

# Math 7240, Linear Algebraic Groups over Fields, Fall 2025

## Homework

Instructor: Danny Krashen

### from lecture 1

1. Let  $X$  be a  $k$ -space (that is, a functor from commutative  $k$ -algebras to sets). A field point of  $X$  is an element of  $X(L)$  where  $L$  is a field extension of  $k$ . If  $x \in X(L)$  and  $y \in X(E)$  are field valued points of  $X$ , we say that  $x \sim y$  if there exists a field extension  $M/k$  and morphisms of field extensions  $\phi : E \rightarrow M$ ,  $\psi : L \rightarrow M$  such that  $X(\psi)(x) = X(\phi)(y)$  (in class we wrote this as  $x_M = y_M$ ).

Show that if  $X = \operatorname{Spec} A$ , then the equivalence classes of field valued points of  $X$  are in bijection with the prime ideals of  $A$ .

2. Recall that if  $X$  is a  $k$ -space which is of the form  $X(R) = \operatorname{Hom}_{k\text{-alg}}(A, R)$  for some  $k$ -algebra  $A$  (i.e.  $X$  is a representable functor), we say that  $A$  is the coordinate ring of  $X$  and write  $A = k[X]$ . We also say in this case that  $X$  is the spectrum of  $A$  and write  $X = \operatorname{Spec} A$ . If  $X$  has this form, we say that  $X$  is an affine  $k$ -scheme.

Show that  $\operatorname{Spec}$  and  $k[\_]$  defines an equivalence of categories between the category of affine  $k$ -schemes (that is, the full subcategory of the category of  $k$ -spaces consisting of affine  $k$ -schemes) and the opposite of the category of  $k$ -algebras.

*note: you should prove this “from scratch,” and not simply quote theorems from category theory!*

3. (possibly challenging)
  - (a) Show that if  $A, B$  are  $k$ -algebras, then  $A \times B$  is the categorical product of  $A$  and  $B$  in the category of rings and  $\operatorname{Spec}(A \times B)$  is the categorical coproduct of  $\operatorname{Spec} A$  and  $\operatorname{Spec} B$  in the category of  $k$ -spaces.
  - (b) On the other hand, show that if  $A_\lambda, \lambda \in \Lambda$  is a collection of nonzero  $k$ -algebras, then  $\times_{\lambda \in \Lambda} A_\lambda$  is the categorical product of the  $A_\lambda$ 's in the category of rings, but if  $\Lambda$  is infinite,  $\operatorname{Spec}(\times_{\lambda \in \Lambda} A_\lambda)$  is not the categorical coproduct of the spaces  $\operatorname{Spec} A_\lambda$  in the category of  $k$ -spaces.

## from lecture 2

4. Suppose  $X = Z(f_1, \dots, f_s)$  with  $f_1, \dots, f_s \in k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  and  $Y = Z(g_1, \dots, g_t)$  with  $g_1, \dots, g_t \in k[y_1, \dots, y_m]$  are finite type affine  $k$ -schemes. We think of these as sitting inside the affine spaces  $X \subseteq \mathbb{A}^n$  and  $Y \subseteq \mathbb{A}^m$  where  $\mathbb{A}^n$  has coordinate functions given by the  $x_i$ 's and  $\mathbb{A}^m$  has coordinate functions given by the  $y_i$ 's.

A morphism of affine schemes from  $X$  to  $Y$  is a collection of polynomials  $\phi = (\phi_1, \dots, \phi_m)$  with  $\phi_i \in k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  (which we can think of as polynomial functions from  $\mathbb{A}^n$  to  $\mathbb{A}^m$ ), such that whenever we have an  $R$ -point of  $X$ , that is,  $a = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in R^n$  such that  $f_i(a) = 0$  for all  $i$ , we have  $\phi(a) = (\phi_1(a), \phi_2(a), \dots, \phi_m(a)) \in R^m$  is an  $R$ -point of  $Y$ .

Show that morphisms of affine schemes  $X \rightarrow Y$  are in bijection with natural transformations of functors from  $X$  to  $Y$  (considered as  $k$ -spaces).

5. Show that if the coordinate rings of  $X$  and  $Y$  are domains in the prior problem, if  $\phi = (\phi_1, \dots, \phi_m)$  with  $\phi_i \in k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ , then  $\phi$  is a morphism from  $X$  to  $Y$  as affine schemes if and only if for every field extension  $L/k$ , we have an  $L$ -point of  $X$ ,  $a = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in L^n$  then  $\phi(a) = (\phi_1(a), \phi_2(a), \dots, \phi_m(a)) \in L^m$  is an  $L$ -point of  $Y$ .
6. (challenging) In the previous problem, show that instead of considering all field extensions  $L/k$ , it suffices to consider any single field extension  $L/k$  with  $L$  algebraically closed!

