

HW6

12351235

2025-02-19

```
#HW6
```

```
library(estimatr)
library(tidyverse)
```

```
## -- Attaching core tidyverse packages ----- tidyverse 2.0.0 --
## v dplyr     1.1.4    v readr     2.1.5
## vforcats   1.0.0    v stringr   1.5.1
## v ggplot2   3.5.1    v tibble    3.2.1
## v lubridate 1.9.3    v tidyv     1.3.1
## v purrr    1.0.2
## -- Conflicts ----- tidyverse_conflicts() --
## x dplyr::filter() masks stats::filter()
## x dplyr::lag()   masks stats::lag()
## i Use the conflicted package (<http://conflicted.r-lib.org/>) to force all conflicts to become errors
```

```
#Question 1
```

```
load("mroz.RData")
mroz <- data
#a
lpm_model <- lm_robust(inlf ~ educ + exper + expersq, data = mroz)
summary(lpm_model)
```

```
##
## Call:
## lm_robust(formula = inlf ~ educ + exper + expersq, data = mroz)
##
## Standard error type: HC2
##
## Coefficients:
##             Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|) CI Lower CI Upper DF
## (Intercept) -0.1616014 0.0870177 -1.857 6.369e-02 -0.332429 0.0092263 749
## educ        0.0321910 0.0070564  4.562 5.919e-06  0.018338 0.0460436 749
## exper       0.0465279 0.0056923  8.174 1.273e-15  0.035353 0.0577027 749
## expersq     -0.0008996 0.0001805 -4.985 7.717e-07 -0.001254 -0.0005453 749
##
## Multiple R-squared:  0.169 , Adjusted R-squared:  0.1657
## F-statistic: 63.88 on 3 and 749 DF,  p-value: < 2.2e-16
```

```
#delta_p_inlf = -0.16 + .032 * delta_educ
```

```
#b
```

```
mroz$inflhat_lpm <- fitted(lpm_model)
summary(mroz$inflhat_lpm)
```

```
##      Min.   1st Qu.   Median   Mean   3rd Qu.   Max.
## -0.0006465  0.4205597  0.5954567  0.5683931  0.7315301  0.9841544
```

The range is -.00065 to .98415. This is a problem because the value going below 0 is nonsensical.

```
#c
```

```
probit_model <- glm(inlf ~ educ + exper + expersq, mroz, family = binomial(link = "probit"))
summary(probit_model)
```

```
##
```

```
## Call:
```

```
## glm(formula = inlf ~ educ + exper + expersq, family = binomial(link = "probit"),
##       data = mroz)
```

```
##
```

```
## Coefficients:
```

```
##             Estimate Std. Error z value Pr(>|z|)
## (Intercept) -1.9254937  0.2886193 -6.671 2.53e-11 ***
## educ         0.0971238  0.0221693  4.381 1.18e-05 ***
## exper        0.1271342  0.0177861  7.148 8.81e-13 ***
## expersq     -0.0023927  0.0005763 -4.152 3.29e-05 ***
## ---
```

```
## Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
```

```
##
```

```
## (Dispersion parameter for binomial family taken to be 1)
```

```
##
```

```
## Null deviance: 1029.75 on 752 degrees of freedom
```

```
## Residual deviance: 894.33 on 749 degrees of freedom
```

```
## AIC: 902.33
```

```
##
```

```
## Number of Fisher Scoring iterations: 4
```

```
mroz$inflhat_probit <- fitted(probit_model)
summary(mroz$inflhat_probit)
```

```
##      Min.   1st Qu.   Median   Mean   3rd Qu.   Max.
## 0.07495 0.41844 0.59941 0.56871 0.74410 0.92065
```

The range is .075 to .921, which is not a problem because it is between 0 and 1.

```
#d
```

They are roughly the same statistical significance but their signs are different. This is what I expected because they are measuring the same effect but the magnitude of the probit model coefficient is not easily interpretable, while the coefficient for the LPM model is.

```

#e
beta_educ <- coef(probit_model)[ "educ" ]
linear_preds <- probit_model$linear.predictors

marginal_effects_educ <- dnorm(linear_preds) * beta_educ
APE_educ <- mean(marginal_effects_educ)
APE_educ

