

Matroid Intro

A matroid is a pair (E, \mathcal{I})

E set "ground set"

$\mathcal{I} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(E)$ "independent sets"

Such that:

1. $\emptyset \in \mathcal{I}$

2. if $I \in \mathcal{I}$, $J \subseteq I$ then $J \in \mathcal{I}$ (Hereditary)

3. if $I, J \in \mathcal{I}$ $|I| < |J|$ then
 $\exists e \in J \setminus I$ s.t. $I \cup \{e\} \in \mathcal{I}$.
(exchange)

Def: A basis is a max independent set.

Steinitz Lemma:

max independent sets have same cardinality.

Claim: if E/F a field ext.

$E = E$

$\mathcal{I} = \{ \Xi \subseteq E \mid \Xi \text{ is alg. independent} \}$

then (E, \mathcal{I}) is a matroid.

Pf of exchange:

Suppose Ξ_1, Ξ_2 are alg. indep w/ $|\Xi_1| < |\Xi_2|$ finite.

if $\xi \in \Xi_1$ either

$\Xi_2 \cup \{\xi\}$ independent



or have a relation f w/ $f(S, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) = 0$
 $F[x_1, y_1, \dots, y_n]$ $\{ \alpha_i \} = \Xi_2$

note f involves at least one of the y_i 's.
 (since S not alg. / F).

say y_1 occurs. $f \in F[x_1, y_2, \dots, y_n][y_1]$
 α_1 alg. over $S, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n$

wlog, can choose f to be $f = (\min_{F(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)}(S)) \cdot \text{lcm}\{\text{denominators}\} \in F[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n]$

$$F[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n] = F[y_1, \dots, y_n]$$

so S cannot be alg. over $\alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n$.

so $S, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n$ independent.

i.e. either $S, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ indep. or $S, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n$ indep.

Set $\Xi_1 = S$, repeat.

$\Xi_2 \in \Xi_1$ then either $\Xi_1, \Xi_2, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n$ indep. or
 have a relation involving Ξ_2

induction step: $\Xi_1, \dots, \Xi_r, \alpha_{r+1}, \dots, \alpha_n$ indep

consider $\Xi_{r+1} \in \Xi_r$

either $\Xi_1, \dots, \Xi_r, \Xi_{r+1}, \alpha_{r+1}, \dots, \alpha_n$ indep.

or get a rel $f \in F[x_1, \dots, x_r, t, y_{r+1}, \dots, y_n]$

$$f(\Xi_1, \dots, \Xi_{r+1}, \alpha_{r+1}, \dots, \alpha_n) = 0.$$

can form a table via min poly.

same γ 's must appear since ξ_1, \dots, ξ_{r+1} are independent.

so wlog, γ_{r+1} appears

as before, $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_{r+1}, \alpha_m, \dots, \alpha_n$ indep.

$\Rightarrow \dots \xi_1, \dots, \xi_m, \alpha_{m+1}, \dots, \alpha_n$ independent $m = |\Xi|$

$\Rightarrow \Xi \cup \{\alpha_{m+1}\}$ independent get exchange.

Def $\text{tdg}_F E \equiv \text{size of a basis in material above.}$

In general, even in infinite case, tdg well defined.

\mathbb{C} \swarrow aly
 \downarrow $Q(\Xi)$
 \mathbb{Q} \swarrow p-trans

$\overline{\mathbb{C}(x)}$ \swarrow aly
 $\mathbb{Q} \subseteq \overline{\mathbb{C}(x)}$ \rightarrow $Q(\Xi)$

observation:
 if F is infinite then
 $|\overline{F}| = |F|$

$$|\Xi| = c = 2^{\aleph_0}$$

$$|\overline{\mathbb{C}(x)}| = |\mathbb{C}(x)| = |\mathbb{C}|$$

$$\overline{\mathbb{C}(x)} \cong \mathbb{C}$$

aly cland fields are defined
 by characteristic &
 cardinality.

$$\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p} \cong \widehat{\mathbb{Q}_p} \cong \mathbb{C}$$

$$\downarrow$$

$$\mathbb{Z}_p \sim \mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}$$

Nath Normalization

Thm: Let $R = F[x_1, \dots, x_n] / I$ "Algebra"

be a domain w/ fraction field E then can find $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m \in R$ transcendence base for E/F s.t.

