

The Standard Conjectures

Up to now the construction of motives has not relied on any unproven assumptions. But we need to remind ourselves of the goal, namely to get a universal cohomology theory. To do this, one would need to address several conjectures of Grothendieck:

To explain the conjectures, fix a Weil cohomology theory H with coefficients from a characteristic zero field F . Recall that such a cohomology theory comes equipped with a cycle map $cl_X : CH^i(X) \rightarrow H^{2i}(X)$, and the surjective image of cl_X in $H^{2i}(X)$ are called algebraic classes.

1. The Künneth Conjecture $C(X)$

Let $\Delta(X) \subset X \times X$ be the diagonal, and consider $cl_{XXX}(\Delta(X)) \in H^{2d}(X \times X)$. By the assumption on H , we have a Künneth decomposition:

$$H^{2d}(X \times X) = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{2d} H^{2d-i}(X) \otimes H^i(X).$$

Denote by Δ_i the i^{th} component of $\Delta(X)$ in this direct sum. Then:

Conjecture $C(X)$: The Künneth components Δ_i are algebraic.

This conjecture is known in a handful of cases. First when the variety admits some "algebraic cell decomposition", also known for curves, surfaces, and abelian varieties. Katz + Messing have also proven it for $k = \mathbb{F}_q$. For most of these claims, see Kleiman's article, "Algebraic Cycles and the Weil Conjectures".

Another tidbit, over $k = \mathbb{C}$, $C(X)$ would follow from the Hodge conjecture.

2. Conjectures of Lefschetz Type

Choose some explicit projective embedding of $X_d \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$, and Y a hyperplane section. Then we have the Lefschetz operator:

$$L : H^i(X) \rightarrow H^{i+2}(X), \quad \alpha \mapsto \alpha \cup cl_X(Y).$$

Since we have assumed hard Lefschetz, this gives isomorphisms $L^i : H^{d-i} \xrightarrow{\sim} H^{d+i}$. We then define

$$\Lambda = (L^{i+2})^{-1} \circ L \circ L^i : H^i(X) \rightarrow H^{i-2}(X),$$

i.e. by the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^{d-i}(X) & \xrightarrow{L^i} & H^{d+i}(X) \\ \Lambda \downarrow & & \downarrow L \quad 0 \leq i \leq r \\ H^{d-i-2}(X) & \xrightarrow{L^{i+2}} & H^{d+i+2} \end{array}$$

and similar for other bounds.

Alternatively we can define Λ using primitive elements $P^i(X) = \text{Ker}(L^{d-i+1}: H^i \rightarrow H^{2d-i+2})$ we can decompose $H^i(X) = P^i(X) \oplus L^i H^{i-2}(X)$, hence every element $a \in H^i(X)$ has a unique primitive decomposition:

$$a = \sum_{j \geq \max(i-r, 0)} L^j a_j, \quad a_j \in P^{i-2j}(X).$$

Then define $\Lambda a = \sum_{j \geq \max(i-r, 0)} L^{j-1} a_j$. Note that Λ is almost an inverse to L .

Further, the linear map $\Lambda: H^i(X) \rightarrow H^i(X)$ comes from a topological correspondence, i.e. an element of $H^*(X \times X)$, and so

Conjecture $B(X)$: The correspondence Λ is algebraic.

As before, if $k = \mathbb{C}$, then $B(X)$ would follow from the Hodge conjecture. In general it is known to hold if X is a curve, surface with $H^1(X) = 2 \cdot \dim \text{Pic}^0(X)$, an abelian variety, or a generalized flag manifold. Further $B(X)$ is independent of $X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$ and the hyperplane section.

Consider the commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^{2i}(X) & \xrightarrow[L^{d-2i}]{\sim} & H^{2d-2i}(X) \\ \uparrow \text{cl}_X & & \uparrow \text{cl}_X \\ A^i(X) & \xleftarrow{\quad} & A^{d-i}(X) \\ \uparrow \text{Im}(\text{cl}_X) & \uparrow \text{injective by} & \uparrow \text{Im}(\text{cl}_X) \\ & \text{Hard Lefschetz.} & \end{array}$$

Conjecture $A(X, L)$: $A^i(X) \hookrightarrow A^{d-i}(X)$ is an isomorphism. Alternatively, the cup product $A^i(X) \times A^{d-i}(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ is an isomorphism.

Note that $B(X) \Rightarrow A(X, L)$, and if you believe Grothendieck $A(X, L) \Rightarrow B(X)$.

3. Conjectures of Hodge Type

Recall that we have defined primitive cohomology as: $P^i(X) = \text{Ker}(L^{d-i+1}: H^i(X) \rightarrow H^{2d-i+2}(X))$ and hence we can talk about the primitive algebraic classes:

$$A_{\text{prim}}^i(X) = A^i(X) \cap P^{2i}(X).$$

Then the cup product induces a new pairing $A_{\text{prim}}^i(X) \times A_{\text{prim}}^i(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$, by sending $(x, y) \mapsto (-1)^i \text{Tr} \circ (L^{d-2i}(x) \cup y) \in \mathbb{Q}$.

Conjecture Hdg(X): The pairing above is positive definite.

If $k = \mathbb{C}$, Hodge theory gives a proof, as a comparison with the Betti-cohomology and the Riemann-Bilinear Relations proves it. It's also known for surfaces over any field.

Some relations: • $D(X) \Rightarrow A(X, L)$, as then $A^i(X) = Z(X)/Z_{\text{num}}(X)$, and the pairing is nondegenerate by definition.

- If $Hdg(X)$, then $D(X) \Leftrightarrow A(X, L)$.
- $B(X) + Hdg(X) \Rightarrow D(X)$.
- $B(X) + Hdg(X) \Rightarrow \text{Mot}_{\text{num}}$ is abelian semisimple. This is actually already true.

In particular all of them would imply the existence of a universal Weil cohomology theory, which would be given by Mot_{num} . The surprising fact is known as Jannsen's theorem

Thm (Jannsen): The following are equivalent:

- 1) Mot_v is abelian semisimple,
- 2) $\sim = \sim_{\text{num}}$,
- 3) for all $X \in \text{SmProj}_k$, the F -algebra $\text{Corr}^\circ(X, X)_F$ is a finite dimensional semi-simple F -algebra.

See Murre for the proof.