```

```
## [1] 0.03278275
```

```

#f
betas <- coef(probit_model)
X <- model.matrix(probit_model) [,-1]
xbar <- colMeans(X)

linear_pred_avg <- betas[1] + sum(betas[-1] * xbar)

density_at_avg <- dnorm(linear_pred_avg)

PEA_educ <- density_at_avg * betas["educ"]
PEA_educ

```

```
## (Intercept)
## 0.03802895
```

```
#g
```

They are all roughly same except for the coefficient in the probit regression. This is what I would expect because LPM, APE, and PEA all are measuring very similar effects, while the magnitude of the coefficient in the probit model is not really interpretable.

```

#Question 3

load("kielmc.RData")

kielmc <- data

#a
only_1981 <- kielmc |>
  filter(year == 1981)

simple_model_81 <- lm_robust(lrprice ~ nearinc, only_1981)
summary(simple_model_81)

```

```

##
## Call:
## lm_robust(formula = lrprice ~ nearinc, data = only_1981)
##
## Standard error type: HC2
##
## Coefficients:
##             Estimate Std. Error t value  Pr(>|t|) CI Lower CI Upper DF

```

```

## (Intercept) 11.4785    0.03170 362.102 6.145e-210 11.4158    11.541 140
## nearinc     -0.4026    0.07159  -5.623  9.829e-08 -0.5441    -0.261 140
##
## Multiple R-squared:  0.2172 ,   Adjusted R-squared:  0.2116
## F-statistic: 31.62 on 1 and 140 DF,  p-value: 9.829e-08

```

The results show that the incinerator had an adverse impact on home prices. However, this model does not contain any control variable to make causality a more reasonable assumption.

```
#b
```

```
model_w_controls_81 <- lm_robust(lprice ~ nearinc + age + agesq + rooms + baths + lintst + larea + lland, data = only_1981)
summary(model_w_controls_81)
```

```
##
```

```
## Call:
```

```
## lm_robust(formula = lprice ~ nearinc + age + agesq + rooms +
##           baths + lintst + larea + lland, data = only_1981)
```

```
##
```

```
## Standard error type: HC2
```

```
##
```

```
## Coefficients:
```

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t)	CI Lower	CI Upper	DF
## (Intercept)	8.674e+00	0.7347586	11.8054	1.906e-22	7.221e+00	1.013e+01	133
## nearinc	-1.364e-01	0.0818480	-1.6665	9.797e-02	-2.983e-01	2.549e-02	133
## age	-8.317e-03	0.0026353	-3.1562	1.978e-03	-1.353e-02	-3.105e-03	133
## agesq	4.145e-05	0.0000227	1.8265	7.002e-02	-3.438e-06	8.634e-05	133
## rooms	1.760e-02	0.0259984	0.6771	4.995e-01	-3.382e-02	6.903e-02	133
## baths	1.315e-01	0.0470726	2.7943	5.971e-03	3.843e-02	2.246e-01	133
## lintst	-8.171e-02	0.0614364	-1.3300	1.858e-01	-2.032e-01	3.981e-02	133
## larea	3.385e-01	0.0955037	3.5446	5.434e-04	1.496e-01	5.274e-01	133
## lland	8.070e-02	0.0401501	2.0099	4.647e-02	1.282e-03	1.601e-01	133

```
##
```

```
## Multiple R-squared:  0.7619 ,   Adjusted R-squared:  0.7475
```

```
## F-statistic: 74.72 on 8 and 133 DF,  p-value: < 2.2e-16
```

The coefficient on nearinc is smaller and the standard error is larger. This goes a long way towards resolving the issues found in a) but we still can't be sure there aren't other variables we need to control for to ensure causality.

```
#c
```

```
only_1978 <- kielmc |>
  filter(year == 1978)
```

```
simple_model_78 <- lm_robust(lrprice ~ nearinc, only_1978)
summary(simple_model_78)
```

```
##
```

```
## Call:
```

```
## lm_robust(formula = lrprice ~ nearinc, data = only_1978)
```

```
##
```

```
## Standard error type: HC2
```

```
##
```

```

## Coefficients:
##              Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|) CI Lower CI Upper DF
## (Intercept) 11.2854    0.02510 449.679 1.336e-272 11.2359 11.3350 177
## nearinc     -0.3399    0.06245 -5.443  1.729e-07 -0.4632 -0.2167 177
##
## Multiple R-squared:  0.1855 ,   Adjusted R-squared:  0.1809
## F-statistic: 29.62 on 1 and 177 DF,  p-value: 1.729e-07

