

Introduction: Grothendieck Topologies, Sheaves, and Cohomology

(10 exercises = A, must present the proof, also one project = A).

Project 1: Prove $\text{Br}(\mathbb{Q}_p) = \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$.

X a top. space. Consider the category \mathcal{C}/X of open subsets of X , with morphisms only the inclusions. A presheaf of sets (or anything else) is a contravariant functor $F: \mathcal{C}/X \rightarrow \text{Sets}$. So for each $U \subset X$, we get a set $F(U)$ and a "restriction" map:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U & \subset & V \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ F(U) & \xleftarrow{\quad \text{res} \quad} & F(V) \end{array}$$

Set $P(\mathcal{C}/X)$ to be the category of presheaves of abelian groups on X . Since Ab is abelian, so is $P(\mathcal{C}/X)$. Now let $S(\mathcal{C}/X)$ be the category of sheaves of abelian groups, and is defined as a full subcategory of $P(\mathcal{C}/X)$. We need a notion of a covering, i.e. a collection $\{U_i\}$ of open subsets with $\bigcup U_i = U$. Then we have the sheaf axiom: $S \in P(\mathcal{C}/X)$ is a sheaf if for any covering $\{U_i \rightarrow U\}$, and fiber product $U_i \cap U_j$, the sequence

$$S(U) \rightarrow \prod_i S(U_i) \rightrightarrows \prod_{i,j} S(U_i \cap U_j)$$

Then $S(U) \rightarrow \prod_i S(U_i)$ is the equalizer of the diagram.

Now note $S(\mathcal{C}/X)$ is an abelian category with two natural functors. The inclusion (forgetful) $F: S(\mathcal{C}/X) \rightarrow P(\mathcal{C}/X)$ and sheafification $+: P(\mathcal{C}/X) \rightarrow S(\mathcal{C}/X)$. These are actually adjoint! $\underbrace{F}_{\text{left exact}}$ $\underbrace{+}_{\text{exact}}$.

Since $S(\mathcal{C}/X)$ has enough injectives, we form an injective resolution $S \rightarrow I^0 \rightarrow I^1 \rightarrow \dots$ and take global sections. Note an exact sequence of sheaves is not the same as an exact sequence of presheaves.

Def: Let C be a category with fiber products. A Grothendieck topology on C is the following data: a collection of coverings $\{U_i \rightarrow U\}_{i \in I}$ s.t.

- 1) Any isomorphism $U \xrightarrow{\sim} U$ is a covering.
- 2) If $\{U_i \rightarrow U\}_I$ and $\{V_{ij} \rightarrow U_{ij}\}_J$ is a covering $\forall i$, then $\{V_{ij} \rightarrow U\}$ is a covering.
- 3) If $\{U_i \rightarrow U\}_I$ is a covering, for all $V \rightarrow U$, $\{U_i \times_U V \rightarrow V\}$ is a covering.

Fact: We get abelian categories $P(C) \xrightleftharpoons[+]{F} S(C)$, $S(C)$ has enough injectives, and for all $X \in C$, we get $H^i(X, S)$, done in the same way!

Def: A category with a Grothendieck topology is a site.

Example of a set-valued pre-sheaf on any category:

$\forall v \in C$, define $h_v: C^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Sets}$ by $h_v(X) = \text{Hom}_C(X, v)$.

Def: Let C be a category with fiber products. Then a Grothendieck topology \mathcal{E} on C is said to be canonical if it is the finest one in which every h_v , $V \in C$ is a sheaf.

For us, $C = \text{Schemes}$, and we have 3 topologies: Zar, Étale, Flat. For Zar, $(U_i \xrightarrow{f_i} U)$ is a covering if $\forall i, f_i: U_i \rightarrow U$ is an open embedding, and $\bigcup f_i(U_i) = U$. Now flat descent \Rightarrow Flat is sub canonical \Rightarrow Zar, Étale is sub canonical.

