

4.1.6 Water Quality

Temporary impacts to water quality from construction activities would be minimized by the implementation of best management practices consistent with the SPR Pollution Prevention Plan (Publication ASL5400.41), Version 10.0 (08-02-16) and as described in the West Hackberry site SWPPP in keeping with general principles and conditions of the Louisiana Pollutant Discharge Elimination System and the Stormwater General Permit Associated with Construction Activity Greater than Five Acres. Pollution from stormwater would be minimized through adherence to requirements detailed in the project contract and scope of work. Construction activities of the proposed project would include temporary erosion control measures to minimize impacts to water quality during construction. Such erosion control measures may include the use of silt fencing, protection barriers, hay bales, seeding or sodding of bare areas, or other suitable means of erosion/sediment containment. Where appropriate, temporary erosion control structures would be built before construction begins and maintained during construction. Vegetation, including trees, would be cleared only as needed and clearing activities may be phased to maintain soil integrity and minimize exposure of an erosive surface. When construction is completed, disturbed areas would be restored to pre-construction grade and reseeded. No dredging or prop washing shall be required.

4.1.7 Threatened and Endangered Species

No species protected under the ESA would be affected by the proposed actions.

4.1.8 Critical Habitat and Natural Communities

No critical habitat for federally protected T&E species or natural communities of state concern would be affected by the proposed actions.

4.1.9 Migratory Birds

Human activities and noise associated with construction of the proposed actions would have a temporary effect on certain species that may utilize vegetated habitats near the project area. These species are mobile and alternate habitat is abundant in the area. Therefore, this effect would be minor. Maintenance activities also have a temporary effect on migratory birds that utilize the area, but this effect is short-term and intermittent and therefore, negligible.

4.1.10 Nesting Bird Colonies and Eagle Nests

No eagle nests or nesting bird colonies were found within the project vicinity during site visit. Two weeks prior to construction, another visual survey will be conducted to ascertain if any nests or nesting colonies are located within the proscribed boundaries. If any are identified, construction activities will be restricted to the pertinent non-nesting period. Further discussion of measures to protect nesting species are discussed in **Section 4.2**.

4.1.11 Submerged Aquatic Vegetation

Field surveys did not observe any SAVs in the GIWW within the footprint of the proposed elevated walkways and boat landing platforms. In-water construction activities would create turbidity in the water surrounding the construction zone of the elevated walkways and boat landings, reducing light

penetration that promotes SAV growth. This effect would be minor and temporary on the few pockets of SAVs located in the area.

4.1.12 Essential Fish Habitat

In-water construction activities would create turbidity in the water surrounding the construction zone of the elevated walkways and boat landings in the GIWW affecting EFH in a minor and temporary manner. EFH in the Sabine River would not be affected.

4.1.13 Navigation

Minor and temporary effect on navigation during construction. Once built, the boat landings would not obstruct navigation. Aids to navigation would be implemented according to USCG standards.

4.1.14 Recreational Resources

Construction activities and noise would cause a minor and temporary effect on hunting and fishing in the project vicinity. Recreational boating on the GIWW and Sabine River would not be affected.

4.1.15 Climate and Climate Change

The proposed actions would not have an effect on climate conditions. Sea level rise predicted as a consequence of climate change would have a negative effect on access to the valve stations if the rise is sufficient to permanently inundate them, but the facilities themselves are designed to withstand periodic submersion. Wave action may erode the proposed walking paths, but these are relatively easy to resurface. This potential negative effect also applies to the existing facilities if the No Action Alternative were selected.

4.1.16 Air Quality

The proposed actions would cause a minor and temporary effect on air quality during construction from emissions from heavy equipment and fugitive dust.

4.1.17 Environmental Justice

A preliminary EJ screening analysis was conducted during the scoping process to determine whether minority populations and low-income populations might be present and could be affected by the proposed action as recommended in the **Promising Practices Report** (NEPA Committee et al 2016). Research using demographic data from the US Census and visual examination of aerial imagery determined that the immediate areas of the proposed actions are unpopulated (See **Appendix F**).

As discussed in Section 3.14, the valve sites are far enough away from populated communities to limit the potential for the project 1) to create exposure pathways (routes by which the minority or low-income population may come into contact with chemical, biological, physical, or radiological effects) to affect low-income and minority communities 2) to cause negative effects on ecological, aesthetic, historic, cultural, economic, social, or health consequences to these communities; and 3) to disproportionately affect these communities in an adverse manner or to withhold its benefits from low-income and minority communities.

4.1.18 Public Health

Public health would be potentially benefited from the more efficient maintenance of valve station equipment resulting from the proposed actions by reducing the probability of intense and extensive contamination from petroleum releases. The No Action Alternative would provide a less efficient

maintenance and monitoring program for pipeline operations yielding a higher probability of more intense and widespread contamination from ruptures or leaks.

4.1.19 Worker Safety

The goal of the project is to improve safety for SPR-WH maintenance personnel by improving access and making boat landings safer. The No Action Alternative would not improve access or safety and SPR-WH maintenance personnel would continue to work under these less than optimal conditions.

4.1.20 Waste Management and Hazardous Materials

The proposed actions would general construction waste that would be managed per construction plans and permits. The proposed actions would reduce the probability of intense and extensive contamination from petroleum releases, which are considered hazardous. The No Action Alternative would not reduce the probability of more intense and widespread contamination from ruptures or leaks.

4.2 Indirect and Cumulative Impacts

Indirect effects that would be caused by the proposed actions, but would occur later in time or farther removed in distance were considered. Growth inducing indirect effects related to changes in the pattern of land use, population density, or growth rate would not be caused by the proposed actions. The area will remain remote and unpopulated, experiencing the same intensity of economic activity with or without the proposed project.

Indirect effects on air and water and other natural systems are also not reasonably foreseeable with the exception of potential indirect impacts on adjacent ecosystems such as wetlands and other waters from construction activities. These indirect effects may consist of impacts to vegetation and water quality from storm water runoff, inadvertent contamination by construction materials or fugitive dust, or damage to vegetation from heavy equipment mistakenly operated outside the construction limits of the project. These effects would be prevented through the implementation of Best Management Practices (BMPs) and careful planning and education of construction crews.

Cumulative impacts resulting from the incremental impact of the proposed action when added to other past, present, and reasonably foreseeable future actions regardless of what agency or person undertakes such other actions were also considered. Cumulative impacts can result from individually minor but collectively significant actions taking place over a period of time.

The proposed action would permanently impact approximately 0.036 acres of herbaceous habitat including marshlands. This increment of wetlands loss is negligible given the reasonably foreseeable intensity of future land loss in the area caused by subsidence, man-made canals, natural stream channelization, and disruption of freshwater sheet flow into the marshes. However, the Master Plan terracing projects and other restoration projects are designed to create land in the area to slow the loss, if not completely offset it. In addition, unavoidable impacts to wetlands from the proposed action will be offset through compensatory mitigation. Therefore, no cumulative impact to wetlands from the proposed actions are anticipated.

The benefits to public health, worker safety, and more efficient maintenance operations from the proposed actions will accumulate over time as an increase in productivity and a reduction in liability costs.

4.3 Mitigation

Potential permanent impacts to wetlands from access paths at WH-5 and WH-6 of less than 0.05 acre may require compensatory mitigation in the form of mitigation bank credits or in-lieu fee payments. Onsite restoration is not recommended.

Temporary effects on water quality from runoff during construction will be mitigated through use of best management practices. Erosion control measures for land-based construction will be specified in the SWPPP in accordance with construction plans and permits.

Mitigation for nesting birds may be required. If the work for the proposed project will commence during the nesting season, then a field visit by a qualified biologist to the project area will be conducted two weeks prior to the construction start date. Survey areas for nesting colonies and eagle nests will be within a 450-meter radius from the work areas and 700 meters for nesting brown pelicans. If any nests are found within the surveyed areas the following restrictions will apply:

- For colonies containing nesting wading birds (i.e. herons, egrets, night herons, ibis, roseate spoonbills, anhingas, and/or cormorants, all project activity occurring within 300 meters of an active nesting colony should be restricted to the non-nesting period (i.e. September 1 through February 15).
- For colonies containing nesting gulls, terns, and/or black skimmers, all project activity occurring within 400 meter (700 meters for brown pelicans) of an active nesting colony should be restricted to the non-nesting period (i.e. September 16 through April 1).
- If it has been determined that a bald eagle nest (active or alternate) can be seen from the project site and that there is no similar activity within 200 meters of the nest, to avoid disturbing nesting eagles and their young, a buffer of at least 200 meters between the proposed activities and the nest shall be maintained. Established landscape buffers will be maintained, and if possible, additional landscape buffers will be created to screen the proposed boat landings and walkways from the nest.

Aids to navigation shall be implemented on the boat landings to ensure that the proposed actions do not interfere with navigation in the GIWW.

Proper maintenance of heavy equipment and use of water to suppress dust are measures that will be implemented to reduce air emissions during construction.

Any hazardous materials generated during construction would be disposed of as required by the construction plans and permits. Best management practices to reduce the amount of waste, both non-hazardous and hazardous, would be implemented. A spill response plan is required of all DOE contractors to ensure that hazardous waste is not released into the environment.

5.0 Public and Agency Coordination

Letters to agencies with an interest in the project or jurisdiction over relevant resources were sent by DOE by US mail on August 2, 2016. A sample letter and the list of agencies contacted are provided in **Appendix G**.

**REPAIR/ENHANCEMENT OF ACCESS TO REMOTE PIPELINE VALVE STATIONS, WEST HACKBERRY, LA
DOE/EA-2040**

Twelve agencies responded to the letter. Ten written responses are provided in **Appendix G**.

1. The Department of Natural Resources (LDNR) requested that plans be submitted to the OCM for a consistency determination for review of compliance with the approved LCRP in accordance with Section 307(c) of the FCZMA.
2. The US Department of Agriculture responded with a determination that no prime farmland or NRCS work in the vicinity will be impacted and that the proposed project is exempt from the rules and regulations of the FFPA.
3. The LASHPO responded with a determination that no known historic properties would be affected by the proposed project.
4. The NMFS Habitat Conservation Division responded that EFH for various penaeid shrimp species and red drum exists in the GIWW and requested an EFH assessment.
5. The Calcasieu Parish Planning and Development Office and the Coastal Zone Program Manager responded with a finding of no objection to the proposed project.
6. The Cameron Parish Floodplain Manager responded with a finding of no objection to the proposed project.
7. The Cameron Parish Coastal Zone Manager responded with a finding of no objection to the proposed project.
8. The USACE, New Orleans District, responded with details regarding the necessary permitting and authorizations.
9. The USFWS, Sabine National Wildlife Refuge responded with a request to review the EA.
10. The USFWS, Louisiana Field Office responded with a determination of not likely to affect manatees.

Three other agencies responded by phone. Mr. Rusty Wright of the Eight Coast Guard District telephoned on August 9, 2016 to request a copy of the draft EA. He agreed to review any interim documents transmitted by email in order to expedite his review. Mr. Dave Butler of LDWF responded on August 29, 2016 with a request to review the draft EA. Mr. Jayson Hudson of USACE, Galveston District agreed to followup on the request for that agency's input on September 7, 2016.

The draft document was published on the DOE website and a notice of the availability (NOA) was sent to the agencies identified. The following comments were received from the agencies after the NOA and are provided in **Appendix G**:

- LDWF commented that the agency was amenable to allowing for a one-year growing season prior to assessing permanent impacts to vegetated wetlands.
- The USACE, New Orleans District reiterated its previous advice regarding permitting and authorizations, adding that the New Orleans District will coordinate with the Galveston District on their intended involvement, and we will provide a copy of any final Department of the Army

(DA) permit decisions to the Galveston District Regulatory Branch, should it be determined that this district will process the necessary authorizations.

- The USFWS requested clarification regarding the location of the valve sites in relation to the Sabine NWR and requested that the Southwest Louisiana NWR Complex Oil and Gas Specialist be contacted. Subsequent communications from the specialist determined that the NWR has no objection to the proposed actions.
- The USEPA requested that the most recent demographic and economic data for the project area be included in the document and that an environmental justice analysis conducted according to the Promising Practices Report (2016) found on the USEPA website. The EA was updated and the requested information and analysis provided in Paragraphs 1-3 in **Section 3.14** and **Appendix F**.

5.1 Public Involvement and Outreach Activities

An advertisement was published in the local newspaper, the Lake Charles American Press, on October 28, October 31, and November 7, 2016 notifying the public of the availability of the draft document and asking for comments through November 10, 2016. A copy of the advertisement and an affidavit of publication is provided in **Appendix H**.

5.2 Permitting

The following permits and authorizations will be required for the proposed project:

- Coastal Zone consistency review by the OCM, the Coastal Zone Manager for the Louisiana to determine that the proposed project complies with the approved LCRP in accordance with Section 307(c) of the FCZMA.
- Section 404 of the CWA permit for discharge or fill in wetlands and other waters of the US issued by the USACE, New Orleans District in coordination with the Galveston District.
- Section 10 of the Rivers and Harbors Act for obstruction of navigable waters issued concurrently with the Section 404 permit by USACE, in coordination with the Galveston District.
- Section 401 of the CWA for Water Quality Certification by the LDEQ.
- Section 408 permit for modifications to a federal project by the USACE, New Orleans District, Operations Division.
- Authorization by the USCG for implementation of aids to navigation.
- SPR construction activities are authorized through a standing Oil & Gas transmission facility exemption, which precludes the need for filing applications for general construction permits. Appropriate BMPs are implemented through a SWPPP and contract language requirements.

6.0 Floodplain Statement of Findings

The DOE has determined that no practicable alternative to locating and conducting the proposed actions in the floodplain and wetlands is available. The proposed project has been designed to minimize the

effects to these resources. In accordance with 10 CFR 1022.14(c), a floodplain statement of findings is incorporated into this EA.

6.1 Description of the Proposed Action

The proposed actions are intended to improve access to the valve station by constructing boat landings and elevated walkways for Valve Stations WH-2, WH-4, and WH-5, which are located on the southern shore of the GIWW. Existing footpaths from the bank to WH-2 and from the shore to WH-6, which is located on the eastern side of the Sabine River, are proposed to be resurfaced as needed. New walking paths from the end of the respective elevated walkway to WH-4 and to WH-5 are proposed. A detailed description of the proposed action is provided in **Section 1.2** and **Figure 1** illustrates the location of the proposed actions.

6.2 Why the Action is Located in the Floodplain

The goals of the project are to improve safety for personnel and property, to reduce costs and increase the efficiency of maintenance operations at the valve stations, and to ensure future access to the SPR-WH Valve Stations. The proposed action is located in the base floodplain because it is not possible to access the valve stations otherwise. It is not located in the critical action floodplain.

6.3 Alternatives Considered

A No Action Alternative was considered, but eliminated because it would not meet the purpose and need for the project.

One alternative to the proposed action would replace the aggregate on all four footpaths and replace the corroded bulkhead and ladder at WH-2. No boat landings or elevated walkways would be constructed. A second alternative considered would utilize elevated walkways instead of limestone surfaced footpaths to access the valve sites. The first would not improve safety or increase efficiency of access. The second would be extremely costly and also require crossing the floodplain and wetlands with heavy equipment.

6.4 Conformance to Applicable Floodplain Standards

The proposed project conforms to applicable floodplain standards. Concurrence from the local floodplain managers is provided in **Appendix G**.

6.5 Steps to Minimize Harm to the Floodplain

The proposed project would utilize the minimum amount of fill for the proposed footpaths, which is the only proposed action that would have an effect on the BFE. The other actions, boat landings and elevated walkways, would be located above the floodplain.

7.0 Conclusion

The environmental assessment found that direct and permanent impacts to protected resources from the proposed project are limited to fill in less than 0.05 acre of wetlands at WH-5 and WH-6, and potential permanent impacts to waters of the US from boat landings and timber piles at WH-2, WH-4, and WH-5 and from fill in inundated existing footpath at WH-6.

Construction activities will cause temporary effects on water quality, migratory birds (from noise), SAV, EFH, navigation, and air quality.

**REPAIR/ENHANCEMENT OF ACCESS TO REMOTE PIPELINE VALVE STATIONS, WEST HACKBERRY, LA
DOE/EA-2040**

The proposed project would have a negligible effect on the base floodplain and is designed to withstand impacts from flooding, but climate change may have a negative effect on the proposed project in the future.

The proposed project will cause a beneficial effect on public health and worker safety and reduce the probability of releases of hazardous materials into the environment. These benefits will be distributed across all communities including low-income and minority populations.

The EA has resulted in a Finding of No Significant Impacts (FONSI) to human health and the environment.

8.0 References

Audubon. 2016. Guide to North American Birds. Available online at <http://www.audubon.org/bird-guide>. Last accessed June 1, 2016.

eBird. 2016. An online database of bird distribution and abundance [web application]. Cornell Lab of Ornithology. Available online at <http://www.ebird.org>. Last accessed June 1, 2016.

Kot, C.Y., E. Fujioka, A. DiMatteo, B. Wallace, B. Hutchinson, J. Cleary, P. Halpin and R. Mast. 2015. The State of the World's Sea Turtles Online Database: Data provided by the SWOT Team and hosted on OBIS-SEAMAP. Oceanic Society, IUCN Marine Turtle Specialist Group (MTSG), and Marine Geospatial Ecology Lab, Duke University. Available online at <http://seamap.env.duke.edu/swot>.

Louisiana Coastal Wetlands Conservation and Restoration Task Force and the Wetlands Conservation and Restoration Authority. 2002. Hydrologic Investigation of the Louisiana Chenier Plain: The Calcasieu-Sabine Basin (HILCP_3). October. pp. 65-113.

LDEQ. 2015. Final 2014 Louisiana Water Quality Integrated Report (305(b)/303(d)). July 21. Published online at <http://www.deq.louisiana.gov/portal/DIVISIONS/WaterPermits/WaterQualityStandardsAssessment/WaterQualityInventorySection305b/2014IntegratedReport.aspx>. Last accessed May 31, 2016.

LDWF. 2016. Species by Parish List. Available online at http://www.wlf.louisiana.gov/wildlife/species-parish-list?tid=218&type_1>All. Last accessed June 1, 2016.

NMFS and USFWS. 2013a. Hawksbill Sea Turtle (*Eretmochelys imbricata*) 5-Year Review: Summary and Evaluation. June.

