

CENTRAL SIMPLE ALGEBRA SEMINAR

JACKSON S. MORROW

CONTENTS

1. Lecture (1/9)	2
1.1. Preliminaries	2
1.3. Structure Theory	2
1.26. Endomorphisms of Semi-simple Modules	5
2. Lecture (1/16)	6
2.1. Tensor Products	6
2.5. Tensor Products of Algebras	7
2.7. Commutators	8
2.15. Brauer Equivalence	9
3. Lecture (1/23)	10
3.5. Existence of Maximal Subfields	11
3.10. Structure and Examples	12
3.13. Symbol Algebras	13
3.16. Cyclic Algebras	13
4. Lecture (1/30)	13
4.10. Idempotents	16
5. Lecture (2/6)	18
5.7. Galois Descent	19
6. Lecture (2/13)	21
6.3. Thinking about H^2 Abstractly	22

6.4. The Long Exact Sequences	23
6.7. Operations	25
6.8. Torsion in the Brauer Group	26

1. LECTURE (1/9)

1.1. Preliminaries. We will make a few conventions:

- (1) Ring will always be associative and unital, but not necessarily commutative;
- (2) Ring homomorphisms will be unital (i.e., $f(1) = 1$) and the zero ring is allowed;
- (3) Modules will be left or right and for notations sake we will denote a left R -module M as ${}_R M$ and a right S -module N as N_S .

Definition 1.2. Given rings R, S an $R - S$ bi-module M is an Abelian group both with left R -module and right S -module structure satisfying:

$$r(ms) = (rm)s \quad \forall r \in R, s \in S, m \in M.$$

Note that we will denote an $R - S$ bi-module P by ${}_R P_S$.

1.3. Structure Theory. Let R be a ring.

Definition 1.4. A left R -module P is **simple** if it has no proper non-zero sub-modules.

Definition 1.5. If P is a left R -module and $X \subset P$, then

$$\text{ann}_R(x) = \{r \in R : rx = 0 \forall x \in X\}.$$

Remark 1.6. $\text{ann}_R(x)$ is always a left ideal and is 2-sided if $X = P$.

Definition 1.7. We will denote an **ideal** I of R by $I \leq R$. A **left ideal** will be denoted by $I \leq_\ell R$ and similarly, $I \leq_r R$ for a **right ideal**. An ideal $I \leq R$ is said to be **left primitive** if it is of the form $I = \text{ann}_R(P)$, where P is simple.

Proposition 1.8. Suppose P is a non-zero right R -module, then the following are equivalent:

- (1) P is simple;
- (2) $mR = P$ for all $m \in P \setminus \{0\}$;
- (3) $P = R/I$ for some $I \leq_r R$ maximal.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2). Since mR is a non-zero ideal and P is simple, $mR = P$. (2) \Rightarrow (3). Consider the map $R \twoheadrightarrow P$ defined by $r \mapsto mr$. By the first isomorphism theorem, we have that $R/\ker \cong P$. Furthermore, \ker has to be maximal, else R/\ker is not simple. (3) \Rightarrow (1). This is a direct consequence of the Lattice Isomorphism theorem. \square

Definition 1.9. A left R -module P is **semi-simple** if

$$P \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^n P_i \quad \text{where each } P_i \text{ is simple.}$$

Proposition 1.10. Let A be an algebra over a field F and M a semi-simple left A -module which is finite dimensional as a F -vector space. If $P \subset M$ is a sub-module, then

- (1) P is semi-simple;
- (2) M/P is semi-simple;
- (3) there exists $P' \subset M$ such that $M \cong P \oplus P'$.

Remark 1.11. If F is a field, then an F -algebra is a ring A together with a vector space structure such that for every $\lambda \in F, a, b \in A$, we have

$$(\lambda a)b = \lambda(ab) = a(\lambda b),$$

hence $F \hookrightarrow Z(A)$.

Proof. (1). Let $P \subset N \subset M$ be sub-modules and write $M = N \oplus N' = P \oplus P'$ for some N' and P' . We need to find Q such that $N = P \oplus Q$. Let $Q = P' \cap N$. This is a sub-module of N so we need to show that $N = P + Q$ and $P \cap Q = 0$. Let $n \in N$, then $n \in M$ so we can write $n = a + b$ for some uniquely determined $a \in P, b \in P'$. Since $P \subset N$, we have that $b = n - a \in N$, and hence $b \in Q$. Thus, we have $n \in P + Q$ and consequently, $N = P + Q$. To show that other claim, let $n \in P \cap Q$, then $n \in P'$ as well. By choice of P and P' , if $n \in P$ and $n \in P'$, then $n = 0$, and hence $P \cap Q = 0$.

(2). To show that M/P is semi-simple, choose $Q \leq M/P$ that is that maximal semi-simple sub-module. Suppose that $Q \neq M/P$. ♠♠♠Jackson: Ask Bastian about proof. \square

Definition 1.12. Let R be a ring. Define

$$\begin{aligned} J_r(R) &= \bigcap \text{all maximal right ideals} \\ J_\ell(R) &= \bigcap \text{all maximal left ideals} . \end{aligned}$$

Remark 1.13. Note that annihilators of elements in a simple R -module are the same as maximal right ideals in R . Hence we have that

$$\begin{aligned} J_r(R) &= \bigcap \text{all annihilators of simple } R\text{-modules} \\ &= \bigcap_{\substack{M \in \text{Mod}_R \\ M \text{ simple}}} \text{ann}_R(M) \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have that $J_r(R) \leq R$.

Lemma 1.14. Suppose that A is a finite dimensional F -algebra, then A_A is semi-simple if and only if $J_r(A) = 0$.

Proof. (\Rightarrow). First, we write $A_A = \bigoplus_{j=1}^n P_i$ where P_i are simple. Let $\hat{P}_j = \bigoplus_{j \neq i} P_j$. We can easily see that \hat{P}_j is a maximal right ideal. By Definition 1.12, we have that

$$J_r(A) \subset \bigcap_{j=1}^n \hat{P}_j = 0.$$

(\Leftarrow). Suppose that $J_r(A) = 0$. Since A is a finite dimensional vector space over F , there exists a finite collection of maximal ideals I_i such that $\bigcap I_i = 0$. By Proposition 1.8, we have that for each i , A/I_i is simple, hence $\bigoplus_i A/I_i$ is semi-simple by definition. Since $\bigcap I_i = 0$, we have that the map

$$A \longrightarrow \bigoplus_i A/I_i$$

is injective, hence we can consider A as a sub-module of a semi-simple module. We have our desired result by Proposition 1.10. \square

Definition 1.15. An element $r \in R$ is **left-invertible** if there exists $s \in R$ such that $sr = 1$ and is **right-invertible** if $rs = 1$.

Lemma 1.16. Let A be a finite dimensional algebra over F . An element $a \in A$ is right invertible if and only if a is left invertible.

Proof. Pick $a \in A$. Consider the linear transformation of F -vector spaces

$$\begin{aligned}\phi : A &\longrightarrow A \\ b &\longmapsto ab\end{aligned}$$

If a is right invertible, then ϕ is surjective. Indeed, since if $ax = 1$, then for $y \in A$, $\phi(xy) = axy = y$. If ϕ is bijective, then $\det(T) \neq 0$, where T is the matrix associated to ϕ for some choice of basis. Let

$$\chi_T(t) = t^n + c_{n-1}t^{n-1} + \cdots + c_0$$

be the characteristic polynomial of T , so $c_0 = \pm \det(T)$. By the Cayley-Hamilton theorem, we have that $\chi_T(T) = 0$, which implies that

$$\frac{(a^{n-1} + c_{n-1}a^{n-2} + \cdots + c_1)a}{-c_0} = 1.$$

So we have found a left inverse to a that is also a right inverse due to commutativity. \square

Lemma 1.17. *Let R be a ring and $r, s, t \in R$ such that $sr = 1 = rt$, then $s = t$.*

Definition 1.18. Let R be a ring and $r \in R$. We say that r is **left quasi-regular** if $1 - r$ is left invertible. We will say that r is **quasi-regular** if $1 - r$ is invertible.

Lemma 1.19. *Let $I \leq_r R$ such that all elements of I are right quasi-regular. Then all elements of I are quasi-regular.*

Proof. Let $x \in I$. We want to show that $1 - x$ has a left inverse. We know that there exists an element $s \in R$ such that $(1 - x)s = 1$. Let $y = 1 - s$ and $s = 1 - y$. Then $(1 - x)(1 - y) = 1 = 1 - x - y + xy$, which implies that $xy - x - y = 0$, so $y = xy - x$. Since $x \in I$, y must also be in I . By assumption, y is right quasi-regular ($1 - y$ is right invertible) but $1 - y$ is also left invertible with inverse $1 - x$. Then $(1 - y)(1 - x) = 1$, so $(1 - x)$ is left invertible, and thus x is quasi-regular. \square

Lemma 1.20. *Let $x \in J_r(R)$, then x is quasi-regular.*

Proof. By Lemma 1.19, it is enough to show that x is right quasi-regular for all $x \in J_r(R)$. If $x \in J_r(R)$, then x is an element of all maximal ideals of R . Hence $1 - x$ is not an element of any maximal ideal in R , so $(1 - x)R = R$. Thus there exists some $s \in R$ such that $(1 - x)s = 1$. \square

Lemma 1.21. *Suppose that $I \leq R$ such that all elements are quasi-regular. Then $I \subset J_r(R)$ and $I \subset J_\ell(R)$.*

Proof. Suppose that K is a maximal right ideal. To show that $K \supset I$, consider $K + I$. If $I \not\subset K$, then $K + I = R$, so $K + x = 1$ for $k \in K$ and $x \in I$. This tells us that $K = 1 - x$ and since $1 - x$ is invertible, we have that K is invertible, but this contradicts our assumption that K is a maximal right ideal; therefore, $I \subset K$. \square

Corollary 1.22. *$J_r(R)$ is equal to the unique maximal ideal with respect to the property that each of its elements is quasi-regular. Moreover, we have that $J_r(R) = J_\ell(R)$, so we will denote this ideal by $J(R)$.*

Definition 1.23. A ring R is called **semi-primitive** if $J(R) = 0$.

