

# Math 7240, Linear Algebraic Groups over Fields, Fall 2025

## Homework

Instructor: Danny Krashen

### from lecture 1

1. Let  $X$  be a  $k$ -space (that is, a functor from commutative  $k$ -algebras to sets). A field point of  $X$  is an element of  $X(L)$  where  $L$  is a field extension of  $k$ . If  $x \in X(L)$  and  $y \in X(E)$  are field valued points of  $X$ , we say that  $x \sim y$  if there exists a field extension  $M/k$  and morphisms of field extensions  $\phi : E \rightarrow M$ ,  $\psi : L \rightarrow M$  such that  $X(\psi)(x) = X(\phi)(y)$  (in class we wrote this as  $x_M = y_M$ ).

Show that if  $X = \operatorname{Spec} A$ , then the equivalence classes of field valued points of  $X$  are in bijection with the prime ideals of  $A$ .

2. Recall that if  $X$  is a  $k$ -space which is of the form  $X(R) = \operatorname{Hom}_{k\text{-alg}}(A, R)$  for some  $k$ -algebra  $A$  (i.e.  $X$  is a representable functor), we say that  $A$  is the coordinate ring of  $X$  and write  $A = k[X]$ . We also say in this case that  $X$  is the spectrum of  $A$  and write  $X = \operatorname{Spec} A$ . If  $X$  has this form, we say that  $X$  is an affine  $k$ -scheme.

Show that  $\operatorname{Spec}$  and  $k[\_]$  defines an equivalence of categories between the category of affine  $k$ -schemes (that is, the full subcategory of the category of  $k$ -spaces consisting of affine  $k$ -schemes) and the opposite of the category of  $k$ -algebras.

*note: you should prove this “from scratch,” and not simply quote theorems from category theory!*

3. (possibly challenging)
  - (a) Show that if  $A, B$  are  $k$ -algebras, then  $A \times B$  is the categorical product of  $A$  and  $B$  in the category of rings and  $\operatorname{Spec}(A \times B)$  is the categorical coproduct of  $\operatorname{Spec} A$  and  $\operatorname{Spec} B$  in the category of  $k$ -sheaves<sup>1</sup>.
  - (b) On the other hand, show that if  $A_\lambda, \lambda \in \Lambda$  is a collection of nonzero  $k$ -algebras, then  $\times_{\lambda \in \Lambda} A_\lambda$  is the categorical product of the  $A_\lambda$ 's in the category of rings, but if  $\Lambda$  is infinite,  $\operatorname{Spec}(\times_{\lambda \in \Lambda} A_\lambda)$  is not the categorical coproduct of the spaces  $\operatorname{Spec} A_\lambda$  in the category of  $k$ -sheaves.

---

<sup>1</sup>note – this was incorrectly written as spaces previously!

## from lecture 2

4. Suppose  $X = Z(f_1, \dots, f_s)$  with  $f_1, \dots, f_s \in k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  and  $Y = Z(g_1, \dots, g_t)$  with  $g_1, \dots, g_t \in k[y_1, \dots, y_m]$  are finite type affine  $k$ -schemes. We think of these as sitting inside the affine spaces  $X \subseteq \mathbb{A}^n$  and  $Y \subseteq \mathbb{A}^m$  where  $\mathbb{A}^n$  has coordinate functions given by the  $x_i$ 's and  $\mathbb{A}^m$  has coordinate functions given by the  $y_i$ 's.

A morphism of affine schemes from  $X$  to  $Y$  is a collection of polynomials  $\phi = (\phi_1, \dots, \phi_m)$  with  $\phi_i \in k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  (which we can think of as polynomial functions from  $\mathbb{A}^n$  to  $\mathbb{A}^m$ ), such that whenever we have an  $R$ -point of  $X$ , that is,  $a = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in R^n$  such that  $f_i(a) = 0$  for all  $i$ , we have  $\phi(a) = (\phi_1(a), \phi_2(a), \dots, \phi_m(a)) \in R^m$  is an  $R$ -point of  $Y$ .

Show that morphisms of affine schemes  $X \rightarrow Y$  are in bijection with natural transformations of functors from  $X$  to  $Y$  (considered as  $k$ -spaces).

5. Show that if the coordinate rings of  $X$  and  $Y$  are domains in the prior problem, if  $\phi = (\phi_1, \dots, \phi_m)$  with  $\phi_i \in k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ , then  $\phi$  is a morphism from  $X$  to  $Y$  as affine schemes if and only if for every field extension  $L/k$ , we have an  $L$ -point of  $X$ ,  $a = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in L^n$  then  $\phi(a) = (\phi_1(a), \phi_2(a), \dots, \phi_m(a)) \in L^m$  is an  $L$ -point of  $Y$ .
6. (challenging) In the previous problem, show that instead of considering all field extensions  $L/k$ , it suffices to consider any single field extension  $L/k$  with  $L$  algebraically closed!