NMFS and USFWS. 2013b. Leatherback Sea Turtle (*Dermochelys Coriacea*) 5-Year Review: Summary and Evaluation. November.

NMFS and USFWS. 2015. Kemp's Ridley Sea Turtle (*Lepidochelys kempii*) 5-Year Review: Summary and Evaluation. July.

NOAA. 2016. Essential fish habitat mapper v3.0. Web application available online at <http://www.habitat.noaa.gov/protection/efh/efhmapper/index.html>. Last accessed June 7, 2016.

**REPAIR/ENHANCEMENT OF ACCESS TO REMOTE PIPELINE VALVE STATIONS, WEST HACKBERRY, LA
DOE/EA-2040**

NEPA Committee and EJ IWG. 2016. Promising Practices for EJ Methodologies in NEPA Reviews. March. Published online at https://www.epa.gov/sites/production/files/2016-08/documents/nepa_promising_practices_document_2016.pdf. Last accessed November 18, 2016.

Owen, D.E. 2008. Geology of the Chenier Plain of Cameron Parish, southwestern Louisiana, *in* Moore, G., ed.: Geological Society of America Field Guide 14, pp. 27–38.

Smith, Nickolas R. 2014. History, Nesting Population, Migration, Home Range and Habitats used by Louisiana Bald Eagles. LSU Master's Thesis. August.

SPR Pollution Prevention Plan (Publication ASL5400.41), Version 10.0, August 2, 2016.

US Census Bureau. 2010 Census Summary File 1. Available online at <http://factfinder.census.gov/faces/nav/jsf/pages/searchresults.xhtml?refresh=t>.

US Census Bureau. 2014. American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates, 2010-2014. Available online at <http://factfinder.census.gov/faces/nav/jsf/pages/searchresults.xhtml?refresh=t>.

USEPA and Department of the Army. 2015. Memorandum on the Administration of Clean Water Programs in Light of the Stay of Clean Water Rule; Improving Transparency and Strengthening Coordination. November 16.

USFWS. 2008. Birds of Conservation Concern 2008. Online version available at <https://www.fws.gov/migratorybirds/pdf/grants/BirdsofConservationConcern2008.pdf>.

USFWS. 2012. Sabine National Wildlife Refuge Webpage at <https://www.fws.gov/swlarefugecomplex/sabine/>. Last updated September 5, 2012.

USFWS. 2013. Migratory Bird Treaty Act Protected Species (10.13 List). Published online at <http://www.fws.gov/birds/management/managed-species/migratory-bird-treaty-act-protected-species.php>. MBTA List as of December 2, 2013.

USFWS. 2016a. Threatened and Endangered Species Active Critical Habitat Report. Online mapper available at <https://ecos.fws.gov/ecp/report/table/critical-habitat.html>. Last accessed June 1, 2016.

USFWS. 2016b. ECOS Environmental Conservation Online System [web application]. Available at <https://ecos.fws.gov/ecp/>. Last accessed on June 2, 2016.

USGS and DOTD. 2004. Thickness of the Chicot Aquifer System Surficial Confining Unit and Location of Shallow Sands, Southwestern Louisiana. Water Resource Technical Report No. 73.

USGS and DOTD. 2011. Water Use in Louisiana, 2010. Water Resources Special Report No. 17 (Revised December 2012).

9.0 List of Acronyms

AST Above-ground Storage Tank

**REPAIR/ENHANCEMENT OF ACCESS TO REMOTE PIPELINE VALVE STATIONS, WEST HACKBERRY, LA
DOE/EA-2040**

BCR	Bird Conservation Regions
BG	Block Group
BFE	Base Flood Elevation
BLH	Bottomland Hardwood
CEQ	Council on Environmental Quality
CESQG	Conditionally Exempt Small Quantity Generator
CFR	Code of Federal Regulation
CO	carbon monoxide
CRMS	Coastwide Reference Monitoring System
CT	Census Tract
DOE	Department of Energy
DOTD	Louisiana Department of Transportation and Development
E2EM1P5	Estuarine Intertidal Emergent Persistent Irregularly Flooded Mesohaline
E2EM1P6	Estuarine Intertidal Emergent Persistent Irregularly Flooded Oligohaline
E2EMPh	Estuarine Intertidal Emergent Persistent Diked/Impounded
EA	Environmental Assessment
EDMS	Electronic Document Management System
EFH	Essential fish habitat
EJ	Environmental Justice
EO	Executive Order
ESA	Endangered Species Act
F	Fahrenheit
FCZMA	Federal Coastal Zone Management Act
FEMA	Federal Emergency Management Agency
FONSI	Finding of No Significant Impacts
GIWW	Gulf Intracoastal Waterway
LASHPO	Louisiana State Historic Preservation Officer
LCRP	Louisiana Coastal Resources Program

**REPAIR/ENHANCEMENT OF ACCESS TO REMOTE PIPELINE VALVE STATIONS, WEST HACKBERRY, LA
DOE/EA-2040**

LDEQ	Louisiana Department of Environmental Quality
LDNR	Louisiana Department of Natural Resources
LDWF	Louisiana Department of Wildlife and Fisheries
LNHP	Louisiana Natural Heritage Program
MBTA	Migratory Bird Treaty Act
Mgal/d	megagallons per day
NAD83	North American Datum 1983
NAAQS	National Ambient Air Quality Standards
NEPA	National Environmental Policy Act
NMFS	National Marine Fisheries Service
NOAA	National Oceanographic and Atmospheric Administration
NRCS	National Resources Conservation Service
NWI	National Wetlands Inventory
NWR	National Wildlife Refuge
NO ₂	nitrogen dioxide
NAD83	North American Datum 1983
NRC	National Response Center
NRHP	National Register of Historic Places
O ₃	ozone
Pb	lead
PM	particulate matter
ppt	parts per thousand
ROW	right of way
SAV	submerged aquatic vegetation
SFHA	Special Flood Hazard Areas
SO ₂	sulfur dioxide
SPR	Strategic Petroleum Reserve
SWPPP	Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan

**REPAIR/ENHANCEMENT OF ACCESS TO REMOTE PIPELINE VALVE STATIONS, WEST HACKBERRY, LA
DOE/EA-2040**

T&E	Threatened and Endangered
USACE	United States Army Corp of Engineers
USEPA	United States Environmental Protection Agency
USFWS	United States Fish and Wildlife Service
USGS	United States Geological Service
VE	Coastal Flood Zone with an Additional Hazard from Wave Action
WH	West Hackberry

10.0 List of Preparers

ELOS Environmental, LLC

Luke Watkins, President
Rocky Hinds, Regulatory Advisor
Brian Fortson, Senior Environmental Scientist
Lynn Maloney-Mújica, AICP, Senior Environmental Planner
Rob Van Vrancken, Field Biologist
Joshua Byars, Environmental Technician
Jesse McQuigg, GIS Analyst
Ryan Munchausen, GIS Technician
Jacqueline Zarate, Clerical

Vali Cooper International

Jason P. McCrossen, Project Engineer / Civil Engineer
Lorna Madison, Project Engineering Lead
Cory Jacob, Civil / Structural Designer

Fluor Federal Petroleum Operations, LLC

Gabriel Adams, Program Manager, Sustainability
Bob Sevcik, Director – Environmental & Sustainability
Louis Wesley, Manager – Environmental

DOE Strategic Petroleum Reserve Project Management Office

Will Woods, Environmental Specialist
Katherine Batiste, Environmental Specialist
Grant Rivera, PE, Project Engineer

APPENDIX A

Figures




Figure 1: Project Overview Map


Access Improvements SPR-WH Valve Stations

Map prepared from public and proprietary spatial data.
ELOS Environmental, LLC does not warrant its accuracy
or completeness. This map should not be used to
establish legal boundaries or specific locations.

Legend:

- ◆ Valve Access Location
- Transmission Lines
- Injection Wells
- Water Well Registration
- Oil and Gas Wells
- ↓ Communication Tower
- LDNR Coastal Permit Polygons

- Parish Boundary
- Texas Streets
- Louisiana Streets
- Stream/River
- Waterbody
- City/Town
- Texas State



0 4,000 8,000 Feet




Figure 2: Elevations Map

Access Improvements SPR-WH Valve Stations


Map prepared from public and proprietary spatial data.
ELOS Environmental, LLC does not warrant its accuracy
or completeness. This map should not be used to
establish legal boundaries or specific locations.


Legend:

- ◆ Valve Access Location
- Valve Station
- Wharf and Walkway
- Servitude
- Parish Boundary

Contour Elevation
High : 22'
Low : 0'

Elevation Datum Data:
NAD 1983 UTM, Zone 15N
Download From:
<http://atlas.lsu.edu/rasterdown.htm>





ELOS
environmental
<http://elosenv.com/>
43177 East Pleasant Ridge Road
Hammond, Louisiana 70403
P. 985-662-5501, F. 985-662-5504

Figure 2A: Elevations Map


Access Improvements SPR-WH Valve Stations

Map prepared from public and proprietary spatial data.
ELOS Environmental, LLC does not warrant its accuracy
or completeness. This map should not be used to
establish legal boundaries or specific locations.

Legend:

- ◆ Valve Access Location
 - Valve Station
 - Wharf and Walkway
 - Servitude
 - Parish Boundary
 - Contour Elevation
- | |
|------------|
| High : 36' |
| Low : 0' |

Elevation Datum Data:
NAD 1983 UTM, Zone 15N
Download From:
<http://atlas.lsu.edu/rasterdown.htm>



0 3,000 6,000 Feet




Figure 3: Soils Map


Access Improvements SPR-WH Valve Stations

ELOS
environmental
<http://elosenv.com/>
43177 East Pleasant Ridge Road
Hammond, Louisiana 70403
P. 985-662-5501, F. 985-662-5504

Map prepared from public and proprietary spatial data.
ELOS Environmental, LLC does not warrant its accuracy
or completeness. This map should not be used to
establish legal boundaries or specific locations.

Legend:

◆ Valve Access Location	CO	Ju	UD
■ Valve Station	Cr	LE	Ur
— Wharf and Walkway	Cw	Lt	Vn
— Servitude	Dm	Mn	W
— Parish Boundary	GB	Mr	
— AE	Go	Mr	
— BA	BA	UA	



0 3,000 6,000 Feet





Figure 3A: Soils Map


Access Improvements SPR-WH Valve Stations

Map prepared from public and proprietary spatial data.
ELOS Environmental, LLC does not warrant its accuracy or completeness. This map should not be used to establish legal boundaries or specific locations.

Legend:

- Valve Access Location
- Valve Station
- Wharf and Walkway
- Servitude
- Parish Boundary





43177 East Pleasant Ridge Road
Hammond, Louisiana 70403
P. 985-662-5501, F. 985-662-5504
<http://elosenv.com/>


Figure 4: NWI Map

Access Improvements SPR-WH Valve Stations



Map prepared from public and proprietary spatial data.
ELOS Environmental, LLC does not warrant its accuracy
or completeness. This map should not be used to
establish legal boundaries or specific locations.


Legend:

- ◆ Valve Access Location
- Valve Station
- Wharf and Walkway
- Servitude
- Parish Boundary
- Freshwater Emergent Wetland
- Freshwater Forested/Shrub Wetland
- Freshwater Pond
- Lake
- Other
- Riverine
- Estuarine and Marine Deepwater
- Estuarine and Marine Wetland



0 3,000 6,000 Feet





43177 East Pleasant Ridge Road
Hammond, Louisiana 70403
P. 985-662-5501, F. 985-662-5504

Figure 5: Preliminary Wetland Assessment


Access Improvements SPR-WH Valve Stations

Map prepared from public and proprietary spatial data.
ELOS Environmental, LLC does not warrant its accuracy
or completeness. This map should not be used to
establish legal boundaries or specific locations.

Legend:

- Site Outline
- ▨ Proposed Wetlands
- Proposed Other Waters
- Proposed Non-Wetlands

The wetland findings identified on this map
are preliminary and not sufficient for submittal
to the USACE for a Jurisdictional Determination.



APPENDIX B

Photos



Photo 1 – WH-2 landing site showing bulkhead, ladder, and davit crane.



Photo 2 – View of WH-4 spoil bank looking south into Vinton Drainage Canal and showing rip-rap barrier to access.



Photo 3 – WH-5 landing site with rip-rap stabilized bank.



Photo 4 – WH-6 shore landing site.



Photo 5 – View of WH-2 access corridor (existing ROW) looking north from valve station towards GIWW. Evidence of cattle is shown in the foreground.



Photo 6 – Potential wetlands in the distance, outside the WH-2 assessment area.



Photo 7 – WH-2 limestone footpath beneath overgrown vegetation.



Photo 8 – Existing footpath at WH-2 clearly marked by distressed vegetation.



Photo 9 – Access to WH-4 would cross spoil bank forest dominated by hackberry (*Celtis laevigata*).



Photo 10 – SPR pipeline ROW dominated by great ragweed (*Ambrosia trifida L.*) at WH-4.



Photo 11 – WH-5 access within existing Colonial Pipeline right of way.



Photo 12 – Upland habitat dominated by marsh elder (*Iva annua*) in WH-5 assessment area.



Photo 13 – Valve Station WH-5 surrounded by marsh habitat on east, west, and south sides.



Photo 14 – Inundation of existing footpath to Valve Station WH-6 with surrounding marsh vegetation.




Photo 15 – Bottom of footpath at WH-6. Approximately 0.7 acre of the footpath characterized as other waters due to hard bottom and lack of vegetation.



Photo 16 – Submerged aquatic vegetation sampled south of shell beach at WH-6.


APPENDIX C

Design Drawings



LEGEND:

PERPETUAL



 INDICATES NEW LIMESTONE GRAVEL

SK-S-WH1144-001 TYPICAL DETAIL
WH-2, 4 AND 5 VALVE ACCESS SKETCH

OFFICE OF SYSTEMS PROJECT AUTH. # FOR BM PROJECT

AND PROJECTS

TASK NUMBER:
WH-MM-1144

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY
STRATEGIC
DISCIPLINE:
CIVIL

PETROLEUM SCALE: NONE


NITROGEN RESERVE DRAWING NUMBER.
SK-9 WU1144-001

APPROVED FOR CONSTRUCTION _____
DATE _____ SHEET 1 OF 1 0



LEGEND:

PERPETUAL



INDICATES NEW LIMESTONE GRAVEL

1" = 40'-0"

PLAN

VALVE STATION WH-5 ACCESS LOCATION

WEST HACKBERRY



OFFICE OF SYSTEMS AND PROJECTS

PROJECT AUTH. # FOR BM PROJECT

10.1111/j.1467-954X.2007.00622.x

H-MM-1144

CIVIL

LE:

JUNIOR NUMBER

WAVE NUMBER

K-C-WH1144-003

REV.

EET 1 OF 1



LEGEND:

- PERPETUAL
- INDICATES NEW LIMESTONE GRAVEL

1" = 20'-0" 20' 10' 0 20' 40'



REFERENCE DRAWINGS


SK-S-WH1144-001 TYPICAL DETAIL
WH-2, 4 AND 5 VALVE ACCESS SKETCH

REGISTRATION STAMP	DESIGNED BY: DRAWN BY: CHECKED BY: ENGINEER OF RECORD: SIGNATURE: REVISION NUMBER	DATE: NO.	CHANGE DESCRIPTION	DRAWN BY	CHECKED BY	PROJECT ENGINEER	ENGINEERING MANAGER	D.O.E.	APPROVAL	DATE	NO.	CHANGE DESCRIPTION	DRAWN BY	CHECKED BY	PROJECT ENGINEER	ENGINEERING MANAGER	D.O.E.	APPROVAL	DATE





























































PLAN


VALVE STATION WH-4 ACCESS LOCATION

WEST HACKBERRY
CAMERON PARISH, LOUISIANA




PROJECT AUTH. # FOR BM PROJECT
TASK NUMBER: WH-MM-1144
DISCIPLINE: CIVIL
SCALE: NONE
DRAWING NUMBER: SK-C-WH1144-002





WH-2 ACCESS



1" = 50'-0" 50' 25' 0 50' 100'

1" = 10'-0" 10' 5' 0 10' 20'

WH-2 ACCESS

SCALE: 1"=50'-0"

REGISTRATION STAMP	DESIGNED BY:																																																																																																																																																												
DRAWN BY:																																																																																																																																																													
CHECKED BY:																																																																																																																																																													
ENGINEER OF RECORD:																																																																																																																																																													
SIGNATURE:																																																																																																																																																													
REVISION NUMBER	DATE:																																																																																																																																																												
NOTES: 1. CONTRACTOR TO VERIFY ALL DIMENSIONS AND LOCATIONS BEFORE FABRICATION AND CONSTRUCTION. 2. AREA TO BE CLEARED AND GRADED LEVEL FOR WALKING PATH, EXISTING LIMESTONE NOT TO BE DISTURBED/REMOVED. 3. ELEVATIONS SHOWN HEREON ARE BASE ON MEAN SEA LEVEL, NAVD88 ON SURVEY PERFORMED BY COLLINS LAND SURVEYORS.																																																																																																																																																													
<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>NO.</th> <th>CHANGE DESCRIPTION</th> <th>DRAWN BY</th> <th>CHECKED BY</th> <th>PROJECT ENGINEER</th> <th>ENGINEERING MANAGER</th> <th>O.O.E. APPROVAL</th> <th>DATE</th> <th>NO.</th> <th>CHANGE DESCRIPTION</th> <th>DRAWN BY</th> <th>CHECKED BY</th> <th>PROJECT ENGINEER</th> <th>ENGINEERING MANAGER</th> <th>O.O.E. APPROVAL</th> <th>DATE</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr> <tr><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr> <tr><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr> <tr><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr> <tr><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr> <tr><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr> <tr><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr> <tr><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr> </tbody> </table>														NO.	CHANGE DESCRIPTION	DRAWN BY	CHECKED BY	PROJECT ENGINEER	ENGINEERING MANAGER	O.O.E. APPROVAL	DATE	NO.	CHANGE DESCRIPTION	DRAWN BY	CHECKED BY	PROJECT ENGINEER	ENGINEERING MANAGER	O.O.E. APPROVAL	DATE																																																																																																																																
NO.	CHANGE DESCRIPTION	DRAWN BY	CHECKED BY	PROJECT ENGINEER	ENGINEERING MANAGER	O.O.E. APPROVAL	DATE	NO.	CHANGE DESCRIPTION	DRAWN BY	CHECKED BY	PROJECT ENGINEER	ENGINEERING MANAGER	O.O.E. APPROVAL	DATE																																																																																																																																														