Theorem 1.24 (Schur's Lemma). *Let P be a simple right R -module and $D = \text{End}_R(P_R)$, then D is a division ring.*

Remark 1.25. D acts on P on the left, and P has a natural $D - R$ bi-modules structure. Indeed, for $f \in \text{End}_R(P_R)$, we have

$$f(pr) = f(p)r.$$

Proof. Suppose that $f \in D \setminus \{0\}$. We want to show that f is invertible. Consider $\ker(f)$ and $\text{im}(f)$, which are sub-modules of P as right R -modules. Since $P \neq 0$, $\ker(f) \neq P$, which implies that $\ker(f) = 0$ since P is simple. Hence $\text{im}(f) \neq 0$, so $\text{im}(f) = P$ by the same logic. Thus f is a bijection. Let f^{-1} denote the inverse map of f . It is easily verified that f^{-1} is also R -linear, hence $f^{-1} \in D$. Moreover, D is a division ring. \square

1.26. Endomorphisms of Semi-simple Modules. Let M, N be semi-simple R -modules, so we can represent them as a direct sum of simple R -modules M_i , resp. N_i . If $f : M \rightarrow N$ is a right R -modules homomorphism, then $f_j = f|_{M_j}$ can be represented as a tuple

$$(f_{1,j}, f_{2,j}, \dots, f_{n,j})$$

where $f_{i,j} : M_j \rightarrow N_i$. From this notation, it is clear that we can represent f as a $n \times m$ matrix

$$f = \begin{pmatrix} f_{1,1} & \cdots & f_{1,m} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ f_{n,1} & \cdots & f_{n,m} \end{pmatrix}$$

i.e.,

$$\text{Hom}_R(M_R, N_R) = \begin{pmatrix} \text{Hom}_R(M_1, N_1) & \cdots & \text{Hom}_R(M_1, N_m) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \text{Hom}_R(M_n, N_1) & \cdots & \text{Hom}_R(M_n, N_m) \end{pmatrix}$$

with standard matrix multiplication by composition.

Theorem 1.27 (Artin- Wedderburn). *Let A be a finite dimensional algebra over a field and $J(A) = 0$. Then we may write $A = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n P_i^{d_i}$ with P_i mutually non-isomorphic and $A \cong (M_{d_i}(D_i))^{\times n}$ where $D_i = \text{End}(P_i)$ a division ring.*

Proof. Note that $A \cong \text{End}_A(A_A)$ and $J(A) = 0$ implies that $A_A = P_i^{d_i}$ by Lemma 1.14. Schur's Lemma (Lemma 1.24) says that $D_i = \text{End}_A((P_i)_A)$ is a division algebra. We can write

$$\text{End}_A(A_A) = \begin{pmatrix} \text{Hom}_R(P_1^{d_1}, P_1^{d_1}) & \cdots & \text{Hom}_R(P_1^{d_1}, P_n^{d_n}) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \text{Hom}_R(P_n^{d_n}, P_1^{d_1}) & \cdots & \text{Hom}_R(P_n^{d_n}, P_n^{d_n}) \end{pmatrix}$$

We can decompose this further by noting that

$$\text{Hom}_R(P_i^{d_i}, P_j^{d_j}) = d_j \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} \text{Hom}_R(P_i, P_j) & \cdots & \text{Hom}_R(P_i, P_j) \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \text{Hom}_R(P_i, P_j) & \cdots & \text{Hom}_R(P_i, P_j) \end{pmatrix}}_{d_i}$$

Since P_i is simple, $\text{Hom}(P_i, P_j) = 0$ unless $i = j$. Note that in this case we have that $\text{Hom}(P_i, P_i) = \text{End}(P_i) = D_i$, so

$$\text{End}_A(A_A) = \begin{pmatrix} M_{d_1}(D_1) & & \\ & M_{d_2}(D_2) & \\ & & \ddots \\ & & & M_{d_n}(D_n) \end{pmatrix}$$

therefore, $\text{End}_A(A_A) = M_{d_1}(D_1) \times \cdots \times M_{d_n}(D_n)$. \square

Corollary 1.28. *If A is a finite dimensional, simple F algebra, then $A \cong M_n(D)$ where D is a division algebra over F and $Z(A) = Z(D)$.*

Proof. Since $J(A) \leq A$ and $1 \notin J(A)$, we have that $J(A) = 0$ since A simple. By Theorem ??, we have that $A = (M_{d_i}(D_i))^{\times n}$. Since each factor $M_{d_i}(D_i)$ is an ideal and A is simple, we have that $n = 1$, and hence we have our desired decomposition.

For the second statement, using matrix representations for $Z(A)$ and $Z(D)$, we can construct an isomorphism $Z(D) \longrightarrow Z(A)$ sending $d \longmapsto d \cdot I_n$. \square

Definition 1.29. An F -algebra A is called a **central simple algebra** over F (**CSA/F**) if A is simple and $Z(A) = F$.

2. LECTURE (1/16)

Today we will discuss tensors and centralizers.

2.1. Tensor Products. Let R, S, T be rings. Let ${}_R M_S, {}_S N_T$ bi-module, and a map to ${}_R P_T$

$$\phi : M \times N \longrightarrow P$$

We say that ϕ is $R - S - T$ linear if

- (1) for all $n \in N$, $m \mapsto \phi(m, n)$ is left R -module homomorphism;
- (2) for all $m \in M$, $n \mapsto \phi(m, n)$ is right T -module homomorphism;
- (3) $\phi(ns, m) = \phi(n, sm)$.

Definition 2.2. Given ${}_R M_S, {}_S N_T$, we say that a bi-module ${}_R P_T$ together with a $R - S - T$ linear map $M \times N \longrightarrow P$ is a **tensor product** of M and N over S if for all $M \times N \longrightarrow Q$

$R - S - T$ linear there exists a unique factorization:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M \times N & \xrightarrow{\quad} & Q \\ \downarrow & \nearrow \exists! & \\ P & & \end{array}$$

Definition 2.3. We define $M \otimes_S N$ to be the quotient of the free Abelian group generated by $M \times N$ by the subgroup generated by the relations

$$\begin{aligned} (m, n_1 + n_2) &= (m, n_1) + (m, n_2) \\ (m_1 + m_2, n) &= (m_1, n) + (m_2, n) \\ (ms, n) &= (n, sn) \end{aligned}$$

In the case where R commutative, left modules have right module structure and vice versa. In this way, $M_R \otimes_R R N$ has an R -modules structure; so when R commutative, we will refer to a $R - R - R$ linear map as R bi-linear. We have the notation that the ordered pair (m, n) is the equivalence class $m \otimes n$, which are called **simple tensors**. We note that elements in $M \otimes_R N$ are linear combinations of simple tensors.

In the case of tensors over fields, a lot of the structure is much more transparent and simpler.

Proposition 2.4. If V, W are vector space over a field F with bases $\{v_i\}, \{w_j\}$, then $V \otimes W$ is a vector space with basis given by $\{v_i \otimes w_j\}$.

Proof. Clearly, this basis spans. To see independence, define a function $\phi_{k,l} : V \times W \rightarrow F$ which maps $(\sum \alpha_i v_i, \sum \beta_j w_j) \mapsto \alpha_k \beta_l$. This map is bi-linear, and the induced map on tensors is a group homomorphism. Hence we have linear independence. \square

If V/F is some vector space L/F field extension, then $L \otimes_F V$ is an L -vector space with basis $\{1 \otimes v_i\}$ where $\{v_i\}$ is a basis for V . Similarly, given a linear transformation $T : V \rightarrow W$, then

$$L \otimes T : L \otimes V \rightarrow L \otimes W$$

where $L \otimes T(x \otimes v) \mapsto x \otimes T(v)$. If we identify the bases of V and $L \otimes V$, we see that T and $L \otimes T$ have the “same” matrix. Thus

$$L \otimes (\ker T) = \ker(L \otimes T),$$

and similarly, for cokernel, image, etc.

2.5. Tensor Products of Algebras. If A, B are F -algebras, then $A \otimes B$ is naturally an F -algebra since

$$(a \otimes b)(a' \otimes b') = (aa' \otimes bb')$$

Note that A, B are not necessarily commutative rings, so we are somewhat forcing this construction. In fact, something funny is actually happening. Inside $A \otimes B$, $A \otimes 1$ and $1 \otimes B$ are sub-algebras that are isomorphic to A and B , respectively. In particular, $A \otimes 1$ commutes with $1 \otimes B$.

Proposition 2.6. Suppose A, B are F -algebras, then for any F -algebra C , there is a bijection between the following two sets:

$$\{\text{Hom}(A \otimes B, C)\} \leftrightarrow \{A \rightarrow C, B \rightarrow C \text{ such that images of } A \text{ and } B \text{ commute in } C\}$$

Proof. The inclusion \subseteq is clear by our previous comment. For the reverse inclusion, $A \otimes B$ is generated as an algebra by $A \otimes 1$ and $1 \otimes B$. So given $\phi_1 : A \rightarrow C, \phi_2 : B \rightarrow C$, then $\rho : A \otimes B \rightarrow C$ is defined by $a \otimes b \mapsto \phi_1(a) \cdot \phi_2(b)$. \square

Given A, B F -algebras and ${}_A M_B$ we have homomorphisms $A \rightarrow \text{End}_F(M)$ and $B^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{End}_F(M)$. Moreover, their images commute i.e., the images of A, B^{op} commute so $(am)b = a(mb)$. So we get a map

$$A \otimes B^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{End}_F(M)$$

which defined a left $A \otimes B^{\text{op}}$ -modules structure on M . Thus, we have a natural equivalence of the categories $A - B$ bi-modules and left $A \otimes B^{\text{op}}$ -modules.

2.7. Commutators. Given A/F some algebra, and $\Lambda \subset A$, then

$$C_A(\Lambda) = \{a \in A : a\lambda = \lambda a \forall a \in \Lambda\},$$

and $C_A(A) = Z(A)$. Suppose that M is a right A -module, then we have a homomorphism $A^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{End}_F(M)$. If we let $C = C_{\text{End}_F(M)}(A^{\text{op}}) = \text{End}_A(M)$. To preserve our sanity, we will regard M as a left C -module. This gives M the structure of a $C - A$ bi-module.

Theorem 2.8 (Double Centralizer Theorem Warm-Up). *Let B be an F -algebra, M a faithful, semi-simple right B -module, finitely dimensional over F . Let $E = \text{End}_F(M)$, $C = C_E(B^{\text{op}})$, then $B^{\text{op}} = C_E(C) = C_E(C_E(B^{\text{op}}))$.*

Proof. Let $\phi \in C_E(C)$. Choose $\{m_1, \dots, m_n\}$ a basis for M/F . Write $N = \bigoplus^n M \ni w = (m_1, \dots, m_n)$. Since M is semi-simple, so N is semi-simple. This allows us to write

$$N = wB \oplus N' \text{ for some } N'$$

Set $\pi : N \rightarrow N'$ be a projection (right B -module map) that factors through wB . Since $\pi \in \text{End}_B(N) = M_n(\text{End}_B(M)) = M_n(C_{\text{End}_F(M)}(B^{\text{op}})) = M_n(C)$.