R integral over $F[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m] \cong F[y_1, \dots, y_m]$

Lemma (B. Conrad) let $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r\} \subseteq R$ dependt. in E/F
 $P \in F[y_1, \dots, y_r]$ w/ $P(\vec{\alpha}) = 0$. If y_1 occurs w/ non-zero coeff.
then can find $\beta_2, \dots, \beta_r \in F[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r]$ s.t.

$$F[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r] = F[\alpha_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_r] \text{ s.t. } \alpha_1 \text{ integral over } F[\beta_2, \dots, \beta_r].$$

Pr. of thm in Lemma Choose $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r$ minimal s.t. R is integral over $F[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r]$.

if $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r$ not algebraic indep. ^{as above}
then by lemma can find β_2, \dots, β_r s.t. α_1 alg. / β_2, \dots, β_r

$$F[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r] = F[\alpha_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_r] \text{ integral over } F[\beta_2, \dots, \beta_r] \\ \text{" } F[\beta_2, \dots, \beta_r][\alpha_1].$$

$R / F(\vec{\alpha})$ integral $\Rightarrow R / F(\beta_2, \dots, \beta_r)$ integral
contradicting minimality.

Lemma (B. Conrad) let $\{a_1, \dots, a_r\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ dependt. on E/F
 $P \in F[y_1, \dots, y_r]$ w/ $P(\vec{a}) = 0$. If y_1 occurs w/ nonzero coeff.
 then can find $\beta_2, \dots, \beta_r \in F[a_1, \dots, a_r]$ s.t.
 $F[a_1, \dots, a_r] = F[a_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_r]$ & a_1 integral over $F[\beta_2, \dots, \beta_r]$.

Consider monomials in P $y_1^{n_1} y_2^{n_2} \dots y_r^{n_r}$
 choose $M \in \mathbb{N}$ s.t. $M > n_i$ all n_i 's all monomials

consider substitution $z_i = -y_i + y_1^{M_i}$ $y_i = y_1^{M_i} - z_i$

rewrite in terms of z_i $F[y_1, \dots, y_r] = F[y_1, z_2, \dots, z_r]$

in z_i 's $\beta_i = -a_i + a_1^{M_i}$

$P = \tilde{P}(y_1, z_2, \dots, z_r)$ coeffs of y_i ?

$$c_{n_1, \dots, n_r} y_1^{n_1} y_2^{n_2} \dots y_r^{n_r}$$

$$y_1^{n_1} (y_1^{M_2} - z_2)^{n_2} (y_1^{M_3} - z_3)^{n_3} \dots (y_1^{M_r} - z_r)^{n_r}$$

$$y_1^{n_1 + n_2 M_2 + n_3 M_3 + \dots + n_r M_r} \quad 0 \leq n_i < M$$

different
 each monomial has as exp. of y_1 a
 base M expansion
 of different intgers.

there in y , the highest form has
a single contribution w/ some scalar
 $c_{n_1 \rightarrow n_r} \in F$. \square

Back to Krull dim

Prop If S/R is an integral extension then $\text{Krull dim } R = \text{Krull dim } S$.

Prf going down, going up, incomparability

if $P_0 \subset \dots \subset P_n$ a chain of primes in R then going up

$Q_0 \in \text{Spec } S$ st. $Q_0 \cap R = P_0$, successively doing going up

$Q_1 \subsetneq Q_2 \subset \dots \subset Q_n$ st. $Q_i \cap R = P_i$

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \subset & Q_0 & \subset & Q_1 & \subset & Q_2 \\ & & | & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ & & 0 & \subset & P_0 & \subset & P_1 \subset P_2 \end{array}$$

So $\text{Krull dim } R \leq \text{Krull dim } S$.

Conversely if $Q_1 \subsetneq \dots \subsetneq Q_n$ chain in R , get.

$Q_1 \cap R \subsetneq \dots \subsetneq Q_n \cap R$ but $Q_i \cap R \neq Q_{i+1} \cap R$
by incomparability.

$\Rightarrow Q_1 \cap R \subsetneq \dots \subsetneq Q_n \cap R \Rightarrow \text{Krull dim } S \leq \text{Krull dim } R$. \square

Consequence if $R = F[x_1, \dots, x_n]/I$ affine domain.

then R is integral over a ringal form $F[S_1, \dots, S_m]$

$\Rightarrow \text{Krull dim } R = \text{Krull dim } F[S_1, \dots, S_m] = m = \text{trdeg}_F \text{ frac } R$

$$\begin{matrix} \mathbb{Q} \\ | \\ \mathbb{Z} \end{matrix}$$

$$\text{trdeg}^{\mathbb{Q}} \left(\begin{matrix} F(x) \\ F(x) \\ | \\ F \end{matrix} \right) = \text{trdeg} = \text{K.d.m} = 1$$

Dedekind domains

Def a ded. domain is a integrally closed Noetherian domain
s.t. every nonzero prime \mathfrak{p} max. \mathfrak{p}

i.e. Krulldim 1, Noeth. int. closed domains

Ex: K/\mathbb{Q} finite. Let $R = \text{int. closure of } \mathbb{Z} \text{ in } K.$

can show R is a \mathbb{Z} -alg.

\Rightarrow Noeth., int. closed by def. $\text{K.d.m } R = \text{K.d.m } \mathbb{Z} = 1$