# Transformer-Based Sentiment Classification Across Multiple Datasets

**CMPE 346 Final Project** 

# Project Summary

I explored the task of sentiment classification using transformer based models.

This presentation summarizes the pipeline and results.

#### Motivation

Sentiment analysis is vital for understanding user feedback in many domains.

I aimed to evaluate BERT based model performances across different datasets.



#### **Chosen Datasets**

I used IMDB, Yelp, and Amazon Reviews datasets. They differ in domain and linguistic style, but based on similar text-label system.

## Data Preparation

I applied tokenization, truncation at 256 tokens, and label normalization. Class distributions were balanced for BERT based models.

#### Model List

Five models were used: BERT, DistilBERT, RoBERTa, XLM-RoBERTa, and ALBERT. All were fine-tuned using HuggingFace Transformers.

## Training Details

Models were trained for 1 epoch with a batch size of 16. I used AdamW optimizer with default HuggingFace settings.

Due to the length of the training, training and testing datasets' length reduced significantly.

#### **Evaluation Metrics**

We evaluated models using Accuracy and F1 Score. These metrics reflect both precision and recall.

Results – BERT

BERT performed best on the Yelp dataset (F1: 0.8082). It showed moderate performance elsewhere.

Results - DistilBERT

DistilBERT achieved the highest F1 on Yelp (0.9038). It maintained strong results across all sets.

Results - RoBERTa

RoBERTa achieved the best F1 on IMDB (0.8898). It also performed well on Amazon reviews.

#### Results - XLM-RoBERTa

XLM-RoBERTa struggled on IMDB (F1: 0.3554) but performed better on Yelp. Amazon performance was unstable.

Results – ALBERT

ALBERT achieved the highest F1 on Amazon (0.9533). It consistently ranked near the top across all datasets.

# Comparison Table

Model	IMDB (F1)	Yelp (F1)	Amazon (F1)
BERT	0.7085	0.8082	0.9331
DistilBERT	0.8398	0.9038	0.9205
RoBERTa	0.8898	0.8491	0.9488
XLM-RoBERTa	0.3554	0.8430	0.705
ALBERT	0.8839	0.8655	0.9533

#### Review

Larger models provided better results but demanded longer training. There was a correlation between training time and accuracy.

#### Limitations

I limited training to one epoch due to compute limitation.

No hyperparameter tuning was performed, parameters remained same.

#### **Future Work**

I plan to increase the number of training epochs and use larger datasets to improve accuracy and F1-scores.

# Thank you for listening. I would like to answer if you have any questions.