

Constructing the Hilbert Scheme I

We begin with a sketch of the general strategy. Fix a projective space \mathbb{P}^r . We want to parametrize closed subschemes of \mathbb{P}^r with fixed numerical parameters, i.e. degree, dimension, etc. These quantities are naturally encoded in the Hilbert polynomial, which again we define as $P_X(t) = \chi(\mathcal{O}_X(t)) = \sum (-1)^i h^i(X, \mathcal{O}_X(t))$.

To parametrize these varieties, we need the notion of a (flat) family. Given some ambient scheme Y , a family of subschemes of Y parametrized by S is a subscheme $X \subset Y \times S$ viewed as fibered over S via the projection:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y \times S & \supset & X \\ & \downarrow f & \\ & & S \end{array}$$

and we write X_s for $f^{-1}(s) = X_{s, \text{Spec } k(s)}$, $s \in S$. So what we are asking for is that the Hilbert polynomial $P_{X_s}(t)$ be independent of s . This is essentially the notion of flatness, which we now recall.

Def: A module M over a commutative ring R is flat if the endofunctor $(-) \otimes_R M$ is exact. Equivalently, $\text{Tor}_1^R(M, N) = 0$ for any f.g. R -module N . A morphism of schemes $\varphi: X \rightarrow S$ is flat if for any $x \in X$, the natural map $\mathcal{O}_{S, \varphi(x)} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X, x}$ makes $\mathcal{O}_{X, x}$ a flat $\mathcal{O}_{S, \varphi(x)}$ -module.

Indeed, we have the following:

Thm (Theorem III.9.9 Hartshorne): Let S be an integral noetherian scheme. Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^n \times S$ be a closed subscheme. For each point $s \in S$, consider the Hilbert polynomial $P_s(t) \in \mathbb{Q}[t]$ of the fiber X_s , considered as a closed subscheme of $\mathbb{P}^n_{k(s)}$. Then X is flat over S iff $P_s(t)$ is independent of s .

We won't prove this, see Hartshorne for it. One we will prove later is actually a rather strong result:

Thm: Let $r \geq 0$ be an integer, and $p(t)$ a rational polynomial. Then there is an n_0 , depending only on r and $p(t)$, such that for any subscheme $X \subset \mathbb{P}^r$ with Hilbert polynomial $p(t)$, and any $n \geq n_0$, the map

$$H^0(\mathbb{P}^r, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r}(n)) \xrightarrow{\varphi_X^n} H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(n))$$

is surjective, and the scheme X is completely determined by its kernel, and moreover,

$$g(n) = \dim \text{Ker } \varphi_X^n = \binom{n+r}{r} - p(n).$$

Now if $\text{Hilb}_r^{P(t)}$ is the set of subschemes of \mathbb{P}^r with Hilbert polynomial $p(t)$, we get an injective set-map:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hilb}_r^{P(t)} &\hookrightarrow \text{Gr}(g(n), H^0(\mathbb{P}^r, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r}(n))) \\ X &\longmapsto [\text{Ker } \varphi_X^n]. \end{aligned}$$

The strategy of the construction is to then give the image of this a scheme structure.

We will call the result above the "uniform- n_0 theorem."

We will actually get more. We will get a "universal family" in the sense that given another family $\mathbb{P}^r \times S \supset X \xrightarrow{f} S$ of closed subschemes with the same Hilbert polynomial, is the pullback of the universal family X over $\text{Hilb}_r^{P(t)}$, via a unique $\alpha: S \rightarrow \text{Hilb}_r^{P(t)}$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{P}^r \times S \supset X & \xrightarrow{\quad} & X \subset \mathbb{P}^r \times \text{Hilb}_r^{P(t)} \\ \downarrow f & & \downarrow \pi \\ S & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & \text{Hilb}_r^{P(t)} \end{array}$$

Another way of saying this is that given the Hilbert functor $\text{Hilb}: \text{Schemes} \rightarrow \text{Sets}$,

$$\text{Hilb}(S) = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{families of closed subschemes of } \mathbb{P}^r \text{ w/ constant Hilbert polynomial } P(t), \\ \text{parametrized by } S \end{array} \right\}.$$

That $\text{Hilb}_r^{P(t)}$ represents this functor.