Now in Zar, fix $h_v: \text{Sch}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Sets}$, and choose $(U_i \rightarrow U)$. Then:

$$\text{Hom}(U, V) = h_v(U) \rightarrow \prod h_v(U_i) \Rightarrow \prod h_v(U_i \cap U_j)$$

To be an equalizer, $\forall i, (U_i \xrightarrow{\alpha_i} V)$, $\alpha_i|_{U_i \cap U_j} = \alpha_j|_{U_i \cap U_j}$.

For which Schemes V will h_v take values in Ab? The answer relies on the notion of group schemes.

Def: A group scheme $G/X \in \text{Sch}/X$ is a morphism $G \xrightarrow{\pi} X$ and X -morphisms $\mu: G \times_X G \rightarrow G$ and $\beta: G \rightarrow G$ and $e: \underbrace{X \rightarrow G}_{\text{section}}$ satisfying:

1) (Associativity)

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G \times G \times G & \xrightarrow{1 \times \mu} & G \times G \\ \mu \times 1 \downarrow & & \downarrow \mu \\ G \times G & \xrightarrow{\mu} & G \end{array} \quad \text{Commutes.}$$

2) Both compositions $G \xrightarrow{\Delta} G \times G \xrightarrow[\mu \times 1]{1 \times \beta} G \times G \xrightarrow{\mu} G$ are equal to $e \circ \pi: G \rightarrow G$.

3) Law of identity: The compositions

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & X \times_X G & & & \\ G & \xrightarrow{\sim} & G \times_X G & \xrightarrow{\mu} & G \\ & \searrow & \downarrow \text{id} & & \\ & G \times_X X & & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \\ & \swarrow & & & id \times e \end{array}$$

are equal to id_G .

4) G is commutative if $\mu = \mu \circ i$, where $i: G \times G \rightarrow G \times G$ swaps factors.

Exercise 0: Show $G \in \text{Sch}/X$ is a group scheme iff the functor h_G takes values in Grp.

Def: A group scheme G/X acts on Y/X if there is $\sigma: G \times_X Y \rightarrow Y$ s.t.

1) $G \times G \times Y \xrightarrow{1 \times \sigma} G \times Y$ commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G \times G \times Y & \xrightarrow{1 \times \sigma} & G \times Y \\ \mu \times 1 \downarrow & & \downarrow \sigma \\ G \times Y & \xrightarrow{\sigma} & Y \end{array}$$

2) the composition $Y = X \times_X Y \xrightarrow{\text{id}_Y} G \times Y \xrightarrow{\sigma} Y$ is id_Y .

Exercise 1/2: Prove that a group scheme G/X acts on \mathbb{Y}/X iff the group functor h_G acts on the set functor h_Y . (Note this allows us to work w/ points!)

Yoneda Lemma: The functor $h: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}(\mathcal{C}) = \text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}, \text{Sets})$ is fully faithful.

Ex 1: $G_a = \text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}[x] \in \mathbf{Sch}/\text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}$. Define $\mu: G_a \times G_a \rightarrow G_a$ as $\mathbb{Z}[x] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[x] \otimes \mathbb{Z}[x]$ via $x \mapsto x \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes x$, $\beta: G_a \rightarrow G_a$ by $x \mapsto -x$, and $e: \text{Spec } \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow G_a$ by $x \mapsto 0$. (commutative).

So then $h_{G_a}(X) = \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Sch}}(X, G_a) = \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Ring}}(\mathbb{Z}[x], \Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X)) = \Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$.

Ex 2: $G_m = \text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}[x, x^{-1}]$. Then:

$$\left. \begin{array}{ll} \mu: \mathbb{Z}[x, x^{-1}] & \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}[x, x^{-1}] \otimes \mathbb{Z}[x, x^{-1}] \\ x & \longmapsto x \otimes x \\ \beta: \mathbb{Z}[x, x^{-1}] & \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}[x, x^{-1}] \\ x & \longmapsto x^{-1} \\ e: \mathbb{Z}[x, x^{-1}] & \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z} \\ x & \longmapsto 1. \end{array} \right\} \text{Actually a commutative grp. scheme.}$$

Note $h_{G_m}(X) = \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Sch}}(X, G_m) = \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Ring}}(\mathbb{Z}[x, x^{-1}], \Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X)) = \Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X)^*$.