NO.	CHANGE DESCRIPTION	DRAWN BY	CHECKED BY	PROJECT ENGINEER	ENGINEERING MANAGER	O.O.E. APPROVAL	DATE	NO.	CHANGE DESCRIPTION	DRAWN BY	CHECKED BY	PROJECT ENGINEER	ENGINEERING MANAGER	O.O.E. APPROVAL	DATE

PLOT PLANS

VALVE STATION WH-2 ACCESS LOCATION

WEST HACKBERRY

CAMERON PARISH, LOUISIANA

OFFICE OF SYSTEMS AND PROJECTS

STRATEGIC PETROLEUM RESERVE

APPROVED FOR CONSTRUCTION
DATE _____
C1 OF 19 REV. 0

		VCI	Project Management Construction Management Engineering
CHANGE NOTICE			
DRAWN BY	CHECKED BY	APPROVED BY	TDC/ERU
CJJ	GBT	ZPB	
RELEASED FOR CONSTRUCTION CN REVISION NO. 100		Project Auth. # 03191803	Task # WH-MM-1144
BY _____ DATE _____		Drawing # WH-C-201-221	Rev. # 0 CN # 100
Sheet 1 of 1			

CN 100 ISSUE INCLUDES ALL DETAILS ON THIS DOCUMENT

APPROVED FOR CONSTRUCTION FOR TASK NO. WH-MM-1144 ONLY

REFERENCE DRAWINGS

WH-C-221-828 PLATFORMS, LADDERS, STAIRS, HANDRAILS & GRATING
VALVE STATION WH-2 ACCESS LOCATION

WH-C-202-260 SITE WORK
42" CRUDE OIL PIPING
VALVE STATION WH-2

TASK NUMBER: WH-MM-1144
DISCIPLINE: CIVIL
SCALE: AS NOTED
DRAWING NUMBER: WH-C-201-221
APPROVED FOR CONSTRUCTION
DATE _____
C1 OF 19 REV. 0



WH-1 ACCESS

SCALE: 1"-20'

REGISTRATION STAMP	DESIGNED BY:	
	DRAWN BY:	
	CHECKED BY:	
	ENGINEER OF RECORD:	
	SIGNATURE:	
REVISION _____	DATE:	
NUMBER _____	<u>NOTES:</u>	
	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. CONTRACTOR TO VERIFY ALL DIMENSIONS AND LOCATIONS BEFORE FABRICATION AND CONSTRUCTION. 2. AREA TO BE CLEARED AND GRADED LEVEL FOR WALKING PATH, EXISTING LIMESTONE NOT TO BE DISTURBED/REMOVED. 3. SEE DRAWING WH-C-201-221 FOR AGGREGATE WALKING PATH DETAIL. 4. ELEVATIONS SHOWN HEREON ARE BASE ON MEAN SEA LEVEL, NAVD88 ON SURVEY PERFORMED BY COLLINS LAND SURVEYORS. 	

PLOT PLANS

VALVE STATION WH-4 ACCESS LOCATION

WEST HACKBERRY

CAMERON PARISH, LOUISIANA

VALVE STATION WH-4 &
OFFICE OF SYSTEMS
AND PROJECTS

MS
EGIC
LEUM
RVE

TASK NUMBER:	WH-MM-1144
DISCIPLINE:	CIVIL
SCALE:	AS NOTED
DRAWING NUMBER:	WH-C-201-

REFERENCE DRAWINGS	
WH-C-221-829	PLATFORMS, LADDERS, STAIRS, HANDRAILS & GRATING TYPICAL PLAN & ELEVATION VALVE STATIONS WH-4 & WH-5 ACCESS
WH-C-202-262	SITE WORK 42" CRUDE OIL PIPING VALVE STATION WHL-1 & WHL-5

$1'' = 20' - 0''$ 20' 10' 0 20' 40'

REFERENCE DRAWINGS

W-H-C-221-829 PLATFORMS, LADDERS, STAIRS, HANDRAILS & GUARDRAILS
TYPICAL PLAN & ELEVATION

TYPICAL PLAN & ELEVATION
VALVE STATIONS WH-4 & WH-5 ACCESS

**H-C-202-262 SITE WORK
42" CRUDE OIL PIPING**

42 CRUDE OIL PIPING
VALVE STATION WH-4 & WH-5

OFFICE OF SYSTEMS

OFFICE OF SYSTEMS AND PROJECTS

AND PROJECTS

DISCIPLINE:
CIVIL

PAKISTAN AIR FORCE
STRATEGIC
CIVIL
SCALE:


 PETROLEUM AS NOTED

UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO LIBRARIES RICHARD BROWN LIBRARY RESERVE DRAWING NUMBER:

WH-C-201-

STATES OF HAWAII

APPROVED FOR CONSTRUCTION _____ SHEET C2 OF 19
DATE



WH-5 ACCESS

SCALE: 1"=10'-0"

5/8" IRON ROD W/ CAP
ELEV. 5.08'
(SEE NOTE 4)



WH-5 ACCESS

SCALE: 1"=40'-0"

1" = 40'-0" 40' 20' 0 40' 80'

1" = 10'-0" 10' 5' 0 10' 20'

REFERENCE DRAWINGS

WH-C-221-829 PLATFORMS, LADDERS, STAIRS, HANDRAILS & GRATING

TYPICAL PLAN & ELEVATION


VALVE STATIONS WH-4 & WH-5 ACCESS

SITE WORK

42" CRUDE OIL PIPING

VALVE STATION WH-4 & WH-5

REGISTRATION STAMP	DESIGNED BY:																
DRAWN BY:	NOTES: 1. CONTRACTOR TO VERIFY ALL DIMENSIONS AND LOCATIONS BEFORE FABRICATION AND CONSTRUCTION. 2. AREA TO BE CLEARED AND GRADED LEVEL FOR WALKING PATH, EXISTING LIMESTONE NOT TO BE DISTURBED/REMOVED. 3. SEE DRAWING WH-C-201-221 FOR AGGREGATE WALKING PATH DETAIL. 4. ELEVATIONS SHOWN HEREON ARE BASE ON MEAN SEA LEVEL NAVD88 ON SURVEY PERFORMED BY COLLINS LAND SURVEYORS.																
CHECKED BY:																	
ENGINEER OF RECORD:																	
SIGNATURE:																	
REVISION NUMBER	DATE:	NO.	CHANGE DESCRIPTION	DRAWN BY	CHECKED BY	PROJECT ENGINEER	ENGINEERING MANAGER	O.O.E. APPROVAL	DATE	NO.	CHANGE DESCRIPTION	DRAWN BY	CHECKED BY	PROJECT ENGINEER	ENGINEERING MANAGER	O.O.E. APPROVAL	DATE



VCI Project Management
Construction Management
Engineering

CHANGE NOTICE


DRAWN BY	CHECKED BY	APPROVED BY	TDC/ERU
CJJ	GBT	ZPB	
RELEASED FOR CONSTRUCTION	Task #	RFI #	
CN Revision No. 100	03191803	WH-MM-1144	
BY _____ DATE _____	Drawing #	Rev. #	CN #
WH-C-201-223	0	100	
	Sheet 1 of 1		

CN 100 ISSUE INCLUDES ALL DETAILS ON THIS DOCUMENT

APPROVED FOR CONSTRUCTION
FOR TASK NO. WH-MM-1144 ONLY


PLOT PLANS
VALVE STATION WH-5 ACCESS LOCATION
WEST HACKBERRY
CAMERON PARISH, LOUISIANA

OFFICE OF SYSTEMS AND PROJECTS
STRATEGIC PETROLEUM RESERVE
TASK NUMBER: WH-MM-1144
DISCIPLINE: CIVIL
SCALE: AS NOTED
DRAWING NUMBER: WH-C-201-223
APPROVED FOR CONSTRUCTION DATE _____
SHEET C3 OF 19 REV. 0



WH-6 ACCESS

SCALE: 1"=40'-0"




		VCI Project Management Construction Management Engineering	
CHANGE NOTICE			
DRAWN BY	CHECKED BY	APPROVED BY	TDC/ERU
CJJ	GBT	ZPB	
RELEASED FOR CONSTRUCTION CN REVISION NO <u>100</u>		Project Auth. # <u>03191803</u>	Task # <u>WH-MM-1144</u>
		Drawing # <u>WH-C-201-224</u>	Rev. # <u>0</u>
		CN # <u>100</u>	
Sheet <u>1</u> OF <u>1</u>			

CN 100 ISSUE INCLUDES ALL
DETAILS ON THIS DOCUMENT


APPROVED FOR CONSTRUCTION
FOR TASK NO. WH-MM-1144 ONLY

1" = 40'-0" 40' 20' 0 40' 80'

REGISTRATION STAMP	DESIGNED BY: DRAWN BY: CHECKED BY: ENGINEER OF RECORD: SIGNATURE: DATE:	NOTES: 1. CONTRACTOR TO VERIFY ALL DIMENSIONS AND LOCATIONS BEFORE FABRICATION AND CONSTRUCTION. 2. AREA TO BE CLEARED AND GRADED LEVEL FOR WALKING PATH, EXISTING LIMESTONE NOT TO BE DISTURBED/REMOVED.	PLOT PLANS												OFFICE OF SYSTEMS AND PROJECTS VALVE STATION WH-6 ACCESS LOCATION WEST HACKBERRY CAMERON PARISH, LOUISIANA	TASK NUMBER: WH-MM-1144 DISCIPLINE: CIVIL SCALE: AS NOTED DRAWING NUMBER: WH-C-201-224		
			VALVE STATION WH-6 ACCESS LOCATION															
REVISION NUMBER	NO.	CHANGE DESCRIPTION	DRAWN BY	CHECKED BY	PROJECT ENGINEER	ENGINEERING MANAGER	O.O.E.	APPROVAL	DATE	NO.	CHANGE DESCRIPTION	DRAWN BY	CHECKED BY	PROJECT ENGINEER	ENGINEERING MANAGER	O.O.E.	APPROVAL	DATE



PIPE VENT VALVE DETAIL
SEE DWG. WH-C-202-260 THRU WH-C-202-265 & WH-C-202-337
TWO REQUIRED AT EACH STATION



TYPICAL VALVE STATION PAD SECTION

SECTION A

WH-C-202-260
WH-C-202-261
WH-C-202-262
WH-C-202-263
WH-C-202-264
WH-C-202-265
WH-C-202-337

REGISTRATION STAMP	DESIGNED BY:
DRAWN BY:	
CHECKED BY:	
ENGINEER OF RECORD:	
SIGNATURE:	
REVISION NUMBER	DATE:

NOTES:
1. VALVE STATION WH-2 IS 1'-0" TYPICAL AROUND PAD.
2. CONTRACTOR TO FIELD VERIFY FINAL LOCATION OF SOLAR SWITCHGEAR AND VSAT DISH FOUNDATION.
3. CONTRACTOR TO FIELD VERIFY DIRECTION OF SITE EXPANSION.

NO.	CHANGE DESCRIPTION	DRAWN BY	CHECKED BY	PROJECT ENGINEER	ENGINEERING MANAGER	D.O.E. APPROVAL	DATE	NO.	CHANGE DESCRIPTION	DRAWN BY	CHECKED BY	PROJECT ENGINEER	ENGINEERING MANAGER	D.O.E. APPROVAL	DATE
1	AB PER 999-DOC, CN 101	JD	JTF				7/1/09	0	AB PER TASK WH-MM-316 W/PAN 30987, ECN 100	JD	JTF				9/12/09

SECTION AND DETAIL
42" CRUDE OIL PIPELINE
VALVE STATION PAD
WEST HACKBERRY
CAMERON PARISH, LOUISIANA

OFFICE OF SYSTEMS AND PROJECTS	STRATEGIC PETROLEUM RESERVE
DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	TASK NUMBER: WH-MM-1144 DISCIPLINE: CIVIL/STRUCTURAL SCALE: NONE DRAWING NUMBER: WH-C-202-266
APPROVED FOR CONSTRUCTION	REV. 1

VCI Project Management
Construction Management
Engineering

ISSUED FOR REFERENCE
IN TASK NO. WH-MM-1144 ONLY


DRAWING PULLED FROM TASK
NO. WH-MM-659, NOT FROM
SPR TECHNICAL BASELINE

REFERENCE DRAWINGS

WH-C-202-261 SITE WORK
42" CRUDE OIL PIPELINE
VALVE STATION WH-3
WH-C-202-262 SITE WORK
42" CRUDE OIL PIPELINE
VALVE STATION WH-4 & WH-5
SITE WORK
WH-C-202-263 SITE WORK
42" CRUDE OIL PIPELINE
VALVE STATION WH-6 & WH-7
SITE WORK
WH-C-202-264 SITE WORK
42" CRUDE OIL PIPELINE
VALVE STATION WH-8 & WH-9
WH-C-202-265 SITE WORK
42" CRUDE OIL PIPELINE
VALVE STATION WH-10 & WH-11
WH-C-202-337 SITE WORK
42" CRUDE OIL PIPELINE
VALVE STATION WH-2
WH-C-210-199 FOUNDATION LOCATION PLANS
42" CRUDE OIL PIPELINE
VALVE STATION WH-2 THRU 11
WH-C-215-051 TYPICAL PERIMETER FENCE
SECTIONS AND DETAILS

TASK NUMBER:
WH-MM-1144
DISCIPLINE:
CIVIL/STRUCTURAL
SCALE:
NONE
DRAWING NUMBER:
WH-C-202-266

APPROVED FOR CONSTRUCTION
REV. 1




VALVE STATION WH-2 ACCESS PLAN

SCALE: 3/8"=1'-0"

STATE OF LOUISIANA PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER ZACHARY P. BERGERON License No. 37624				VCI Project Management Construction Management Engineering
CHANGE NOTICE				
DRAWN BY	CHECKED BY	APPROVED BY	TDC/ERU	
CJJ	GBT	ZPB		
RELEASED FOR CONSTRUCTION CN REVISION NO. <u>100</u>		Project Auth. # <u>03191803</u>	Task # <u>WH-MM-1144</u>	RFI #
		Rev. # <u>0</u>	CN # <u>100</u>	
BY _____ DATE _____ WH-C-221-828				Sheet <u>1</u> OF <u>1</u>

CN 100 ISSUE INCLUDES ALL
DETAILS ON THIS DOCUMENT

APPROVED FOR CONSTRUCTION
FOR TASK NO. WH-MM-1144 ONLY



VALVE STATION WH-2 ACCESS ELEVATION

SCALE: 3/8"=1'-0"

REFERENCE DRAWINGS	
WH-C-221-830	PLATFORMS, LADDERS, STAIRS, HANDRAIL & GRATING FRAMING PLANS WALKWAY AND BOAT DOCK
WH-C-221-831	VALVE STATIONS WH-2, WH-4 & WH-5 ACCESS PLATFORMS, LADDERS, STAIRS, HANDRAIL & GRATING STAIR ELEVATIONS & TREAD DETAILS
WH-S-223-813	DETAILS, SECTIONS (STRUCTURAL) FOUNDATION, WELDING & CONNECTION DETAILS VALVE STATIONS WH-2, WH-4 & WH-5 ACCESS
WH-S-223-816	DETAILS, SECTIONS (STRUCTURAL) HANDRAIL DETAILS
WH-S-223-817	DETAILS, SECTIONS (STRUCTURAL) HANDRAIL DETAILS

REGISTRATION STAMP	DESIGNED BY:	PLATFORMS, LADDERS, STAIRS, HANDRAILS & GRATING												OFFICE OF SYSTEMS AND PROJECTS STRATEGIC PETROLEUM RESERVE			
	DRAWN BY:																
	CHECKED BY:																
	ENGINEER OF RECORD:																
	SIGNATURE:																
	DATE:																
	NO.	CHANGE DESCRIPTION	DRAWN BY	CHECKED BY	PROJECT ENGINEER	ENGINEERING MANAGER	O.D.E.	APPROVAL	DATE	NO.	CHANGE DESCRIPTION	DRAWN BY	CHECKED BY	PROJECT ENGINEER	ENGINEERING MANAGER	O.D.E.	APPROVAL


PLAN & ELEVATION
VALVE STATION WH-2 ACCESS


WEST HACKBERRY
CAMERON PARISH, LOUISIANA



APPROVED FOR CONSTRUCTION
DATE

TASK NUMBER:
WH-MM-1144
DISCIPLINE:
STRUCTURAL
SCALE:
AS NOTED
DRAWING NUMBER:
WH-C-221-828
SHEET C11 OF 19 REV. 0






U.S. E.L. / -11 3/4

WH-2 WALKWAY FRAMING PLAN

LAND SIDE ONLY

SCALE: 3/4"=1'-0"



VC

Project Management Construction Management Engineering

CHANGE NOTICE


DRAWN BY	CHECKED BY	APPROVED BY	TDC/ERU
CJJ	GBT	ZPB	
Project Auth. #	Task #	RFI #	
03191803	WH-MM-1144		
Drawing #	Rev. #	CN #	
WH-C-221-830	0	100	

Sheet 1 OF 1

T.O.S. EL 2'-10 1/2"


BOAT DOCK FRAMING PLAN

SCALE: 3/4"=1'-0"




T.O.S. EL 7'-11 3/4"

SCALE: $3/4'' = 1'-0''$




T.O.S. EL 2'-10 1/2"


SCALE 7/16" 1' 0"



SECTION A —————
SCALE 3/4" = 1'-0" ————— THIS PAGE



DETAIL  1
SCALE: $1\frac{1}{2}'' = 1'-0''$ THIS DWG.



OFFICE OF SYSTEM AND PROJECTS

STRATE
PETROL
RESER

PROVED FOR CONSTRUCTION _____

REGISTRATION STAMP	DESIGNED BY:	<p><u>NOTES:</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. ALL STRUCTURAL STEEL SHALL BE HOT DIP GALVANIZED PER ASTM A123 AND PROJECT SPECIFICATIONS. 2. ALL SHARP CORNERS TO BE ROUNDED PRIOR TO GALVANIZING. 3. GATE POST SOCKETS ONLY NEED ON PLATFORM SECTION CLOSEST TO BOAT DOCK. 4. GRATING TO EXTEND 1/2" PAST PLATFORM FRAME LENGTH-WISE.
	DRAWN BY:	
	CHECKED BY:	
	ENGINEER OF RECORD:	
	SIGNATURE:	
REVISION _____	DATE:	
NUMBER _____		

APPENDIX D

FEMA Floodmaps

NOTES TO USERS

This map is for use in administering the National Flood Insurance Program. It does not represent the official map for the community and is not a legal document. Actual sources of small size. The community map repository should be consulted for possible updating or addition flood hazard information.

