Code Signing and Sandboxing in Operating Systems

Chris Nutter Austin Kim

April 26, 2020

Abstract

Code signing allows consumers to better trust developers by allowing developers to ensure their product is protected from unwanted tampering by a third-party. Through methods including TLS encryption and Xcode implementation, code signing protects end-users from unwanted criminal and malicious intent from outside parties.

Sandboxing is one of many critical components of operating systems protection. It allows for the partitioning of system resources and the practice of executing processes with the least amount of privilege required to accomplish tasks. This strict partitioning ensures that even if a process is malicious, its ability to do damage on the system at large is limited. Sandboxing is a part of the larger defense-indepth philosophy of operating system protection concept.

1 Code Signing

1.1 Code Signing Review

Security and protection are very important to consumers as it allows people to feel safer when using their computer. Consumers can ensure their applications are safe typically when developers undergo the process of code signing. Code signing allows developers to digitally sign their applications as to ensure that the code written is valid and not harmful. This process is very important as it is the base form of protection against unwanted tampering of code. Certification authorities (also known as CAs) sign each person's program with a public key linked with their identity to create their certificate. The process of obtaining a certificate is not complex but is very integral for the developer's software to be determined as safe for the public. The certification authorities help combat against malicious developers which are becoming more of a threat.

1.2 Research Methodology

This section will go over what code signing is, what the various ways in which code signing may be conducted are, and analysis of different platforms' implementation of code signing.

1.2.1 What is code signing?

Authentication and Integrity The two keywords to proper code signing, Authentication allows the consumer to verify the author of the application and Integrity which allows the verification that the application's code has not been modified since signed. Authentication not only lets the user know where the application is from and who developed it, but rather the knowledge that the application is reputable enough to not question the validity. Integrity ensures all participants are willing to understand that their application is proper, and any malicious code is directed to the signed applicants.

Code Signing Process for Application Receiving a certificate for an application is straightforward but requires payment and information from the user. Services including DigiCert allow for the certificate to be signed towards the application. Signing an application ensures the end-user the program in question is safe to use.

SSL Encryption SSL (Secure Sockets Layer) encryption allows companies to receive authorization that their website is safe for the public and contain no malicious content. Creating an authorized environment involves a similar process as one obtains a certificate from CAs. SSL allows the encryption of important data from the end-user to not be tampered with during the delivery.

TLS Encryption TLS (Transport Layer Security) encryption is the overall security protocol used by websites to protect against unwanted tampering of website data. TLS is now widely used as the proper encryption for website

and requires a valid certificate from CAs. TLS evolved from SSL which improved on security and is less vulnerable.

1.2.2 Data gathering: code signing process

Code Signing Process for Domain The retrieval process for a certificate is miniscule however the underlying steps are 100% unique to each party and require unique info from the first party.

Generating Certificate Signing Request (CSR) Using a proper operating system such as most Linux-distributions:

- openssl req -new -key domain.com.key out /path/to/www_server_com.csr will generate the requires certificate signing request (CSR) file needed to send to a proper CA. domain.com needs to be altered to where the user's website address is located.
- 2. Following will prompt several instructions to input:

• Common Name: domain.com

• Organization Name: Example Inc.

Organization Unit: MarketingCity/locality: Silicon Valley

• State/province: California

• Country/Region: US

When instructed, do not input challenge password. Each instruction should be modified to the developer's discretion.

1.2.3 Data analysis: code signing implications

Obtaining certificate with CSR The CSR generated allows the user to obtain a certificate from a trusted CA. There are many examples of CAs across the internet including but not limited to, DigiCert, Let's Encrypt, Google Trusted Services, etc. Options including Let's Encrypt are nonprofit solutions that do not require payment and are trusted the same as other CAs. Understanding where the certificate is being retrieved from is important.

Usage of certificate The certificate obtained from the authorized CA allows the securing of a website and securing of applications written from the developer.

Apple's Implementation The modern multi-billion-dollar corporation Apple has implemented a form of code signing for use on Apple hardware. Code signing is required to submit and upload their application to the App Store which requires their Apple Developer ID. This process is important in securing the App Store from unwanted malicious applications but also disallows independent developers from publishing their application without requiring monetary compensation.

2 Sandboxing

2.1 Sandboxing review

Sandboxing is the practice of placing immutable restrictions on a process's access to resources [1], such as system calls, privileges, storage space, and many others. This creates a set of rigid access rules that cannot be disobeyed and creates a secure environment upon which processes may be executed.

To accomplish sandboxing, the access matrix 1 is partitioned into "horizontal" slices (by domain) and then conferred to the appropriate processes [2], often as early as before the process's main() function. This ensures that the restrictions placed upon it cannot be changed at a later time.

It is also within the realm of possibility to sandbox processes and limit their access to system calls to a small set. For example, a process that only has read privileges but is not allowed to invoke the write() call cannot modify any of the disk contents allocated to it, effectively isolating it from communicating with other processes.