We devote the rest of today for an example of a Hilbert scheme (before the construction). Next time we will prove the uniform- n theorem and construct the Hilbert scheme.

Example: Hypersurfaces

Fix a projective space \mathbb{P}^r . If $X \subset \mathbb{P}^r$ is a hypersurface, we can compute its Hilbert polynomial from taking cohomology of:

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r}(n-d) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r}(n) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(n) \rightarrow 0,$$

$$\text{so } P_X(n) = \binom{n+r}{r} - \binom{n-d+r}{r} = \frac{d}{(r-1)!} t^{r-1} + \dots$$

In fact more is true, any subscheme of \mathbb{P}^r with this Hilbert polynomial is a hypersurface, checking this is elementary.

Set $N = \binom{d+r}{r} - 1$. If x_0, \dots, x_r are homogeneous coords on \mathbb{P}^r , and a_I , $I = (i_0, \dots, i_r)$ a multi-index with $i_k \geq 0$, $\sum i_k = d$, are homogeneous coords on \mathbb{P}^N , we define the hypersurface $X \subset \mathbb{P}^r \times \mathbb{P}^N$ defined by $\sum a_I x^I = 0$.

It is evident that X with $\pi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^N$ is $\text{Hilb}_r^{P(t)}$ for hypersurfaces, X the universal family. Since the Hilbert polynomial is constant in the family, π is certainly flat, but we need to show the universal property. Let

$$\mathbb{P}^r \times S \supset X \xrightarrow{f} S$$

be a flat family of hypersurfaces of degree d . If I is the ideal sheaf of $X \subset \mathbb{P}^r \times S$, standard results on flatness and base change tells us that $f^* I(d)$ is an invertible sheaf on S , and hence we can find an open cover $\{U_i\}$ and local generators σ_i on each U_i . Each σ_i may be thought of as a homogeneous polynomial $\sum b_{i,I} x^I$, with $b_{i,I}$ regular functions on U_i , and

$$f^{-1}(s) = V(\sum b_{i,I}(s) x^I) \subset \mathbb{P}^r, \quad s \in S.$$

Hence we get $\alpha_i: U_i \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$ by $s \mapsto [\dots : b_{i,\mathcal{I}}(s) : \dots]$ which we can check glue to a global morphism $\alpha: S \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$, and our local description makes it clear that $X \xrightarrow{\alpha} S$ is the pullback of $X \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathbb{P}^n$. The uniqueness of α is skipped for now.

Example: Hilbert Schemes of Points

For a zero-dimensional subscheme Z of \mathbb{P}^r , we have seen its Hilbert polynomial is $d = \deg Z = \dim H^0(Z, \mathcal{O}_Z)$. Let H be the corresponding Hilbert scheme. Now consider the d -fold symmetric product of \mathbb{P}^r minus the diagonal. This is a smooth variety S which is the parameter space of d distinct points of \mathbb{P}^r . By universality, S maps into H , and this is actually an open embedding. We will see that H is a compactification of S , but is not just the symmetric product in most cases, and exhibits many pathological properties.

Constructing the Hilbert Scheme II

We aim to now prove the uniform no-lemma, the heavy lifting being done by the following:

Lemma: Let r be a non-negative integer, and $g(t)$ a rational polynomial. Then there exists an integer n_0 , such that, for any ideal sheaf $\mathcal{J} \subset \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r}$ with Hilbert polynomial $g(t)$ and any $n \geq n_0$,

- 1) $H^i(\mathbb{P}^r, \mathcal{J}(n)) = 0 \quad \forall i \geq 1,$
- 2) the natural map $H^0(\mathbb{P}^r, \mathcal{J}(n)) \otimes H^0(\mathbb{P}^r, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r}(1)) \longrightarrow H^0(\mathbb{P}^r, \mathcal{J}(n+1))$ is surjective.