Set $\phi^{\oplus n} : N \rightarrow N$ doing ϕ on each entry. Then $w\phi^{\oplus n} = (\pi w)\phi^{\oplus n} = \pi(w\phi^{\oplus n}) = \pi(wb) \in wB$. The general principle is the following: $M_N(\{\cdot\})$ commute with “scalar matrices” whose entries commute with $\{\cdot\}$, which is why we can move the w inside \square

Our next goal is to prove that:

Theorem 2.9. *If A is a CSA/ F , then $A \otimes_F A^{\text{op}} \cong \text{End}_F(A)$.*

Proof. Notice that A is an $A - A$ bi-module, so it defines a map $A \otimes A^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{End}_F(A)$. The question is why is this bijective. Suppose that $\{a_i\}$ is a basis for A and (A^{op}) . We want to see when

$$\sum c_{i,j} a_i \otimes a_j \xrightarrow{?} 0 \in \text{End}(A)$$

More abstractly, if we have A, B commuting sub-algebras of E . Let $a_i \in A, b_j \in B$ be linearly independent over F , then $a_i b_j$ is independent in E . Since E is an $A - A$ bi-module, so $A \otimes A^{\text{op}}$ left module. E is also a right B -module, in particular $A \otimes A^{\text{op}} - B$ bi-module. A is a CSA, so it is a simple $A \otimes A^{\text{op}}$ -module, and $\text{End}_{A \otimes A^{\text{op}}}(A) = F = Z(A)$. Thus

$$C_{\text{End}_F(A)}(C_{\text{End}_F(A)}(\text{im}(A \otimes A^{\text{op}}))) = C_{\text{End}_F(A)}(F) = \text{End}_F(A).$$

Then Theorem 2.8 tells us that $\text{im}(A \otimes A^{\text{op}}) = \text{End}_F(A)$, which is what we desired.¹ \square

Thus, if A is a CSA, then $A \otimes A^{\text{op}} \cong \text{End}_F(A) = M_n(F)$, where $n = \dim_F(A)$.

Proposition 2.10. *A is a CSA if and only if there exists B such that $A \otimes B \cong M_n(F)$.*

Proof. (\Rightarrow) . This is clear. (\Leftarrow) . If $A \otimes B \cong M_n(F)$, note that $M_n(F)$ are central simple. If $I \leq A$, then $I \otimes B \leq M_n(F)$ by dimension counting. If I is non-trivial, so is $I \otimes B$, hence A is simple. Thus, $Z(A) = C_{M_n(F)}(A) \cap A$. We know that $B \subset C_{M_n(F)}(A)$, which implies that $A \otimes C_{M_n(F)}(A) \hookrightarrow M_n(F)$. But we also know that $A \otimes B \cong M_n(F)$ by assumption, hence we have $B = C_{M_n(F)}(A)$. Thus $Z(A) = C_{M_n(F)}(A) \cap A = B \cap A = F$. \square

Proposition 2.11. *A is a CSA/ F if and only if for all field extensions L/F such that $L \otimes_F A$ CSA/ L if and only if $\bar{F} \otimes_F A \cong M_n(\bar{F})$.*

Proof. A is a CSA $\Rightarrow A \otimes A^{\text{op}} \cong M_n(F) \Rightarrow (A \otimes_F A^{\text{op}}) \otimes_F L \cong M_n(L)$. Notice that we can re-write $(A \otimes_F A^{\text{op}}) \otimes_F L = (A \otimes L) \otimes_L (A^{\text{op}} \otimes L)$, so by Proposition 2.10, we have that $A \otimes L$ is a CSA for all L . In particular, $A \otimes_F \bar{F}$ is a CSA. Thus by Theorem 1.27, $A \otimes_F \bar{F} \cong M_n(D)$ for some finite dimensional division algebra D/\bar{F} . Hence for all $d \in D^\times$, $\bar{F}[d]/\bar{F}$ is a finite extension of \bar{F} . Since it is a finite extension, $d \in \bar{F}$, which implies that $D = \bar{F}$ i.e., $A \otimes_F \bar{F} \cong M_n(\bar{F})$.

Now suppose that $A \otimes_F \bar{F} \cong M_n(\bar{F})$. So A must be simple, otherwise, $I \otimes \bar{F} \leq A \otimes \bar{F} = M_n(\bar{F})$. Now we want to show that $Z(A \otimes \bar{F}) = Z(A) \otimes \bar{F}$. This is true by considering the kernel of a linear map and just extending scalars. \square

Definition 2.12. If A is a CSA, then $\deg A = \sqrt{\dim_F(A)}$. This makes sense since $\bar{F} \otimes A \cong M_n(\bar{F})$ has dimension n^2 .

Definition 2.13. By Theorem 1.27, $A \cong M_n(D)$, and we can check that $Z(D) = F$, hence D is a CSA, which we will call a **central division algebra (CDA)**. We define the **index of A** as $\text{ind}(A) = \deg(D)$, where D is the underlying division algebra. We know that this is unique up to isomorphism, since $D = \text{End}_A(P)$, where P is a simple right A -module.

Remark 2.14. Note that

$$\dim_F(A) = m^2 \dim_F(D)$$

so that $\deg A = m \deg D = m \text{ind } A$, and in particular, $\text{ind } A \mid \deg A$.

2.15. Brauer Equivalence.

Definition 2.16. CSA's A, B are **Brauer equivalent** $A \sim B$ if and only if there exists r, s such that $M_r(A) \cong M_s(B)$. This essentially says that $M_r(M_n(D_A)) \cong M_s(M_m(D_B))$, which implies that $D_A \cong D_B$. Alternatively,

$$A \sim B \iff \text{underlying division algebras are isomorphic.}$$

N.B. If $A, B/F$ are CSA's, then $A \otimes_F B$ is also a CSA. The “cheap” way to prove this is to just tensor over \bar{F} and see what happens.

¹There was a lot of confusion on this proof. Review Danny's online notes for valid proof.

Definition 2.17. The **Brauer group** $\text{Br}(F)$ is the group of Brauer equivalence classes of CSA's over F with operation $[A] + [B] = [A \otimes_F B]$. The identity element is $[F]$, and note that

$$[A] + [A^{\text{op}}] = [A \otimes_F A^{\text{op}}] = [\text{M}_{\dim_F A}(F)] = [F].$$

Definition 2.18. The **exponent of A** (or **period of A**) is the order of $[A]$ in $\text{Br}(F)$.

N.B. We will show that $\text{per } A \mid \text{ind } A$.

3. LECTURE (1/23)

Last time, we had a number of ways to characterize CSA's. A CSA if and only if there exists B such that $A \otimes B \in \text{M}_n(F)$ if and only if $A \otimes A^{\text{op}} \cong \text{End}(A)$ if and only if $A \otimes_F L \cong \text{M}_n(F)$ for some L/F if and only if $A \otimes_F \bar{F} \cong \text{M}_n(\bar{F})$ if and only if for every CSA B , $A \otimes B$ is a CSA (similarly for field extensions).

If A, B CSA, then $A \otimes B$ is a CSA. In Definition 2.16, we defined the relation that gave rise to the Brauer group. Moreover, in Definition 2.17, we gave the Brauer group a group structure.

Lemma 3.1. A/F is a CSA and B/F simple, finite dimensional, then $A \otimes B$ is simple.

Proof. If $L = Z(B)$, then B/L is a CSA. Hence $A \otimes_F B \cong A \otimes_F (L \otimes_L B) \cong (A \otimes_F L) \otimes_L B$ i.e., we are tensoring over two CSA's. Thus, we have a CSA/ L , in particular, simple. \square

Lemma 3.2. Let $A = B \otimes C$ CSA's, then $C = C_A(B)$.

Proof. By definition, everything in C centralizes A , so $C \subset C_A(B)$. But

$$\dim_F(C_A(B)) = \dim_{\bar{F}}(C_A(B) \otimes \bar{F}) = \dim_{\bar{F}}(C_{A \otimes \bar{F}}(B \otimes \bar{F}))$$

Without loss of generality, $B = \text{M}_n(\bar{F})$, $C = \text{M}_m(\bar{F})$. Hence

$$A = \text{M}_n(\bar{F}) \otimes \text{M}_m(\bar{F}) = \text{M}_m(\text{M}_n(\bar{F})).$$

So we want to look at

$$C_{\text{M}_m(\text{M}_n(\bar{F}))}(\text{M}_n(\bar{F})) = \text{M}_m(C_{\text{M}_n(\bar{F})} \text{M}_n(\bar{F})) = \text{M}_m(Z(\text{M}_n(\bar{F}))) = \text{M}_m(\bar{F}) = C$$

by Lemma 3.4.1 of Danny's notes. \square

Theorem 3.3 (Noether-Skolem). Suppose that A/F is a CSA, $B, B' \subset A$ is a simple sub-algebra and $\psi : B \cong B'$. Then there exists $a \in A^\times$ such that $\psi(b) = aba^{-1}$.

N.B. Think about inner automorphisms of matrices.

Proof. So $B \hookrightarrow A$, $B' \hookrightarrow A$ and $A \hookrightarrow A \otimes A^{\text{op}} \cong \text{End}_F(A) = \text{End}_F(V)$ where $V = A$.² V is a $A - A$ bi-module, so it is a $B - A$ module or $B \otimes A^{\text{op}}$ left module. Since B is simple

²We want to do this to remind ourselves that A is a vector space and also for notational reasons.

and A^{op} CSA, we have $B \otimes A^{\text{op}}$ is simple, so it has a unique simple left module. V is determined by its dimension as a $B \otimes A^{\text{op}}$ module since it can be regarded as a $B \otimes A^{\text{op}}$ module in two different ways by two different actions, $(\psi(b) \otimes a)(v)$ and $(b \otimes a)(v)$. These two modules are isomorphic, that is to say that there exists $\phi : V \cong V$ such that $\phi((b \otimes a')(v)) = (\psi(b) \otimes a')(\phi(v))$.

