# 18.701: Problem Set 5

### Dmitry Kaysin

## April 2020

#### Problem 1

Let T be a linear operator on a vector space V. Let  $K_r$  and  $W_r$  denote the kernel and image, respectively, of  $T^r$ .

a) Show that  $K_1 \subseteq K_2 \subseteq \cdots$  and that  $W_1 \supseteq W_2 \supseteq \cdots$ .

*Proof.* Suppose  $v \in V$  is in  $K_r$ . Since  $T^r v = 0$ , then  $TT^r v = 0$  and thus v is in  $K_{r+1}$ . By induction we have  $K_1 \subset K_2 \subset \cdots$ .

Suppose  $v \in V$  is in  $W_r$ . There must exist some  $u \in V$  such that  $v = T^r u = T^{r-1}(Tu)$ . Therefore v is the image of some  $Tu \in V$  under  $T^{r-1}$ , therefore v is in  $W_{r-1}$ . By induction we have  $W_1 \supseteq W_2 \supseteq \cdots$ .

b) The following conditions might or might not hold for a particular value of r.

(1) 
$$K_r = K_{r+1}$$
, (2)  $W_r = W_{r+1}$ ,  
(3)  $W_r \cap K_1 = \{0\}$ , (4)  $W_1 + K_r = V$ .

Find all implications among the conditions (1)-(4) when V is finite-dimensional.

All four conditions are equivalent for finite-dimensional vector spaces.

We first prove  $(1) \iff (2)$ .

*Proof.* By the rank-nullity theorem,  $\dim K_r + \dim W_r = \dim V$ . By the result of part a), conditions (1) and (2) are equivalent.

We then prove  $(2) \iff (3)$ .

*Proof.* Consider  $T|_{W_r}$ , restriction of T to  $W_r$ . By the rank-nullity theorem,

$$\dim W_r = \dim \ker T\big|_{W_r} + \dim W_{r+1}.$$

We can write  $\ker T|_{W_r} = W_r \cap \ker T = W_r \cap K_1$ , therefore:

$$\dim W_r = \dim(W_r \cap K_1) + \dim W_{r+1}.$$

We note that  $\dim(W_r \cap K_1) = 0$  if and only if  $W_r \cap K_1 = \{0\}$ , which concludes the proof.

We then prove  $(2) \Longrightarrow (4)$ .

*Proof.* Consider arbitrary  $v \in V$ . Since  $W_r = W_{r+1}$ , then

$$T^r v = T^r T v, \quad T^r (v - T v) = 0.$$

Therefore there exists some k in the kernel of  $K_r$  such that k = v - Tv. Rearranging, we have v = Tv + k. We notice that Tv is in  $W_1$ . Therefore every v is equal to the sum of some element of  $K_r$  and some element of  $W_1$ , which implies  $W_1 + K_r = V$ , as requested.

Finally, we prove  $(4) \Longrightarrow (2)$ .

*Proof.* Consider arbitrary  $v \in V$ . Since  $V = W_1 + K_r$ , v can be represented as v = w + k for some  $w \in W_1$  and  $k \in K_r$ . Choose some  $x \in V$  such that w = Tx. Image of v under  $T^r$  is as follows:

$$T^r v = T^r T x + T^r k = T^{r+1} x.$$

Since  $T^{r+1}x$  is in  $W_{r+1}$ , we conclude that  $T^rv$  is in  $W_{r+1}$ , i.e.  $W_r \subseteq W_{r+1}$ . Combining this with the result of part a) yields  $W_r = W_{r+1}$ , as requested.

#### Problem 2

Let A and B be  $m \times n$  and  $n \times m$  real matrices.

a) Prove that if  $\lambda$  is a nonzero eigenvalue of the  $m \times m$  matrix AB then it is also an eigenvalue of the  $n \times n$  matrix BA.

Show by example that this need not be true if  $\lambda = 0$ .