To obtain more detailed information in areas where Base Flood Elevations (BFEs) and floodplain maps are not shown, you are encouraged to consult the Flood Profiles and Floodway Data tables within the Flood Insurance Study (FIS) report that accompanies this FIRM. Users should note that BFEs are not provided for all areas shown on this panel. These BFEs are intended for flood insurance rating purposes only and should not be used for construction or floodplain management purposes. Actual flood elevation data presented in the FIS report should be utilized in conjunction with the FIRM for purposes of construction and/or floodplain management.

Coastal Base Flood Elevations (BFEs) shown on this map apply only toward of 9°30'00"E. To the west of 9°30'00"E, the BFEs shown on this map may not be accurate if coastal flood elevations are also provided in the Silhouette Elevation Data tables within the Flood Insurance Study report for this jurisdiction. Elevations shown in the Flood Insurance Study report for this jurisdiction. Elevations shown in the Flood Insurance Study report for this jurisdiction. Elevations shown in the Flood Insurance Study report for this jurisdiction. These BFEs should not be used for construction and/or floodplain management purposes when they are higher than the elevations shown on this FIRM.

Boundaries of the floodways were compiled at cross sections interpolated between stream segments. The floodways were developed in accordance with regard to requirements of the National Flood Insurance Program. Floodway widths and other pertinent floodway data are provided in the Flood Insurance Study report for this jurisdiction.

Certain areas not in Special Flood Hazard Areas may be protected by flood control structures. Refer to Section 2.4 "Flood Protection Measures" of the "Flood Insurance Study report for information on flood control structures for this jurisdiction.

The projection used in the preparation of this map was Louisiana South State Plane Coordinate System FIPS 1703. The horizontal datum was NAD83 GRS80 sphere. Differences in projection, especially in the vertical, will result in production of FIRMs for adjacent jurisdictions may result in slight positional differences across the common jurisdiction boundaries. These differences do not affect the accuracy of this FIRM.

Flood elevations on this map are referenced to the North American Vertical Datum of 1988. These flood elevations must be compared to structure and ground elevations referenced to the same vertical datum. For information regarding conversion between the North American Vertical Datum of 1988 and the National Geodetic Vertical Datum of 1988, visit the National Geodetic Survey website at <http://www.ngs.noaa.gov> or contact the National Geodetic Survey at the following address:

NOAA/National Geodetic Survey
Information Services, NOAA, NNGS12
National Geodetic Survey NSM-3, #9022
1111 30th Street NW
Silver Spring, Maryland 20910-3282
(301) 713-3242

To obtain current elevation, description, and/or location information for bench marks shown on this map, contact the Information Services Branch of the National Geodetic Survey at (301) 713-3242, or visit its website at <http://www.ngs.noaa.gov>.

Base map information shown on this FIRM was provided in digital format by Calcasieu Parish, Louisiana.

This map reflects more detailed and up-to-date stream channel configurations than those shown on the previous FIRM for this jurisdiction. The floodplain and floodway boundaries have been moved to reflect the new stream channel adjustments to conform to these new stream channel configurations. As a result, the Flood Profiles and Floodway Data tables in the Flood Insurance Study report which contains adjusted stream channel may reflect stream channel distances that differ from what is shown on this map.

Corporate limits shown on this map are based on the best data available at the time of publication. Because changes due to annexations or de-annexations may have occurred since the map was published, users should contact appropriate community officials to verify current corporate limit boundaries.

Please refer to the separately printed Map Index for an overview map of the county showing the layout of map panels; community map repository addresses; and a Listing of the communities in the county. This map index lists the name of each community as well as a listing of the panels on which each community is located.

Contact the FEMA Map Service Center at 1-800-358-9616 for information on available products associated with this FIRM. Available products may include preexisting products, such as Maps, Charts, a Flood Insurance Study report, and digital versions of this map. The FEMA Map Service Center may also be reached by Fax at 1-800-358-9620 and its website at <http://www.fema.gov/firms.aspx>.

If you have questions about this map or questions concerning the National Flood Insurance Program in general, please call 1-877-FEMA MAP (1-877-358-2927) or visit the FEMA website at <http://www.fema.gov>.

LEGEND

SPECIAL FLOOD HAZARD AREAS SUBJECT TO INUNDATION BY THE 1% ANNUAL CHANCE FLOOD

The 1% annual chance flood (100-year flood), also known as the Base Flood, is the flood that has a 1% chance of occurring in any given year. The area of Special Flood Hazard Area is the area subject to flooding by the 1% annual chance flood. Areas of Special Flood Hazard include Zones AE, AH, AO, AR, V, and VE. The Base Flood Elevation is the water-surface elevation of the 1% annual chance flood.

ZONE A No Base Flood Elevations determined.

ZONE AE Base Flood Elevations determined.

ZONE AH Flood depths of 1 to 3 feet (usually areas of ponding); Base Flood Elevations determined.

ZONE AO Flood depths of 1 to 3 feet (usually sheet flow on sloping terrain); average depths determined. For areas of alluvium no flooding, velocities also determined.

ZONE AR Special Flood Hazard Area formerly protected by the 1% annual chance flood by a former flood control system. The former flood control system is no longer in operation. All reduces that the former flood control system is being restored to provide protection against the 1% annual chance or greater flood.

ZONE AR99 Areas to be protected from 1% annual chance flood event by a Federal flood control system under construction; no Base Flood Elevations determined.

ZONE V Coastal flood zone with velocity hazard (wave action); no Base Flood Elevations determined.

ZONE VE Coastal flood zone with velocity hazard (wave action); Base Flood Elevations determined.

FLOODWAY AREAS IN ZONE AE The floodway is the channel of a stream plus any adjacent floodplain areas that must be left free of obstructions so that the 1% annual chance flood can be carried without substantial increases in flood heights.

OTHER FLOOD AREAS

ZONE X Areas of 0.2% annual chance flood; areas of 1% annual chance less than 1 square mile; and areas protected by levees from 1% annual chance flood.

OTHER AREAS

ZONE R Areas determined to be outside the 0.2% annual chance floodplain.

ZONE D Areas within which flood hazards are determined, but possible.

COASTAL BARRIER RESOURCE SYSTEM (CBRS) AREAS

OTHERWISE PROTECTED AREAS (OPAs)

CBRS areas and OPAs are normally located within or adjacent to Special Flood Hazard Areas.

Floodplain boundary

Basin boundary

CBRS and OPA boundary

Boundary of Special Flood Hazard Areas Zones and boundary existing Special Flood Hazard Areas of different Base Flood Elevations, flood depths or both

Base Flood Elevation value where uniform within zone; elevation in feet

*** Referenced to the North American Vertical Datum of 1988**

● Cross section line

● Baseline

General coordinates referenced to the North American Vertical Datum of 1988 (NAD 88), Western Hemisphere

87°30'00"E, 30°02'45"N

47°50'00"E

6000000 FT

SOLOMON (PRINCIPAL) LRT

Bench mark (see explanation in Notes to Users section of this panel)

M 1.5

River tie

MAP REPOSITORIES

Refer to Map Repositories list on Map Index

EFFECTIVE DATE OF COUNTYWIDE FLOOD INSURANCE RATE MAP

February 18, 2011

EFFECTIVE DATE(S) OF REVISION(S) TO THIS PANEL

For community map revision history prior to countywide mapping, refer to the Community Map History table located in the Flood Insurance Study report for this jurisdiction.

To determine if flood insurance is available in this community, contact your insurance agent or call the National Flood Insurance Program at 1-800-358-6620.

MAP SCALE: 1" = 1000'

0 200 400 600 800 1,000 1,200 1,400 FEET

0 200 400 600 METERS

NFIP

PANEL 0615F

FIRM

FLOOD INSURANCE RATE MAP
CALCASIEU PARISH,
LOUISIANA
AND INCORPORATED AREAS


PANEL 615 OF 715

(SEE MAP INDEX FOR FIRM PANEL LAYOUT)
CONTAINS:
COMMUNITY NUMBER PANEL SIZE/
CACCASIEU PARISH 22019C0615F

Notice to User: The Map Number shown below should be used when placing map orders. The Community Map History table above shows the effective date of the most recent map revision for this specific community.

MAP NUMBER
22019C0615F
EFFECTIVE DATE
FEBRUARY 18, 2011

Federal Emergency Management Agency



NOTES TO USERS

This map is for use in administering the National Flood Insurance Program. It does not show all areas subject to flooding, particularly from sources other than drainage sources of small size. The community map repository should be consulted for possible updated or additional flood hazard information.

To obtain more detailed information in areas where Base Flood Elevations (BFEs) and/or Floodways have been determined, users are encouraged to consult the Flood Protection Protection Data section of the Summary of Silhouette Areas table contained within the Flood Insurance Study (FIS) report that accompanies this map. The FIS report also contains a table of BFEs for specific stream reaches and rounded whole-foot elevations. These BFEs are intended for flood insurance rating purposes only and should not be used as the sole source of flood elevation information. The BFEs in this table are not intended for use in design. This report should be utilized in conjunction with the FIRM for purposes of construction and/or floodplain management.

Coastal Base Flood Elevations Elevations shown on this map apply only to coastal areas of North America. Datum differences between users of this FIRM should be aware that coastal flood elevations are also provided in the Summary of Silhouette Elevations table in the Flood Insurance Study report for this jurisdiction. The BFEs in this table are not intended for use in design. This report should be used for construction and/or floodplain management purposes when they are higher than the elevations shown on this FIRM.

Boundaries of the floodways were computed at cross sections and interpolated between adjacent sections. The boundaries of the floodways are determined with regard to requirements of the National Flood Insurance Program. Floodway widths and other pertinent floodway data are provided in the Flood Insurance Study report for this jurisdiction.

Centers of flow in Special Flood Hazard Areas may be protected by flood control structures. Refer to Section 2.4 "Flood Protection Measures" of the Flood Insurance Study report for information on flood control structures for this jurisdiction.

The projection used in the preparation of this map was Louisiana State Plane Coordinate System (FIPSZONE 1702), with horizontal datum was NAD83 GRS1980 spherical. Differences in datum, spheroid, projection or State Plane zone used in the production of FIRMs for adjacent jurisdictions may result in slight differences in the location of features across jurisdiction boundaries. These differences do not affect the accuracy of the FIRM.

Flood elevations on this map are referenced to the North American Vertical Datum of 1988. These flood elevations must be compared to structure and ground surface elevations on the map to determine if there is any potential for conversion between the National Geodetic Vertical Datum of 1929 and the North American Vertical Datum of 1988, visit the National Geodetic Survey website at www.ngs.noaa.gov or contact the National Geodetic Survey at the following address:

NGS Information Services
NOAA, NNGS12
National Geodetic Survey
SSMC-3, #9029
1315 East-West Highway
Silver Spring, Maryland 20910-3082

To obtain current elevation, description, and location information for bench marks shown on this map, please contact the Information Services Branch of the National Geographic Survey at (301) 713-3242, or visit its website at <http://www.ngs.noaa.gov>.

Base map information shown on this FIRM was derived from multiple sources before being digitized, including the Louisiana Geological Survey, the Louisiana Oil Spill Coordinator's Office (LOSCO), the US Census Bureau, the National Geodetic Survey, the US Fish and Wildlife Service, and the US Geological Survey.

This map reflects more detailed and up-to-date stream channel configurations than those shown on previous versions of this jurisdiction's floodplain boundaries and floodways that were transferred from the previous FIRM may have been adjusted to conform to these new stream channel configurations. As a result, users of this map should refer to the Flood Insurance Study (FIS) report which contains authoritative hydrologic data may reflect stream channel distances that differ from what is shown on this map.

Corporate limits shown on this map are based on the best data available at the time of preparation. Corporate limit changes and/or boundary shifts may have occurred after this map was published; map users should contact appropriate community officials to verify current corporate limit locations.

Please refer to the separately printed Map Index for an overview map of the county showing the layout of map panels, community map repository address; and the effective date of the most recent National Flood Insurance Program dates for each community as well as a listing of the panels on which each community is located.

For information on questions about this map, available products associated with this FIRM, including historical versions of the FIRM, how to order products on the National Flood Insurance Program in general, please call the FEMA Map Information Exchange at 1-877-4FEMA-2000 (1-877-436-3200). To use the FEMA Map Service Center website, the user must log-in. Available products may include the latest issue Letter of Map Change, a Flood Insurance Study Report, and digital versions of this map. Many of these products can be ordered or purchased directly from the website. Learn more about ordering products and data for each FIRM panel by visiting the FEMA Map Service Center website or by calling the FEMA Map Information Exchange.

LEGEND

SPECIAL FLOOD HAZARD AREAS (SFHA) SUBJECT TO ANNUAL CHANCE FLOODING
The 1% annual chance flood (100-year flood), also known as the base flood, is the flood that has a 1% chance of being equaled or exceeded in any given year. The Special Flood Hazard Area is the area subject to inundation by the base flood. Areas of Special Flood Hazard include Zones A, AE, AH, AO, AH, V, and VE. The base flood protection measures required for the 1% annual chance flood.

ZONE AH
Special Flood Hazard Area formerly protected by the 1% annual chance flood by a flood control system that was subsequently discontinued or removed. The area is no longer protected and is being restored to provide protection from the 1% annual chance or greater flood.

ZONE AO
Special Flood Hazard Area formerly protected from 1% annual chance flood by a Federal flood protection system under construction; no base flood elevations are provided.

ZONE AH
Coastal flood zone with velocity hazard (wave action); no base flood elevations determined.

ZONE AH
Coastal flood zone with velocity hazard (wave action); base flood elevations determined.

ZONE AH
The floodway is the channel of a stream plus any adjacent floodplain areas that must be kept free of obstructions so that the 1% annual chance flood can be carried without substantial increases in flood heights.

ZONE X
Areas of 0.2% annual chance flood; areas of 1% annual chance flood with average depths of less than 1 foot or with drainage areas less than 1 square mile, and areas protected by levees from 1% annual chance flood.

ZONE D
Areas determined to be outside the 0.2% annual chance flood. Areas in which flood hazards are determined, but possible.

COASTAL BARRIER RESOURCES SYSTEM (CBRS) AREAS

OTHERWISE PROTECTED AREAS (OPA)
CBRS areas and OPA are normally located either or adjacent to Special Flood Hazard Areas.