Note that $\phi \in \text{End}(V)^{\times} = \text{End}(A)^{\times} = (A \otimes A^{\text{op}})^{\times}$ by the sandwich map. Hence ϕ is a right A -module map i.e., $\phi \in C_{A \otimes A^{\text{op}}}(A^{\text{op}}) = A \otimes 1$. This means that ϕ is left-multiplication by $a \in A^{\times}$. Then for all $a \in A^{\times}$, let $a' = 1$, then

$$\begin{aligned} a \otimes 1(b \otimes 1(v)) &= \psi(b) \otimes 1(a \otimes 1(v)) \\ abv &= \psi(b)av \\ ab &= \psi(b)a \\ aba^{-1} &= \psi(b) \end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 3.4 (Double Centralizer Theorem Step 3). *Let A be a CSA, $B \subset A$ simple, then*

$$(\dim_F(C_A(B))) (\dim_F(B)) = \dim_F(A).$$

Proof. We want to look at $C_A(B)$. Since B is simple, B is a CSA/ L where $L = Z(B)$. Since $L \hookrightarrow B \hookrightarrow A \hookrightarrow A \otimes A^{\text{op}} = \text{End}_F(A)$. We remark that A is a left L -vector space, B acts on A as L -linear maps, so $B \subset \text{End}_L(A) \subset \text{End}_F(A)$. We now look at $C_{A \otimes A^{\text{op}}}(B) = C_A(B) \otimes A^{\text{op}}$. Since $L \subset B$, then $C_{A \otimes A^{\text{op}}}(B)$ acts on A via L -linear maps. Hence

$$C_{A \otimes A^{\text{op}}}(B) = C_{\text{End}_F(A)}(B) = C_{\text{End}_L(A)}(B).$$

So Theorem 4.1 tells us that

$$\text{End}_L(A) = B \otimes_L C_{\text{End}_L(B)} = B \otimes_L (B).$$

Now we want to compute the dimensions,

$$\begin{aligned} \dim_L(\text{End}_L(A)) &= \dim_L(A)^2 = \left(\frac{\dim_F(A)}{[L : F]} \right)^2, \\ \dim_L(B) &= \frac{\dim_F(B)}{[L : F]} \\ \dim_L(C_{\text{End}_L(A)}(B)) &= \frac{\dim_F C_{\text{End}_L(B)}}{[L : F]} = \frac{\dim_F C_{\text{End}_F(A)}(B)}{[L : F]} \\ &= \frac{\dim_F C_{A \otimes A^{\text{op}}}(B)}{[L : F]} = \frac{(\dim_F C_A(B)) \dim_F A}{[L : F]} = \frac{\dim_F C_A(B) \otimes A^{\text{op}}}{[L : F]} \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\left(\frac{\dim_F(A)}{[L : F]} \right)^2 = \frac{\dim_F B}{[L : F]} \left(\frac{\dim_F C_A(B) \dim_F(A)}{[L : F]} \right).$$

□

3.5. Existence of Maximal Subfields.

Definition 3.6. If A/F is a CSA, $F \subset E \subset A$ is a sub-field, we say that E is a **maximal sub-field** if $[E : F] = \deg A$.

Theorem 3.7. *If A is a division algebra, then there exists maximal and separable sub-fields.*

Proof. We will show in the case when F is infinite. Given some $a \in A$, look at $F(a)$. We know that $[F(a) : F] \leq n = \deg A$, so it is spanned by $\{1, a, a^2, \dots, a^{n-1}\}$. We want these to be independent over F , so have an n dimension extension as well as the polynomial satisfied by a of $\deg n$ to be separable. This polynomial at \bar{F} is χ_n , the characteristic polynomial. If χ_n has distinct roots, then it will be minimal, hence the unique polynomial of degree n satisfied by $a_{\bar{F}}$. The discriminant of the polynomial gives a polynomial in the coefficients which are polynomials in the coordinates of a and is non-vanishing if distinct eigenvalues.

Lemma 3.8. *Suppose V is a finite dimensional vector space over F , $F \subset L$, and F is infinite. If $f \in L[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ non-constant, then there exists $a_1, \dots, a_n \in F$, then $f(\vec{a}) \neq 0$*

Proof. For $n = 1$, any polynomial has only finitely many zeros if it is non-zero. Then we induct and just consider $k(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})[x_n]$. \square

Hence by Lemma 3.8, we have our desired polynomial. \square

Remark 3.9. From Theorem 3.4,

$$(\dim_F E)(\dim_F C_A(E)) = \dim_F A.$$

If $C_A(E) \supsetneq E$, then add another element to get a commutative sub-algebra. Indeed, if $\dim_F E \leq \sqrt{\dim_F(A)} = \deg A$ we can always get a bigger field. If F finite, then all extensions are separable, so we are done.

3.10. Structure and Examples.

Definition 3.11. A **quaternion algebra** is a degree 2 CSA. The structure is given by $M_2(F)$ or D a division algebra.

There exists quadratic separable sub-fields if division algebra (and usually with matrices.) Let E/F be of degree 2, then E acts on itself by left multiplication, and $E \hookrightarrow \text{End}_F(E) = M_2(F)$. Suppose A is a quadratic extension, where $\text{char } F \neq 2$, then $E = F(\sqrt{a})$, and let $i = \sqrt{a}$. Then we have an automorphism of E/F where $i \mapsto -i$. So Theorem 3.3, says that there exists $j \in A^\times$ such that $jij^{-1} = -i$, so $ij = -ji$. This says that j^2 commutes with i and j .

Lemma 3.12. *We have that $A = F \oplus Fi \oplus Fj \oplus Fij$.*

Proof. As a left $F(i)$ space, 1 does not generate and $\dim_{F(i)} A = 2$ and $j \notin F(i)$ for commutativity reasons. So this implies that $A = F(i) \oplus F(i)j$. Since j^2 commutes with ij , we have $j^2 \in Z(A) = F$, so $j^2 = b \in F$. Hence A is generated by i, j such that $i^2 = a \in F^\times, j^2 = b \in F^\times$ and $ij = -ji$. We can also deduce our usually anti-commutativity properties that we expect in a quaternion algebra.

Conversely, given any $a, b \in F^\times$, we can define $(a, b/F)$ to be the algebra above; this is a CSA since it is a quaternion algebra. It is enough to show that $(a, b/\bar{F})$ works. If we replace $i \mapsto i/\sqrt{a} = \tilde{i}$ and $j \mapsto j/\sqrt{b} = \tilde{j}$. Now we have $\tilde{i}^2 = 1 = \tilde{j}^2$, hence we want to show that $(1, 1/F)$ is a CSA. Note that $(1, 1/F) \cong \text{End}_F(F[i])$ via $F[i] \mapsto$ left multiplication and $j \mapsto$ Galois action $i \mapsto -i$. It is an exercise to show that this map is an injection. \square

3.13. Symbol Algebras. Given A/F a CSA of degree n . Suppose that there exists $E \subset A$ a maximal sub-field where $E = F(\sqrt[n]{a})$.³ Let $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(E/F)$ be a generator via $\sigma(\alpha) = \zeta\alpha$ where $\alpha = \sqrt[n]{a}$ and ζ is a primitive n^{th} root of unity. Theorem 3.3, there exists some $\beta \in A^\times$ such that $\beta\alpha\beta^{-1} = \omega\alpha$.

Lemma 3.14. *We can write*

$$A = E \oplus E\beta \oplus E\beta^2 \oplus \cdots \oplus E\beta^{n-1}.$$

Proof. This is true via the linear independence of characters. Consider the action of β on A via conjugation, then $E\beta^i = E$ as a vector space over E or over F . We have that $\alpha(x\beta^i)\alpha^{-1} = \zeta^{-i}x\beta^i$, so $E\beta^i$ consists of eigenvectors from conjugacy by α with value ζ^{-i} . This implies that β^n is central, hence $\beta^n = b \in F^\times$. So

$$A = \bigoplus_{i,j \in \{1, \dots, n\}} F\alpha^i\beta^j$$

where $\beta\alpha = \zeta\alpha\beta$ and $\alpha^n = a$ and $\beta^n = b$. □

Definition 3.15. If we define the **symbol algebra**, denoted by $(a, b)_\zeta$, to be

$$\bigoplus_{i,j \in \{1, \dots, n\}} F\alpha^i\beta^j$$

where $\beta\alpha = \zeta\alpha\beta$ and $\alpha^n = a$ and $\beta^n = b$, then $(a, b)_\zeta$ is a CSA/ F .

What if we don't assume Kummer extension? What about just a Galois extension?

3.16. Cyclic Algebras. Assume that E/F is cyclic with $\text{Gal}(E/F) = \langle \sigma \rangle$ where $\sigma^n = \text{Id}_E$. Suppose that $E \subset A$ is a maximal sub-field, we can choose $\mu \in A$ such that $\mu x = \sigma(x)\mu$ for all $x \in E$ via Theorem 3.3, then

$$A = E \oplus E\mu \oplus E\mu^2 \oplus \cdots \oplus E\mu^{n-1}.$$

Like before, it will follow that $\mu^n = b \in F = Z(A)$.

Definition 3.17. Then we say that $A = \Delta(E, \sigma, b)$ is a **cyclic algebra**.

It turns out that over a number field, all CSA's are of this form. There is a result due to Albert, that shows that these all CSA's are not cyclic. If E/F is an arbitrary Galois extension and $E \subset A$ is maximal. For every $g \in G$, there exists $u_g \in A$ such that $u_g x = g(x)u_g$ so that $A = \bigoplus_{g \in G} Eu_g$.

4. LECTURE (1/30)

Last time, we did some warm-ups to the Double Centralizer Theorem (Theorem 2.8 and Theorem 3.4) i.e., if $B \subset \text{End}_F(V)$ where B is simple, then $C_{\text{End}_F(V)}(C_{\text{End}_F(V)}B) = B$

³We call this a cyclic Kummer extension.

and if $A \cong B \otimes C$ all CSA/ F , then $C = C_A(B)$. As well as the Noether-Skolem Theorem (Theorem 3.3).

Theorem 4.1 (Double Centralizer Theorem Warm-up 3). *If $B \subset A$ are CSA/ F , then*

- (1) $C_A(B)$ is a CSA/ F ,
- (2) $A = BC_A(B) \cong B \otimes C_A(B)$.

Proof. If (2) holds, then A simple implies $C_A(B)$ is simple. If we look at $1 \otimes Z(C_A(B)) \hookrightarrow Z(A) = F$, hence $C_A(B)$ is central. To prove (2), we consider the map

$$B \otimes C_A(B) \longrightarrow A.$$

Without lose of generality, $F = \bar{F}$, in particular, $B = M_n(F)$ and $A = \text{End}_F(V)$. Since B is simple, there exists a simple module, and since F^n is one such module, it is our unique one. If $B \subset A$, then V is a B -module, which implies that $V = (F^n)^m$. Hence $A = M_{nm}(F) = M_m(M_n(F))$.

Now we can compute $C_A(B) = C_{M_m(M_n(F))}(M_n(F))$, where $M_n(F)$ are block scalar matrices. Note that $C_{M_m(M_n(F))}(M_n(F)) = M_m(Z(M_n(F))) = M_m(F)$. Thus we have

$$M_n(F) \otimes M_m(F) \cong M_{mn}(F).$$

□

Theorem 4.2 (Full-on Double Centralizer Theorem). *Let $B \subset A$ where A is a CSA/ F and B is simple. We have the following:*

- (1) $C_A(B)$ is simple;
- (2) $(\dim_F B)(\dim_F(C_A(B))) = \dim_F(A)$ (Theorem 3.4);
- (3) $C_A(C_A(B)) = B$;
- (4) If B is a CSA/ F , then $A \cong B \otimes C_A(B)$ (Theorem 4.1).