*Proof.* Since  $\lambda$  is a nonzero eigenvalue of AB, there must exist a nonzero eigenvector v such that  $ABv = \lambda v$ . Consider vector w = Bv. Left multiply w by BA:

$$BAw = BABv = B\lambda v = \lambda Bv = \lambda w.$$

We can prove that  $w \neq 0$ . Since  $\lambda \neq 0$  and  $v \neq 0$ , then  $0 \neq \lambda v = ABv = Aw$ . From this, w must be nonzero. Thus, w is an eigenvector of BA with eigenvalue  $\lambda$ .

This is not necessarily true for  $\lambda = 0$ , as the following example suggests:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

which is invertible, thus has no zero eigenvalues, while

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

which is singular, thus has a zero eigenvalue.

b) Prove that  $I_m - AB$  is invertible if and only if  $I_n - BA$  is invertible.

*Proof.* We will use proof by contrapositive. Matrix is invertible if and only if it has no zero eigenvalues, so it is singular if it has at least one zero eigenvalue.

Suppose  $I_m - AB$  is singular and has zero eigenvalue, i.e. there exists nonzero v such that

$$(I_m - AB)v = 0.$$

Then

$$v = ABv$$

and, noting that  $v \neq 0$ , matrix AB must have eigenvalue 1. By part a) of the problem, BA must also have eigenvalue 1, i.e.:

$$BAw = w$$

for some nonzero w. From this we have

$$w - BAw = 0$$
,

$$(I_n - BA)w = 0,$$

which implies that  $I_n - BA$  is singular.

The proof in the other direction is symmetric.

#### Problem 3

Let A be an  $3 \times 3$  orthogonal matrix with det A = 1, whose angle of rotation is different from 0 or  $\pi$ , and let  $M = A - A^t$ .

a) Show that M has rank 2, and that a nonzero vector X in the nullspace of M is an eigenvector of A with eigenevalue 1.

*Proof.* Consider spin  $\rho_{(U,\theta)}$  of the rotation A. Rotation A fixes U, therefore U is an eigenvector of A with eigenvalue 1:

$$AU = U$$
.

We know that  $A^{-1}$  is rotation with spin  $\rho(U, -\theta)$ , which also fixes U:

$$A^{-1}U = U.$$

From this we have:

$$AU = A^{-1}U, \quad (A - A^{-1})U = 0.$$

Since A is an orthogonal matrix,  $A^t = A^{-1}$ , therefore

$$0 = (A - A^t)U = MU.$$

Therefore, null space of M is nontrivial and includes, at least, a subspace spanned by U.

We will now prove that  $\operatorname{null} M = \operatorname{span} U$ . Consider nonzero X, an arbitrary element of the nullspace of M. Following the line of reasoning above in the reverse order we have

$$AX = A^{-1}X.$$

left-multiplying by A:

$$A^2X = X$$
.

and X is an eigenvector of  $A^2$ . Rotation  $A^2$  is equivalent to the rotation with spin  $\rho(U, 2\theta)$ . Since  $\theta$  is neither 0 nor  $\pi$ , X can be an eigenvector of  $A^2$  only if it lies in the axis of rotation of  $A^2$ :

$$X \in U$$
.

Therefore, null  $M = \operatorname{span} U$ .

Since  $\dim \operatorname{null} M = 1$ , we conclude, by the rank-nullity theorem, that the rank of M is 2, as required.

We have that X lies in the subspace spanned by vector U, let X = cU. Since U is an eigenvector of A with eigenvalue 1, we have:

$$AU = U$$
,  $cAU = cU$ ,  $AX = X$ ,

so X is also an eigenvector of A with eigenvalue 1, as required.

b) Find such an eigenvector explicitly in terms of the entries of the matrix A.

*Proof.* We can write M in terms of entries of A explicitly:

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a_{12} - a_{21} & a_{13} - a_{31} \\ a_{21} - a_{12} & 0 & a_{23} - a_{32} \\ a_{31} - a_{13} & a_{32} - a_{23} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

It can be shown that vector

$$X = \left(\frac{a_{23} - a_{32}}{a_{12} - a_{21}}, \frac{a_{31} - a_{13}}{a_{12} - a_{21}}, 1\right)$$

spans the null space of M. Any nonzero multiple of X is therefore an eigenvector of A.