```

The coefficient for nearinc is almost as large as it was when using the 1981 data. This tells us that there is something else about the areas where the incinerators were placed that is hurting home prices and that is what our analysis in a) is picking up because the incinerators weren't even built in 1978.

```

#d
kielmc_81ind <- kielmc |>
  mutate(y81 = ifelse(year == 1981, 1, 0))

pooled_model <- lm_robust(lrprice ~ nearinc + y81 + y81 * nearinc, kielmc_81ind)
summary(pooled_model)

```

```

##
## Call:
## lm_robust(formula = lrprice ~ nearinc + y81 + y81 * nearinc,
##           data = kielmc_81ind)
##
## Standard error type: HC2
##
## Coefficients:
##              Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|) CI Lower CI Upper DF
## (Intercept) 11.28542    0.02510 449.6786 0.000e+00 11.2360 11.3348 317
## nearinc     -0.33992    0.06245 -5.4428 1.052e-07 -0.4628 -0.2170 317
## y81         0.19309    0.04043  4.7758 2.740e-06  0.1135  0.2726 317
## nearinc:y81 -0.06265    0.09501 -0.6594 5.101e-01 -0.2496  0.1243 317
##
## Multiple R-squared:  0.246 , Adjusted R-squared:  0.2388
## F-statistic: 27.55 on 3 and 317 DF,  p-value: 7.423e-16

```

This model tells us that for home prices in 1981, being near the incinerator on average reduced the price by $(.4628 + .2496 = .7124)\%$. But, about 2/3 of the effect on home prices comes regardless of whether they were measured before or after the incinerator was built. So, while the incinerator does have some impact on housing prices, there is something else area having a larger impact.

```

#e
pooled_model_control <- lm_robust(lprice ~ nearinc + y81 + y81 * nearinc + age + agesq + rooms + baths + lintst + larea + lland, data = kielmc_81ind)
summary(pooled_model_control)

```

```

##
## Call:
## lm_robust(formula = lprice ~ nearinc + y81 + y81 * nearinc +
##           age + agesq + rooms + baths + lintst + larea + lland, data = kielmc_81ind)
##
## Standard error type: HC2
##
```

```

## Coefficients:
##              Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)    CI Lower    CI Upper DF
## (Intercept) 7.652e+00 5.375e-01 14.235 9.948e-36 6.594e+00 8.709460 310
## nearinc     3.223e-02 6.485e-02  0.497 6.195e-01 -9.537e-02 0.159829 310
## y81        4.260e-01 2.720e-02 15.660 3.969e-41  3.725e-01 0.479497 310
## age       -8.359e-03 1.657e-03 -5.045 7.721e-07 -1.162e-02 -0.005099 310
## agesq     3.763e-05 1.137e-05  3.310 1.042e-03  1.526e-05 0.000060 310
## rooms      4.733e-02 1.776e-02  2.665 8.095e-03  1.239e-02 0.082279 310
## baths      9.428e-02 2.846e-02  3.312 1.036e-03  3.827e-02 0.150285 310
## lintst     -6.145e-02 3.569e-02 -1.722 8.616e-02 -1.317e-01 0.008786 310
## larea      3.508e-01 6.295e-02  5.572 5.461e-08  2.269e-01 0.474632 310
## lland      9.985e-02 3.410e-02  2.928 3.663e-03  3.275e-02 0.166939 310
## nearinc:y81 -1.315e-01 6.082e-02 -2.162 3.135e-02 -2.512e-01 -0.011844 310
##
## Multiple R-squared:  0.7904 ,   Adjusted R-squared:  0.7837
## F-statistic: 135 on 10 and 310 DF,  p-value: < 2.2e-16

```

#Yes, it makes sense to include these control variables because they are all plausibly have meaningful impacts on home price and could vary depending on whether the home is close to the incinerator or not.

```

#f
nearinc_data <- kielmc_81ind |>
  filter(nearinc == 1)

summary(nearinc_data)