Proof. To prove (3), we can think of $B \hookrightarrow A \hookrightarrow A \otimes A^{\text{op}} = \text{End}_F(A)$. By Theorem 2.8, we know that $B = C_{\text{End}_F(A)}(C_{\text{End}_F(A)}(B))$. We note that

$$C_{\text{End}_F(A)}(B) = C_{A \otimes A^{\text{op}}}(B) = C_A(B) \otimes A^{\text{op}},$$

and for the second centralizer

$$C_{A \otimes A^{\text{op}}}(C_A(B) \otimes A^{\text{op}}) = C_A(C_A(B)) \otimes 1 = B.$$

(1) follows from the fact that $C_{A \otimes A^{\text{op}}}(B) = C_A(B) \otimes A^{\text{op}}$ is simple. □

Suppose A is a CSA/ F and $E \subset A$ maximal sub-field i.e., $[E : F] = \deg A$ and E/F is Galois with Galois group G . In this case, if $\sigma \in G$, there exists $u_\sigma \in A^\times$ such that $u_\sigma \times u_\sigma^{-1} = \sigma(x)$ for $x \in E$ ⁴. We will show that

$$A = \bigoplus_{\sigma \in G} Eu_\sigma.$$

Lemma 4.3. *These Noether-Skolem elements u_σ are independent of E .*

Proof. If not, then choose some minimal dependence relation

$$\begin{aligned} \sum x_\sigma u_\sigma &= 0 \\ \Rightarrow 0 &= \sum x_\sigma u_\sigma y = \sum x_\sigma \sigma(y) u_\sigma y. \end{aligned}$$

⁴We will call these elements u_σ Noether-Skolem elements.

This implies that $\lambda x_\sigma = x_\sigma \sigma(y)$ for all σ for some fixed λ i.e., $\sigma(y) = \lambda$ for all σ . Thus $y \in F$, so by dimension count $A = Eu_\sigma$. If u_σ and v_σ are both Noether-Skolem for $\sigma \in G$, then $u_\sigma v_\sigma^{-1} x = x u_\sigma v_\sigma^{-1}$ for $x \in E$. We note that $u_\sigma v_\sigma^{-1} \in C_A(E) = E$ by Double Centralizer Theorem, so $v_\sigma = \lambda_\sigma u_\sigma$ for some $\lambda_\sigma \in E^\times$. \square

Conversely, such a v_σ is Noether-Skolem for σ . Notice that $u_\sigma u_\tau$ and $u_{\sigma\tau}$ are both Noether-Skolem for $\sigma\tau$, so $u_\sigma u_\tau = c(\sigma, \tau) u_{\sigma\tau}$ for some $c(\sigma, \tau) \in E^\times$. We can also check associativity meaning that $u_\sigma(u_\tau u_\gamma) = (u_\sigma u_\tau) u_\gamma$. We will find that

$$c(\sigma, \tau) c(\sigma\tau, \gamma) = c(\sigma, \tau\gamma) \sigma(c(\sigma, \gamma)). \quad (4.3.1)$$

Definition 4.4. We call this the **2-cocycle condition** for a function $c : G \times G \longrightarrow E^\times$ if

$$c(\sigma, \tau) c(\sigma\tau, \gamma) = c(\sigma, \tau\gamma) \sigma(c(\sigma, \gamma)).$$

Definition 4.5. If E/F is Galois, $c : G \times G \longrightarrow E^\times$ a 2-cocycle condition, then define (E, G, c) to be the **crossed product algebra**, which we denote by $\bigoplus Eu_\sigma$ with multiplication defined by

$$(xu_\sigma)(yu_\tau) = x\sigma(y)c(\sigma, \tau)u_{\sigma\tau}.$$

Proposition 4.6. $A = (E, G, c)$ as above is a CSA/ F .

Proof. If $A \twoheadrightarrow B$, then $E \hookrightarrow B$ since E is simple and $u_\sigma \mapsto v_\sigma \in B$ are Noether-Skolem in B for E . Due to the independence of B , then we have injection. Note that $Z(A) \subset C_A(E) = E$ and note that $C_A(\{u_\sigma\}_{\sigma \in G}) \cap E = F$ due to the Galois action, so we have that A is central. \square

Question 1. When is $(E, G, c) \cong (E, G, c')$?

By Noether-Skolem, the isomorphism must preserve E so $\varphi(E) = E$. Hence $\varphi(u_\sigma)$ is a Noether-Skolem in (E, G, c') . Since $(E, G, c) = \bigoplus Eu_\sigma$ and $(E, G, c') = \bigoplus Eu_{\sigma'}$, hence $\varphi(u_\sigma) = x_\sigma u_{\sigma'}$. The homomorphism condition says that

$$\varphi(c(\sigma, \tau) u_{\sigma\tau}) = c(\sigma, \tau) x_{\sigma\tau} u'_{\sigma\tau} = \varphi(u_\sigma u_\tau) = \varphi(u_\sigma) \varphi(u_\tau) = (x_\sigma u'_\sigma)(x_\tau u'_\tau),$$

which implies that

$$c(\sigma, \tau) x_{\sigma\tau} = x_\sigma \sigma(x_\tau) c'(\sigma, \tau)$$

i.e., $c(\sigma, \tau) = x_\sigma \sigma(x_\tau) x_{\sigma\tau}^{-1} c'(\sigma, \tau)$ for some elements $\sigma \in E^\times$ for each $\sigma \in G$.

Definition 4.7. We say that c, c' are **cohomologous** if there exists $b : G \longrightarrow E^\times$ such that

$$c(\sigma, \tau) = b(\sigma) \sigma(b(\tau)) b(\sigma\tau)^{-1} c'(\sigma, \tau).$$

Definition 4.8. Set

$$B^2(G, E^\times) = \left\{ f : G \times G \longrightarrow E^\times \mid f = b(\sigma) \sigma(b(\tau)) b(\sigma\tau)^{-1} \text{ for some } b : G \longrightarrow E^\times \right\}$$

and

$$Z^2(G, E^\times) = \{ f : G \times G \longrightarrow E^\times \mid 2 \text{ cocycles} \}.$$

These are groups via point-wise multiplication. We define

$$H^2(G, E^\times) = \frac{Z^2(G, E^\times)}{B^2(G, E^\times)}.$$

Proposition 4.9. $H^2(G, E^\times)$ is in bijection with isomorphism classes of CSA/ F such that $E \subset A$ is maximal.

To approach the group structure, we need to learn about idempotents.

4.10. Idempotents.

Definition 4.11. We call an element $e \in A$ an **idempotent** if $e^2 = e$.

If e is central, then it is clear that $e(1 - e) = 0$ and $(1 - e)^2 = 1 - e$. Now

$$A = A \cdot 1 = A(e + (1 - e)) = Ae \times A(1 - e).$$

The point is that $e \in eA$ and $(1 - e) \in (1 - e)A$ act as identities, hence $(ae)(b(1 - e)) = abe(1 - e) = 0$. Writing a ring $A = A_1 \times A_2$ is equivalent to finding idempotents i.e., identity elements in A_1 and A_2 . If e is not central, $f = 1 - e$ and $e + f = 1$. So we can write

$$1A1 = (e + f)A(e + f) = eAe + eAf + fAe + fAf$$

where eAe and fAf are rings with identities e and f .

If we think of

$$A = \text{End}(A_A) = \text{End}(eA \oplus fA) = \begin{pmatrix} \text{End}(eA) & \text{Hom}(fA, eA) \\ \text{Hom}(eA, fA) & \text{End}(fA) \end{pmatrix}$$

We claim that this decomposition falls in line with $A = eAe \oplus eAf \oplus fAe \oplus fAf$. Suppose we take $(eaf)(eb) = 0$ and $(eaf)(fb) \in eA$. We note that

$$eaf = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \star \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

so we have that

$$eAf = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \text{Hom}(fA, eA) \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

So $eAe = \text{End}_A(eA)$ and $eAf = \text{Hom}_A(fA, eA)$, and so on and so on. This is called **Pierce decomposition**. So as a matrix algebra we have

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} eAe & fAe \\ eAf & fAf \end{pmatrix}$$

Let's assume that A is a CSA/ F and let $e \in A$ be an idempotent. So we have $eAe = \text{End}_A(eA) = \text{End}_A(P^n) = M_n(D)$ and $A = \text{End}_A(A_A) = \text{End}_A(P^m) = M_m(D)$, where $D = \text{End}_A(P_A)$, which implies that $eAe \sim A$ under the Brauer equivalence. So idempotents give us a way to recognize Brauer equivalence.

If we take two cross product algebras, $(E, G, c) \otimes (E, G, c') \sim (E, G, cc')$. We want an idempotent in the tensor product that will allow us to "chop" or deduce our equivalence. Note that

$$E \otimes E = E \otimes F[x]/f(x) = E[x]/f(x) = \prod E[x]/(x - \alpha_i) = \prod_{\sigma \in G} E[x]/(x - \sigma(\alpha)) = \prod_{\sigma \in G} E,$$

where α is just some root. This says that there are idempotents in the product, namely $e_\sigma \in E \otimes E$, where $\sigma \in G$. The punchline is that e_1 will work, but we will need to prove it.

Let's look at the map

$$\begin{aligned}
E \otimes E &\longrightarrow \frac{E[x]}{x - \sigma(\alpha)} \cong E \\
a \otimes b &\longmapsto a\sigma(b) \\
1 \otimes \alpha &\longmapsto x \\
(1 \otimes z)e_\sigma &\longmapsto E\sigma(a) \\
(\sigma(a) \otimes 1)e_\sigma &\longmapsto \sigma(a)
\end{aligned}$$

Hence $(1 \otimes a)E_\sigma = (\sigma(z) \otimes 1)e_\sigma$. Let $(E, G, c) = A \ni u_\sigma$ and $(E, G, c') = A' \ni u'_\sigma$. Let $e = e_1$ so $eAe \ni ew_\sigma$ where $w_\sigma = u_\sigma \otimes u'_\sigma$, which does exist. We note that $E \otimes E \subset A \otimes A'$. We want to see how the e and the Noether-Skolem elements interact,

$$\begin{aligned}
(1 \otimes u'_\sigma)e(1 \otimes u'^{-1}_\sigma)(1 \otimes x) &= (1 \otimes u'^{-1}_\sigma)e(1 \otimes \sigma(x))(1 \otimes u'_\sigma) \\
&= (1 \otimes u'^{-1}_\sigma)e(\sigma(x) \otimes 1)(1 \otimes u'_\sigma) \\
&= (1 \otimes u'^{-1}_\sigma)e(1 \otimes u'_\sigma)(\sigma(x) \otimes 1).
\end{aligned}$$

This did what e_σ should do. Note that conjugation takes idempotents to idempotents, so $(1 \otimes u'^{-1}_\sigma)$ is in fact idempotent. We can note that $(u_\sigma \otimes u'_\sigma)e = e(u_\sigma \otimes u'_\sigma)$, so if we let $w_\sigma = (u_\sigma \otimes u'_\sigma)$. Then we have that $ew_\sigma = e^2w_\sigma = ew_\sigma e \in eA \otimes A'e$. We want $eA \otimes A'e$ as (E, G, c) . Since $eE \otimes E \cong E$ via the map $e(E \otimes 1)$.

