

Suppose  $g \in \text{End}_k(V)$  lwr trans.

$$k[g] = k[x] / m_g$$

we say  $g$  is semisimple if  $k[g]$  is a semisimple alg.

$\Updownarrow$   
semisimple i.e. artinian

$$m_g = \bigoplus S_i^{n_i} \text{ of simple mods on itself}$$

" $S_i$ 's are same"

$$k[g] = R$$

$$\forall M/R, M \cong \bigoplus S_i \quad S_i \text{ simple}$$

recall: if  $R/k$  f.d.  $k$ -alg then  $R$  semisimple

$$\Leftrightarrow R \cong \prod M_{n_i}(R_i) \quad R_i/k \text{ div. alg.}$$

$$R \text{ comm. div. alg.} \Rightarrow R \cong \prod E_i \quad E_i/k \text{ finite field ext.}$$

$$\begin{aligned} k[g] \text{ simple} &\Rightarrow \\ \text{where } k[g] &\subset V \\ &\text{"} \\ &\prod E_i \end{aligned}$$

$$V \cong \bigoplus V_i \quad V_i / k[g] \text{ simple} \Leftrightarrow \text{a } k[g]\text{-mod.}$$

## Quick Review of semisimplicity

Def Any  $R$  is <sup>(left)</sup> semisimple if  $R \cong \bigoplus S_i$   $S_i$  is a simple <sup>(left)</sup>  $R$ -mod- $k$

Lemma if  $R$  is semisimple &  $M$  a (left)  $R$ -mod  $\Rightarrow$   
 $M \cong \bigoplus$  simples, each simple arises in  $\text{decomp. of } M$ .

Def  $M$  an  $R$ -module  $\Rightarrow$  semisimple if  $M \cong \bigoplus$  simples.

Ex:  $k$  field.  $k$  simple as a module over itself.  $\leftarrow$   
 $\Rightarrow V$  a  $k$ -mod  $\Rightarrow V \cong \bigoplus S_i$   $S_i$  simple mod  $k$   
 $S_i \cong k$

$$V \cong \bigoplus_{i \in \Lambda} k$$

Wedderburn: if  $R$  a f.d. semisimple  $k$ -alg  $\Rightarrow$

$$R \cong M_{n_1}(D_1) \times \dots \times M_{n_r}(D_r)$$

$D_i$  a finite diml division alg/ $k$

if  $R$  comm. then  $D_i = \text{fields}$   $n_i = 1$

$\Rightarrow$   $R$  f.d. semisimple  $k$ -alg is of form  $R \cong E_1 \times \dots \times E_r$   
 $E_i/k$  f.d. ext.

Def an  $R$ -module  $M$  is simple (aka irreducible)

if only submodules are  $0, M$ .

Def an  $R$ -module  $M$  is decomposable if  $M \cong N_1 \oplus N_2$   
 $N_i \neq 0$ . If  $M$  is not decomp it is called indecomposable.

Lem:  $R$  semisimple  $\Leftrightarrow$  every indecomposable module is simple.

$$g \in \text{End}_k V \quad k[g]$$

Def  $g$  is separable if  $k[g]$  is an étale  $k$ -algebra.

Remark:  $R/k$  is étale if  $R \cong E_1 \times \dots \times E_r$   $E_i/k$  is a separable field ext.

(slightly stronger than semisimple)  
 $k$  perfect  $\Rightarrow$  same

Prop (Wedderburn)

if  $R$  is Artinian /  $k$   $J = J(R)$  then

$R \cong S \oplus J$  as  $k$ -vector spaces  
 where  $S$  is a semisimple  $k$ -alg.

$R \twoheadrightarrow R/J \leftarrow \text{semisimple}$       also note:  $J$  nilpotent.

For us, if  $g \in \text{End}_k(V)$

$$k[g] \cong S \oplus J \Rightarrow g = g_{ss} + g_n$$

where  $g_{ss}$  is semisimple &  $g_n$  is nilpotent.

