



# Patients Are Referred for Lung Cancer Screening Based on Risk, but Demographic Disparities Persist

Daniel J. Kats, BS ▪ Yosra Adie, MPH

Abdulhakim Tlimat, MD ▪ Yasir Tarabichi, MD, MSCR

# Background



- USPSTF lung cancer screening guidelines are simple and objective
  - ❖ Relatively poor sensitivity and specificity
- Complex predictive models are better, but not great
  - ❖ Tammemägi *et al*: PLCO<sub>M2012</sub>
  - ❖ Katki *et al*: LCRAT

# Goals



- Prepare for EHR-integrated risk prediction
- Did providers have an intuition for which USPSTF-eligible patients were high risk?
  - ❖ Would a built-in risk calculator be useful?

# Methods



- Retrospective cohort study
  - Urban, safety-net medical system in Cuyahoga county of northeast Ohio
  - All outpatient encounters with Internal Medicine, Family Medicine, Geriatrics, and Pulmonology since 2013
  - Eligible for screening if...
    - ❖ Meet USPSTF criteria
    - ❖ No chest CTs within one year
    - ❖ Only included encounters with providers who screened at least 10% of their eligible patients
- } 6316 patients
- } 215/757 providers  
2169/3407 LDCT orders

# Results



- Linear regression
  - ❖ Outcome: referral for LDCT

Variable	Adjusted Odds Ratio (95% CI)	P Value
PLCO <sub>M2012</sub> lung cancer risk		
Q1 (lowest risk)	Reference group	
Q2	1.79 (1.50-2.13)	< 0.001
Q3	2.28 (1.89-2.75)	< 0.001
Q4 (highest risk)	3.30 (2.63-4.15)	< 0.001
Age	0.93 (0.92-0.95)	< 0.001

# Results



Variable	Adjusted Odds Ratio (95% CI)	P Value
Race/Ethnicity		
White	Reference group	
Black	0.69 (0.60-0.80)	< 0.001
Hispanic	1.29 (0.97-1.70)	0.078
ADI		
Q1 (lowest ADI)	0.78 (0.64-0.95)	0.012
Q2	0.92 (0.76-1.10)	0.359
Q3	Reference group	
Q4	0.92 (0.77-1.10)	0.383
Q5 (highest ADI)	0.87 (0.72-1.04)	0.115

# Results



- Forward-selected model:

- ❖ PLCO<sub>M2012</sub>
- ❖ Age
- ❖ Race/ethnicity
- ❖ ~~ADI~~
- ❖ ~~Insurance~~

- When outcome was *completion* of screening:

- ❖ Black and Hispanic patients were less likely than White patients to **complete** screening once it was ordered

# Take-home Points



- Provider screening practices correlated with calculated risk of lung cancer
- There is a non-risk related, race-based disparity in screening referrals
  - ❖ People who are Black are **less likely** to have screening ordered than those who are White



# Future Directions



- Why are patients who identify as Black less likely to have screening ordered?
  - ❖ Is this difference consistent among providers and specialties?
- Why are the most socioeconomically *advantaged* people least likely to be screened?
- Do providers risk stratify patients within each race/ethnicity?
- Will an EHR-calculated lung cancer risk change behaviors?

# Acknowledgments



- Yasir Tarabichi, MD, MSCR
  - Yosra Adie, MPH
  - David Kaelber, MD, PhD, MPH, FAAP, FACP
  - Family and friends
- 
- ATS Abstract Scholarship  
*Assembly on Behavioral Science and Health Services Research*

# References



- Ten Haaf, K., Jeon, J., Tammemägi, M.C., Han, S.S., Kong, C.Y., Plevritis, S.K., Feuer, E.J., de Koning, H.J., Steyerberg, E.W., Meza, R. Risk prediction models for selection of lung cancer screening candidates: A retrospective validation study. *PLoS Med.* 2017, 14(4): e1002277.
- Tammemägi, M.C., Katki, H.A., Hocking, W.G., Church, T.R., Caporaso, N., Kvale, P.A., Chaturvedi, A.K., Silvestri, G.A., Riley, T.L., Commins, J., Berg, C.D. Selection criteria for lung-cancer screening. *N Engl J Med.* 2013, 368(8): 728-736.
- Katki, H.A., Kovalchik, S.A., Berg, C.D., Cheung, L.C., Chaturvedi, A.K. Development and Validation of Risk Models to Select Ever-Smokers for CT Lung Cancer Screening. *JAMA.* 2016, 315(21): 2300-2311.