Ex 3: $GL_n = \text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}[x_{ij}] [\det(x_{ij})^{-1}]_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$.

$$\begin{aligned} \mu \text{ sends } (x_{ij}) &\mapsto \sum x_{ik} \otimes x_{kj} \\ \det &\mapsto \det \otimes \det. \end{aligned}$$

An abelian category is called Grothendieck if it satisfies a list of conditions (look this up). A theorem of Grothendieck says that any such category has enough injectives (google this for a proof). Many sites are Grothendieck categories.

Let's take another look at cohomology. For any category \mathcal{C} , we have the constant presheaf \mathbb{Z}_c sending any object to \mathbb{Z} and morphism to $\text{id}_{\mathbb{Z}}$.

Lemma: Suppose \mathcal{C} has a final object X . Then the two functors $\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Ab}$ given by evaluation at $X: F \mapsto F(X)$ and the functor $h_{\mathbb{Z}_c}(F) = \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{C})}(\mathbb{Z}_c, F)$ are isomorphic.

Proof: Suppose we have $\varphi \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{C})}(\mathbb{Z}_c, F)$. Then we define the image of 1 under $\mathbb{Z}(X) \rightarrow F(X)$ to be the element of $F(X)$. Conversely given $\alpha \in F(X)$ choose $\varphi \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{C})}(\mathbb{Z}_c, F)$ by the map $\varphi_X(1) = \alpha$, $\varphi_x: \mathbb{Z}(x) \rightarrow F(x)$. One can check this is well defined as X is final. ■■

Now by the adjunction $P \stackrel{+}{\leftarrow} S$, $\text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}_c, F) = \text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}_c^+, F)$. Since the left one is just $F(X)$, we now have two left exact functors. Thus we have

$$H^i(X, F) = \text{Ext}^i(\mathbb{Z}, F).$$

Lemma: Suppose (C, E) is a trivial site (only covering is isomorphism). Then $S(C_E) = P(C_E)$.

Proof: Consider the covering $U \xrightarrow{\alpha} U$. Then in the fiber product:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U & \xrightarrow{\beta = \alpha^{-1}} & U \\ \beta \downarrow & & \downarrow \alpha \\ U & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & U \end{array} \quad \text{Then } F(U) \xrightarrow{\alpha^*} F(U) \xrightarrow{\beta^*} F(U) \text{ is automatic. } \blacksquare$$

Ex: Let G be a group, considered as a category with trivial topology (indeed, all morphisms are coverings). Then a pre-sheaf on G is a sheaf, and is a G -module.

Then $M \rightarrow M^G$ is left exact, and so can be given cohomology. Since $M^G = \text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}, M)$, so $H^i(G, M) = \text{Ext}^i(\mathbb{Z}, M)$ - the group cohomology! Now $H^i(G, F) = \text{Ext}^i(\mathbb{Z}_G, F)$.

Since we don't have a final object, $\text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}_G, F) \neq F(\cdot)$ indeed $F(\cdot) = M$, so there would be no cohomology! Ext is "better".

Flat Morphisms

All rings are noetherian. All schemes are locally noetherian.

Def: Let A be a ring. An A -module is flat if $-\otimes_A M$ is an exact functor.

In particular any free A -module is flat, and any localization of a flat module is flat. A theorem of commutative algebra tells us its enough to use finitely generated modules, so we make that assumption.

Def: A map of rings $f: A \rightarrow B$ is flat if B is a flat A -module.

Prop: $f: A \rightarrow B$ is flat if for every ideal $I \subset A$, the map $I \otimes_A B \rightarrow A \otimes_A B = B$ is injective.