We want to show that if we have

$$\begin{aligned}
ew_\sigma(x \otimes 1)e &= e(u_\sigma \otimes u'_\sigma)(x \otimes 1)e \\
&= e(\sigma(x) \otimes 1)(u_\sigma \otimes u'_\sigma)e \\
&= e(\sigma(x) \otimes 1)w_\sigma e
\end{aligned}$$

So ew'_σ 's are Noether-Skolem elements, so

$$eA \oplus A'e \supseteq \bigoplus_{\sigma \in G} e(E \otimes 1)ew_\sigma.$$

For equality, let $e(xu_\sigma \otimes yu'_\tau)e \in eA \otimes A'e$. We can re-write this as so,

$$\begin{aligned}
e(xu_\sigma \otimes yu'_\tau)e &= e(x \otimes y)(u_\sigma \otimes u'_\tau)e \\
&= e(x \otimes y)(u_\sigma u'^{-1}_\tau \otimes 1)(u_\tau \otimes u'_\tau)e \\
&= (xy \otimes 1)e(u_\sigma u'^{-1}_\tau \otimes 1)ew_\tau e \\
&= (xy \otimes 1)\lambda e(u_\sigma u_{\tau-1} \otimes 1)e \\
&= (xy \otimes 1)\lambda(u_\sigma u_{\tau-1} \otimes 1)e_{\sigma\tau^{-1}}e \\
&= \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \sigma \neq \tau \\ \lambda''e & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \\
&= \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \sigma \neq \tau \\ (xy \otimes 1)(\lambda \otimes 1)e\lambda''ew_\sigma e \in \bigoplus_{\sigma \in G} e(E \otimes 1)ew_\sigma & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

since $u'^{-1}_\tau = \lambda u_{\tau-1}$ for some $\lambda \in E^\times$. Hence $eA \otimes A'e \cong A \otimes A' \cong (E, G, cc')$. Danny checks the cocycle condition, however, I will not repeat this computation. Thus we have shown

that the operation in $H^2 = \text{Br}$ group operation i.e.,

$$\text{Br}(E/F) := \{[A] : A \text{ CSA}/F \text{ with } E \subset A \text{ maximal}\}$$

is a subgroup of $\text{Br}(F) \cong H^2(G, E^\times)$. We sometimes call this group $\text{Br}(E/F)$ the **relative Brauer group of F** .

5. LECTURE (2/6)

Last time we defined that $\text{Br}(E/F)$ is the set of equivalence classes of CSA/F with E maximal sub-field and E/F is Galois. We showed that this is actually a group, namely, $\text{Br}(E/F) \cong H^2(G, E^\times) = Z^2(G, E^\times)/B^2(G, E^\times)$. The mapping from $H^2(G, E^\times)$ to $\text{Br}(E/F)$ was defined by $c \mapsto (E, G, c)$, then crossed product algebra as defined in 4.5. We want to relate splitting fields to maximal subfields.

Definition 5.1. We say that E/F **splits** if $A \otimes_F E \cong M_n(E)$.

We always have splitting fields, namely the algebraic closure; moreover, there are splitting fields which are finite extensions.

Lemma 5.2. *If $A \text{ CSA}/F$, $E \subset A$ subfield, then $C_A(E) \smile A \otimes_F E$.*

Proof. Note that $\otimes E \hookrightarrow A \otimes A^{\text{op}} = \text{End}_F A$. We look at

$$\begin{aligned} \text{End}_E(A) &= C_{\text{End}_F(A)}(E) = A \otimes C_{A^{\text{op}}}(E) = A \otimes_F E \otimes_E C_{A^{\text{op}}}(E) \\ &= (A \otimes_F E) \otimes_E C_{A^{\text{op}}}(E) = (A \otimes_F E) \otimes_E C_A(E)^{\text{op}}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\text{End}_E(A)$ is a split E -algebra, thus

$$[A \otimes E] - [C_A(E)] = 0 \in \text{Br } E.$$

□

Corollary 5.3. *If $E \subset D \text{ CSA}/F$, then $(\text{ind } D \otimes E)[E : F] = \text{ind } D$.*

Proof. By Theorem 4.2, we have that $\dim_F C_D(E)[E : F] = \dim_F D$. By taking the dimension over E , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \deg C_D(E)^2[E : F]^2 &= (\deg D)^2 \\ \deg C_D(E)[E : F] &= (\deg D) = \text{ind } D \\ \Rightarrow \text{ind } C_D(E)[E : F] &= \text{ind } D \\ (\text{ind } D \otimes E)[E : f] &= \text{ind } D. \end{aligned}$$

□

Remark 5.4. If $E \subset A$ is a maximal subfield, then $A \otimes E$ is split. Indeed, since $A \otimes E \smile C_A(E) = E$ by Theorem 4.2.

Proposition 5.5. *If $A \text{ CSA}/F$, $E \otimes A \cong M_n(F)$, and $[E : F] = \deg A = n$, then E is isomorphic to a maximal subfield of A .*

Proof. Note that $E \hookrightarrow \text{End}_F(E) = M_n(F) \hookrightarrow A \otimes M_n(F)$. Now we compute

$$\begin{aligned} C_{A \otimes M_n(F)}(E) &\cong (A \otimes M_n(F)) \otimes_F E \\ &\cong M_n(F) = E \otimes M_n(F) \end{aligned}$$

We have the map

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi : E \otimes M_n(F) &\longrightarrow A \otimes M_n(F) \\ M_n(F) &\longmapsto B \end{aligned}$$

By Noether-Skolem, we can replace φ by φ composed with an inner automorphism so that $B \cong 1 \otimes M_n(F)$. So now note that $C_{E \otimes M_n(F)}(M_n(F)) \subset E \subset E \otimes M_n(F)$, hence $\varphi(E) \subset C_{E \otimes M_n(F)}(M_n(F))E = A \otimes 1$. \square

If we have a splitting field for our algebra with appropriate dimension, then it must be a maximal field.

Corollary 5.6. *Let A/F be a CSA $/F$, then $[A] \in \text{Br}(E/F)$ for some E/F is Galois.*

Proof. Write $A = M_m(D)$, where $[A] = [D]$. WLOG A is a division algebra. We know that D has a maximal separable subfield $L \subset D$. Let E/F be the Galois closure of L/F . We claim that $E \hookrightarrow M_m(D)$. We have that $E \hookrightarrow \text{End}_L(E) = M_{[E:L]}(L)$ via left-multiplication. If we look at $D \otimes_F M_{[E:L]}(F) \supset L \otimes M_{[E:L]}(F) = M_{[E:L]}(L) \supset E$. Note that the left hand side has degree equal to $[E:F]$ since $\deg D[E:L] = [L:F][E:L] = [E:F]$. By Lemma 5.5, we have that E is a maximal subfield of $D \otimes M_{[E:L]}(F)$. Therefore, $[A] = [D] \in \text{Br}(E/F)$. \square

5.7. Galois Descent. We fix E/F a G -Galois extension. A is a CSA $/F$ if and only if $A \otimes E \cong M_n(E)$ for some E/F Galois. We can interpret this as saying that A is a “twisted form” of a matrix algebra.

Definition 5.8. Given an algebra A/F , we say that B/F is a **twisted form of A** if $A \otimes_F E \cong B \otimes_F E$ for some E/F separable and Galois.⁵

Descent is the process of going from E to F i.e., descending back down. We use that fact that $E^G = F$ where G is the Galois group. The idea is as follows: given $A \otimes E$, G acts on the E -part and the invariants give A . The issue here is that the isomorphism in Definition 5.8 does not respect the Galois action, meaning that different actions could produce different isomorphisms.

Definition 5.9. A **semi-linear action** of G on an E -vector space V is an action of G on V (as F -linear transformations) such that

$$\sigma(xv) = \sigma(x)\sigma(v) \quad \forall x \in E, v \in V. \quad (5.9.1)$$

Theorem 5.10. *There is an equivalence of categories*

$$\begin{aligned} \{F\text{-vector spaces}\} &\longleftrightarrow \{E\text{-vector spaces with semi-linear action}\} \\ V &\longmapsto V \otimes_F E \\ W^G &\longleftarrow W \end{aligned}$$

⁵We could make an equivalent definition for any algebraic structure. We leave this vague on purpose.

If V is an E -space with semi-linear action, we get an action of $(E, G, 1)$ on V where $E = \bigoplus Eu_\sigma$ and $u_\sigma u_\tau = u_{\sigma\tau}$ and $u_\sigma x = \sigma(x)u_\sigma$ via $(xu_\sigma)(v) = x\sigma(v)$. We can check well-definedness as so

$$\begin{aligned} (xu_\sigma)(yu_\tau)(v) &= xu_\sigma(y\tau(v)) = x\sigma(y)\sigma\tau(v) \\ \Rightarrow (x\sigma(y)u_\sigma u_\tau)(v) &= x\sigma(y)u_{\sigma\tau}(v) = x\sigma(y)\sigma\tau(v) = x\sigma(y)\sigma\tau(v) \end{aligned}$$

Actually, a semi-linear action on U is a $(E, G, 1)$ module structure $u_\sigma v$. Hence $(E, G, 1)$ has a unique simple module E . If V is semi-linear, then $V \cong E^n$ and vice versa. To see the equivalence of Theorem 5.10, we notice that the unique simple E goes to F and the F goes back to E , and these are unique.

If V is some semi-linear space, so a $(E, G, 1)$ module, then $V^G \cong E' \otimes_{(E, G, 1)} V$, where E' is the unique simple $(E, G, 1)$ module. We hope to describe this later.

Definition 5.11. If V, W are semi-linear spaces, then a semi-linear morphism is $\varphi : V \rightarrow W$ is an F linear map such that $\varphi(\sigma(v)) = \sigma\varphi(v)$.

Under the equivalence of Theorem 5.10, we can see that

$$\bigoplus Fe_i \cong W \longrightarrow \bigoplus Ee_i \cong W \otimes E \longrightarrow (W \otimes E)^G = \bigoplus E^G e_i \cong \bigoplus Fe_i$$

In the reverse direction, we know that

$$V = \bigoplus Ee_i \longrightarrow \bigoplus E^G e_i = \bigoplus Fe_i \longrightarrow \bigoplus (F \otimes_F E)e_i = \bigoplus Ee_i.$$

We have shown that there is a *natural* isomorphism of objects, so now we must consider arrows. If $\varphi : W \rightarrow W$ is an F -linear map, then $\varphi \otimes E : W \otimes E \rightarrow W' \otimes E$. Then

$$\begin{array}{ccc} a \otimes x & \longrightarrow & \varphi(a) \otimes x \\ \downarrow \sigma & & \downarrow \sigma \\ a \otimes \sigma(x) & \longrightarrow & \varphi(a) \otimes \sigma(x) \end{array}$$

i.e., σ acts on the left component. If $\psi : V \rightarrow V'$ is semi-linear, then ψ induces a map via restriction to $V^G \rightarrow (V')^G$, so the arrows correspond as well.