Map symbols
— Floodplain boundary
— Floodway boundary
— Zone D boundary
— CBRS and OPA boundary
— Boundary defining Special Flood Hazard Areas of different Base Flood Elevations, flood depths or flood velocities.

~~~~~ 513 ~~~~~  
Base Flood Elevation line and value, elevation in feet.  
(EL 98)  
Base Flood Elevation value where uniform within zone; elevation in feet.

\* Referenced to the North American Vertical Datum of 1988 (NAVD 88)  
Cross section line

— Transect line  
Geographic coordinates referred to the North American Datum of 1988 (NAVD 88)  
31°07'30", 30°32'30"  
37°30'N  
1000-meter Universal Transverse Mercator grid ticks, zone 15

6000000 FT  
5000-foot grid ticks: Louisiana State Plane coordinate system, south zone (FIPSZONE 1702) Lambert Conformal Conic projection

OX5510\_X  
Benchmark (see explanation in Notes to Users section of this FIRM panel)

M1.5  
River Mile  
MAP REPOSITORIES  
Refer to Map Repositories list on Map Index

EFFECTIVE DATES OF COUNTYWIDE FLOOD INSURANCE RATE MAP  
November 16, 2012  
EFFECTIVE DATES OF REVISION(S) TO THIS PANEL

For community map revision history prior to countywide mapping, refer to the Community Map History table located in the Flood Insurance Study report for this jurisdiction.

To determine if flood insurance is available in this community, contact your insurance agent or call the National Flood Insurance Program at 1-800-636-6000.

MAP SCALE 1" = 2000'  
0 1000 2000 4000 FEET  
0 500 1000 METERS

NFIP

**FIRM**  
**FLOOD INSURANCE RATE MAP**  
**CAMERON PARISH,**  
**LOUISIANA**

PANEL 50 OF 1257  
(SEE MAP INDEX FOR FIRM PANEL LAYOUT)  
CONTAINS:  
COMMUNITY NUMBER PANEL SUFFIX  
CAMERON PARISH 0050H 0050H

Notice to User: The Map Number shown below should be used when ordering products. The Community Panel Suffix above should be used on insurance applications for the subject community.



MAP NUMBER  
22023C0050H  
EFFECTIVE DATE  
NOVEMBER 16, 2012

Federal Emergency Management Agency



## **APPENDIX E**

List of Migratory Birds in BCR 25 and 37

Table 23 BCR 25 (West Gulf Coastal Plain/Ouachitas) *BCC 2008* list.<sup>25</sup>

Least Bittern  
Little Blue Heron  
Swallow-tailed Kite  
Bald Eagle (b)  
American Kestrel (*paulus* ssp.)  
Yellow Rail (nb)  
Solitary Sandpiper (nb)  
Hudsonian Godwit (nb)  
Buff-breasted Sandpiper (nb)  
Chuck-will's-widow  
Red-headed Woodpecker  
Loggerhead Shrike  
Brown-headed Nuthatch  
Bewick's Wren (*bewickii* ssp.)  
Wood Thrush  
Sprague's Pipit (nb)  
Prairie Warbler  
Cerulean Warbler  
Prothonotary Warbler  
Worm-eating Warbler  
Swainson's Warbler  
Louisiana Waterthrush  
Kentucky Warbler  
Bachman's Sparrow  
Henslow's Sparrow (nb)  
Smith's Longspur (nb)  
Painted Bunting  
Orchard Oriole

---

25 (a) ESA candidate, (b) ESA delisted, (c) non-listed subspecies or population of Threatened or Endangered species, (d) MBTA protection uncertain or lacking, (nb) non-breeding in this BCR

Table 35 BCR 37 (Gulf Coastal Prairie U.S. portion only) *BCC 2008* list.<sup>37</sup>

|                                        |                                       |
|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Audubon's Shearwater (nb)              |                                       |
| Band-rumped Storm-Petrel (nb)          | Red Knot ( <i>rufa</i> ssp.) (a) (nb) |
| American Bittern                       | Buff-breasted Sandpiper (nb)          |
| Least Bittern                          | Short-billed Dowitcher (nb)           |
| Reddish Egret                          | Least Tern (c)                        |
| Swallow-tailed Kite                    | Gull-billed Tern                      |
| Bald Eagle (b)                         | Sandwich Tern                         |
| White-tailed Hawk                      | Black Skimmer                         |
| Peregrine Falcon (b) (nb)              | Short-eared Owl (nb)                  |
| Yellow Rail (nb)                       | Loggerhead Shrike                     |
| Black Rail                             | Sedge Wren (nb)                       |
| Snowy Plover (c)                       | Sprague's Pipit (nb)                  |
| Wilson's Plover                        | Prothonotary Warbler                  |
| Mountain Plover (nb)                   | Swainson's Warbler                    |
| American Oystercatcher                 | Botteri's Sparrow                     |
| Solitary Sandpiper (nb)                | Grasshopper Sparrow                   |
| Lesser Yellowlegs (nb)                 | Henslow's Sparrow (nb)                |
| Upland Sandpiper (nb)                  | LeConte's Sparrow (nb)                |
| Whimbrel (nb)                          | Nelson's Sharp-tailed Sparrow (nb)    |
| Long-billed Curlew                     | Seaside Sparrow (c)                   |
| Hudsonian Godwit (nb)                  | Painted Bunting                       |
| Marbled Godwit (nb)                    | Dickcissel                            |
| Red Knot ( <i>roselaari</i> ssp.) (nb) |                                       |

---

37 (a) ESA candidate, (b) ESA delisted, (c) non-listed subspecies or population of Threatened or Endangered species, (d) MBTA protection uncertain or lacking, (nb) non-breeding in this BCR

## **APPENDIX F**

### **Demographic Data**

### Race, Ethnicity, and Population Densities in the Project Area Census Geographies

| Census Geography                                                           | Total Population | Non-Hispanic               |                     |                                         |                                                 |                     |                                                          |                               |                           | Land Area in Square Miles | Persons per Square Mile |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|----------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|---------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|
|                                                                            |                  | Percent Hispanic or Latino | Percent White alone | Percent Black or African American alone | Percent American Indian and Alaska Native alone | Percent Asian alone | Percent Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander alone | Percent Some Other Race alone | Percent Two or more races |                           |                         |
| Calcasieu Parish, Louisiana                                                | 192,768          | 2.6                        | 69.4                | 24.6                                    | 0.4                                             | 1.1                 | 0.0                                                      | 0.3                           | 1.6                       | 1063.7                    | 181.2                   |
| Census Tract 32, Calcasieu Parish, Louisiana                               | 2,167            | 2.5                        | 91.1                | 3.1                                     | 0.6                                             | 0.8                 | 0.0                                                      | 0.3                           | 1.5                       | 73.3                      | 29.5                    |
| Block Group 1, Census Tract 32, Calcasieu Parish, Louisiana                | 2,167            | 2.5                        | 91.1                | 3.1                                     | 0.6                                             | 0.8                 | 0.0                                                      | 0.3                           | 1.5                       | 73.3                      | 29.5                    |
| Block 1217, Census Tract 32, Calcasieu Parish, Louisiana                   | 0                |                            |                     |                                         |                                                 |                     |                                                          |                               |                           |                           |                         |
| Census Tract 34, Calcasieu Parish, Louisiana                               | 4,619            | 1.8                        | 95.6                | 1.5                                     | 0.2                                             | 0.1                 | 0.0                                                      | 0.0                           | 0.9                       | 136.0                     | 34.0                    |
| Block Group 1, Census Tract 34, Calcasieu Parish, Louisiana                | 912              | 2.3                        | 94.4                | 2.4                                     | 0.0                                             | 0.1                 | 0.0                                                      | 0.0                           | 0.8                       | 121.0                     | 7.5                     |
| Block 1090, Census Tract 34, Calcasieu Parish, Louisiana                   | 0                |                            |                     |                                         |                                                 |                     |                                                          |                               |                           |                           |                         |
| Block 1091, Census Tract 34, Calcasieu Parish, Louisiana                   | 0                |                            |                     |                                         |                                                 |                     |                                                          |                               |                           |                           |                         |
| Cameron Parish, Louisiana                                                  | 6,839            | 2.3                        | 94.5                | 1.7                                     | 0.5                                             | 0.1                 | 0.0                                                      | 0.0                           | 1.6                       | 1284.9                    | 5.3                     |
| Census Tract 9702.01, Cameron Parish, Louisiana                            | 1,956            | 1.2                        | 96.7                | 0.8                                     | 0.7                                             | 0.1                 | 0.0                                                      | 0.0                           | 0.5                       | 508.1                     | 3.8                     |
| Block Group 2, Census Tract 9702.01, Cameron Parish, Louisiana             | 288              | 1.0                        | 98.3                | 0.0                                     | 0.7                                             | 0.0                 | 0.0                                                      | 0.0                           | 0.0                       | 387.7                     | 0.7                     |
| Block 2016, Block Group 2, Census Tract 9702.01, Cameron Parish, Louisiana | 0                |                            |                     |                                         |                                                 |                     |                                                          |                               |                           |                           |                         |

Source: 2010 Census - Table DP1, Profile of General Population and Housing Characteristics. Table P2, Hispanic or Latino, and Not Hispanic or Latino by Race, and Table PH1, Population, Housing Units, Area, and Density

**Income in the past 12 months below the poverty level (2010-2014)**

| Census Geography                                               | Population<br>for whom<br>poverty status<br>is determined | Individuals<br>below the<br>poverty<br>level | Percent<br>below the<br>poverty<br>level |
|----------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|
| Calcasieu Parish, Louisiana                                    | 191,072                                                   | 32,952                                       | 17.2                                     |
| Census Tract 32, Calcasieu Parish, Louisiana                   | 2,459                                                     | 380                                          | 15.5                                     |
| Block Group 1, Census Tract 32, Calcasieu Parish, Louisiana    | 2,459                                                     | 380                                          | 15.5                                     |
| Census Tract 34, Calcasieu Parish, Louisiana                   | 4,712                                                     | 469                                          | 10.0                                     |
| Block Group 1, Census Tract 34, Calcasieu Parish, Louisiana    | 1,226                                                     | 321                                          | 26.2                                     |
| Cameron Parish, Louisiana                                      | 6,661                                                     | 555                                          | 8.3                                      |
| Census Tract 9702.01, Cameron Parish, Louisiana                | 1,775                                                     | 94                                           | 5.3                                      |
| Block Group 2, Census Tract 9702.01, Cameron Parish, Louisiana | 155                                                       | 16                                           | 10.3                                     |

Source: Table B17021, Poverty Status of Individuals in the Past 12 Months by Living Arrangements

Save as PDF



## EJSCREEN Report (Version 2016)

the User Specified Area

TEXAS, EPA Region 6

Approximate Population: 2,850


Input Area (sq. miles): 98.32

DOE EA 2040




| Selected Variables                                  | Percentile in State | Percentile in EPA Region | Percentile in USA |
|-----------------------------------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|-------------------|
| <b>EJ Indexes</b>                                   |                     |                          |                   |
| EJ Index for Particulate Matter (PM 2.5)            | 34                  | 37                       | 53                |
| EJ Index for Ozone                                  | 34                  | 38                       | 54                |
| EJ Index for NATA* Diesel PM                        | 34                  | 37                       | 53                |
| EJ Index for NATA* Air Toxics Cancer Risk           | 33                  | 37                       | 51                |
| EJ Index for NATA* Respiratory Hazard Index         | 28                  | 31                       | 42                |
| EJ Index for Traffic Proximity and Volume           | 27                  | 28                       | 42                |
| EJ Index for Lead Paint Indicator                   | 11                  | 14                       | 36                |
| EJ Index for Superfund Proximity                    | 23                  | 25                       | 42                |
| EJ Index for RMP Proximity                          | 6                   | 6                        | 7                 |
| EJ Index for Hazardous Waste Proximity <sup>+</sup> | 8                   | 8                        | 12                |
| EJ Index for Water Discharger Proximity             | 8                   | 7                        | 9                 |

EJ Index for the Selected Area Compared to All People's Blockgroups in the State/Region/US



This report shows the values for environmental and demographic indicators and EJSCREEN indexes. It shows environmental and demographic raw data (e.g., the estimated concentration of ozone in the air), and also shows what percentile each raw data value represents. These percentiles provide perspective on how the selected block group or buffer area compares to the entire state, EPA region, or nation. For example, if a given location is at the 95th percentile nationwide, this means that only 5 percent of the US population has a higher block group value than the average person in the location being analyzed. The years for which the data are available, and the methods used, vary across these indicators. Important caveats and uncertainties apply to this screening-level information, so it is essential to understand the limitations on appropriate interpretations and applications of these indicators. Please see EJSCREEN documentation for discussion of these issues before using reports.



#### Sites reporting to EPA

|                                                                    |   |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------|---|
| Superfund NPL                                                      | 0 |
| Hazardous Waste Treatment, Storage, and Disposal Facilities (TSDF) | 2 |
| National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES)            | 5 |

| Selected Variables                                                  | Value | State Average | Percentile in State | EPA Region Average | Percentile in EPA Region | USA Average | Percentile in USA |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------|-------|---------------|---------------------|--------------------|--------------------------|-------------|-------------------|
| <b>Environmental Indicators</b>                                     |       |               |                     |                    |                          |             |                   |
| Particulate Matter (PM 2.5 in $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )            | 8.9   | 9.63          | 25                  | 9.45               | 26                       | 9.32        | 37                |
| Ozone (ppb)                                                         | 38.6  | 45.4          | 11                  | 47                 | 8                        | 47.4        | 13                |
| NATA* Diesel PM ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )                        | 0.36  | 0.756         | 18                  | 0.72               | <50th                    | 0.937       | <50th             |
| NATA* Air Toxics Cancer Risk (risk per MM)                          | 45    | 41            | 69                  | 42                 | 60-70th                  | 40          | 60-70th           |
| NATA* Respiratory Hazard Index                                      | 3.5   | 1.8           | 97                  | 1.8                | 95-100th                 | 1.8         | 90-95th           |
| Traffic Proximity and Volume (daily traffic count/distance to road) | 61    | 390           | 38                  | 320                | 44                       | 590         | 43                |
| Lead Paint Indicator (% pre-1960s housing)                          | 0.42  | 0.16          | 85                  | 0.18               | 85                       | 0.3         | 70                |
| Superfund Proximity (site count/km distance)                        | 0.059 | 0.075         | 64                  | 0.072              | 66                       | 0.13        | 49                |
| RMP Proximity (facility count/km distance)                          | 2.6   | 0.61          | 97                  | 0.55               | 97                       | 0.43        | 98                |
| Hazardous Waste Proximity (facility count/km distance) <sup>+</sup> | 0.63  | 0.11          | 98                  | 0.11               | 98                       | 0.11        | 98                |
| Water Discharger Proximity (count/km)                               | 2.4   | 0.48          | 96                  | 0.41               | 97                       | 0.31        | 99                |
| <b>Demographic Indicators</b>                                       |       |               |                     |                    |                          |             |                   |
| Demographic Index                                                   | 30%   | 47%           | 29                  | 45%                | 33                       | 36%         | 49                |
| Minority Population                                                 | 18%   | 56%           | 13                  | 50%                | 19                       | 37%         | 38                |
| Low Income Population                                               | 40%   | 39%           | 54                  | 39%                | 52                       | 35%         | 62                |
| Linguistically Isolated Population                                  | 1%    | 8%            | 28                  | 6%                 | 38                       | 5%          | 47                |
| Population with Less Than High School Education                     | 15%   | 18%           | 50                  | 17%                | 51                       | 14%         | 63                |
| Population under Age 5                                              | 6%    | 7%            | 43                  | 7%                 | 45                       | 6%          | 55                |
| Population over Age 64                                              | 19%   | 11%           | 87                  | 12%                | 84                       | 14%         | 79                |

\*The National-Scale Air Toxics Assessment (NATA) is EPA's ongoing, comprehensive evaluation of air toxics in the United States. EPA developed the NATA to prioritize air toxics, emission sources, and locations of interest for further study. It is important to remember that NATA provides broad estimates of health risks over geographic areas of the country, not definitive risks to specific individuals or locations. More information on the NATA analysis can be found at: <https://www.epa.gov/national-air-toxics-assessment>.

<sup>+</sup>The hazardous waste environmental indicator and the corresponding EJ index will appear as N/A if there are no hazardous waste facilities within 50 km of a selected location.

For additional information, see: [www.epa.gov/environmentaljustice](http://www.epa.gov/environmentaljustice)

data, particularly when looking at small geographic areas. Important caveats and uncertainties apply to this screening-level information, so it is essential to understand the limitations on appropriate interpretations and applications of these indicators. Please see EJSCREEN documentation for discussion of these issues before using reports. This screening tool does not provide data on every environmental impact and demographic factor that may be relevant to a particular location. EJSCREEN outputs should be supplemented with additional information and local knowledge before taking any action to address potential EJ concerns.