### Problem 4

The space  $\mathcal{C}$  of continuous functions f(u) on the interval [0,1] is one of many infinite-dimensional analogues of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , and continuous functions A(u,v) on the square  $0 \leq u,v \leq 1$  are infinite-dimensional analogues of matrices. The integral

$$A \cdot f = \int_0^1 A(u, v) f(v) dv$$

is analogous to multiplication of a matrix and a vector. This problem treats the integral as a linear operator.

For the function A = u + v, determine the image of the operator explicitly. Determine its nonzero eigenvalues, and describe its kernel in terms of the vanishing of some integral.

*Proof.* Substituting A = u + v we have

$$A \cdot f = \int_0^1 (u+v)f(v)dv = u \int_0^1 f(v)dv + \int_0^1 vf(v)dv,$$

which is a linear function in u. Therefore, every function in the image of A is linear.

Since A is a linear operator, its image must be a subspace of C. We will prove that A has at least rank 2. Consider image of function  $h_1: u \mapsto 1$  under A.

$$A \cdot h_1 = \int_0^1 1 \cdot (u+1) dv = u+1.$$

Consider image of function  $h_2: u \mapsto u$  under A:

$$A \cdot h_2 = \int_0^1 v(u+v)dv = \int_0^1 (vu+v^2)dv = \frac{u}{2} + \frac{1}{3}.$$

We can see that  $A \cdot h_1$  and  $A \cdot h_2$  are linearly independent, thus rank of A is, at least, 2. Subspace of linear functions on [0,1] has dimension 2. Therefore, image of A is the subspace of linear functions on [0,1].

Consider w, a nonzero eigenvector of A with eigenvalue  $\lambda$ .

$$A \cdot w = \lambda w$$
.

Denote  $a = \int_0^1 w(v) dv$ ,  $b = \int_0^1 v w(v) dv$ , and w(u) = au + b. Substituting:

$$(\lambda a)u + \lambda b = u \int_0^1 (av + b)dv + \int_0^1 v(av + b)dv.$$

Thus:

$$\lambda a = \int_0^1 (av + b) dv,$$

$$\lambda a = \frac{a}{2} + b,$$

$$2\lambda a = a + 2b,$$

$$(1 - 2\lambda)a + 2b = 0,$$

$$\lambda b = \int_0^1 v(av + b) dv,$$

$$\lambda b = \frac{a}{3} + \frac{b}{2},$$

$$6\lambda b = 2a + 3b,$$

$$2a + (3 - 6\lambda)b = 0,$$

We can rewrite this system of equations in the matrix form:

$$PX = 0.$$

where

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} 1 - 2\lambda & 2 \\ 2 & 3 - 6\lambda \end{pmatrix}, \quad X = \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since  $X \neq 0$ , matrix P must be singular. We solve det P = 0 for  $\lambda$  with

$$\det P = 12\lambda^2 - 12\lambda - 1.$$

and get eigenvalues of A:

$$\lambda = \frac{1}{2} \pm \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}.$$

Kernel of A is a subspace of functions g(u) in C such that:

$$A \cdot g = au + b = 0,$$

which is only the case as long as a = 0 and b = 0, i.e.:

$$\int_0^1 g(v)dv = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \int_0^1 vg(v)dv = 0.$$

Since g is continuous, the second expression, with integral bounds [0,1], is zero only if g(v) = 0 for all  $v \in [0,1]$ . Therefore, kernel of A is trivial.

Do the same for the function  $A = u^2 + v^2$ .

*Proof.* Substituting  $A = u^2 + v^2$  we have

$$A \cdot f = \int_0^1 (u^2 + v^2) f(v) dv = u^2 \int_0^1 f(v) dv + \int_0^1 v^2 f(v) dv,$$

which is a quadratic polynomial. Therefore, image of A lies in the subspace  $P_2$  of polynomials of degree at most 2 (dimension 3). Consider image of function  $h_1: u \to 1$  under A:

$$A \cdot h_1 = u^2 + \frac{1}{3}.$$

Consider image of function  $h_2: u \to u$  under A:

$$A \cdot h_2 = \frac{u^2}{2} + \frac{1}{4}.$$

We can see that  $A \cdot h_1$  and  $A \cdot h_2$  are linearly independent, thus rank of A is, at least, 2.