## lecture 3

7. Consider the following functors from  $k$ -algebras to sets defined by

1.  $F : R \mapsto R[[t]]$
2.  $G : R \mapsto R((t)) = \{\sum_{i=d}^{\infty} a_i x^i \mid d \in \mathbb{Z}, a_i \in R\}$
3.  $H : R \mapsto R[[t]]^*$
4.  $K : R \mapsto R((t))^*$

Which of these are representable? If a functor is representable, demonstrate it by finding a  $k$ -algebra representing it. If it isn't representable, provide an argument explaining why.

8. Consider the  $k$ -group space  $T_2$ , defined as the functor from rings to sets given by

$$T_2(R) = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & d \end{bmatrix} \mid ad \in R^* \right\},$$

with its usual group structure.

- (a) Show that  $T_2$  is representable – that is, show that  $T_2 = \text{Spec}(A)$  for some  $k$ -algebra  $A$ .
- (b) Recall that this implies that  $A$  has a comultiplication operation  $A \rightarrow A \otimes_k A$  corresponding to the group operation  $T_2 \times T_2 \rightarrow T_2$ . Give an explicit description of this comultiplication.
- (c) Similarly, there should be a map  $S : A \rightarrow A$  (the “antipode”) corresponding to group inversion  $\iota : T_2 \rightarrow T_2$ . Find an explicit description of the map  $S$ .

## vaguely lecture 1-4 related, making connections to schemes

9. Let  $Top$  be the category of topological spaces. If  $F$  is a contravariant functor from  $Top$  to sets, we say that  $F$  is a  $Top$ -sheaf if for every topological space  $X$ , when  $F$  is restricted to open subsets of  $X$ , it forms a sheaf.

Show that for every topological space  $Y$ , the functor  $X \mapsto \text{Hom}(X, Y)$  is a  $Top$ -sheaf.

10. Recall that a ringed space is a pair  $X = (X, \mathcal{O}_X)$  consisting of a topological space  $X$  and a sheaf of rings  $\mathcal{O}_X$  on  $X$ . A morphism of locally ringed spaces  $f = (f, f^\#) : (X, \mathcal{O}_X) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{O}_Y)$  consists of a map of topological spaces  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  together with a morphism of sheaves of rings  $f^\# : \mathcal{O}_Y \rightarrow f_* \mathcal{O}_X$ .

(a) Fix a ringed space  $Y$  and consider the functor  $h_Y$  from ringed spaces to sets given by  $h_Y(X) = \text{Hom}(X, Y)$ . Show that if we restrict  $h_Y$  to the open subsets of  $X$ , we obtain a sheaf on  $X$ .

(b) Recall that  $X$  is called locally ringed if the stalks  $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$  are local rings, and a morphism  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is a morphism of locally ringed spaces if the induced maps  $\mathcal{O}_{Y,f(x)} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X,x}$  are *local* maps – that is, they take the maximal ideal of one to the maximal ideal of the other.

Show that if  $Y$  is a locally ringed space and if we define a functor from locally ringed spaces to sets via  $\tilde{h}_Y(X) = \text{Hom}_{\text{local}}(X, Y)$ , then again for every locally ringed space  $X$ , the restriction of  $\tilde{h}_Y$  to the open sets of  $X$  is a sheaf on  $X$ .

(c) Use the above to show that if  $X$  is a scheme, then  $R \mapsto \text{Hom}_{\text{scheme}}(\text{Spec } R, X)$  is a  $k$ -sheaf.

11. Let  $X$  be a  $k$ -sheaf. Define a “relative power-set space” of  $X$  via

$$\mathcal{P}_X(R) = \{\text{subsheaves } F \subseteq X \times \text{Spec } R\}$$

with, for  $\phi : R \rightarrow R'$ , we define

$$\mathcal{P}_X(\phi)(F) = F \times_{\text{Spec } R} \text{Spec } R' \subseteq X \times \text{Spec } R' \cong (X \times \text{Spec } R) \times_{\text{Spec } R} \text{Spec } R'.$$

Show that  $\mathcal{P}_X$  is a  $k$ -sheaf.

## Lecture 11

12. (projective space) Let  $\mathbb{P}^n$  denote that  $k$ -scheme obtained by gluing the  $n+1$  copies of affine  $n$  space  $\mathbb{A}_i^n$ ,  $i = 0, \dots, n$ , where we regard  $\mathbb{A}_i^n = \text{Spec}(k[x_0/x_i, \dots, x_n/x_i])$ , glued together via

$$\mathbb{A}_{i,j}^n = \text{Spec}(k[x_0/x_i, \dots, x_n/x_i, x_i/x_j]) = \text{Spec}(k[x_0/x_j, \dots, x_n/x_j, x_j/x_i]) \subseteq \mathbb{A}_i^n, \mathbb{A}_j^n.$$

Let  $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}^n$  denote the  $k$ -space given by

$$\tilde{\mathbb{P}}^n(R) = \{L \subseteq R^n \mid L \text{ is a rank 1 projective } R\text{-modules, and } \exists Q, Q \otimes L \cong R^n\},$$

and for  $R \rightarrow S$ , we obtain  $\mathbb{P}^n(R) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n(S)$  by sending  $L \subseteq R^n$  to  $L \otimes S \subseteq R^n \otimes_R S = S^n$ .