---

## **APPENDIX G**

Agency Correspondence

**Agency Correspondence before the Draft EA**

**Solicitation of Views Mailing List and Sample Letter**

Solicitation of Views Letter Mailing List

Mr. Darrell S. Barbara  
US Army Corps of Engineers  
CEMVN-OD-S  
Post Office Box 60267  
New Orleans, LA 70160

Mr. Tracy Falk  
US Army Corps of Engineers  
CEMVN-OD-S  
Post Office Box 60267  
New Orleans, LA 70160

Ms. Kim Baggette  
US Army Corps of Engineers  
CESWG-RD-E  
P.O. Box 1229  
Galveston, TX 77553-1229

Ms. Carolyn Murphy  
US Army Corps of Engineers  
Galveston District  
PO Box 1229  
Galveston, TX 77553-1229

Mr. Keith Hayden  
US Environmental Protection Agency  
1445 Ross Avenue  
Dallas, TX 75202

Mr. Richard Hartman  
National Marine Fisheries Service  
c/o LSU, Military Science Building, Room 266  
South Stadium Drive  
Baton Rouge, LA 70803

Mr. Phil Boggan  
Department of Culture, Recreation & Tourism  
State Historic Preservation Officer  
P.O. Box 44247  
Baton Rouge, LA 70804

Mr. Donald Haydel  
Department of Natural Resources  
Office of Coastal Management, Consistency Section  
P.O. Box 94396  
Baton Rouge, LA 70804-9396

Captain Paul Dittman  
Eighth Coast Guard District  
Hale Boggs Federal Building  
500 Poydras Street  
New Orleans, LA 70130

Mr. Brad Rieck  
US Fish and Wildlife Services  
Louisiana Ecological Field Services  
646 Cajundome Blvd.  
Lafayette, LA 70506

Mr. Terence Delaine  
Sabine National Wildlife Refuge  
3000 Holly Beach Highway  
Hackberry, LA 70645

Mr. Kevin Norton  
National Resource Conservation Service  
3737 Government Street  
Alexandria, LA 71302

Ms. Carolyn Michon  
Louisiana Department of Wildlife and Fisheries  
Louisiana Natural Heritage Program  
PO Box 98000  
Baton Rouge, LA 70898

Mr. Wes Crain  
Calcasieu Parish Police Jury  
P.O. Drawer 3287  
Lake Charles, LA 70602

Mr. Myles Hebert  
Cameron Parish Police Jury  
P.O. Box 1280  
Cameron, LA 70631

Ms. Kara Bonsall  
Cameron Parish Police Jury  
P.O. Box 1280  
Cameron, LA 70631



**Department of Energy**  
**Strategic Petroleum Reserve Project Management Office**  
900 Commerce East  
New Orleans, Louisiana 70123

16-ESH-005

**Sample Solicitation of Views Letter**

Mr. Darrell S. Barbara  
Chief, Western Evaluation Section  
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers  
New Orleans District  
CEMVN-OD-S, P.O. Box 60267  
New Orleans, LA 70160

Mr. Barbara:

**ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT FOR ACCESS IMPROVEMENTS FOR STRATEGIC PETROLEUM RESERVE BLOCK VALVE STATIONS, CALCASIEU AND CAMERON PARISHES, LOUISIANA**

Pursuant to the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) intends to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) document for a project proposed to improve access to four block valve stations for the Strategic Petroleum Reserve (SPR) pipeline sections located in Southwestern Louisiana. The potential environmental impacts of this proposed project will be evaluated in conformance with DOE and the Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) regulations and provisions.

The project proposes to improve access to four block valve stations identified on the enclosed figure. All these valve stations are accessed from the water on foot. Boat access for West Hackberry (WH)-6 is accomplished as a soft landing on a narrow beach located on the east bank of the Sabine River. The shorelines at WH-2, WH-4, and WH-5 consist of elevated spoil banks created by construction of the Gulf Intracoastal Waterway (GIWW). These banks are difficult to access due to elevation, shallow water during low tides, and rip-rap installed to stabilize the shoreline.


New boat landings and elevated walkways are proposed for Valve Stations WH-2, WH-4, and WH-5. A new walking path at WH-4 will be constructed from the elevated walkway to the existing pipeline right of way. Existing footpaths for WH-2, WH-5, and WH-6 are proposed to be regraded as needed. The footpaths will be surfaced with filter cloth and aggregate. Additional perpetual access ROW for access at each valve location will be acquired for the placement of the footpath for valve stations WH-4, WH-5, and WH-6, and for the boat landing for valve stations WH-2, WH-4, and WH-5.

The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers has been identified for participation in the scoping effort for preparation of the EA. DOE requests your input related to potential impacts from the proposed project to the resources under your department's jurisdiction. In your response to this letter, please indicate if you or your designee would like to receive notice when the EA is available for review.

Please direct any written comments or requests for additional information to Ms. Lynn Maloney-Mújica, ELOS Environmental LLC, 43177 East Pleasant Ridge Road, Hammond, LA 70403 or by email at [lmaloney@elosenv.com](mailto:lmaloney@elosenv.com). You may also contact Mr. Gabriel Adams at the Strategic Petroleum Reserve Project Management Office at 504-734-4400.

Thank you for a prompt response to this communication.

Sincerely,



William C. Gibson, Jr.  
Project Manager  
Strategic Petroleum Reserve

Enclosure


cc:

L. Maloney  
G. Adams, FFPO  
K. Batiste, FE-4441

## **SENSITIVE UNCLASSIFIED INFORMATION**

The enclosed information is provided for your use as a customer of the Strategic Petroleum Reserve. This information is sensitive unclassified information and it should be granted only to persons who possess the appropriate need-to-know. This information should not be released to anyone who might use it for purposes detrimental to the government, the petroleum infrastructure of the United States of America, or your organization and facilities.

Please keep this information secure when not being used and appropriately destroy (such as shredding or burning) it when no longer required.



Reply from Louisiana Office of Coastal Management

**JOHN BEL EDWARDS**  
GOVERNOR



**THOMAS F. HARRIS**  
SECRETARY

**State of Louisiana**  
**DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES**  
**OFFICE OF COASTAL MANAGEMENT**

August 10, 2016

Ms. Lynn Maloney-Mujica  
ELOS Environmental LLC  
43177 East Pleasant Ridge Road  
Hammond, LA 70403  
*Via e-mail:* [lmaloney@elosenv.com](mailto:lmaloney@elosenv.com)

**Re: C20160129 Solicitation of Views**  
Department of Energy Strategic Petroleum Reserve (SPR)  
Direct Federal Action  
Environmental Assessment (EA) for Access Improvements for 4 Block Valve Stations,  
**Calcasieu and Cameron Parishes**

Dear Ms. Maloney-Mujica:

This office has received the request for comments regarding the above referenced intent to prepare an EA. The Office of Coastal Management recommends that the project be planned in such a way as to avoid adverse impacts to coastal resources to the maximum extent practicable. Also, when plans for the project are complete, they should be submitted with a consistency determination for review by this office for compliance with the approved Louisiana Coastal Resources Program in accordance with Section 307(c) of the Federal Coastal Zone Management Act of 1972, as amended. A copy of the Environmental Assessment can be included for review as part of the consistency determination documentation.

If you have any questions please contact Jim Bondy of the Consistency Section at (225) 342-3870 or [james.bondy@la.gov](mailto:james.bondy@la.gov).

Sincerely yours,

**/S/ Don Haydel**  
Acting Administrator  
Interagency Affairs/Field Services Division

DH/SK/jab

cc: W. C. Gibson, Jr., Strategic Petroleum Reserve  
Gabriel Adams, FFPO

Reply from National Marine Fisheries Service / Habitat Conservation Division

## **Lynn Maloney**

---

**From:** Brandon Howard - NOAA Federal  
**Sent:** Monday, August 29, 2016 9:54 AM  
**To:** lmaloney@elosenv.com  
**Subject:** Access Improvements SPR-WH Valve Stations EA preparation notice

Hi Lynn.

The National Marine Fisheries Service's Habitat Conservation Division (HCD) has reviewed the letter indicating that an Environmental Assessment (EA) is being prepared for the above referenced project. The Gulf Intracoastal Waterway where valve access will be enhanced is essential fish habitat (EFH) for various penaeid shrimp species and red drum. Without construction plans, it is not possible to ascertain what impacts to salt marsh, that fringes the waterway, will occur. It is recommended that the EA contain an EFH Assessment. Please notify NMFS HCD regarding availability of the EA.

Brandon

--  
Brandon Howard  
Fishery Biologist  
Habitat Conservation Division  
NOAA Fisheries Service

Louisiana State University  
Military Sciences Bldg, Rm 266  
South Stadium Rd  
Baton Rouge, LA 70803

Office: [225-389-0508, x207](#)

[http://sero.nmfs.noaa.gov/habitat\\_conservation/index.html](http://sero.nmfs.noaa.gov/habitat_conservation/index.html)

Reply from Natural Resources Conservation Service



August 8, 2016

Ms. Lynn Maloney-Mújica  
ELOS Environmental, LLC  
43177 East Pleasant Ridge Road  
Hammond, LA 70403

RE: Environmental Assessment for Access Improvements for Strategic Petroleum Reserve  
Block Valve Stations, Calcasieu and Cameron Parishes, Louisiana

Dear Ms. Mújica:

I have reviewed the above referenced project for potential requirements of the Farmland Protection Policy Act (FPPA) and potential impact to Natural Resource Conservation Service projects in the immediate vicinity.

Projects are subject to FPPA requirements if they may irreversibly convert farmland (directly or indirectly) to nonagricultural use and are completed by a federal agency or with assistance from a federal agency. For the purpose of FPPA, farmland includes prime farmland, unique farmland, and land of statewide or local importance. Farmland subject to FPPA requirements can be forest land, pastureland, cropland, or other land, but not water or urban built-up land.

The project map submitted with your request indicates that the proposed construction areas will not impact prime farmland and therefore is exempt from the rules and regulations of the Farmland Protection Policy Act (FPPA)—Subtitle I of Title XV, Section 1539-1549. Furthermore, we do not predict impacts to NRCS work in the vicinity.

For specific information about the soils found in the project area, please visit our Web Soil Survey at the following location: <http://websoilsurvey.nrcs.usda.gov/>

Please direct all future correspondence to me at the address shown below.

Respectfully,

Acting for:  
Kevin D. Norton  
State Conservationist

Attachment

FARMLAND CONVERSION IMPACT RATING  
FOR CORRIDOR TYPE PROJECTS

| <b>PART I (To be completed by Federal Agency)</b>                                                                                                                      |                                                         | 3. Date of Land Evaluation Request                                  | 4. Sheet 1 of _____                                                                              |            |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|
| 1. Name of Project                                                                                                                                                     | <b>SPR Block Valve Stations</b>                         | 5. Federal Agency Involved <b>DOE</b>                               |                                                                                                  |            |
| 2. Type of Project                                                                                                                                                     | <b>new boat landings and walkways</b>                   | 6. County and State <b>Calcasieu and Cameron Parish</b>             |                                                                                                  |            |
| <b>PART II (To be completed by NRCS)</b>                                                                                                                               |                                                         | 1. Date Request Received by NRCS<br><b>8/8/16</b>                   | 2. Person Completing Form<br><b>M. Mouton</b>                                                    |            |
| 3. Does the corridor contain prime, unique statewide or local important farmland?<br>(If no, the FPPA does not apply - Do not complete additional parts of this form). |                                                         | YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | 4. Acres Irrigated   Average Farm Size                                                           |            |
| 5. Major Crop(s)                                                                                                                                                       | 6. Farmable Land in Government Jurisdiction<br>Acres: % |                                                                     | 7. Amount of Farmland As Defined in FPPA<br>Acres: %                                             |            |
| 8. Name Of Land Evaluation System Used                                                                                                                                 | 9. Name of Local Site Assessment System                 |                                                                     | 10. Date Land Evaluation Returned by NRCS<br><b>8/8/16</b>                                       |            |
| <b>PART III (To be completed by Federal Agency)</b>                                                                                                                    |                                                         | Alternative Corridor For Segment                                    |                                                                                                  |            |
|                                                                                                                                                                        |                                                         | Corridor A                                                          | Corridor B                                                                                       | Corridor C |
| A. Total Acres To Be Converted Directly                                                                                                                                |                                                         |                                                                     |                                                                                                  |            |
| B. Total Acres To Be Converted Indirectly, Or To Receive Services                                                                                                      |                                                         |                                                                     |                                                                                                  |            |
| C. Total Acres In Corridor                                                                                                                                             |                                                         |                                                                     |                                                                                                  |            |
| <b>PART IV (To be completed by NRCS) Land Evaluation Information</b>                                                                                                   |                                                         |                                                                     |                                                                                                  |            |
| A. Total Acres Prime And Unique Farmland                                                                                                                               |                                                         |                                                                     |                                                                                                  |            |
| B. Total Acres Statewide And Local Important Farmland                                                                                                                  |                                                         |                                                                     |                                                                                                  |            |
| C. Percentage Of Farmland in County Or Local Govt. Unit To Be Converted                                                                                                |                                                         |                                                                     |                                                                                                  |            |
| D. Percentage Of Farmland in Govt. Jurisdiction With Same Or Higher Relative Value                                                                                     |                                                         |                                                                     |                                                                                                  |            |
| <b>PART V (To be completed by NRCS) Land Evaluation Information Criterion Relative value of Farmland to Be Serviced or Converted (Scale of 0 - 100 Points)</b>         |                                                         |                                                                     |                                                                                                  |            |
| <b>PART VI (To be completed by Federal Agency) Corridor Assessment Criteria (These criteria are explained in 7 CFR 658.5(c))</b>                                       |                                                         | Maximum Points                                                      |                                                                                                  |            |
| 1. Area in Nonurban Use                                                                                                                                                | 15                                                      |                                                                     |                                                                                                  |            |
| 2. Perimeter in Nonurban Use                                                                                                                                           | 10                                                      |                                                                     |                                                                                                  |            |
| 3. Percent Of Corridor Being Farmed                                                                                                                                    | 20                                                      |                                                                     |                                                                                                  |            |
| 4. Protection Provided By State And Local Government                                                                                                                   | 20                                                      |                                                                     |                                                                                                  |            |
| 5. Size of Present Farm Unit Compared To Average                                                                                                                       | 10                                                      |                                                                     |                                                                                                  |            |
| 6. Creation Of Nonfarmable Farmland                                                                                                                                    | 25                                                      |                                                                     |                                                                                                  |            |
| 7. Availability Of Farm Support Services                                                                                                                               | 5                                                       |                                                                     |                                                                                                  |            |
| 8. On-Farm Investments                                                                                                                                                 | 20                                                      |                                                                     |                                                                                                  |            |
| 9. Effects Of Conversion On Farm Support Services                                                                                                                      | 25                                                      |                                                                     |                                                                                                  |            |
| 10. Compatibility With Existing Agricultural Use                                                                                                                       | 10                                                      |                                                                     |                                                                                                  |            |
| TOTAL CORRIDOR ASSESSMENT POINTS                                                                                                                                       | 160                                                     | 0                                                                   | 0                                                                                                | 0          |