## lecture 3

7. Consider the following functors from  $k$ -algebras to sets defined by

1.  $F : R \mapsto R[[t]]$
2.  $G : R \mapsto R((t)) = \{\sum_{i=d}^{\infty} a_i x^i \mid d \in \mathbb{Z}, a_i \in R\}$
3.  $H : R \mapsto R[[t]]^*$
4.  $K : R \mapsto R((t))^*$

Which of these are representable? If a functor is representable, demonstrate it by finding a  $k$ -algebra representing it. If it isn't representable, provide an argument explaining why.

8. Consider the  $k$ -group space  $T_2$ , defined as the functor from rings to sets given by

$$T_2(R) = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & d \end{bmatrix} \mid ad \in R^* \right\},$$

with its usual group structure.

- (a) Show that  $T_2$  is representable – that is, show that  $T_2 = \text{Spec}(A)$  for some  $k$ -algebra  $A$ .
- (b) Recall that this implies that  $A$  has a comultiplication operation  $A \rightarrow A \otimes_k A$  corresponding to the group operation  $T_2 \times T_2 \rightarrow T_2$ . Give an explicit description of this comultiplication.
- (c) Similarly, there should be a map  $S : A \rightarrow A$  (the “antipode”) corresponding to group inversion  $\iota : T_2 \rightarrow T_2$ . Find an explicit description of the map  $S$ .

## vaguely lecture 1-4 related, making connections to schemes

9. Let  $Top$  be the category of topological spaces. If  $F$  is a contravariant functor from  $Top$  to sets, we say that  $F$  is a  $Top$ -sheaf if for every topological space  $X$ , when  $F$  is restricted to open subsets of  $X$ , it forms a sheaf.

Show that for every topological space  $Y$ , the functor  $X \mapsto \text{Hom}(X, Y)$  is a  $Top$ -sheaf.

10. Recall that a ringed space is a pair  $X = (X, \mathcal{O}_X)$  consisting of a topological space  $X$  and a sheaf of rings  $\mathcal{O}_X$  on  $X$ . A morphism of locally ringed spaces  $f = (f, f^\#) : (X, \mathcal{O}_X) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{O}_Y)$  consists of a map of topological spaces  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  together with a morphism of sheaves of rings  $f^\# : \mathcal{O}_Y \rightarrow f_*\mathcal{O}_X$ .

- (a) Fix a ringed space  $Y$  and consider the functor  $h_Y$  from ringed spaces to sets given by  $h_Y(X) = \text{Hom}(X, Y)$ . Show that if we restrict  $h_Y$  to the open subsets of  $X$ , we obtain a sheaf on  $X$ .

- (b) Recall that  $X$  is called locally ringed if the stalks  $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$  are local rings, and a morphism  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is a morphism of locally ringed spaces if the induced maps  $\mathcal{O}_{Y,f(x)} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X,x}$  are *local* maps – that is, they take the maximal ideal of one to the maximal ideal of the other.

Show that if  $Y$  is a locally ringed space and if we define a functor from locally ringed spaces to sets via  $\tilde{h}_Y(X) = \text{Hom}_{\text{local}}(X, Y)$ , then again for every locally ringed space  $X$ , the restriction of  $\tilde{h}_Y$  to the open sets of  $X$  is a sheaf on  $X$ .

- (c) Use the above to show that if  $X$  is a scheme, then  $R \mapsto \text{Hom}_{\text{scheme}}(\text{Spec } R, X)$  is a  $k$ -sheaf.

11. Let  $X$  be a  $k$ -sheaf. Define a “relative power-set space” of  $X$  via

$$\mathcal{P}_X(R) = \{\text{subsheaves } F \subseteq X \times \text{Spec } R\}$$

with, for  $\phi : R \rightarrow R'$ , we define

$$\mathcal{P}_X(\phi)(F) = F \times_{\text{Spec } R} \text{Spec } R' \subseteq X \times \text{Spec } R' \cong (X \times \text{Spec } R) \times_{\text{Spec } R} \text{Spec } R'.$$

Show that  $\mathcal{P}_X$  is a  $k$ -sheaf.