Proof: Let $0 \rightarrow M' \xrightarrow{g} M$ be exact with f.g. A -modules.

case (a): M is free of rank r . Then $r=1$ is just $0 \rightarrow I \rightarrow A$, which is the assumption. If $r>1$, write $M = M_1 \oplus M_2$ - free of lower rank. $\otimes B$ gives:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \rightarrow & M_1 \otimes B & \rightarrow & M \otimes B & \xrightarrow{p} & M_2 \otimes B \rightarrow 0 \\ & & \uparrow & & \uparrow g \otimes B & & \uparrow \\ & & \bar{g}^*(M_1) \otimes B & \rightarrow & M' \otimes B & \rightarrow & p(M') \otimes B \rightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

a diagram chase shows the claim.

case (b): M arbitrary. Let x_1, \dots, x_r generate M . Then we get, after tensoring with B :

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} N & \rightarrow & \bigoplus A x_i & \rightarrow & M & \rightarrow & 0 \\ \parallel & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \\ N & \rightarrow & h^*(M') & \rightarrow & M' & \rightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

and again a diagram chase is enough by (a). ■

Prop: If $f: A \rightarrow B$ is flat, so is $S^{-1}A \rightarrow T^{-1}B$ if $f(S) \subset T$. Conversely if for all maximal ideals $m \in B$, $A_{f^{-1}(m)} \rightarrow B_m$ is flat, then f is flat.

In particular, we get $f: A \rightarrow B$, $f^*: \text{Spec } B \rightarrow \text{Spec } A$, and this map of schemes is flat if it's flat at all closed points.

Def: A map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ of schemes is flat if $\forall y \in Y$, the map $\mathcal{O}_{X, f^{-1}(y)} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{Y, y}$ is flat.

Exercise 1: Show f is flat iff \forall affine open $V \subset Y$, $U \subset X$ with $f(U) \subset V$, the morphism $\Gamma(U, \mathcal{O}_X) \rightarrow \Gamma(V, \mathcal{O}_Y)$ is flat.

Note:

- 1) Composition of flat morphisms is flat. Clear from commutative algebra.
- 2) Any open immersion is flat. Take $U \xrightarrow{f} X$, then $\mathcal{O}_{U, p} = \mathcal{O}_{X, f(p)}$, and the identity is flat.
- 3) Any base change of a flat morphism is flat. Indeed we can assume affine, so:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Spec}(C \otimes_A B) & \longrightarrow & \text{Spec } B \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow f - \text{flat} \\ \text{Spec } C & \longrightarrow & \text{Spec } A \end{array}$$

we get

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C \otimes_A B & \leftarrow & B \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow f^* - \text{flat by Ex. 1.} \\ C & \leftarrow & A \end{array}$$

Some theorems:

Thm (Hartshorne III.9.5): Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a flat morphism of schemes of finite type over a field k . Then for all $x \in X$, $y = f(x)$, then $\dim_x X = \dim_x(X_y) + \dim_y Y$.

Thm (Hartshorne III.9.9): Let T be an integral scheme and consider \mathbb{P}_T^n . We have a closed subscheme $X \subset \mathbb{P}_T^n$ over T . For each $t \in T$, $P_t \in \mathbb{Q}[z]$ is the Hilbert polynomial of $X_t \subset \mathbb{P}_{k(t)}^n$. Then $f: X \rightarrow T$ is flat iff P_t is independent of t .

In particular, all fibers have the same dimension.

Def: Let $f: A \rightarrow B$ be a flat morphism of rings. Then f is faithfully flat if for any A -module $M \neq 0$, $M \otimes_A B \neq 0$.

In particular, a faithfully flat morphism is injective. Let $I = \ker f$, then we have:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} 0 \rightarrow I \rightarrow A & \text{exact} & \left. \right\} \text{Since } I \otimes_A B \rightarrow B \text{ is injective, we see} \\ \parallel & \downarrow 1_{\otimes i} & \\ 0 \rightarrow I \otimes_A B \rightarrow A \otimes_A B = B & \text{exact.} & \left. \right\} \text{that } I \otimes_A B = 0 \Rightarrow I = 0. \end{array}$$

Prop: Let $A \neq 0$, $f: A \rightarrow B$ flat. Then TFAE:

- i) f is faith.flat.
- ii) $M' \rightarrow M \rightarrow M''$ is exact iff $B \otimes M' \rightarrow B \otimes M \rightarrow B \otimes M''$ is an exact.
- iii) $f^\# : \text{Spec } B \rightarrow \text{Spec } A$ is surjective.
- iv) \forall max. ideals $m \in A$, $f(m) \cdot B \neq B$. In particular, a flat local hom of local rings is faith.flat always.