| <b>PART VII (To be completed by Federal Agency)</b>                                                                                                                    |                                                         |                                                                     |                                                                                                  |            |
| Relative Value Of Farmland (From Part V)                                                                                                                               | 100                                                     | 0                                                                   | 0                                                                                                | 0          |
| Total Corridor Assessment (From Part VI above or a local site assessment)                                                                                              | 160                                                     | 0                                                                   | 0                                                                                                | 0          |
| <b>TOTAL POINTS (Total of above 2 lines)</b>                                                                                                                           | 260                                                     | 0                                                                   | 0                                                                                                | 0          |
| 1. Corridor Selected:                                                                                                                                                  | 2. Total Acres of Farmlands to be Converted by Project: | 3. Date Of Selection:                                               | 4. Was A Local Site Assessment Used?<br>YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/> |            |
| 5. Reason For Selection:                                                                                                                                               |                                                         |                                                                     |                                                                                                  |            |

Signature of Person Completing this Part: \_\_\_\_\_ DATE \_\_\_\_\_

NOTE: Complete a form for each segment with more than one Alternate Corridor

## CORRIDOR - TYPE SITE ASSESSMENT CRITERIA

The following criteria are to be used for projects that have a linear or corridor - type site configuration connecting two distant points, and crossing several different tracts of land. These include utility lines, highways, railroads, stream improvements, and flood control systems. Federal agencies are to assess the suitability of each corridor - type site or design alternative for protection as farmland along with the land evaluation information.

- (1) How much land is in nonurban use within a radius of 1.0 mile from where the project is intended?
- More than 90 percent - 15 points  
 90 to 20 percent - 14 to 1 point(s)  
 Less than 20 percent - 0 points

- (2) How much of the perimeter of the site borders on land in nonurban use?
- More than 90 percent - 10 points  
 90 to 20 percent - 9 to 1 point(s)  
 Less than 20 percent - 0 points

- (3) How much of the site has been farmed (managed for a scheduled harvest or timber activity) more than five of the last 10 years?
- More than 90 percent - 20 points  
 90 to 20 percent - 19 to 1 point(s)  
 Less than 20 percent - 0 points

- (4) Is the site subject to state or unit of local government policies or programs to protect farmland or covered by private programs to protect farmland?
- Site is protected - 20 points  
 Site is not protected - 0 points

- (5) Is the farm unit(s) containing the site (before the project) as large as the average - size farming unit in the County ?  
 (Average farm sizes in each county are available from the NRCS field offices in each state. Data are from the latest available Census of Agriculture, Acreage or Farm Units in Operation with \$1,000 or more in sales.)
- As large or larger - 10 points  
 Below average - deduct 1 point for each 5 percent below the average, down to 0 points if 50 percent or more below average - 9 to 0 points

- (6) If the site is chosen for the project, how much of the remaining land on the farm will become non-farmable because of interference with land patterns?
- Acreage equal to more than 25 percent of acres directly converted by the project - 25 points  
 Acreage equal to between 25 and 5 percent of the acres directly converted by the project - 1 to 24 point(s)  
 Acreage equal to less than 5 percent of the acres directly converted by the project - 0 points

- (7) Does the site have available adequate supply of farm support services and markets, i.e., farm suppliers, equipment dealers, processing and storage facilities and farmer's markets?
- All required services are available - 5 points  
 Some required services are available - 4 to 1 point(s)  
 No required services are available - 0 points

- (8) Does the site have substantial and well-maintained on-farm investments such as barns, other storage building, fruit trees and vines, field terraces, drainage, irrigation, waterways, or other soil and water conservation measures?
- High amount of on-farm investment - 20 points  
 Moderate amount of on-farm investment - 19 to 1 point(s)  
 No on-farm investment - 0 points

- (9) Would the project at this site, by converting farmland to nonagricultural use, reduce the demand for farm support services so as to jeopardize the continued existence of these support services and thus, the viability of the farms remaining in the area?
- Substantial reduction in demand for support services if the site is converted - 25 points  
 Some reduction in demand for support services if the site is converted - 1 to 24 point(s)  
 No significant reduction in demand for support services if the site is converted - 0 points

- (10) Is the kind and intensity of the proposed use of the site sufficiently incompatible with agriculture that it is likely to contribute to the eventual conversion of surrounding farmland to nonagricultural use?
- Proposed project is incompatible to existing agricultural use of surrounding farmland - 10 points  
 Proposed project is tolerable to existing agricultural use of surrounding farmland - 9 to 1 point(s)  
 Proposed project is fully compatible with existing agricultural use of surrounding farmland - 0 points

Reply from Louisiana State Historic Preservation Officer



**Department of Energy**  
**Strategic Petroleum Reserve Project Management Office**  
**900 Commerce East**  
**New Orleans, Louisiana 70123**

16-ESH-005

No known historic properties will be affected by this undertaking. Therefore, our office has no objection to the implementation of this project. This effect determination could change should new information come to our attention.

Mr. Phil Boggan  
Assistant Secretary  
Department of Culture, Recreation & Tourism  
State Historic Preservation Officer  
P.O. Box 44247  
Baton Rouge, LA 70804

  
Phil Boggan  
State Historic Preservation Officer

Date

08/27/2016

Mr. Boggan:

**ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT FOR ACCESS IMPROVEMENTS FOR STRATEGIC PETROLEUM RESERVE BLOCK VALVE STATIONS, CALCASIEU AND CAMERON PARISHES, LOUISIANA**

Pursuant to the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) intends to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) document for a project proposed to improve access to four block valve stations for the Strategic Petroleum Reserve (SPR) pipeline sections located in Southwestern Louisiana. The potential environmental impacts of this proposed project will be evaluated in conformance with DOE and the Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) regulations and provisions.

The project proposes to improve access to four block valve stations identified on the enclosed figure. All these valve stations are accessed from the water on foot. Boat access for West Hackberry (WH)-6 is accomplished as a soft landing on a narrow beach located on the east bank of the Sabine River. The shorelines at WH-2, WH-4, and WH-5 consist of elevated spoil banks created by construction of the Gulf Intracoastal Waterway (GIWW). These banks are difficult to access due to elevation, shallow water during low tides, and rip-rap installed to stabilize the shoreline.

New boat landings and elevated walkways are proposed for Valve Stations WH-2, WH-4, and WH-5. A new walking path at WH-4 will be constructed from the elevated walkway to the existing pipeline right of way. Existing footpaths for WH-2, WH-5, and WH-6 are proposed to be regraded as needed. The footpaths will be surfaced with filter cloth and aggregate.

**RECEIVED**  
Additional perpetual access ROW for access at each valve location will be acquired for the placement of the footpath for valve stations WH-4, WH-5, and WH-6, and for the boat landing for valve stations WH-2, WH-4, and WH-5.

AUG - 5 2016


**ARCHAEOLOGY**

The Department of Culture, Recreation & Tourism has been identified for participation in the scoping effort for preparation of the EA. DOE requests your input related to potential impacts from the proposed project to the resources under your department's jurisdiction. In your response to this letter, please indicate if you or your designee would like to receive notice when the EA is available for review.

Please direct any written comments or requests for additional information to Ms. Lynn Maloney-Mújica, ELOS Environmental LLC, 43177 East Pleasant Ridge Road, Hammond, LA 70403 or by email at [lmaloney@elosenv.com](mailto:lmaloney@elosenv.com). You may also contact Mr. Gabriel Adams at the Strategic Petroleum Reserve Project Management Office at 504-734-4400.

Thank you for a prompt response to this communication.

Sincerely,



William C. Gibson, Jr.  
Project Manager  
Strategic Petroleum Reserve

Enclosure

cc:

L. Maloney  
G. Adams, FFPO  
K. Batiste, FE-4441

**RECEIVED**

AUG - 5 2016

**ARCHAEOLOGY**

Replies from Calcasieu Parish Planning and Coastal Zone Manager

## **Lynn Maloney**

---

**From:** Wesley Crain  
**Sent:** Thursday, August 25, 2016 3:09 PM  
**To:** lmaloney@elosenv.com  
**Cc:** Laurie Cormier; Jennifer Cobian  
**Subject:** FW: DDepartment of Energy - Environmental Assessment for Strategic Petroleum Reserve Block Valve Stations

Dear Ms. Maloney-Mujica,

Please be advised this office has reviewed the above referenced project and have no objections. Please provide future notices to Ms. Laurie Cormier, Calcasieu Parish Coastal Zone Manager and Ms. Jennifer Cobian, Senior Financial Analyst/ Grants Coordinator. Their contact information is (337) 721-3600 or [lcormier@cppj.net](mailto:lcormier@cppj.net) and [jcobian@cppj.net](mailto:jcobian@cppj.net) . If you have any questions, concerns or need additional information please let me know.

Sincerely,

Wesley W. Crain, ASLA  
Director of Planning and Development

Calcasieu Parish Police Jury  
Division of Planning and Development  
901 Lakeshore Drive, 5th Floor  
Lake Charles, Louisiana 70601  
Phone: (337) 721-3600  
Fax: (337) 437-3586  
Email: [wcrain@cppj.net](mailto:wcrain@cppj.net)

---

**From:** Laurie Cormier  
**Sent:** Thursday, August 11, 2016 7:50 AM  
**To:** Wesley Crain  
**Cc:** Jennifer Cobian  
**Subject:** RE: DDepartment of Energy - Environmental Assessment

Wes – I have reviewed the Letter from the Department of Energy (DOE). Value Stations WH-2, Wh-4 and Wh-5 are located in the Calcasieu Parish Coastal Zone. Due to the fact that DOE is proposing elevated walkways for value Stations WH-2, WH-4, and WH-5 it is my opinion that there will be no potential impacts from the proposed project.

Please advise if you have any questions.

Laurie T. Cormier  
Assistant Planner/Coastal Zone Manager  
Division of Planning & Development  
Calcasieu Parish Police Jury  
**901 Lakeshore Drive, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor**  
Lake Charles, LA 70602-3287  
Phone: (337) 721-3645  
Email: [lcormier@cppj.net](mailto:lcormier@cppj.net)

---

**From:** Wesley Crain  
**Sent:** Tuesday, August 09, 2016 1:57 PM  
**To:** Laurie Cormier <[lcormier@cppj.net](mailto:lcormier@cppj.net)>  
**Cc:** Jennifer Cobian <[jcobian@cppj.net](mailto:jcobian@cppj.net)>  
**Subject:** DEpartment of Energy - Environmental Assessment

Laurie,

Please review the attached document from the Department of Energy regarding the above referenced. The project appears to be located in the Coastal Zone. Please provide any comments, if necessary and return to me. If you have any questions or concerns please let me know.

Thanks!

Wes

Wesley W. Crain, ASLA  
Director of Planning and Development

Calcasieu Parish Police Jury  
Division of Planning and Development  
901 Lakeshore Drive, 5th Floor  
Lake Charles, Louisiana 70601  
Phone: (337) 721-3600  
Fax: (337) 437-3586  
Email: [wcrain@cppj.net](mailto:wcrain@cppj.net)

Replies from Cameron Parish Floodplain Manager and Coastal Zone Administrator

## **Lynn Maloney**

---

**From:** Kara  
**Sent:** Tuesday, September 13, 2016 3:26 PM  
**To:** 'lmaloney@elosenv.com'  
**Cc:** Myles Hebert  
**Subject:** DOE Block Valve Stations

Lynn,

The Cameron Parish Police Jury received a letter requesting input related to access improvements for Strategic Petroleum Reserve Block Valve Stations located in both Cameron and Calcasieu Parishes. After reviewing the letter and plat the West Hackberry (WH) -6 valve station is located within Cameron Parish and will provide a letter of no objection pending the Coastal Consistency review.

Thanks,

KARA BONSALL

Certified Floodplain Manager  
Coastal Zone Administrator  
Cameron Parish Police Jury  
Phone: 337-775-2800 Ext. 104  
Fax: 337-775-5535  
[kb\\_cppj@camtel.net](mailto:kb_cppj@camtel.net)



## **Lynn Maloney**

---

**From:** Myles Hebert  
**Sent:** Wednesday, September 14, 2016 8:49 AM  
**To:** 'lmaloney@elosenv.com'  
**Cc:** Kara  
**Subject:** DOE Block Valve Stations

Lynn,

The Cameron Parish Police Jury received a letter requesting input related to access improvements for Strategic Petroleum Reserve Block Valve Stations located in both Cameron and Calcasieu Parishes. After reviewing the letter and plat the West Hackberry (WH) -6 valve station is located within Cameron Parish. The Cameron Parish Police Jury has no objection to this project in relation to flood damage prevention.

Myles Hebert, CBO  
Flood Plain Administrator  
Cameron Parish Police Jury  
Phone: 337-775-2800  
Fax: 337-775-5535  
[mh\\_cppj@camtel.net](mailto:mh_cppj@camtel.net)



Reply from US Army Corps of Engineers – New Orleans District



REPLY TO  
ATTENTION OF

DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY  
CORPS OF ENGINEERS, NEW ORLEANS DISTRICT  
7400 LEAKE AVENUE  
NEW ORLEANS, LOUISIANA 70118

9/23/2016

Western Evaluation Section  
Operations Division

**SUBJECT:** MVN-2016-1239-EFF  
16-ESH-005 (DOE) Strategic Petroleum Reserve-Block Valve Replacement Project

William C. Gibson, Jr.  
Department of Energy  
Strategic Petroleum Reserve  
Project management Office  
900 Commerce East  
New Orleans, LA 70123

Dear Mr. Gibson:

Reference is made to your letter 16-ESH-005, requesting initial remarks and/or observations on your project to improve access to four block valve stations for the Strategic Petroleum Reserve (SPR) pipeline sections located in Southwest Louisiana, in Calcasieu and Cameron Parishes.

Based on your descriptions of the project and its locations, it is our initial assessment that a Department of the Army permit under Section 10 of the Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899 and/or Section 404 of the Clean Water Act (33 U.S.C. 1344) from this office will likely be required for the subject work. With that, it is recommended that you look to acquire a Jurisdictional Determination from our Surveillance and Enforcement Section (CEMVN-OD-SS) prior to submittal of your Joint Permit Application, as to help us properly assess impacts associated with the work, during our review of your application. Be aware that upon our review of your application, our permit decision reflects the national concern for both protecting and utilizing important resources such as those potentially affected by your proposal. According to the Section 404(b)(1) Guidelines, a permit cannot be issued for a non-water dependent activity if there is a feasible less damaging alternative available. Since the proposed activity may be located within a wetland, it must comply with criteria outlined on our Guidelines for Specification of Disposal Sites for Dredge or Fill Material (40 CFR Part 230). Specifically, Section 230.10 (a) requires that no discharge of dredge or fill material shall be granted if there is a less damaging practicable alternative to the proposed discharge. Where the applicant can demonstrate a lack of practicable alternatives, reveal the public and/or private benefit of the proposed project, and the authorization is not contrary to the overall public interest, a permit can usually be issued. Prior to permit issuance we must determine that impacts have been avoided to the maximum extent practicable, remaining unavoidable impacts are minimized, and a mitigation plan is developed to compensate any unavoidable loss of aquatic resources.

Your enclosed Figure 1 Project Overview Map provides minimal locational information, however be aware that the subject work shows to be located within an area that may alter or occupy an existing US Army Corps of Engineers Civil Works Project (see attached plat). Upon receipt of your Joint Permit Application, a copy will be forwarded to the appropriate Operations Manager with this District for their review, pursuant to 33 USC 408 (Section 408).

Lastly, it appears that a small portion of your project may be located within the Corps of Engineers, Galveston District (see attached plat). Therefore, you should contact the Regulatory Branch with that District to discuss their permit requirements associated with work under their jurisdiction.

We look forward to being notified of the availability of a draft EA for review, and will provide any information or recommendations that we can to aid in processing your Department of the Army permit for the project. If you have any questions, feel free to contact Darrell S. Barbara with this office at (504) 862-2261 or at [darrell.barbara@usace.army.mil](mailto:darrell.barbara@usace.army.mil).

Sincerely,

Darrell S. Barbara  
Chief, Western Evaluation Section  
Regulatory Branch

Reply from Lacassine Wildlife Refuge (USFWS)

## Lynn Maloney

---

**From:** Marceaux, Joshua  
**Sent:** Friday, August 05, 2016 3:30 PM  
**To:** Lynn Maloney  
**Subject:** Re: EA for access improvements for strategic petroleum reserve in calcasieu/cameron parishes LA

From what I read and see, I think just sending us the draft EA would be fine.

-Josh

On Fri, Aug 5, 2016 at 3:29 PM, Lynn Maloney <[lmaloney@elosenv.com](mailto:lmaloney@elosenv.com)> wrote:

Thank you, Josh.

While we were waiting for the letters to be distributed by DOE, we used your website and others to describe the existing environment and did the field work.

DOE has asked to review the document by section, so the project description /alternatives and existing conditions are already complete. We are waiting on agency input before drafting the Environmental Consequences section.

Would you like to review what we have put together so far and comment? I am pretty sure there's not much to talk about on this project.

Lynn

Lynn Maloney-Mújica, AICP

Senior Scientist and Project Manager



43177 E. Pleasant Ridge Road

Hammond, LA 70403

P.985.662.5501

F.985.662.5504

C.225.802.2086

**From:** Marceaux, Joshua [mailto:[joshua\\_marceaux@fws.gov](mailto:joshua_marceaux@fws.gov)]

**Sent:** Friday, August 05, 2016 2:36 PM

**To:** [lmaloney@elosenv.com](mailto:lmaloney@elosenv.com)

**Subject:** EA for access improvements for strategic petroleum reserve in calcasieu/cameron parishes LA

Ms. Maloney-Mujica,

Regarding the subject proposal please refer to our website for natural resource information. That website is <http://www.fws.gov/lafayette/pdc/>

I will be the POC for the EA and can be reached via the information below.

Thanks,

--

Joshua C. Marceaux

Fish and Wildlife Biologist

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
Lacassine National Wildlife Refuge  
209 Nature Rd, Lake Arthur, LA 70549  
337/774-5923

--  
Joshua C. Marceaux  
Fish and Wildlife Biologist  
U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
337/291-3110

Reply from US Army Corps of Engineers – Galveston District

C.225.802.2086

-----Original Message-----

From: Hudson, Jayson M SWG [mailto:Jayson.M.Hudson@usace.army.mil]  
Sent: Tuesday, September 06, 2016 4:12 PM  
To: lmaloney@elosenv.com <mailto:lmaloney@elosenv.com>  
Subject: DOE letter

Lynn,

Please email me a copy of the letter and we will respond accordingly.

Thanks,

Jayson M. Hudson

Regulatory Project Manager

Galveston District

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

Office: 409.766.3108 Fax 409.766.3931

Please tell me how I am doing by completing the survey found at:

Blocked[http://corpsmapu.usace.army.mil/cm\\_apex/f?p=136:4:0](http://corpsmapu.usace.army.mil/cm_apex/f?p=136:4:0)  
<Blocked[http://corpsmapu.usace.army.mil/cm\\_apex/f?p=136:4:0](http://corpsmapu.usace.army.mil/cm_apex/f?p=136:4:0)>

<<...>>

## **Lynn Maloney**

---

**From:** Hudson, Jayson M SWG  
**Sent:** Wednesday, September 07, 2016 8:29 AM  
**To:** Lynn Maloney  
**Cc:** Adams, Gabriel  
**Subject:** RE: [EXTERNAL] RE: DOE letter

Thank you, Lynn. I have forwarded it to our Deputy, we should be able to respond shortly.

Jayson M Hudson  
Regulatory Project Manager  
Office: 409.766.3108 Fax 409.766.3931

Please tell me how I am doing by completing the survey found at:  
[http://corpsmapu.usace.army.mil/cm\\_apex/f?p=136:4:0](http://corpsmapu.usace.army.mil/cm_apex/f?p=136:4:0)

-----Original Message-----

From: Lynn Maloney [mailto:[lmaloney@elosenv.com](mailto:lmaloney@elosenv.com)]  
Sent: Wednesday, September 07, 2016 8:12 AM  
To: Hudson, Jayson M SWG <[Jayson.M.Hudson@usace.army.mil](mailto:Jayson.M.Hudson@usace.army.mil)>  
Cc: Adams, Gabriel <[Gabriel.Adams@spr.doe.gov](mailto:Gabriel.Adams@spr.doe.gov)>  
Subject: [EXTERNAL] RE: DOE letter

Dear Jayson:

Thank you for returning my call. Here is a copy of the letter sent to Kim Baggette. A letter was also sent to Carolyn Murphy.

As discussed, it is our intention to consolidate the coordination with the USACE at the New Orleans District. With that intention, we also sent a letter to Darrell Barbara of the Western Evaluation Section, (504) 862-2261. We have not heard back from him yet.

Best regards,

Lynn Maloney-Mújica, AICP

Senior Scientist and Project Manager

<<...>>

43177 E. Pleasant Ridge Road

