

Tangent Spaces : First-Order Deformation Theory

Denote by $\mathbb{C}[\varepsilon]$ the ring of dual numbers, and $\Sigma = \text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[\varepsilon]$, with s_0 its closed point. Recall that the tangent space to $H = \text{Hilb}^{P(\varepsilon)}$ at a point h corresponding to $X_0 \in P$ is exactly the set of pointed morphisms of pointed schemes $\text{Hom}((\Sigma, s_0), (H, h))$. Since H represents the Hilbert functor, we can see this as the collection of all flat families $X \rightarrow \Sigma$ with $X_{s_0} = X_0$.

So the tangent space at h can be studied by looking at first-order embedded deformations of X_0 in P . The following lemma will prove to be important:

Lemma: Let $\varphi: A \rightarrow B$ be a homomorphism of noetherian commutative rings, with B flat over A . Assume either A is a local artinian ring or that A and B are both local rings and φ is a local homomorphism. Let J be an ideal in B , and set $C = B/J$. Let k be the quotient of A by its maximal ideal m_A , and set $B_k = B \otimes_A k$, $C_k = C \otimes_A k$. Then the following are equivalent

- 1) C is flat over A ;
- 2) Every exact sequence $B_k^h \rightarrow B_k^h \rightarrow B_k \rightarrow C_k \rightarrow 0$ is the reduction modulo m_A of an exact sequence $B^h \rightarrow B^h \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$;
- 3) There are generators F_1, \dots, F_h of J such that, denoting by f_i the image of F_i in B_k , every relation among the f_i extends to a relation among the F_i .

One should keep the special case of $A = \mathbb{C}[\varepsilon]$ and $B = R[\varepsilon] = R \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}[\varepsilon]$ in mind, being the setup of affine deformations. We omit the proof since it's purely algebraic, rather we use it to prove the following:

Lemma: Let R be a commutative noetherian \mathbb{C} -algebra, and let $I \subset R$ be an ideal. The first-order embedded deformations of $X_0 = \text{Spec}(R/I)$ within $Y = \text{Spec } R$ are in one-to-one correspondence with $\text{Hom}_{R/I}(\mathbb{I}/\mathbb{I}^2, R/I) = \text{Hom}_R(I, R/I)$.

Proof: Given $g \in R$, we write $[g]$ for its class modulo I . We must classify ideals $J \subset R[\varepsilon]$ such that $R[\varepsilon]/J$ is flat over $\mathbb{C}[\varepsilon]$ and $J/(\varepsilon) \cap J = I$. Let such an ideal J be given, and given $i \in I$, choose a $j \in J$ whose reduction modulo (ε) is i . We can then write $j = i - \varepsilon h$, where h depends on j and is uniquely determined by i . Indeed if $i=0$, then h belongs to both J and (ε) . The above lemma can be used to show that the flatness of $R[\varepsilon]/J$ implies $J \cap (\varepsilon) = \varepsilon J = \varepsilon I$, so $h \in I$. Now given $\alpha: I \rightarrow R/I$, choose generators f_1, \dots, f_n for I , write $\alpha(f_i) = [g_i]$ where $g_i \in R$, and $F_i = f_i - \varepsilon g_i$ and $J = (F_1, \dots, F_n)$. Clearly $J/J \cap (\varepsilon) = I$. Now we only need to show $R[\varepsilon]/J$ is flat over $\mathbb{C}[\varepsilon]$.

By the lemma, it's enough to show that any relation among the f_i is the reduction modulo (ε) of a relation among the F_i . Now let

$$\sum_i a_i f_i = 0$$

be a relation. Notice that

$$\sum a_i [g_i] = \alpha \left(\sum a_i f_i \right) = 0,$$

meaning $\sum a_i g_i \in I$, so $\sum a_i g_i = \sum b_i f_i$ for some $b_i \in R$. Thus we see

$$\sum (a_i + \varepsilon b_i) F_i = \sum a_i f_i + \varepsilon (\sum b_i f_i - \sum a_i g_i) = 0$$

is a relation among the F_i which extends $\sum a_i f_i = 0$. So to each ideal $J \subset R[\varepsilon]$ extending I and $R[\varepsilon]/J$ is flat over $C[\varepsilon]$, a homomorphism of R -modules from I to R/J , and conversely. ■

Now let X be a closed subscheme of a fixed scheme Y , and let \mathcal{I} be the ideal of X in Y . The sheaf $\mathcal{I}/\mathcal{I}^2|_X$ is called the conormal sheaf of X in Y and denoted $C_{X/Y}$. Its dual $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(C_{X/Y}, \mathcal{O}_X) = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_Y}(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{O}_X)$ is the normal sheaf of X in Y , denoted $N_{X/Y}$. From the above, we immediately arrive at the following:

Prop: Let X be a closed subscheme of Y , and \mathcal{I} the ideal sheaf of X in Y . Then the first-order embedded deformations of X in Y are in one-to-one correspondence with $H^0(X, N_{X/Y})$.

Now let $Y \times B \ni x \mapsto B$ be a flat family of subschemes of Y parametrized by B . Set $X = X_{b_0}$ for some closed point $b_0 \in B$, and v a tangent vector to B at b_0 . Then we can interpret v as a map $\text{Spec } C[\varepsilon] \rightarrow (B, b_0)$. Pulling back X via v gives a first order deformation of X in Y , i.e. an element of $H^0(X, N_{X/Y})$. Thus we get a map

$$T_{b_0} B \rightarrow H^0(X, N_{X/Y}),$$

which Kodaira and Spencer called the characteristic map. It turns out to be \mathbb{G} -linear.

Now consider again $\text{Hilb}_r^{P(t)}$, with h a closed point representing X in \mathbb{P}^r . Then we conclude:

$$T_h(\text{Hilb}_r^{P(t)}) = H^0(X, N_{X/\mathbb{P}^r}).$$

Thus we see $h^0(X, N_{X/\mathbb{P}^r})$ is an upper bound for the dimension of $\text{Hilb}_r^{P(t)}$ at h . One can show (but we will not) that the dimension of every irreducible component at h has dimension at least $h^0(X, N_{X/\mathbb{P}^r}) - h^1(X, N_{X/\mathbb{P}^r})$.

We now give an important example:

Example: Consider a smooth complete nondegenerate degree d , genus g curve $C \subset \mathbb{P}^r$. Then C gives a point $[C]$ in $\text{Hilb}_r^{P(t)}$, with $p(t) = dt + 1 - g$ (Riemann-Roch). From the above $\dim_{[C]} H \leq h^0(C, N_{C/\mathbb{P}^r})$, and we use the standard exact sequence for the normal sheaf:

$$0 \rightarrow T_C \rightarrow T_{\mathbb{P}^r} \otimes \mathcal{O}_C \rightarrow N_{C/\mathbb{P}^r} \rightarrow 0.$$

Hence $\deg N_{C/\mathbb{P}^r} = \deg(T_{\mathbb{P}^r} \otimes \mathcal{O}_C) - \deg T_C = (r+1)d - (2-2g) = (r+1)d + 2g - 2$. Now Riemann-Roch for higher rank bundles gives:

$$\begin{aligned} \chi(N_{C/\mathbb{P}^r}) &= h^0(C, N_{C/\mathbb{P}^r}) - h^1(C, N_{C/\mathbb{P}^r}) \\ &= \deg(N_{C/\mathbb{P}^r}) - (\text{rank } N_{C/\mathbb{P}^r})(g-1) \quad (\text{Pf: Hirzebruch-Riemann-Roch}) \\ &= (r+1)d - (r-3)(g-1). \end{aligned}$$