Proof: We proceed by induction on r , the dimension of projective space, the case $r=0$ of course trivial. So assume $r > 0$, and let X be the projective scheme determined by \mathcal{J} , and note that we may choose a hyperplane H not containing any connected components of X (including embedded ones). Set $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{J} \otimes \mathcal{O}_H$, this can be interpreted as the ideal sheaf of $X \cap H$, regarded as a subscheme of H , and so we find that \mathcal{F} is a sheaf of ideals in \mathcal{O}_H . Consider

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r}(-1) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_H \longrightarrow 0,$$

and tensor w/ $\mathcal{J}(n+1)$. We get the exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{J}(n) \longrightarrow \mathcal{J}(n+1) \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}(n+1) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Thus $P_{\mathcal{F}}(t) = P_{\mathcal{J}}(t) - P_{\mathcal{J}}(t-1) = g(t) - g(t-1)$. Now by induction, since $H \cong \mathbb{P}^{r-1}$, $P_{\mathcal{F}}(t)$ depends only on $g(t)$, not on \mathcal{J} or H . So there is an n_1 such that (1) + (2) hold for all $n \geq n_1$. In particular, this implies that $H^i(H, \mathcal{F}(n)) = 0$ for $i \geq 1$, and hence $H^i(\mathbb{P}^r, \mathcal{J}(n)) \cong H^i(\mathbb{P}^r, \mathcal{J}(n+1))$ for $i \geq 2$. By Serre Vanishing (See Thm III.5.2 in Hartshorne), $H^i(\mathbb{P}^r, \mathcal{J}(n))$ vanishes for large enough n , so we may assume $H^i(\mathbb{P}^r, \mathcal{J}(n)) = 0$ for $n \geq n_1$, $i \geq 2$.

It remains to deal with the first cohomology. We have an exact sequence:

$$H^0(\mathbb{P}^r, \mathcal{J}(n+1)) \xrightarrow{\alpha_n} H^0(H, \mathcal{F}(n+1)) \longrightarrow H^1(\mathbb{P}^r, \mathcal{J}(n)) \longrightarrow H^1(\mathbb{P}^r, \mathcal{J}(n+1)) \longrightarrow 0.$$

We know that $H^1(\mathbb{P}^r, \mathcal{J}(n+1)) \leq H^1(\mathbb{P}^r, \mathcal{J}(n))$, and indeed these are equal if the map from $H^1(\mathbb{P}^r, \mathcal{J}(n))$ to $H^1(\mathbb{P}^r, \mathcal{J}(n+1))$ is injective. This would imply that α_n is surjective, as its image is the kernel of the following, which we have shown to be the case. Hence we

have two possibilities: α_n is surjective, or $h^1(\mathbb{P}^r, \mathcal{I}(n)) < h^1(\mathbb{P}^r, \mathcal{J}(n))$. Now we claim that if α_n is surjective, so is α_{n+1} . Indeed since α_n is surjective, so is the top arrow in the following:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^0(\mathbb{P}^r, \mathcal{J}(n)) \otimes H^0(\mathbb{P}^r, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r}(1)) & \longrightarrow & H^0(H, \mathcal{F}(n)) \otimes H^0(H, \mathcal{O}_H(1)) \\ \downarrow \alpha_n \otimes \text{id} & & \downarrow \leftarrow \text{Surjective by induction} \\ H^0(\mathbb{P}^r, \mathcal{J}(n+1)) & \xrightarrow{\alpha_{n+1}} & H^0(H, \mathcal{F}(n+1)) \end{array} \quad \left. \begin{array}{l} \text{It's now a trivial} \\ \text{diagram chase to} \\ \text{see } \alpha_{n+1} \text{ is} \\ \text{surjective.} \end{array} \right\}$$

Thus as n increases, $h^1(\mathbb{P}^r, \mathcal{J}(n))$ decreases, until it stabilizes somewhere. Since $h^1(\mathbb{P}^r, \mathcal{J}(m)) = 0$ for large enough m (again by Serre vanishing), it stabilizes at zero. In particular we get $h^1(\mathbb{P}^r, \mathcal{J}(n)) = 0$ for $n \geq n_1 + h^1(\mathbb{P}^r, \mathcal{J}(n_1))$, as at each increase past n_1 , the dimension drops by at least one, and hits zero, so the worst case estimate is the dimension at the start, $h^1(\mathbb{P}^r, \mathcal{J}(n_1))$.