Hammond, LA 70403

P.985.662.5501

F.985.662.5504

Reply from US Fish and Wildlife Service - Louisiana Field Office



Trahan, Amy &lt;amy\_trahan@fws.gov&gt;

**FW: DOE EA 2040: USFWS Coordination**

1 message

**Lynn Maloney** <lmaloney@elosenv.com>  
To: amy\_trahan@fws.gov

Thu, Aug 25, 2016 at 11:33 AM

Dear Amy:

Thank you for discussing this project with me. I have attached a copy of the letter sent to Brad for the project. Please let us know how the USFWS wishes to participate in this process.

Best regards,

Lynn

Lynn Maloney-Mújica, AICP

Senior Scientist and Project Manager



43177 E. Pleasant Ridge Road

Hammond, LA 70403

P.985.662.5501

F.985.662.5504

C.225.802.2086

This project has been reviewed for effects to Federal trust resources under our jurisdiction and currently protected by the Endangered Species Act of 1973 (Act). The project, as proposed,  
 Will have no effect on those resources  
 Is not likely to adversely affect those resources. *manatee*  
This finding fulfills the requirements under Section 7(a)(2) of the Act.

*M. Maloney* *Manatee*  
Acting Supervisor  
Louisiana Field Office  
U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service

Date  
*9-27-16*

Letter to UFWS.pdf  
941K

## **Agency Comments on the Draft EA**



JOHN BEL EDWARDS  
GOVERNOR

State of Louisiana  
DEPARTMENT OF WILDLIFE AND FISHERIES

CHARLES J. MELANCON  
SECRETARY

November 1, 2016

Mr. Will Woods  
United States Department of Energy  
900 East Commerce Road  
New Orleans, LA 70123

RE: *Environmental Assessment: EA-2040*  
*Applicant: U.S. Department of Energy*  
*Notice Date: October 24, 2016*

Dear Mr. Woods:

The professional staff of the Louisiana Department of Wildlife and Fisheries (LDWF) has reviewed the above referenced notice for the proposed repair/improvement of access to four block valve stations for the Strategic Petroleum Reserve (SPR) pipeline, West Hackberry (WH) facility in Calcasieu and Cameron Parishes, Louisiana. Based upon this review, the following has been determined:

LDWF is amenable to the allowance of a one year growing season prior to assessing permanent impacts to vegetated wetlands.

The Louisiana Department of Wildlife and Fisheries provides these recommendations to the U.S. Department of Energy. Please, do not hesitate to contact Habitat Section biologist Zachary Chain at 225-763-3587 should you need further assistance.

Sincerely,

Kyle F. Balkum  
Biologist Director

zc

## Lynn Maloney

---

**From:** Leonard, Billy  
**Sent:** Monday, November 21, 2016 8:13 AM  
**To:** Lynn Maloney; Joshua Marceaux; Christian Eggleston; Glenn Harris; Terry Delaine  
**Subject:** Re: FW: DOE EA 2040 West Hackberry Strategic Petroleum Reserve Block Valve Stations: Notice of Availability

Lynn,

Thank you for the KMZ file. I have examined each of the valve sites on google map and have found no valves on Sabine NWR. The closest valve is 3 miles north of the refuge boundary. All of the valves are on the ICWW.

Southwest Louisiana National Wildlife Refuges Complex has no objections to any of your valve work on your ROW.

Sincerely yours,

Billy Leonard

On Fri, Nov 18, 2016 at 12:30 PM, Lynn Maloney <[lmaloney@elosenv.com](mailto:lmaloney@elosenv.com)> wrote:

Dear Mr. Leonard:

Thank you for calling me back so promptly. I have attached a kmz file of the four valve sites and a drawing of what is proposed for WH-6. It has always been our understanding that the valve sites are outside the boundaries, but as you will see by Mr. Marceaux's email, he is questioning that and believes DOE may need a permit from the NWR.

The link to the full draft document has been posted at the following websites: <http://energy.gov/nepa/ea-2040-strategic-petroleum-reserve-repairenhancement-access-remote-pipeline-valve-stations> and <http://www.spr.doe.gov/NEPA/default.htm>, just in case you need more information.

A copy of Mr. Marceaux's email follows my signature. Please respond as soon as you can.

Best regards,

Lynn Maloney-Mújica, AICP

Senior Scientist and Project Manager



43177 E. Pleasant Ridge Road

Hammond, LA 70403

P.985.662.5501

F.985.662.5504

C.225.802.2086

From: Joshua Marceaux [[mailto:joshua\\_marceaux@fws.gov](mailto:joshua_marceaux@fws.gov)]

Sent: Thursday, November 03, 2016 10:11 AM

To: Woods, Will <[Will.Woods@SPR.DOE.GOV](mailto:Will.Woods@SPR.DOE.GOV)<<mailto:Will.Woods@SPR.DOE.GOV>>>

Subject: FW: DOE EA 2040 West Hackberry Strategic Petroleum Reserve Block Valve Stations: Notice of Availability

Mr. Woods,

The U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service has reviewed the subject draft EA. It appears that station WH-6 may be within the Sabine National Wildlife Refuge. Please contact the Southwest Louisiana National Wildlife Refuge Complex Oil & Gas Specialist, Mr. Billy Leonard at 337/598-2216 for further information regarding additional permits that would be needed to perform the proposed work within Sabine National Wildlife Refuge. The other station sites should also be examined to determine if they are within that National Wildlife Refuge as well. We have no further comments at this time.

Thanks,

Joshua Marceaux

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service

Fish and Wildlife Biologist

Southwest Louisiana National Wildlife Refuge Complex Lacassine National Wildlife Refuge

209 Nature Road

Lake Arthur, LA 70549

Ph: 337/774-5923

Fx: 337/774-9913

--  
**Billy Leonard**

**Wildlife Biologist / Oil and Gas Specialist**  
Southwest Louisiana National Wildlife Refuge Complex  
Phone: 337-598-2216  
Mobile: 337-452-9169  
Fax: 337-598-2492

## **Lynn Maloney**

---

**From:** Adams, Gabriel  
**Sent:** Thursday, November 03, 2016 12:04 PM  
**To:** Maloney, Lynn  
**Cc:** Woods, Will; Sevcik, Bob  
**Subject:** FW: DOE EA 2040 West Hackberry Strategic Petroleum Reserve Block Valve Stations: Notice of Availability

**Importance:** High

See the response below from USFWS.

Gabriel Adams, REM | Fluor Federal Petroleum Operations, LLC | Program Manager, Sustainability, Environmental & Sustainability Department | Contractor to the U. S. Department of Energy SPR | gabriel.adams@spr.doe.gov<mailto:gabriel.adams@spr.doe.gov>|O 504.734.4503|F 504.818.5503

From: Woods, Will  
Sent: Thursday, November 03, 2016 10:47 AM  
To: Adams, Gabriel <Gabriel.Adams@SPR.DOE.GOV>  
Subject: FW: DOE EA 2040 West Hackberry Strategic Petroleum Reserve Block Valve Stations: Notice of Availability

Response from U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Southwest Louisiana National Wildlife Refuge Complex.

From: Joshua Marceaux [mailto:joshua\_marceaux@fws.gov]  
Sent: Thursday, November 03, 2016 10:11 AM  
To: Woods, Will <Will.Woods@SPR.DOE.GOV<mailto:Will.Woods@SPR.DOE.GOV>>  
Subject: FW: DOE EA 2040 West Hackberry Strategic Petroleum Reserve Block Valve Stations: Notice of Availability

Mr. Woods,

The U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service has reviewed the subject draft EA. It appears that station WH-6 may be within the Sabine National Wildlife Refuge. Please contact the Southwest Louisiana National Wildlife Refuge Complex Oil & Gas Specialist, Mr. Billy Leonard at 337/598-2216 for further information regarding additional permits that would be needed to perform the proposed work within Sabine National Wildlife Refuge. The other station sites should also be examined to determine if they are within that National Wildlife Refuge as well. We have no further comments at this time.

Thanks,

Joshua Marceaux  
U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
Fish and Wildlife Biologist  
Southwest Louisiana National Wildlife Refuge Complex Lacassine National Wildlife Refuge  
209 Nature Road  
Lake Arthur, LA 70549  
Ph: 337/774-5923  
Fx: 337/774-9913

[www.fws.gov/lafayette/](http://www.fws.gov/lafayette/)<<http://www.fws.gov/lafayette/>>

NOTE: This email correspondence and any attachments to and from this sender is subject to the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) and may be disclosed to third parties.

From: Lynn Maloney [mailto:[lmaloney@elosenv.com](mailto:lmaloney@elosenv.com)<mailto:[lmaloney@elosenv.com](mailto:lmaloney@elosenv.com)>]  
Sent: Monday, October 24, 2016 10:00 AM  
To: Darrell.Barbara@usace.army.mil<mailto:[Darrell.Barbara@usace.army.mil](mailto:Darrell.Barbara@usace.army.mil)>;  
Tracy.A.Falk@usace.army.mil<mailto:[Tracy.A.Falk@usace.army.mil](mailto:Tracy.A.Falk@usace.army.mil)>;  
Jayson.M.Hudson@usace.army.mil<mailto:[Jayson.M.Hudson@usace.army.mil](mailto:Jayson.M.Hudson@usace.army.mil)>;  
Andrea.catanzaro@usace.army.mil<mailto:[Andrea.catanzaro@usace.army.mil](mailto:Andrea.catanzaro@usace.army.mil)>;  
walker.donald@epa.gov<mailto:[walker.donald@epa.gov](mailto:walker.donald@epa.gov)>;  
brandon.howard@noaa.gov<mailto:[brandon.howard@noaa.gov](mailto:brandon.howard@noaa.gov)>;  
pboggan@crt.state.la.us<mailto:[pboggan@crt.state.la.us](mailto:pboggan@crt.state.la.us)>; james.bondy@la.gov<mailto:[james.bondy@la.gov](mailto:james.bondy@la.gov)>;  
Roderick.C.Bawar@uscg.mil<mailto:[Roderick.C.Bawar@uscg.mil](mailto:Roderick.C.Bawar@uscg.mil)>; brad\_rieck@fws.gov<mailto:[brad\\_rieck@fws.gov](mailto:brad_rieck@fws.gov)>;  
joshua\_marceaux@fws.gov<mailto:[joshua\\_marceaux@fws.gov](mailto:joshua_marceaux@fws.gov)>;  
kevin.norton@la.usda.gov<mailto:[kevin.norton@la.usda.gov](mailto:kevin.norton@la.usda.gov)>; dbutler@wlf.la.gov<mailto:[dbutler@wlf.la.gov](mailto:dbutler@wlf.la.gov)>;  
lcormier@cppj.net<mailto:[lcormier@cppj.net](mailto:lcormier@cppj.net)>; jcobian@cppj.net<mailto:[jcobian@cppj.net](mailto:jcobian@cppj.net)>;  
kb\_cppj@camtel.net<mailto:[kb\\_cppj@camtel.net](mailto:kb_cppj@camtel.net)>; mh\_cppj@camtel.net<mailto:[mh\\_cppj@camtel.net](mailto:mh_cppj@camtel.net)>;  
monica\_sikes@fws.gov<mailto:[monica\\_sikes@fws.gov](mailto:monica_sikes@fws.gov)>; rusty.h.wright@uscg.mil<mailto:[rusty.h.wright@uscg.mil](mailto:rusty.h.wright@uscg.mil)>;  
houston.robert@epa.gov<mailto:[houston.robert@epa.gov](mailto:houston.robert@epa.gov)>  
Cc: Adams, Gabriel  
Subject: DOE EA 2040 West Hackberry Strategic Petroleum Reserve Block Valve Stations: Notice of Availability

The US Department of Energy (DOE) has prepared an Environmental Assessment (EA) for a project proposed to repair/improve access to four block valve stations for the Strategic Petroleum Reserve (SPR) pipeline, West Hackberry (WH) facility in Calcasieu and Cameron Parishes.

The draft document has been posted at the following websites: <http://energy.gov/nepa/ea-2040-strategic-petroleum-reserve-repairenhancement-access-remote-pipeline-valve-stations> and <http://www.spr.doe.gov/NEPA/default.htm>. The review period will be from Monday, October 24, 2016 through Thursday, November 10, 2016.

Comments on the document should be sent by November 10, 2016, to Mr. Will Woods at his email address: [Will.woods@spr.doe.gov](mailto:Will.woods@spr.doe.gov)<mailto:[Will.woods@spr.doe.gov](mailto:Will.woods@spr.doe.gov)>, or by fax to (504) 818-5329, or by USPS to Mr. Will Woods, NEPA Document Manager, Strategic Petroleum Reserve, FE-4441, 900 East Commerce Road, New Orleans, LA. 70123.

You may also contact Mr. Gabriel Adams, Program Manager, Sustainability, Environmental & Sustainability Department, [gabriel.adams@spr.doe.gov](mailto:gabriel.adams@spr.doe.gov)<mailto:[gabriel.adams@spr.doe.gov](mailto:gabriel.adams@spr.doe.gov)>, Phone: 504.734.4503, Fax: 504.818.5503

Best regards,  
[cid:image001.png@01D093BF.CEEF24C0]  
43177 E. Pleasant Ridge Road  
Hammond, LA 70403  
P.985.662.5501  
F.985.662.5504  
C.225.802.2086



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

REGION 6

1445 ROSS AVENUE, SUITE 1200  
DALLAS, TX 75202-2733

November 10, 2016

RECEIVED  
11/17/2016

Will Woods, NEPA Document Manager  
U. S. Department of Energy, Strategic Petroleum Reserve  
900 East Commerce Road, Mail Stop FE-4441  
New Orleans, Louisiana 70123

RE: Draft EA for Valve Station Repair Activities on the West Hackberry pipeline for the Strategic Petroleum Reserve in Southern Louisiana

Dear Mr. Woods:

The Environmental Protection Agency's Region 6 office in Dallas, Texas, has received the draft Environmental Assessment (EA) prepared by your office to assess the potential significant adverse environmental impacts from proposed repair and enhancement of several access points to valve stations on the nation's Strategic Petroleum Reserve located in the southwestern part of Louisiana.

It is our understanding from the information provided that the Proposed Action(s) will involve improving access to four valve stations on the West Hackberry pipeline located in Calcasieu and Cameron Parishes. The project involves construction of new boat landings and elevated walkways, resurfacing existing walk paths, and creating new walk paths to enhance access to the valve stations. These valve stations allow maintenance personnel the ability to isolate any segment of the pipeline for repair, and terminate flow in any particular section in the case of leak or rupture. Upon completion, the project should reduce the risks to personnel associated with accessing and working on the valve stations.

Attached you will find our comments for your consideration in preparation of the final EA. Please provide your responses to our comments in a dedicated section of the Final EA to insure that our comments were addressed. We appreciate the opportunity to comment on the draft, and look forward to receipt of the final. Should you have any questions or need additional information pertaining to our comments, please contact me or Don Walker, NEPA Specialist of my staff at (214) 665-6769 or [walker.donald@epa.gov](mailto:walker.donald@epa.gov) for any assistance you may need.

Sincerely,

Robert Houston, Chief  
Special Projects Section (6EN-WS)  
Compliance Assurance and  
Enforcement Division

**DETAILED COMMENTS  
On the  
DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT  
For  
REPAIR AND ENHANCEMENT OF ACCESS POINTS TO VALVE STATIONS  
For the  
WEST HACKBERRY PIPELINE to the STRATEGIC PETROLEUM RESERVE**

Environmental Justice

The Environmental Assessment should provide analysis in Section 3.14 entitled “Socioeconomics and Environmental Justice”, page 23, that determines whether there are potentially affected low-income or minority populations in the area.

- Include the most recent demographic and economic data for the area surrounding the proposed project.
- Analyze the potential for environmental justice issues using the methods outlined in the Promising Practices Report that can be found at the following website:

[https://www.epa.gov/sites/production/files2016-5/documents/iwg\\_promising\\_practices\\_final\\_5-16-2016.pdf](https://www.epa.gov/sites/production/files2016-5/documents/iwg_promising_practices_final_5-16-2016.pdf)

## **Lynn Maloney**

---

**Subject:** FW: DOE EA 2040 West Hackberry Strategic Petroleum Reserve Block Valve Stations: USACE, N.O. District Regulatory Branch comments.

**Attachments:** N.O. Dist Boundary.JPG; 408 buffer area.JPG

**Importance:** High

From: Barbara, Darrell MVN<mailto:Darrell.Barbara@usace.army.mil>  
Sent: Friday, November 4, 2016 9:57 AM  
To: Woods, Will<mailto:Will.Woods@SPR.DOE.GOV>  
Cc: Botello, Janet SWG<mailto:janet.t.botello@usace.army.mil>; Mayer, Martin S MVN<mailto:Martin.S.Mayer@usace.army.mil>; Falk, Tracy A MVN<mailto:Tracy.A.Falk@usace.army.mil>; Landry, Victor A MVN<mailto:Victor.A.Landry@usace.army.mil>; christinec@dnr.state.la.us<mailto:christinec@dnr.state.la.us>  
Subject: DOE EA 2040 West Hackberry Strategic Petroleum Reserve Block Valve Stations: USACE, N.O. District Regulatory Branch comments.

Dear Mr. Woods, I have reviewed the Draft Environmental Assessment (EA) @ <http://energy.gov/nepa/ea-2040-strategic-petroleum-reserve-repairenhancement-access-remote-pipeline-valve-stations>, for the project to repair/improve access to four block valve stations for the Strategic Petroleum Reserve (SPR) pipeline, West Hackberry (WH) facility in Calcasieu and Cameron Parishes. Based on my review, I would like to provide the following comments and observations:

1. As depicted within the draft EA, the proposed work is located within the LA Coastal Zone Boundary, and as explained by the Department of Natural Resources (DNR), a Joint Permit application will be submitted through OCM, DNR, and a copy of the complete application will be forwarded to this office for our review and processing.
2. The proposed work shows to be located within the New Orleans District and Galveston District, Regulatory Boundaries. (see attached map) Based on the majority of work being located within this district's boundaries, it is initially anticipated that the New Orleans District may process any necessary permit authorizations for the work. Our office will coordinate with the Galveston District on their intended involvement, and we will provide a copy of any final Department of the Army (DA) permit decisions to the Galveston District Regulatory Branch, should it be determined that this District will process the necessary authorizations.
3. As described within the Draft EA, it appears that the subject work will require a Section 10 and 404 authorization from this office, and impacts to jurisdictional wetlands will be expected from the project. As to clearly verify the extent of wetlands and waters of the U.S. to be affected, it is typically recommended that a Jurisdictional Determination (JD) be acquired from our Surveillance and Enforcement Section, prior to or at least concurrent with submittal of your Joint Permit application. An approved JD or Preliminary JD will be required from this office so that we can properly determine whether the work will fit under our Programmatic General Permit or Standard Permit process, and for the assigned project manager to properly assess the project's potential impacts to jurisdictional wetlands. Your intended plans for mitigating any anticipated and unavoidable impacts to jurisdictional wetlands should be included with your joint permit application.
4. According to the location of the proposed work, the activities may alter, occupy, or use areas known to have existing federally authorized civil works projects in the area. (see attached 408 buffer map). Hence, upon our receipt of your Joint Permit application form the DNR, a copy will be forwarded to the appropriate Operations Manager(s) with this District, who have oversight of the subject Civil Works project(s), so they may process and review your application as to the need for Section 408 Permission.

5. As to reiterate our letter dated September 23, 2016, be aware that upon our review of your Joint Permit Application, our permit decision reflects the national concern for both protecting and utilizing important resources such as those potentially affected by your proposal. According to the Section 404(b)(1) Guidelines, a permit cannot be issued for a non-water dependent activity if there is a feasible less damaging alternative available. Since the proposed activity may be located within a wetland, it must comply with criteria outlined on our Guidelines for Specification of Disposal Sites for Dredge or Fill Material (40 CFR Part 230). Specifically, Section 230.10 (a) requires that no discharge of dredge or fill material shall be granted if there is a less damaging practicable alternative to the proposed discharge. Where the applicant can demonstrate a lack of practicable alternatives, reveal the public and/or private benefit of the proposed project, and the authorization is not contrary to the overall public interest, a permit can usually be issued. Prior to permit issuance we must determine that impacts have been avoided to the maximum extent practicable, remaining unavoidable impacts are minimized, and a mitigation plan is developed to compensate any unavoidable loss of aquatic resources.

Thank you, and feel free to contact me if you have any questions.

DARRELL S. BARBARA

Chief, Western Evaluation Section, Regulatory Branch U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, New Orleans District  
(504) 862-2261 / fax: (504) 862-2574  
[darrell.barbara@usace.army.mil](mailto:darrell.barbara@usace.army.mil)<mailto:[darrell.barbara@usace.army.mil](mailto:darrell.barbara@usace.army.mil)>

## **APPENDIX H**

**Notice of Availability and Affidavit of Publication**

**Notice of Availability of Environmental Assessment for Strategic Petroleum Reserve Block Valve Stations,  
Calcasieu and Cameron Parishes, Louisiana**

The US Department of Energy (DOE) has prepared an Environmental Assessment (EA) for a project proposed to repair/improve access to four block valve stations for the Strategic Petroleum Reserve (SPR) pipeline, West Hackberry (WH) facility in Calcasieu and Cameron Parishes.

The draft document has been posted at the following websites: <http://www.spr.doe.gov/esh/default.html> and <http://www.spr.doe.gov/NEPA/default.htm>. The review period will be from Monday, October 24, 2016 through Thursday, November 10, 2016.

**PUBLIC COMMENT OPPORTUNITIES:** Comments on the document should be sent by November 10, 2016, to Mr. Will Woods at his email address: [Will.woods@spr.doe.gov](mailto:Will.woods@spr.doe.gov), or by fax to (504) 818-5329, or by USPS to Mr. Will Woods, Strategic Petroleum Reserve, **FE-4441**, 900 East Commerce Road, New Orleans, LA. 70123.

# Affidavit of Publication

Notice of Availability of Environmental Assessment for Strategic Petroleum Reserve Block Valve Stations, Calcasieu and Cameron Parishes, Louisiana

The US Department of Energy (DOE) has prepared an Environmental Assessment (EA) for a project proposed to repair/improve access to four block valve stations for the Strategic Petroleum Reserve (SPR) pipeline, West Hackberry (WH) facility in Calcasieu and Cameron Parishes. The draft document has been posted at the

## LEGALS

following websites: <http://www.spr.doe.gov/eh/default.html> and <http://www.spr.doe.gov/NEPA/default.htm>. The review period will be from Monday, October 24, 2016 through Thursday, November 10, 2016.

PUBLIC COMMENT OPPORTUNITIES: Comments on the document should be sent by November 10, 2016, to Mr. Will Woods at his e-mail address: [Will.Woods@spr.doe.gov](mailto:Will.Woods@spr.doe.gov), or by fax to (504) 818-5329, or by USPS to Mr. Will Woods, Strategic Petroleum Reserve, FE-4441, 900 East Commerce Road, New Orleans, LA. 70123.

Oct 28, 31, Nov 7      3t  
00976048

## STATE OF LOUISIANA Parish of Calcasieu

Before me the undersigned authority, personally came and appeared

who being duly sworn, deposes and says:

He/She is a duly authorized agent of  
**LAKE CHARLES AMERICAN PRESS**

a newspaper published daily at 4900 Highway 90 East,  
Lake Charles, Louisiana, 70615. (Mail address: P.O. Box 2893  
Lake Charles, LA 70602)

The attached Notice was published in said newspaper in its issue(s)  
dated:

00976048 - \$198.52  
October 28, 2016,  
October 31, 2016,  
November 07, 2016

Duly Authorized Agent

Subscribed and sworn to before me on this 7th day of November, 2016  
at Lake Charles, LA

00101925

Notary Public

ELOS ENVIRONMENTAL