$S$  (&  $J$ ) gen. by image of  $g$

$$g_{ss} = \text{img of } g \text{ in } S \quad g_n = \text{m. of } g \text{ in } J$$

$$k[g_{ss}] \cong S$$

$\Rightarrow$  In trans  $g_{ss}$  is semisimple &  $g_n$  is nilpotent.

ex:  $g = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$

$$k[g] \cong k[x] / \cancel{(x-2)^2} \stackrel{\text{use}}{\cong} k \oplus kx$$

$$\cong \begin{matrix} k & k(x-2) \\ S & J \end{matrix}$$

$$g \leftrightarrow x = \underset{\substack{\text{"} \\ g_{ss}}}{2} + \underset{\substack{\text{"} \\ g_n}}{(x-2)} \quad \left( x = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \right)$$

$$x-2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$g \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 4 \end{bmatrix} = \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 4 \end{bmatrix}}_{g_{ss}} + \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}}_{g_n}$$

Remark: if  $g \in GL(V)$  then  $g_{ss} \in GL(V)$   
 $\Rightarrow$  can define  $g_u = g g_{ss}^{-1} = 1 + g_n g_{ss}^{-1}$

$$g = g_{ss} + g_n$$

note:  $g_{ss}, g_n, g \in k[g]$  comm.  $\Rightarrow$  they all commute w/ each other.

$g_u =$  unipotent part of  $g$

$g_{ss} =$  sc. part       $g_n =$  nilpotent part

$$g = g_{ss} + g_n \quad g = g_{ss} g_u = g_u g_{ss}$$

"Chevalley - Jordan decomp."

Prop: If  $g_{ss}$  is separable (if  $k[g_{ss}]$  sep)

then the decomp  $g = g_{ss} + g_n$  (or  $g = g_{ss} g_u$ )

is unique.

$\exists$  preserved by reps  $GL(V) \xrightarrow{\varphi} GL(W)$

$$g = g_{ss} g_u \rightsquigarrow \varphi(g) = \varphi(g_{ss}) \varphi(g_u)$$

$$\varphi(g_{ss}) = \varphi(g)_{ss}$$

$$\varphi(g_u) = \varphi(g)_u$$

ss = semisimple  $u_p$  = unip.  $s$  = both coincide  
not distinguish

Theorem: if  $G$  is a lin. alg. gp/k,  $k$  perfect

$$G \xrightarrow[\text{closed}]{\varphi} GL(V) \quad g \in G(k) \text{ then } \exists! \underset{G(k)}{g_{ss}, g_u}$$

s.t.  $\varphi(g)_{ss} = \varphi(g_{ss})$   
 $\varphi(g)_u = \varphi(g_u)$

Idea of proof

$$\underset{\text{Spec } A}{G} \hookrightarrow \underset{\text{Spec } B}{GL(V)}$$

$$A = B/I$$

( $\varphi$  = suppressed inclusion)

Goal: if  $g \in G(k)$  then  $g_{ss} \in G(k)$

via: if  $f \in I$  then  $f(g_{ss}) = 0$

$g \in$  (finite dim subspace of)  $B$   
 $g_{ss}, g_u$  parts are preserved.

### Quick moral argument

Show:  $gI \subseteq I$  same as  $g \in G(k)$

$$\text{if } f \in I \quad g \cdot f \in I? \quad (g \cdot f)(h) = f(\underbrace{g^{-1}h}_{\in I}) = 0$$

can we if  $gI \subseteq I$   $f \in I$  why is  $f(g) = 0$ ?

$$f(g \cdot e) = (\underbrace{g^{-1}}_I \cdot f)(\underbrace{e}_I) = 0$$

WTS:  $g_{ss}I \subseteq I$

$$k[g] \subset B' \subseteq B$$

f.d.

$g = g_{ss} \cdot g_n$  same decay as original

$g_{ss} \in k[g]$  so in comb. of powers of  $g$

$$gI \subseteq I$$

$$\Rightarrow k[g]I \subseteq I$$

$$g_{ss}I \subseteq I \quad \checkmark \quad \square$$