If V, W are semi-linear spaces, how should we define the action on $V \otimes_E W$? It is sort of induced on us, meaning $V = \overline{V} \otimes E$ and $W = \overline{W} \otimes E$. Hence

$$V \otimes_E W = (\overline{V} \otimes E) \otimes_E (\overline{W} \otimes E) = (\overline{V} \otimes \overline{W}) \otimes E.$$

We can check the compatibility of the action by consider the diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\overline{V} \otimes E) \otimes_E (\overline{W} \otimes E) & \longleftrightarrow & (\overline{V} \otimes \overline{W}) \otimes E \\ \uparrow & \nearrow & \\ \overline{V} \otimes \overline{W} & & \end{array}$$

Hence the answer to our previous question is that σ must act on the right component. Thus we have an equivalence of categories with tensors.

Definition 5.12. A **semi-linear action** of G on an algebra A/E is a map from $G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(A/F)$ such that $\sigma(xa) = \sigma(x)\sigma(a)$ for all $x \in E, a \in A$. In particular, $\sigma(ab) = \sigma(a)\sigma(b)$ implies that $A \otimes A \rightarrow A$ is semi-linear.

Theorem 5.10 says that semi-linear algebras over E correspond to F -algebras by taking invariants and tensoring up. We now want to classify these semi-linear mappings. If A is some interesting algebra, we want to find all twisted forms A . If B is a twisted form and we have an isomorphism $\phi : B \otimes E \rightarrow A \otimes E$. We can define a new action where $\sigma_B(\alpha) = \phi(\sigma(\phi^{-1}(\alpha)))$ where $\alpha \in A \otimes E$. How do these actions compare?

We can compute $\sigma^{-1}(\sigma_B(\alpha)) \in \text{Aut}_E(A \otimes E)$ and we can check that $\sigma^{-1}(\sigma_B(x\alpha)) = x\sigma^{-1}(\sigma_B(\alpha))$. For similar reasons, $\sigma_B \circ \sigma^{-1} \in \text{Aut}_E(A \otimes E)$ so $\sigma_B = a_\sigma \circ \sigma$ for some $a_\sigma \in \text{Aut}_E(A \otimes E)$. We can check that $\sigma_B \tau_B = (\sigma\tau)_B$; moreover that $a_{\sigma\tau} = a_\sigma \sigma(a_\tau)$, which is called the **1-cocycle** or equivalently $a(\sigma\tau) = a(\sigma)\sigma(a\tau)$ a **cross homomorphism**.

Theorem 5.13. If B is a twisted form of A , there exists a map $G \rightarrow \text{Aut}_E(A \otimes E)$ which is a 1-cocycle and such that $B = (A \otimes E)_a^G$ where the subscript means $A \otimes E$ with the new action $\sigma_a(\alpha) = a_\sigma \sigma(\alpha)$. Conversely, every such 1-cocycle gives a twisted form.

Proof. Given a 1-cocycle $a : G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(A \otimes E)$, let's check that the action of $(A \otimes E)_a$ is semi-linear. We want to know that $\sigma_a \tau_a(\alpha) = (\sigma\tau)_a(\alpha)$ and $\sigma_a(x\alpha) = \sigma(x)\sigma_a(\alpha)$. Using the assumption that a is a 1-cocycle and doing a cohomology calculation, we can verify these results. Once we picked an isomorphism $A \otimes E \rightarrow B \otimes E$, then everything else was well-defined. If we pick different ϕ 's then how is everything related. We can find that a_σ and a'_σ are cohomologous if $a'_\sigma = b a_\sigma (\sigma b^{-1} \sigma^{-1})$ for some $b \in \text{Aut}(A \otimes E)$. The equivalence classes under cohomology are in bijective correspondence with isomorphism classes of semi-linear actions and therefore, in bijection with twisted forms of A . \square

Definition 5.14. We define $H^1(G, \text{Aut}(A \otimes E))$ is the set of these cohomology classes i.e., cocycles up to equivalence. The base point of this pointed set is $a_\sigma = 1$, which refers to A as a twisted algebra of itself A .

6. LECTURE (2/13)

Let E/F be G Galois and some vector space V/F . We can tensor up to $V \otimes E$ with a G action on the second component. We note that $V \cong (V \otimes E)^G$ by hitting the tensor with G and seeing what doesn't move. Recall Theorem 5.10. Suppose that $V = F^n$, then $V \otimes E = E^n$ and we can write $\text{End}_E(V \otimes E) = E^{n^2}$. By thinking about the action of G coordinate wise on $\text{End}_E(V \otimes E)$, we can deduce that some $\sigma \in G$ acts on $f \in \text{End}_E(V \otimes E)$ by $\sigma(f) = \sigma \circ f \circ \sigma^{-1}$. For example, if $f = x e_{ij}$ such that

$$\sigma(f)(e_k) = \sigma(f(e_k)) = \sigma(x e_{ij} e_k) = \sigma(x \delta_{jk} e_i) = \sigma(x) \delta_{jk} e_i.$$

Give a "model" algebra A_0/F , we can ask to classify all of the A/F such that $A \otimes E \cong A_0 \otimes E$, in particular, we are looking for CSA $/F$ that split over E of degree n . If

$\phi : A \otimes E \longrightarrow A_0 \otimes E$, then we can transport the action of G on the left to the right i.e., we want to analyze the Galois action on E . Hence

$$\sigma \cdot x = \phi \sigma \phi^{-1}(x). \quad (6.0.1)$$

If we set $b(\sigma) = \phi \sigma \phi^{-1} \sigma^{-1} \in \text{Aut}_E(A_0 \otimes E)$, then we can rewrite (6.0.1) as

$$\sigma \cdot x = b(\sigma) \circ \sigma(x). \quad (6.0.2)$$

If we set $b(\sigma\tau) = b(\sigma)\sigma(b(\tau))$, then we can say that $\sigma \circ (\tau \circ x) = \sigma\tau \circ x$. We can also modify ϕ by hitting $A_0 \times E$ by an automorphism a . Set $\phi' = a^{-1}\phi$. The new action will be

$$\begin{aligned} \phi' \sigma \phi'^{-1} \sigma'^{-1} &= a^{-1} \phi \sigma (a^{-1} \phi)^{-1} \sigma^{-1} \\ &= a^{-1} \phi \sigma \phi^{-1} a \sigma^{-1} \\ &= a^{-1} \phi \sigma \phi^{-1} \sigma^{-1} \sigma a \sigma^{-1} \\ &= a^{-1} b(\sigma) \sigma(a), \end{aligned}$$

hence we say that

$$b \sim b' \iff b'(\sigma) = a^{-1} b(\sigma) \sigma(a) \text{ for some } a \in \text{Aut}_E(A_0 \otimes E).$$

Definition 6.1. Suppose that X is a group with action of G . Then we define

$$Z^1(G, X) = \{b : G \longrightarrow X \mid b(\sigma\tau) = b(\sigma)\sigma(b(\tau))\}$$

and $b \sim b'$ if there exist some $x \in X$ such that $b'(\sigma) = x^{-1} b(\sigma) \sigma(x)$ for all $\sigma \in G$. We define $H^1(G, X)$ to be the set of equivalence classes of the above form.

In particular, we know that

$$\text{CSA} / F \text{ of degree } n \text{ with splitting field } E/F \longleftrightarrow H^1(G, \text{Aut}_E(M_n(E)))$$

Note that $\text{GL}_n(E) \rightarrow \text{Aut}_E(M_n(E))$ with conjugation by T and the kernel of this map are the central matrices which are the scalars i.e., E^\times .

Definition 6.2. We define $\text{PGL}_n(E) = \text{GL}_n(E) / E^\times$. From Definition 6.1, we have that

$$H^1(G, \text{Aut}_E(M_n(E))) \cong H^1(G, \text{PGL}_n(E)).$$

Recall that $(E, G, c) = \bigoplus_{\sigma \in G} E u_\sigma$ where $u_\tau = c(\sigma, \tau) u_{\sigma\tau}$. For this course, we say that given $u_{\#1}, u_{\#1}, u_{\#1}$, we have that

$$c(\sigma, \tau) c(\sigma\tau, \gamma) = c(\sigma, \tau\gamma) \sigma(c(\tau, \gamma))$$

i.e., the two co-cycle condition. If we altered $u_{\#1}$ to $v_\sigma = b(\sigma) u_{\#1}$. This alteration does give an equivalence between the co-cycles by setting

$$c'(\sigma, \tau) = b(\sigma) \sigma(b(\tau)) b(\sigma\tau)^{-1} c(\sigma\tau), \quad (6.2.1)$$

which leads us to the notion of cohomologous. We say that $c \sim c'$ if and only if $\exists b$ that satisfies (6.2.1). The equivalence classes for a group $H^2(G, E^\times) = \text{Br}(E/F)$.

6.3. Thinking about H^2 Abstractly. Abstractly, we can think of H^2 by letting X be an Abelian group with G action. We set

$$Z^2(G, X) = \{c : G \times G \longrightarrow X \mid c(\sigma, \tau) c(\sigma\tau, \gamma) = c(\sigma, \tau\gamma) \sigma(c(\tau, \gamma))\}$$

We set $C^1(G, X)$ as the arrows from G to X . For a $b \in C^1(G, X)$, we say that the **boundary** is

$$\partial b(\sigma, \tau) = b(\sigma)\sigma(b(\tau))$$

Then we have

$$H^2(G, X) = \frac{Z^2(G, X)}{B^2(G, X)}.$$

If X is a set with G action, then

$$H^0(G, X) = Z^0(G, X) = \{x \in X : \sigma(x) = x\} = X^G.$$

6.4. The Long Exact Sequences.

Theorem 6.5. *Given a SES*

$$1 \longrightarrow A \longrightarrow B \longrightarrow C \longrightarrow 1$$

of groups with G action. Taking cohomology gives a long exact sequence

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 1 & \longrightarrow & H^0(G, A) & \longrightarrow & H^0(G, B) & \longrightarrow & H^0(G, C) \\ & & & & \searrow \delta_0 & & \uparrow \\ & & H^1(G, A) & \longrightarrow & H^1(G, B) & \longrightarrow & H^1(G, C) \\ & & & & \searrow \delta_1 & & \uparrow \\ & & H^2(G, A) & \longrightarrow & H^2(G, B) & \longrightarrow & H^2(G, C) \end{array}$$

and we stop at a certain point if $A \subset Z(B)$ or unless B is Abelian.

Remark 6.6. If X, Y, Z are pointed sets, we say that $X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} Z$ if and only if $\ker g = \text{im } f$ as pointed sets.

What are the transgression maps when the groups are not Abelian? For δ_0 , we can take this for granted. We want to look at δ_1 . Assume that $A \subset Z(B)$ choose a $c \in Z^1(G, C)$. Pick some $b \in C^1(G, C)$, then $b(\sigma) \in B$ which happens to map to $c(\sigma) \in A$. We look that

$$\partial b(\sigma\tau) = b(\sigma)\sigma(b(\tau))b(\sigma\tau)^{-1} \in C^2(G, B),$$

hence $\partial b(\sigma, \tau) = a(\sigma, \tau) \in C^2(G, A)$. We want to show that

$$a(\sigma, \tau)a(\sigma\tau, \gamma) = a(\sigma, \tau\gamma)\sigma(a(\tau, \gamma)).$$

Writing everything out with $a(\sigma, \tau) = b(\sigma)\sigma(b(\tau))b(\sigma\tau)^{-1}$, we have prove this equality.