```

```

##      year        age       agesq       nbh
## Min.  :1978  Min.   : 0.00  Min.   : 0.0  Min.   :0.000
## 1st Qu.:1978  1st Qu.: 11.75  1st Qu.: 138.2  1st Qu.:0.750
## Median :1978  Median : 25.50  Median : 650.5  Median :4.000
## Mean   :1979  Mean   : 34.85  Mean   :2347.3  Mean   :2.625
## 3rd Qu.:1981  3rd Qu.: 51.75  3rd Qu.:2679.8  3rd Qu.:4.000
## Max.   :1981  Max.   :189.00  Max.   :35721.0  Max.   :4.000
##      cbd        intst       lintst       price
## Min.   : 1000  Min.   : 1000  Min.   :6.908  Min.   : 31000
## 1st Qu.: 3000  1st Qu.: 4000  1st Qu.:8.294  1st Qu.: 48000
## Median : 4000  Median : 6000  Median :8.700  Median : 62000
## Mean   : 5458  Mean   : 6521  Mean   :8.567  Mean   : 75465
## 3rd Qu.: 6250  3rd Qu.: 7000  3rd Qu.:8.854  3rd Qu.: 83750
## Max.   :14000  Max.   :17000  Max.   :9.741  Max.   :300000
##      rooms       area        land       baths
## Min.   :4.000  Min.   : 750  Min.   : 1710  Min.   :1.000
## 1st Qu.:5.000  1st Qu.:1394  1st Qu.: 8253  1st Qu.:1.000
## Median :6.000  Median :1651  Median :12500  Median :2.000
## Mean   :6.083  Mean   :1888  Mean   :22392  Mean   :1.844
## 3rd Qu.:7.000  3rd Qu.:2228  3rd Qu.:21290  3rd Qu.:2.000
## Max.   :9.000  Max.   :5136  Max.   :282704  Max.   :4.000
##      dist       ldist       wind       lprice
## Min.   : 5000  Min.   :8.517  Min.   : 3.000  Min.   :10.34
## 1st Qu.: 8500  1st Qu.:9.048  1st Qu.: 3.000  1st Qu.:10.78
## Median :10850  Median :9.292  Median : 5.000  Median :11.03
## Mean   :10539  Mean   :9.223  Mean   : 5.177  Mean   :11.11
## 3rd Qu.:12325  3rd Qu.:9.419  3rd Qu.: 5.000  3rd Qu.:11.34

