Problem Set 4

Applied Stats/Quant Methods 1

Due: December 4, 2022

Instructions

- Please show your work! You may lose points by simply writing in the answer. If the problem requires you to execute commands in R, please include the code you used to get your answers. Please also include the .R file that contains your code. If you are not sure if work needs to be shown for a particular problem, please ask.
- Your homework should be submitted electronically on GitHub.
- This problem set is due before 23:59 on Sunday December 4, 2022. No late assignments will be accepted.

Question 1: Economics

In this question, use the **prestige** dataset in the **car** library. First, run the following commands:

install.packages(car)
library(car)
data(Prestige)
help(Prestige)

We would like to study whether individuals with higher levels of income have more prestigious jobs. Moreover, we would like to study whether professionals have more prestigious jobs than blue and white collar workers.

(a) Create a new variable professional by recoding the variable type so that professionals are coded as 1, and blue and white collar workers are coded as 0 (Hint: ifelse).

```
Prestige $type_prof <- rep(0,nrow(Prestige))

Prestige $type_bw <- rep(0,nrow(Prestige))

prof <- ifelse(Prestige $type == 'prof', 1, 0)

bc_wc <- ifelse(Prestige $type != 'prof', 1, 0)

#ifelse(Prestige $type == 'wc', 1, 0)

#wc <- ifelse(Prestige $type == 'bc', 1, 0)

print(bc_wc)

print(prof)

df_reg <- data.frame(prof = prof,

bc_wc = bc_wc)
```

(b) Run a linear model with prestige as an outcome and income, professional, and the interaction of the two as predictors (Note: this is a continuous × dummy interaction.)

```
1 (b)
2 pres_incprof <- lm(Prestige$prestige~df_reg$prof+ Prestige$income)
3 lm(Prestige$prestige~df_reg$prof+ Prestige$income)
4 summary(pres_incprof)
```

(c) Write the prediction equation based on the result.

```
1 #Prestige = 30.618334 + 22.757 x df_reg$prof + .001371 x Prestige$income
```

```
> lm(Prestige$prestige~df_reg$prof+ Prestige$income)
Call:
lm(formula = Prestige$prestige ~ df_reg$prof + Prestige$income)
Coefficients:
                    df_reg$prof Prestige$income
   (Intercept)
     30.618334
                     22.757000
                                    0.001371
> summary(pres_incprof)
lm(formula = Prestige$prestige ~ df_reg$prof + Prestige$income)
Residuals:
    Min
             1Q Median
                             3Q
                                      Max
-19.7458 -6.3013 -0.5493 5.4810 29.7818
Coefficients:
               Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
            3.062e+01 1.714e+00 17.866 < 2e-16 ***
(Intercept)
df_reg$prof 2.276e+01 2.318e+00 9.817 4.07e-16 ***
Prestige$income 1.371e-03 2.563e-04 5.348 6.12e-07 ***
Signif. codes: 0 '*** 0.001 '** 0.01 '* 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' '1
Residual standard error: 8.652 on 95 degrees of freedom
 (4 observations deleted due to missingness)
Multiple R-squared: 0.7491, Adjusted R-squared: 0.7438
F-statistic: 141.8 on 2 and 95 DF, p-value: < 2.2e-16
```

Figure 1:

(d) Interpret the coefficient for income.

```
1 #The coeeffecient for income is very low meaning that as prestge goes 1 uo income goes on average .001371
```

 (e) Interpret the coefficient for ${\tt professional}.$

4 #Going from a non professional to professional has an increase of 22.757

(f) What is the effect of a \$1,000 increase in income on prestige score for professional occupations? In other words, we are interested in the marginal effect of income when the variable professional takes the value of 1. Calculate the change in \hat{y} associated with a \$1,000 increase in income based on your answer for (c).

```
f = 30.618334 + 22.757*(1) + .001371*(1000)
print(f)
prestige increases by 54 with an 1000 euro increase.
```

(g) What is the effect of changing one's occupations from non-professional to professional when her income is \$6,000? We are interested in the marginal effect of professional jobs when the variable income takes the value of 6,000. Calculate the change in \hat{y} based on your answer for (c).

```
1 #pro = 30.618334 + 22.757 x 0 + .001371*(6000)
2 #pro = 30.618334 + 0 + 8.226
3 #38.844334

5 #not pro = 30.618334 + 22.757 x 1 + .001371*(6000)
6 #not pro = 30.618334 + 22.757 + 8.226
7 #=61.601334

8 61.601334 - 38.844334
10 #=22.757
```

Question 2: Political Science

Researchers are interested in learning the effect of all of those yard signs on voting preferences.¹ Working with a campaign in Fairfax County, Virginia, 131 precincts were randomly divided into a treatment and control group. In 30 precincts, signs were posted around the precinct that read, "For Sale: Terry McAuliffe. Don't Sellout Virgina on November 5."

Below is the result of a regression with two variables and a constant. The dependent variable is the proportion of the vote that went to McAuliff's opponent Ken Cuccinelli. The first variable indicates whether a precinct was randomly assigned to have the sign against McAuliffe posted. The second variable indicates a precinct that was adjacent to a precinct in the treatment group (since people in those precincts might be exposed to the signs).

Impact of lawn signs on vote share

0.042
(0.016)
0.042
(0.013)
0.302
(0.011)

Notes: $R^2 = 0.094$, N = 131

(a) Use the results from a linear regression to determine whether having these yard signs in a precinct affects vote share (e.g., conduct a hypothesis test with $\alpha = .05$).

$$2*pt(2.625, df=128, lower.tail = FALSE)$$

¹Donald P. Green, Jonathan S. Krasno, Alexander Coppock, Benjamin D. Farrer, Brandon Lenoir, Joshua N. Zingher. 2016. "The effects of lawn signs on vote outcomes: Results from four randomized field experiments." Electoral Studies 41: 143-150.

(b)	Use the results to determine whether being next to precincts with these yard signs affects vote share (e.g., conduct a hypothesis test with $\alpha = .05$).
(c)	Interpret the coefficient for the constant term substantively.
(d)	Evaluate the model fit for this regression. What does this tell us about the importance of yard signs versus other factors that are not modeled?