

Johnny is a free spirit. Every morning he walks to the tram station & takes the first tram, whenever it goes.

There are two trams that each come every hour.

One goes to Gloucestershire & the other to Trigub.

Even though he gets there at a fairly random time between 9 & 11, Johnny notices that he ends up in

Trigub roughly 80% of the time.

As the trams both come regularly, on the one an hour,
why would this be the case?

Easiest kinds of systems of eqns:

$$x = 7$$

$$\boxed{y} + 3z - w = 9$$

$$y = 9 - 3z + w$$

↑ ↑
freely choose.

$$w = t \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{free parameters} \\ z = s \end{array} \right.$$

$$y = 9 - 3s + t$$

"parametrized solutions"

$$x - 3y = 4 \quad z + 4w = 9$$

$$x = 4 + 3y \quad z = 9 - 4w$$

$y = s$ $x = 4 + 3s$	$w = t$ $z = 9 - 4t$
-------------------------	-------------------------

example

$$x - 2y + 3z - 2w = 0$$

$$-3x + 6y + z = 0$$

$$-2x + 4y + 4z - 2w = 0$$

"homogeneous"
(const. term = 0)

$$+2 \left(\begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \end{array} \right) \left(\begin{array}{cccc} 1 & -2 & 3 & -2 \\ -3 & 6 & 1 & 0 \\ -2 & 4 & 4 & -2 \end{array} \right) \quad \text{(0's implied in homogeneous)}$$

$$-1 \left(\begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \end{array} \right) \left(\begin{array}{cccc} 1 & -2 & 3 & -2 \\ 0 & 0 & 10 & -6 \\ 0 & 0 & 10 & -6 \end{array} \right) \rightarrow \left(\begin{array}{cccc} 1 & -2 & 3 & -2 \\ 0 & 0 & 10 & -6 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right) \quad \text{1/10}$$

"row echelon form"

$$\left(\begin{array}{cccc} 1 & -2 & 3 & -2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -\frac{3}{5} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right) \quad \begin{array}{l} 10z - 6w = 0 \\ z - \frac{6}{10}w = 0 \end{array}$$

 
 "staircase",
 right?

Def A matrix is in row echelon form if
 each row is either 0 or starts with a 1
 "leading 1's"
 - each leading 1 is to right of all leading 1's
 above it.

Def A matrix is in reduced row echelon form if
 it's in row echelon form & each leading 1 is
 the only nonzero entry in its column.

$$\left[\begin{array}{cccc} 1 & -2 & 3 & -2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -3/5 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right] \text{echelon}$$

$x - 2y + 3z - 2w = 0$
 $z - 3/5 w = 0$

advantage: solve via "back substitution"

w = free parameter y = free
 z = defined x = defined.



$\left[\begin{array}{cccc} 1 & -2 & 0 & -4/5 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -3/5 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right]$ "reduced row echelon form"

$x - 2y - 4/5 w = 0$
 $z - 3/5 w = 0$

$$x = 2y + \frac{1}{5}w$$

$$z = \frac{3}{5}w$$

$$y = s \quad w = t$$

$$x = 2s + \frac{1}{5}st, \quad y = s, \quad z = \frac{3}{5}t \quad w = t$$

Last time: we derived this via "row operations"

reversible process & verifying system of eqns

- swap two rows
- multiply row by nonzero number
- add one row to another.

Fact: Via row op's, can always get to (reduced) row echelon form.

In practice:

for solving a single (large) system: use row echelon

for solving lots of equations w/ same coeffs:
use reduced.