Proof: See proposition 2.7 in Milne's "Étale Cohomology" ■

We now define a fundamental object, the flat topology, after giving some basics.

Def: A morphism $f: Y \rightarrow X$ is faith.flat if it is flat and surjective.

It is worth remarking that faith.flat morphisms are preserved under composition and base change.

Def: We define a Grothendieck topology on Sch by for all schemes X , a covering set $\text{Cov}(X) = \{U_i \rightarrow X\}$, where $\sqcup U_i \rightarrow X$ is faith.flat.

Corollary: Let $f: Y \rightarrow X$ be flat, $y \in Y$, and $x = f(y)$. Let $x \in \overline{\{x'\}}$. Then there is a $y' \in Y$ such that $f(y') = x'$ and $y \in \overline{\{y'\}}$.

Proof: Corollary 2.8 in Étale Cohomology (EC). ■

Thm: Any flat morphism that's locally of finite type is open.

Note locally of finite type. For example $\mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{\text{flat}} \mathbb{Z}_{(2)}$ gives $\text{Spec } \mathbb{Z} \leftarrow \text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}_{(2)}$, which not of finite type. Then the image of $\text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}_{(2)}$ is not open.

Proof: There is an open cover $\text{Spec } A_i$ of X and $f^{-1}(U_i) = \text{Spec } B_{ij} = V_{ij}$, with each B_{ij} is a p.g. A_i -algebra. Moreover, $f|_{V_{ij}}: V_{ij} \rightarrow U_i$ is flat, so its enough to show this is open. Thus we can assume $X = \text{Spec } A$ and $Y = \text{Spec } B$ with B a p.g. A -algebra.

We know $\text{Im}(f)$ is closed under generalization. Since the image of constructible sets is constructible and constructible sets closed under generalization are open, we are done. ■

Exercise 2: Prove Exercise II.3.18 (a)-(d) in Hartshorne.

Exercise 3: Prove Exercise II.3.19 (a)-(d) in Hartshorne.

Exercise 4: Prove that a finite morphism is a closed morphism.

Consider a finite flat morphism $f: Y \rightarrow X$. Then $f(Y)$ is open and closed in X (hence surjective if X is connected).

Thm: Let M be a f.g. A -module (A noetherian). TFAE:

- i) M is flat as an A -module;
- ii) M_m is a free A_m -module, for all maximal ideals $m \subset A$;
- iii) \tilde{M} is a locally free $\mathcal{O}_{\text{Spec } A}$ -module;
- iv) M is projective;
- v) If A is also integral, then $\dim_{k(p)}(M \otimes_A k(p))$ is constant on $\text{Spec } A$.

Proof: Them 2.9 in EC.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii). Fix a max. ideal $m \subset A$. Then M_m is a free A_m -module (w/ finite basis). Hence we have a map $M \rightarrow M_m$, and since

$$M/mM \xrightarrow{\sim} M_m/mM_m,$$

so choose $x_1, \dots, x_n \in M$ which are a basis for M_m . We then have a map: $\bigoplus A x_i \rightarrow M$ and hence an isomorphism $(\bigoplus A x_i)_m \xrightarrow{\sim} M_m$ and hence we have an open set containing $m \in \text{Spec } A$ upon which $\tilde{M}|_U \cong \bigoplus \mathcal{O}_U$. ■

Exercise 5: Prove (i) \Rightarrow (iv), (i) \Rightarrow (ii), (iv) \Leftrightarrow (v) (Ex. II.5.8 in Hartshorne).

Example: $f: Y \rightarrow X$ be flat and finite. Then $f_* \mathcal{O}_Y$ is coherent on X , which is flat over \mathcal{O}_X . Then we have from the above that its locally free.