(a) Show that  $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}^n$  is a  $k$ -sheaf.

(b) Show that the maps (for example for  $i = 1$  given by)  $\mathbb{A}_1^n \rightarrow \tilde{\mathbb{P}}^n$  given by sending  $(a_1, \dots, a_n)$  to the inclusion  $R \hookrightarrow R^n$  via  $r \mapsto (1, a_1, \dots, a_n)$  (more generally for  $\mathbb{A}_i^n$  giving a 1 in the  $i$ 'th place) determine morphisms which agree on the  $\mathbb{A}_{i,j}^n$  and hence (using Exercise 14) induce morphisms of  $k$ -spaces  $\phi : \mathbb{P}^n \rightarrow \tilde{\mathbb{P}}^n$ .

(c) Show that  $\phi$  is bijective for  $R$  a local ring. Conclude that  $\phi$  is an isomorphism of  $k$ -sheaves.

## Lecture 12

13. Let  $X, Y$  be  $k$ -sheaves and suppose we have  $j_X : X \rightarrow V, j_Y : Y \rightarrow V$  open inclusions. Show that the fiber product of  $k$ -sheaves is given via  $X \times_V Y(R) = X(R) \cap Y(R)$  where the intersection is taken via the inclusions  $j_X(R) : X(R) \rightarrow V(R)$  and  $j_Y(R) : Y(R) \rightarrow V(R)$ . (why is this again a sheaf?).

Conclude that the functor taking  $k$ -schemes to  $k$ -sheaves preserves intersections of open inclusions.

14. Let  $X, Y$  be  $k$ -sheaves and suppose that we have  $i : U \rightarrow X, j : U \rightarrow Y$  open inclusions. Show that the pushout  $X \sqcup_U Y$  is given as follows: Def: a broken  $R$ -point of  $X$  and  $Y$  over  $U$  is a collection of open subsets  $V_U \subseteq V_X, V_Y \subseteq \text{Spec}(R)$  and maps  $f_X : V_X \rightarrow X, f_Y : V_Y \rightarrow Y$  such that  $f_X|_{V_U}, f_Y|_{V_U} : V_U \rightarrow U$  agree (i.e. both maps have images landing in  $U$  and these maps agree). Given broken  $R$ -points  $f = (V_U, V_X, V_Y, f_X, f_Y), f' = (V'_U, V'_X, V'_Y, f'_X, f'_Y)$  we say that  $f \sim f'$  if  $f_X|_{V_X \cap V'_X} = f'_X|_{V_X \cap V'_X}$  and similarly for the  $f_Y, f'_Y$ . Show that  $X \sqcup_U Y$  represents the gluing of the schemes  $X$  and  $Y$  over  $U$  (as in Hartshorne II.2.3.5).

15. Use the previous exercise to conclude that the natural functor from  $k$ -schemes to  $k$ -sheaves preserves the operation of gluing as in Hartshorne, Exercise II.2.12.

16. Define a  $k$ -schematic sheaf to be a contravariant functor  $X$  from  $k$ -schemes to the category of sets such that for any  $k$ -scheme  $Y$ , the restriction of  $X$  to the open subschemes of  $Y$  yields a sheaf. Let  $sSh_k$  be the category of  $k$ -schematic sheaves, where morphisms are given by natural transformations of functors. Let  $Sh_k$  be the category of  $k$ -sheaves.

We note that, by identifying the category of  $k$ -algebras with the opposite of the category of affine  $k$ -schemes, we obtain a functor  $sSh_k \rightarrow Sh_k$  (via restriction the domain of the functor to affine schemes). Show that this is an equivalence of categories.

17. Let  $X, Y$  be  $k$ -schemes and let  $Z \subseteq X \times Y$  be a closed  $k$ -subscheme. Recall that we have defined  $\cap_Y Z$  to be the subscheme of  $X$  whose  $R$ -points  $\cap_Y Z(R)$  are exactly those  $R$  points  $x \in X(R)$  such that the natural map of schemes  $x \times \text{id}_Y : \text{Spec } R \times Y \rightarrow X \times Y$  factors through the inclusion  $Z \rightarrow X \times Y$ .

- (a) Show that if  $Y = \bigcup Y_i$  is a union of open subschemes, then  $\cap_Y Z = \bigcap_i (\cap_{Y_i} Z)$ . Note that you may think of the intersection either in  $k$ -schemes or  $k$ -spaces by Problem 13.

Conclude that if  $\cap_{Y_i} Z|_{X \times Y_i}$  is closed in  $X \times Y_i$  for each  $i$ , then  $\cap_Y Z$  is closed in  $X \times Y$ .

- (b) Show that if  $X = \bigcup X_i$  is a union of open subschemes, then  $\bigcup_i (\cap_Y Z|_{X_i \times Y}) = \cap_Y Z$ . Note that you may think of the intersection either in  $k$ -schemes or in  $k$ -spaces by Problem 14.

Conclude that if  $\cap_Y Z|_{X_i \times Y}$  is closed in  $X_i \times Y$  for each  $i$ , then  $\cap_Y Z$  is closed in  $X \times Y$ .