By the general form of  $A \cdot f$  we can see that no elements f of  $\mathcal{C}$  is mapped to a polynomial with nonzero linear monomial. Therefore, image of A is quadratic polynomials of the form  $p(u) = au^2 + b$ .

Consider w, nonzero eigenvalue of A. Denote  $a = \int_0^1 w(v) dv$ ,  $b = \int_0^1 v^2 w(v) dv$ , and  $w(u) = au^2 + b$ . We have:

$$A \cdot w = \lambda w$$

$$(\lambda a)u^2 + \lambda b = u^2 \int_0^1 (av^2 + b)dv + \int_0^1 (av^4 + bv^2)dv$$

Thus:

$$\lambda a = \int_0^1 (av^2 + b)dv, \qquad \qquad \lambda b = \int_0^1 (av^4 + bv^2)dv,$$

$$\lambda a = \frac{a}{3} + \frac{b}{2}, \qquad \qquad \lambda b = \frac{a}{5} + \frac{b}{3},$$

$$6\lambda a = 2a + 3b, \qquad \qquad 15\lambda b = 3a + 5b,$$

$$(2 - 6\lambda)a + 3b = 0, \qquad \qquad 3a + (5 - 15\lambda)b = 0.$$

Equivalently:

$$PX = 0.$$

where

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} 2 - 6\lambda & 3 \\ 3 & 5 - 15\lambda \end{pmatrix}, \quad X = \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix}.$$

We solve  $\det P$  for  $\lambda$  with:

$$\det P = 90\lambda^2 - 60\lambda + 1.$$

and get eigenvalues of A:

$$\lambda = \frac{1}{3} \pm \frac{1}{\sqrt{10}}.$$

To find the kernel of A we write

$$A \cdot g = au^2 + b = 0,$$

which is only the case as long as a = 0 and b = 0, i.e.:

$$\int_0^1 g(v)dv = 0$$
 and  $\int_0^1 v^2 g(v)dv = 0$ .

Since g is continuous, the second expression, with integral bounds [0,1], is zero only if g(v) = 0 for all  $v \in [0,1]$ . Therefore, kernel of A is trivial.

#### Problem 5

Let f and g be rotations of the plane about distinct points, with arbitrary nonzero angles of rotation  $\theta$  and  $\phi$ . Prove that the group generated by f and g contains a translation.

*Proof.* We can represent isometry f as  $t_v \rho_\theta$ ; and g as  $t_w \rho_\phi$ . Let G be a group generated by isometries f and g.

We consider homomorphism  $\pi|_G: G \to O_2$ . Consider element  $h = g^{-1}f^{-1}gf$  of G.

$$\pi(h) = \pi(g^{-1}f^{-1}gf) = \pi(g^{-1})\pi(f^{-1})\pi(g)\pi(f) = \rho_{\phi}^{-1}\rho_{\theta}^{-1}\rho_{\phi}\rho_{\theta}$$

We know that  $\rho_{\alpha}^{-1} = \rho_{(-\alpha)}$  and  $\rho_{\alpha}\rho_{\beta} = \rho_{(\alpha+\beta)}$ , hence:

$$\pi(h) = \rho_{(-\phi - \theta + \phi + \theta)} = \rho_0 = 1.$$

Kernel of  $\pi|_G$  is the group of translations of G. Since h is in the kernel of  $\pi$ , it is a translation.

We will check that h is not the identity of G. Choose coordinates such that f is rotation around origin, i.e.  $f = \rho_{\theta}$ . Suppose  $h = g^{-1}f^{-1}gf = 1$ , then:

$$gf = fg,$$

$$t_w \rho_\phi \rho_\theta = \rho_\theta t_w \rho_\phi,$$

$$t_w = t_{w'},$$

$$w = w'.$$

where  $w' = \rho_{\theta}(w)$ . Expression  $w = \rho_{\theta}(w)$  is true if and only if w is the origin. However, then both v and w must be the origin and v = w, which contradicts the problem statement. Therefore,  $h \neq 1$ .

We conclude that element  $g^{-1}f^{-1}gf$  of G is a translation by nonzero vector.