```

```

##   Max.    :15600   Max.    :9.655   Max.    :11.000   Max.    :12.61
##   y81          larea      lland      y81ldist
##   Min.    :0.0000  Min.    :6.620   Min.    :7.444   Min.    :0.000
##   1st Qu.:0.0000 1st Qu.:7.240   1st Qu.:9.018   1st Qu.:0.000
##   Median  :0.0000  Median  :7.409   Median  :9.433   Median  :0.000
##   Mean    :0.4167  Mean    :7.461   Mean    :9.552   Mean    :3.839
##   3rd Qu.:1.0000 3rd Qu.:7.709   3rd Qu.:9.966   3rd Qu.:9.205
##   Max.    :1.0000  Max.    :8.544   Max.    :12.552   Max.    :9.616
##   lintstsq     nearinc    y81nrinc   rprice    lrprice
##   Min.    :47.72   Min.    :1       Min.    :0.0000  Min.    :31000   Min.    :10.34
##   1st Qu.:68.79   1st Qu.:1       1st Qu.:0.0000  1st Qu.:47464   1st Qu.:10.77
##   Median  :75.68   Median  :1       Median  :0.0000  Median  :54500   Median  :10.91
##   Mean    :73.88   Mean    :1       Mean    :0.4167  Mean    :66579   Mean    :11.00
##   3rd Qu.:78.39   3rd Qu.:1       3rd Qu.:1.0000  3rd Qu.:70675   3rd Qu.:11.17
##   Max.    :94.89   Max.    :1       Max.    :1.0000  Max.    :300000  Max.    :12.61

farinc_data <- kielmc_81ind |>
  filter(nearinc == 0)

summary(farinc_data)

##      year        age       agesq       nbh
##   Min.  :1978   Min.   : 0.00   Min.   : 0.0   Min.   :0.000
##   1st Qu.:1978  1st Qu.: 0.00   1st Qu.: 0.0   1st Qu.:0.000
##   Median  :1978  Median : 1.00   Median : 1.0   Median :2.000
##   Mean    :1979  Mean   :10.82   Mean   :969.5  Mean   :2.031
##   3rd Qu.:1981  3rd Qu.: 8.00   3rd Qu.: 64.0  3rd Qu.:5.000
##   Max.    :1981  Max.   :188.00  Max.   :35344.0 Max.   :6.000
##      cbd        intst      lintst      price
##   Min.   : 9000  Min.   :7000   Min.   : 8.854  Min.   :26000
##   1st Qu.:14000 1st Qu.:14000  1st Qu.: 9.547  1st Qu.:76900
##   Median  :21000  Median :22000  Median : 9.999  Median :94376
##   Mean    :20244  Mean   :20676  Mean   : 9.870  Mean   :104905
##   3rd Qu.:24000  3rd Qu.:26000  3rd Qu.:10.166 3rd Qu.:129900
##   Max.    :35000  Max.   :34000   Max.   :10.434  Max.   :234552
##      rooms       area       land       baths       dist
##   Min.   : 4.0   Min.   : 735   Min.   : 7500  Min.   :1.000   Min.   :16000
##   1st Qu.: 6.0   1st Qu.:1836  1st Qu.:37026  1st Qu.:2.000   1st Qu.:19000
##   Median  : 7.0   Median :2240   Median :44001   Median :3.000   Median :25500
##   Mean    : 6.8   Mean   :2200   Mean   :46985   Mean   :2.551   Mean   :25058
##   3rd Qu.: 7.0   3rd Qu.:2580  3rd Qu.:47480  3rd Qu.:3.000   3rd Qu.:28600
##   Max.    :10.0   Max.   :4056   Max.   :544500  Max.   :4.000   Max.   :40000
##      ldist       wind       lprice      y81
##   Min.   : 9.680  Min.   : 3.000  Min.   :10.17  Min.   :0.0000
##   1st Qu.: 9.852  1st Qu.: 7.000  1st Qu.:11.25  1st Qu.:0.0000
##   Median  :10.146  Median : 7.000  Median :11.46  Median :0.0000
##   Mean    :10.100  Mean   : 7.747  Mean   :11.49  Mean   :0.4533
##   3rd Qu.:10.261  3rd Qu.:11.000 3rd Qu.:11.77  3rd Qu.:1.0000
##   Max.    :10.597  Max.   :11.000  Max.   :12.37  Max.   :1.0000
##      larea       lland      y81ldist    lintstsq      nearinc
##   Min.   : 6.600  Min.   : 8.923  Min.   : 0.000  Min.   : 78.39  Min.   :0
##   1st Qu.: 7.515  1st Qu.:10.519  1st Qu.: 0.000  1st Qu.: 91.14  1st Qu.:0
##   Median  : 7.714  Median :10.692  Median : 0.000  Median : 99.98  Median :0
##   Mean    : 7.655  Mean   :10.622  Mean   : 4.557  Mean   : 97.57  Mean   :0

```

```

## 3rd Qu.:7.856   3rd Qu.:10.768   3rd Qu.:10.043   3rd Qu.:103.35   3rd Qu.:0
##  Max.    :8.308   Max.    :13.208   Max.    :10.569   Max.    :108.87   Max.    :0
##      y81nrinc      rprice       lrprice
##  Min.    :0   Min.    :26000   Min.    :10.17
##  1st Qu.:0   1st Qu.: 73000   1st Qu.:11.20
##  Median  :0   Median  : 89500   Median  :11.40
##  Mean    :0   Mean    : 91035   Mean    :11.37
##  3rd Qu.:0   3rd Qu.:107527   3rd Qu.:11.59
##  Max.    :0   Max.    :180147   Max.    :12.10

```

This person does have a point as the houses near the incinerator appear to have very different characteristics than the houses away from the incinerator. To provide an unbiased estimate we'd need to know that the prices of the homes near and not near to the incinerator would have had a similar trend. Data on home prices in the years prior to 1978 would tell us if our assumption is reasonable.

#g

This would reduce the internal validity of the research design because the closing of the schools would also negatively effect the price of homes in the area, so we'd be unable to determine if the school closing or the incinerator would be responsible for the change in price.

Question 2

a)

The coefficient estimates are consistent and unbiased, so they get closer to the value of the true parameter as sample size increases. The standard errors shrink as the sample size increases.

b)

See handwritten answer

c)

The estimate would be less precise because we would have less variation in income to inform our estimate.

d)

It would improve the precision of the estimates because education is likely to have a strong relationship with whether or not someone voted.

HW6

$$2. b) se(\hat{\beta}_j) = \frac{\sqrt{(\sum \hat{u}_i^2)/(n-k-1)}}{\sqrt{SST_j(1-R_j^2)}}$$