On the other hand, we bound the dimension above, as we know from the Hilbert polynomial $h^1(\mathbb{P}^r, \mathcal{J}(n)) \leq h^0(\mathbb{P}^r, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r}(n)) - g(n)$, which is independent of \mathcal{J} . We claim that our desired uniform bound is $n_0 = n_1 + h^0(\mathbb{P}^r, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r}(n_1)) - g(n_1) + 1$. By the above remarks, certainly (1) holds for $n \geq n_0$, so we focus on (2). Consider the diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} H^0(\mathbb{P}^r, \mathcal{J}(n)) & \xrightarrow{\gamma} & H^0(\mathbb{P}^r, \mathcal{J}(n+1)) & \xrightarrow{\alpha_n} & H^0(H, \mathcal{F}(n+1)) \\ & \uparrow \beta & & & \uparrow \delta - \text{Surjective by induction.} \\ H^0(\mathbb{P}^r, \mathcal{J}(n)) \otimes H^0(\mathbb{P}^r, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r}(1)) & \xrightarrow{\gamma} & H^0(H, \mathcal{F}(n)) \otimes H^0(H, \mathcal{O}_H(1)) & & \end{array}$$

Surjective
for $n \geq n_0 - 1$.

where the top row is exact. A diagram chase shows β is surjective, which completes the proof. \blacksquare

It is worth noting that this proof also holds, verbatim, when \mathcal{J} is replaced with a coherent subsheaf of a fixed coherent sheaf on \mathbb{P}^r . This notion gives rise to the Quot scheme.

The above lemma now implies the more easily understood corollary:

Corollary: Let r be a non-negative integer, and $p(t)$ be a rational polynomial. Then there is an integer n_0 with the following property. Let $\mathbb{P}^r \times S \rightarrow S$ be any flat family of subschemes of \mathbb{P}^r with Hilbert polynomial $p(t)$, with $\psi: \mathbb{P}^r \times S \rightarrow S$ the projection, and \mathcal{I}_X the ideal sheaf of X in $\mathbb{P}^r \times S$. Then for any $n \geq n_0$, the following hold:

- 1) $\psi_* \mathcal{I}_X(n)$ is locally free of rank $g(n)$;
- 2) $R^i \psi_* \mathcal{I}_X(n) = 0$, $i \geq 1$;
- 3) the multiplication map $\psi_* \mathcal{I}_X(n) \otimes \psi_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r \times S}(1) \rightarrow \psi_* \mathcal{I}_X(n+1)$ is surjective;
- 4) for any morphism $\alpha: T \rightarrow S$, the natural map $\alpha^* \psi_* \mathcal{I}_X(n) \rightarrow \varphi_* \mathcal{I}_Y(n)$ is an isomorphism, where $Y = X \times_S T \subset \mathbb{P}^r \times T$, and $\varphi: \mathbb{P}^r \times T \rightarrow T$ the projection.

The details involve some other results on flatness which we now skip. One can see now that the uniform n_0 -theorem is essentially proven.

Constructing the Hilbert Scheme III.

This will be the meat of the first part. Let X be a closed subscheme of \mathbb{P}^r with Hilbert polynomial $p(t)$. We set

$$q(n) = \binom{n+r}{r} - p(n)$$

To be the Hilbert polynomial of \mathcal{I}_X . Fix some integer n larger than the uniform bound we showed last time. Then we define the n^{th} Hilbert point of X to be the point $[H^0(\mathbb{P}^r, \mathcal{I}_X)]$ in the grassmannian $\text{Gr}(q(n), H^0(\mathbb{P}^r, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r}(n)))$.

One can check that this uniquely specifies X , as the lemma we proved last time says \mathcal{I}_X is generated in degree n or more by $H^0(\mathbb{P}^r, \mathcal{I}_X(n))$. Moreover, the dimension of $H^0(\mathbb{P}^r, \mathcal{I}_X(m))$ is $q(m)$ for any $m \geq n$, so this means that the n^{th} Hilbert point of X lies in the subset $H \subset \text{Gr}(q(n), H^0(\mathbb{P}^r, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r}(n))) = G$ whose points are vector spaces V such that the multiplication map

$$\mu_{m,n}: V \otimes H^0(\mathbb{P}^r, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r}(m-n)) \longrightarrow H^0(\mathbb{P}^r, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r}(n))$$

has the dimension of its image $q(n)$. By definition then V generates a homogeneous ideal with Hilbert polynomial $g(t)$, and therefore comes from a closed subscheme $X \subset \mathbb{P}^r$ with Hilbert polynomial $p(t)$.