2.2 Research methodology

This section will cover what sandboxing is for and some sandboxing examples.

2.2.1 Question: why sandboxing?

Sandboxing is an important component in an operating system's protection scheme, as it allows for *per-process* control over what they can and cannot access.

Each time a new process is forked from its parent, it inherits the same permissions that the parent held [3]. The dangers of a rogue process inheriting its parent's permissions is as blatant as it is debilitating. Sandboxing allows the operating system to take charge and section off process's access based on their needs such that even if a malicious piece of code is executed, the damage done to the system is either minimal or nonexistent.

¹An abstract model composed of domains and system objects and what kind of access each domain has on each object.

2.2.2 Data: Sandboxing examples

At the operating systems level, sandboxing has been a feature since many decades prior. In the beginning, there was the *namespace* feature in *Plan 9 from Bell Labs*. In Unix, there was chroot for isolating processes into a confined environment and still exists to this day. In modern day Linux, cgroups became the de-facto tool for limiting resource access for processes. Many others, from full-scale virtual machines for sandboxing at the OS-level, to containers like *Docker* and *systemd-nspawn*, sandboxing remains a staple of process compartmentalization and a general protection feature.

There are numerous examples of sandboxing — too many to list them all. In this section, we will delve into some prominent sandboxing examples in Linux: chroot, cgroups, and seccomp.

chroot chroot is a lightweight sandboxing solution available to Linux, whose original Unix version was the predecessor for the much more capable <code>jail</code> in FreeBSD.

chroot uses Linux namespaces to accomplish sand-boxing. Linux namespaces, derived from *Plan 9 From Bell Labs*'s namespaces [3], are a kernel feature where every global resource within the file system follows a unified namespace scheme, but those names point to completely different locations per process [13]. As such, chroot's sandboxing is largely limited to file access and is unable to compartmentalize the process away from things like network sockets.

To see how chroot acts as a namespace-based sandbox, it's worthwhile to take a look at the generalized steps for setting up an environment for one [14]:

- 1. Create a top level directory to act as the root.
- Copy over or symlink the essential directories necessary to run a bare minimum environment (path to sh, among others). This step is often automated by scripts.
- 3. Create symbolic links to any additional system resources that the chroot environment should have read/write access to, such as directories or files.
- 4. Run chroot DIR CMD to run a command under this new environment.

Steps 2 and 3 outline the gist of how chroot works as a sandbox. It's trivial to select which shell the environment should run with, which outside files it should be allowed to see, and which files it can write to. Any write operations done inside the environment is confined to the environment unless a link exists to an outside directory that the process can write to. It is, in a way, a very lightweight virtual machine with very little overhead.

This is not to say that <code>chroot</code> is perfect at its job. If anything, it's one of the weakest sandboxing tools available, albeit an easy one to use. There are many documented and proven ways in which any user can escape the <code>chroot</code> environment and gain direct access to all system resources [6].

cgroups Control groups, abbreviated cgroups, are groups of processes that are bundled together into hierarchies and their resource usage monitored. Following the "everything is a file" philosophy of Unix and its derivatives, its interfaces are exposed as a set of files in a pseudo-filesystem called *cgroupfs* [7]. It is the underlying kernel feature upon which big-name applications like *Docker* are built

cgroups consists of two main pieces:

- *cgroup* bundles a collection of processes with limits imposed upon them with regards to resource usage.
- a subsystem (also known as resource controllers or controllers) – a kernel component that modifies the behavior of the processes in a cgroup.

The controller and the cgroup bundles are organized into a hierarchy that constantly changes the underlying cgroupfs subdirectories. It's under these subdirectories that the access control is defined: whether by adding processes to it by writing the pid to cgroups.procs, or defining the process's behavior by assigning it to a specific subdirectory.

There are two different versions of cgroups:

- Cgroups v1 Released in 2008. Commonly mounted under /sys/fs/cgroup.
- Cgroups v2 Attempts to unify the cgroups standard and make the interface more consistent. Commonly mounted under /sys/fs/cgroup/unified.

Both versions of cgroups may be active at the same time, as v2 supports only a portion of controllers found in v1. The only caveat is that the appropriate controllers be used for different versions of cgroups. For instance, using v1's controllers for v2's subdirectories would not be allowed.

Creating and deleting cgroups Using cgroups is as easy as simply writing to a file. For the sake of example, let's assume that cgroups v1 is being used, and a process needs to be added under the cpu controller subdirectory:

1. Create a directory for the new cgroup: /sys/fs /cgroup/cpu/mygroup. This creates an empty cgroup named 'mygroup.'

- Write the pid of the process to the cgroups.procs file: /sys/fs/cgroup/cpu/mygroup/cgroups. procs.
- 3. The process of writing pid to cgroups.procs automatically removes that process from all other cgroup subdirectories, and assigns all threads belonging to that process to the cgroup immediately.