We want to specialize to the sequence

$$1 \longrightarrow E^\times \longrightarrow \text{GL}(V \otimes E) \longrightarrow \text{PGL}(V \otimes E) \longrightarrow 1.$$

Taking cohomology, we have

$$H^1(G, \text{PGL}(V \otimes E)) \longrightarrow H^2(G, E^\times) = \text{Br}(E/F).$$

Let's fix $n = [E : F] = \dim V$. We claim that under these assumptions, the above map is surjective. Pick $c \in Z^2(G, E^\times)$. Let e_σ be a basis for V induced by G . We define $b \in C^1(G, \text{GL}(V \otimes E))$ via $b(\sigma)(e_\tau) = c(\sigma, \tau)e_{\sigma\tau}$. Note that

$$\begin{aligned}
b(\sigma)\sigma(b(\tau))(e_\gamma) &= b(\sigma)(\sigma b(\tau)\sigma^{-1}(e_\gamma)) \\
&= b(\sigma)(\sigma(b(\tau)e_\gamma)) \\
&= b(\sigma)\sigma(c(\sigma, \gamma)e_\gamma) \\
&= b(\sigma)\sigma(c(\tau, \gamma))e_{\gamma\tau} \\
&= \sigma(c(\tau, \gamma))c(\sigma, \tau\gamma)e_{\sigma\tau\gamma} \\
&= c(\sigma, \tau)c(\sigma\tau, \gamma)e_{\sigma\tau\gamma} \\
&= c(\sigma, \tau)b(\sigma\tau)e_\gamma \\
\Rightarrow b(\sigma)\sigma(b(\tau)) &= c(\sigma, \tau)b(\sigma\tau) \\
\Rightarrow b(\sigma)\sigma(b(\tau))b(\sigma\tau)^{-1} &\sim c(\sigma, \tau)
\end{aligned}$$

This implies that modulo E^\times , we have that

$$\overline{b(\sigma)\sigma(b(\tau))} = \overline{b(\sigma\tau)},$$

hence $\partial b = c$ is a lift if $\bar{b} \in Z^1(G, \text{PGL})$. What we have said is that if we tweak the standard Galois action on $\text{End}_E(V \otimes E)$ by the $\bar{b} \in Z^1(G, \text{PGL})$, then the image of \bar{b} under δ_1 is from (E, G, c) via δ_1 . We want to determine the algebra from \bar{b} . We want to take the invariants of the tweaked Galois action in order to recover this algebra, where we define the new action for $f \in \text{End}_E(V \otimes E)$ as

$$\sigma(f) = \bar{b}(\sigma) \circ \sigma(f) = b(\sigma) \circ \sigma(f) \circ b(\sigma)^{-1}$$

where b is a representative of \bar{b} . We want to find elements f that are invariant under the tweaked action. Hence we can think of $f \mapsto \bar{b}\sigma(f) = b(\sigma) \circ \sigma(f) \circ b(\sigma)^{-1}$. The invariants are a CSA and we want to compare it with (E, G, c) . We set

$$\text{End}_E(V \otimes E)^{G, \bar{b}} = \{f : b(\sigma)\sigma(f) = fb(\sigma) \quad \forall \sigma \in G\}.$$

If $\sigma \in G$, define $y_\sigma \in \text{End}_E(V \otimes E)$ via $y_\sigma(e_\tau) = c(\tau, \sigma)e_{\tau\sigma}$. If $x \in E$, we define $v_x \in \text{End}_E(V \otimes E)$ via $v_x(e_\tau) = \tau(x)e_\tau$. We note that these are fixed. Indeed, let's look at $b(\sigma)\sigma(v_x) = v_x b(\sigma)$. Since we have defined these notions on a basis, it suffices to consider

$$\begin{aligned}
v_x b(\sigma)(e_\tau) &= v_x(c(\sigma, \tau)e_{\sigma\tau}) \\
&= c(\sigma, \tau)v_x(e_{\sigma\tau}) \\
&= c(\sigma, \tau)\sigma\tau(x)e_{\sigma\tau} \\
\Rightarrow b(\sigma)\sigma(v_x)(e_\tau) &= b(\sigma)(\sigma(v_x(\sigma^{-1}e_\tau))) \\
&= b(\sigma)(\sigma(v_x e_\tau)) \\
&= b(\sigma)(\sigma(\tau(x)e_\tau)) \\
&= b(\sigma)(\sigma\tau(x)e_\tau) \\
&= \sigma\tau(x)b(\sigma)e_\tau \\
&= \sigma\tau(x)c(\sigma, \tau)e_{\sigma\tau} \\
\therefore v_x b(\sigma)(e_\tau) &= b(\sigma)\sigma(v_x)(e_\tau).
\end{aligned}$$

Similary, we can show that y_σ , namely, $y_\tau b(\sigma) = b(\sigma)\sigma(y_\tau)$. We can check this

$$\begin{aligned}
y_\tau b(\sigma)(e_\gamma) &= y_\tau(c(\sigma, \gamma)e_{\sigma\gamma}) \\
&= c(\sigma, \gamma)c(\sigma\gamma, \tau)e_{\sigma\gamma\tau} \\
\Rightarrow b(\sigma)\sigma(y_\tau)(e_\gamma) &= b(\sigma)(\sigma y_\tau \sigma^{-1}(e_\gamma)) \\
&= b(\sigma)(\sigma y_\tau(e_\gamma)) \\
&= b(\sigma)(\sigma(c(\gamma, \tau)e_{\gamma\tau})) \\
&= b(\sigma)(\sigma(c(\gamma, \tau))e_{\gamma\tau}) \\
&= \sigma(c(\gamma, \tau))b(\sigma)e_{\gamma\tau} \\
&= \sigma(c(\gamma, \tau))c(\sigma, \gamma\tau)e_{\sigma\gamma\tau} \\
\therefore y_\tau b(\sigma)(e_\gamma) &= b(\sigma)\sigma(y_\tau)(e_\gamma)
\end{aligned}$$

This allows us to define

$$\begin{aligned}
(E, G, c) &\longrightarrow (\text{End}(V \otimes E))^{G, b} \\
xu_\sigma &\longmapsto v_x \circ y_\sigma
\end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
H^1(G, \text{PGL}_n) &\longrightarrow H^2(G, E^\times) \cong \text{Br}(E/F) \\
A &\rightsquigarrow [A^{\text{op}}]
\end{aligned}$$

6.7. Operations. What we want to do is: given two algebras given by a co-cycle of PGL, how do we add them? We will use that fact that

$$\text{End}(V) \otimes \text{End}(W) \cong \text{End}(V \otimes W),$$

which makes more sense when we think about matrices. Given $a \in \text{GL}(V)$ and $b \in \text{GL}(W)$, then we define $a \otimes b \in \text{GL}(V \otimes W)$ by $a \otimes b(v \otimes w) = a(v) \otimes b(w)$. This induces a homomorphism from $\text{GL}(V) \times \text{GL}(W) \longrightarrow \text{GL}(V \otimes W)$ of groups. If $\bar{a} \in \text{PGL}(V)$, $\bar{b} \in \text{PGL}(W)$, then we can similarly define $\bar{a} \otimes \bar{b} = \overline{a \otimes b} \in \text{PGL}(V \otimes W)$, however, this is not a homomorphism since we are moding out by two different scalars so our map is not well-defined. If we think about

$$\text{GL}(V) \xhookrightarrow{\Delta} \overbrace{\text{GL}(V) \times \cdots \times \text{GL}(V)}^{k \text{ times}} \longrightarrow \text{GL}(V^{\otimes k})$$

then we do get an induced homomorphism, namely

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{PGL}(V) &\longrightarrow \text{PGL}(V^{\otimes k}) \\
\bar{a} &\longmapsto \overline{a \otimes a \otimes \cdots \otimes a} \\
[A] &\longmapsto k[A]
\end{aligned}$$

Given $\bar{a} \in Z^1(G, \text{PGL}(V \otimes E))$, $\bar{b} \in Z^1(G, \text{PGL}(W \otimes E))$, we can define $\bar{a} \otimes \bar{b} \in Z^1(G, \text{PGL}(V \otimes W \otimes E))$ by $\bar{a} \otimes \bar{b}(\sigma) = \bar{a}(\sigma) \otimes \bar{b}(\sigma)$. We remark that $\bar{a} \otimes \bar{b}$ is a co-cycle and describes the

action of the Galois group G on $A \otimes B$, where A corresponds to a and similarly for b . So

$$\begin{aligned} [A] &\leftrightarrow a \in H^1(G, \text{PGL}(V)) \\ [B] &\leftrightarrow b \in H^1(G, \text{PGL}(W)) \\ a \otimes b &\leftrightarrow [A \otimes B] \in H^1(G, \text{PGL}(V \otimes W)) \end{aligned}$$

6.8. Torsion in the Brauer Group. Suppose we have $b \in Z^1(G, \text{PGL}(V \otimes E))$ and $V = W_1 \oplus W_2$ such that

$$b(\sigma) = \begin{pmatrix} b_1(\sigma) & 0 \\ 0 & b_2(\sigma) \end{pmatrix}$$

is given in some block form with $b_i(\sigma) \in \text{GL}(W_i \otimes E)$. Then

$$\partial b(\sigma, \tau) = \begin{pmatrix} \partial b_1(\sigma, \tau) & 0 \\ 0 & \partial b_2(\sigma, \tau) \end{pmatrix}$$

in particular, since $\partial b(\sigma, \tau)$ is a scalar matrix, which means that for some $\lambda \in E^\times$, $\lambda = \partial b_i$ i.e., $\partial b_i = \partial b$. Then $\bar{b}_i \in H^1(G, \text{PGL}(W_i))$ represents something Brauer equivalent to b . Recall that the wedge power of the vector space V ,

$$\bigwedge^k V \subset \bigotimes^k V \supset \text{Rest}^k V.$$

Considering

$$\text{PGL}(V) \longrightarrow \text{PGL}\left(\bigotimes^k V\right) = \text{PGL}\left(\bigwedge^k V \oplus \text{Rest}^k V\right)$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ 0 & * \end{pmatrix} & \xrightarrow{\partial} & \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \lambda \end{pmatrix} \\ & \xleftarrow{\partial^{-1}} & \end{array}$$

i.e., the k^{th} power is replaced by something in $H^1(G, \text{PGL}(\bigwedge^k V))$. If $n = \dim V$, then the n^{th} power represents $H^1(G, \text{PGL}(\bigwedge^n V)) = H^1(G, \text{PGL}(E)) = \{F\}$. We have torsion because $n[A] = 0$ implies that $\text{per } A | \text{ind } A$.