example

$$-2 \left(\begin{array}{cc|c} 1 & 3 & 0 \\ 2 & 5 & 2 \end{array} \right) \xrightarrow[2]{\quad} \begin{array}{cc|c} 1 & 3 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 2 \end{array} \xrightarrow[b-2a]{\quad} \begin{array}{cc|c} 1 & 3 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -2 \end{array} \xrightarrow{\quad} \begin{array}{cc|c} 1 & 0 & a \\ 0 & 1 & 2a-b \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{l} y=0 \\ x+3y=1 \\ x=-3y+1 \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{l} y=-2 \\ x+3y=0 \\ x=-3y=-3(-2)=6 \end{array}$$

$$3 \left(\begin{array}{cc|c} 1 & 3 & a \\ 0 & 1 & 2a-b \end{array} \right) \xleftarrow{x=1} \begin{array}{cc|c} 1 & 0 & a-3(2a-b) \\ 0 & 1 & 2a-b \end{array} \xrightarrow{\quad} \begin{array}{cc|c} 1 & 0 & -5a+3b \\ 0 & 1 & 2a-b \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{l} x=-5a+3b \\ y=2a-b \end{array}$$

Mention: in text \rightarrow "Gaussian Algorithm"
 procedure for doing a series of row ops to get
 to (reduced) row echelon form.

$$\left(\begin{array}{cccc} 1 \\ 3 \\ 2 \\ 0 \end{array} \begin{array}{cccc} 5 & 7 & 8 \\ 3 & 1 & 0 \\ 5 & 9 & - \end{array} \right) \xrightarrow{\text{red. ech.}} \left(\begin{array}{cccc} 1 & ? & ? & ? \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & - \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & - \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & - \end{array} \right)$$

Def: the rank of a matrix = # of nonzero rows in row echelon form.

$$\text{ex: } \left[\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 3 \\ 2 & 5 \end{array} \right] \xrightarrow{\text{red. ech.}} \left[\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{array} \right] \text{ rank} = 2$$

$$\left[\begin{array}{cccc} 1 & -2 & 3 & -2 \\ -3 & 6 & 1 & 0 \\ -2 & 4 & 4 & -2 \end{array} \right] \xrightarrow{\text{ech.}} \left[\begin{array}{cccc} 1 & -2 & 0 & -1/5 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 3/5 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right]$$

rank = 2

Homogeneous equations

nic because solutions can be combined to get new solutions:

$$3x + 2y + 5z = 0$$

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} (x_1, y_1, z_1) \\ (x_0, y_0, z_0) \end{array} \right\} \text{solns}$$

$$3x_0 + 2y_0 + 5z_0 = 0 \text{ free.}$$

$$3x_1 + 2y_1 + 5z_1 = 0$$

$$\overline{3(x_0+x_1) + 2(y_0+y_1) + 5(z_0+z_1)} = 0$$

If (x_0, y_0, z_0) & (x_1, y_1, z_1) are both solns

then so is $(x_0+x_1, y_0+y_1, z_0+z_1)$

Similarly: if λ is a real number

(x_0, y_0, z_0) soln $\Rightarrow (\lambda x_0, \lambda y_0, \lambda z_0)$
also a solution.

$$3x_0 + 2y_0 + 5z_0 = 0 \text{ true.}$$

$$\Rightarrow 3(\lambda x_0) + 2(\lambda y_0) + 5(\lambda z_0) = 0$$

Definition: a tuple vector is a list of numbers

$$(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$$

add them $(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) + (b_1, \dots, b_n) = (a_1+b_1, \dots, a_n+b_n)$

scalar mult. $\lambda (a_1, \dots, a_n) = (\lambda a_1, \dots, \lambda a_n)$

Def: A column vect is a list of numbers $\begin{bmatrix} a_1 \\ \vdots \\ a_n \end{bmatrix}$

(same kind of stuff as above)

Def: A row vect is ... $[a_1 \dots a_n]$

If we have a set S of tuple vectors
 we say they are a space if adding two vectors in
 S gives another vector in S & if
 scalar multiples of vectors in S are also in S .

Proposition: If we are given a collection of linear equations

$$a_{11}x_1 + a_{12}x_2 + \dots + a_{1n}x_n = 0$$

$$a_{21}x_1 + \dots + a_{2n}x_n = 0$$

⋮

$$a_{m1}x_1 + \dots + a_{mn}x_n = 0$$

then the set of solutions forms a space (of tuples)

i.e. if $\vec{p} = (p_1, \dots, p_n)$ & (q_1, \dots, q_n) are solns

to this system, so is $\vec{p} + \vec{q}$ & so is $\lambda \vec{p}$

for $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$.

Foms a "solution space"