Removing a cgroup is equally simple: Make sure that no active processes belong under the cgroup, and simply remove the directory [7].

Controller restrictions So, how exactly does cgroups compartmentalize system resource usage?

There are several predefined controller groups that are allowed certain resources and priorities based on the directory structure. In the above example, one of them (cpu) was used to showcase how processes are assigned to individual controllers. Any processes belonging to a controller group must abide by the rules set for that controller group, and any descendant groups may not override them [7].

Listed below are some examples of v1 controllers:

- 1. \mathtt{cpu} This controller group is guaranteed to be assigned at least some CPU cycles even when the system is busy.
- 2. devices This controller group allows its processes to read and write to devices, such as disks.
- 3. freezer All processes and their children in this controller group are allowed to be frozen at-will depending on the need of the system.
- pids Any processes belonging to this controller group are limited in how many child processes they may spawn.

seccomp Secure Computing state, or seccomp, is a kernel mode for processes that either limits said process to a small subset of system calls, or limits them based on Berkeley Packet Filters (BPFs) passed to it [8]. It is enabled by the kernel config flag CONFIG_SECCOMP=y at compile time.

seccomp and Berkeley Packet Filters BPF is a packet filtering system that exists within the kernel, and is accessed with linux/filter.h>. It has its own assembly-like syntax and explanations thereof are outside the scope of this paper: An entirely new paper would need to be written to delve into that subject.

The main takeaway of BPF with regards to seccomp is that:

- BPF filter can be defined and enclosed inside a structure within the source code
- 2. The filter is often written statically (hand-write what system calls the process would need)
- 3. Any system calls outside the filter would result in the process being terminated.

seccomp modes and their usage seccomp has two main modes:

- SECCOMP_SET_MODE_STRICT The calling thread only has access to a subset of system calls consisting of read(), write(), exit(), and sigreturn() only.
- 2. SECCOMP_SET_MODE_FILTER The allowed list of system calls are passed by a pointer to a BPF filter.

- prctl(PR_SET_SECCOMP, SECCOMP_MODE_STRICT); for strict mode
- prctl(PR_SET_SECCOMP, SECCOMP_MODE_FILTER, filter); for filter mode

Followed by "shutting the door" by disabling any future prctl() calls:

• prctl(PR SET NO NEW PRIVS, 1, 0, 0, 0);

Enforcement of seccomp on the kernel end is simple: Any invocations of system calls outside the process's allowed list results in the process being killed outright. This way, no matter how malicious the program is, its ability to do damage is severely limited by the fact that it simply cannot issue the potentially more damaging system calls.

3 Conclusion

With code signing, protection from outsiders is important for the stability of the digital world. While code signing does not 100% guarantee protection, it is a good step to dismantle unwanted tampering of websites or products as well as understanding the product the end-user decides to endure.

More than ever, the need to protect computer systems from malicious code is ever present. With the help of sand-boxing, the ability to fragment physical machines into logical partitions that cannot easily broach the divide is indispensable in a world driven by sensitive data stored in centralized servers. To this end, sandboxing serves a critical purpose.

[14] https://mkaz.blog/code/code-signing-a-windows-application/ https://linux.die.net/man/1/openssl

References

- [1] Silberschatz, Abraham, et al. *Operating System Concepts*. 10th ed. Laurie Rosatone, 2018.
- [2] Tanerbaum, Andrew S., and Albert S. Woodhull. *Operating Systems Design and Implementation*. 3rd ed., Prentice Hall, 2006.
- [3] Ballesteros, Francisco J. Introduction to Operating Systems Abstractions Using Plan 9 from Bell Labs. Draft 9/28/2007.
- [4] "namespaces(7)." The Linux man-pages project, 11 Apr. 2020, http://man7.org/linux/man-pages/man7/namespaces.7.html.
- [5] "chroot: Run a command with a different root directory." GNU Operating System. https://www.gnu.org/software/coreutils/manual/ html_node/chroot-invocation.html. Accessed 18 Apr. 2020.
- [6] "How to break out of a chroot() jail." Simon's computing stuff. https://web.archive.org/web/20160127150916/http://www.bpfh.net/simes/computing/chroot-break.html.
- [7] "cgroups(7)." The Linux man-pages project, 11 Apr. 2020, http://man7.org/linux/man-pages/man7/cgroups.7.html.
- [8] "seccomp(2)." The Linux man-pages project, 19 Nov. 2019, http://man7.org/linux/man-pages/man2/seccomp.2.html.
- [9] "Denying syscalls with seccomp." Eigenstate. https://eigenstate.org/notes/seccomp. Accessed 19 Apr. 2020.
- [10] https://casecurity.org/wpcontent/uploads/2013/10/CASC-Code-Signing.pdf
- [11] https://www.digicert.com/ssl/
- [12] https://www.sslshopper.com/what-is-codesigning.html
- [13] https://www.ssl.com/how-to/manually-generate-a-certificate-signing-request-csr-using-openssl/