So we see that $\text{Hilb}_r^{p(t)}$ will have H as its closed points. So we need to construct a scheme structure on H and prove representability. Let $\xi: \mathbb{P}^r \times G \rightarrow G$ be the projection, and $\mathcal{F} \hookrightarrow \xi_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r \times G}(n)$ be the universal subsheaf on G . We then let

$$\mu_n: \mathcal{F} \otimes \xi_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r \times G}(h-n) \longrightarrow \xi_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r \times G}(h)$$

be the multiplication map. So now we denote by \sum_h the determinantal locally closed subscheme of G defined by the condition $\text{rank } \mu_h = g(h)$. That is, \sum_h is the intersection of the determinantal subscheme which is defined by the vanishing of $(g(h)+1) \times (g(h)+1)$ minors, and the open subset where at least one $g(h) \times g(h)$ minor does not vanish. Since we have fixed n , and the above discussion wants this for all $h \geq n$, we have:

$$H = \bigcap_{h \geq n} (\sum_h)_{\text{red.}}$$

We would like to define $\text{Hilb}_r^{p(t)}$ as the scheme-theoretic intersection of the \sum_h , however it's not clear that this infinite intersection of locally closed subschemes give rise to a scheme-theoretic intersection at all.

Denote the finite intersections by $\Lambda_k = \bigcap_{n \leq h \leq k} \sum_h$. It's enough to show that these eventually stabilize as sets. Indeed if so, one can show that for some k_0 $\{\Lambda_k\}_{k \geq k_0}$ is a decreasing sequence of closed subschemes, which would stabilize by noetherian-ness.

We want to show that the set of closed points $|\Lambda_k|$ is constant for large k . To show this, we aim to show that for any closed point in G , a closed subscheme $Y_s \subset \mathbb{P}^r$ and an integer $N \geq n$, independent of s , s.t. $\text{rank } \mu_{h,s} = h_{Y_s}(h)$ for $h \geq N$.

If we have managed to do this, for $s \in \Lambda_{N+r}$, we have $\text{rank } \mu_{n,s} = g(h)$ for $n \leq h \leq N+r$, hence we can show $g(h)$ and $\log_{y_s}(h)$ coincide, and so $s \in \Lambda_h$ for all $h \geq N$, which proves the claim.

Now we can exhibit y_s as the subscheme corresponding to the ideal generated by $V \cap H^0(\mathbb{P}^r, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r}(n))$, where $[V] = s \in G$. To get the uniform integer, we will show y_s is a fiber of a closed subscheme $Y \subset \mathbb{P}^r \times G$. Set

$$\mathcal{F}_m = \mu_m (\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathfrak{S}^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r \times G}(m-n)).$$

Then $\bigoplus_j \mathcal{F}_j$ is a graded sheaf of ideals in $\bigoplus_j \mathfrak{S}^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r \times G}(j)$, so there is a sheaf of ideals $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r \times G}$ and an integer $N \geq n$ such that

$$\mathcal{F}_m = \mathfrak{S}^* \mathcal{F}(m) \quad \text{for } m \geq N.$$

Denote by Y the subscheme of $\mathbb{P}^r \times G$ corresponding to \mathcal{F} . Some technical lemmas on flatness then proves the rest. This ends the construction of the scheme structure of $\text{Hilb}_r^{P(t)}$.

The universal family $X \rightarrow \text{Hilb}_r^{P(t)}$ is the restriction of Y to $\text{Hilb}_r^{P(t)}$, and this turns out to be flat by standard results. As a consequence, any $\alpha: S \rightarrow \text{Hilb}_r^{P(t)}$ induces by pullback a flat family $X = Y \times_{\text{Hilb}_r^{P(t)}} S \xrightarrow{f} S$ of subschemes of \mathbb{P}^r with Hilbert polynomial $p(t)$, a proof of this uses the corollary from last time. Conversely any flat family of subschemes of \mathbb{P}^r with Hilbert polynomial $p(t)$ will be, by the universal property of the Grassmannian, be the pullback of $X \rightarrow \text{Hilb}_r^{P(t)}$ by a unique $\alpha: S \rightarrow \text{Hilb}_r^{P(t)}$.

Some remarks:

- 1) The above also holds for analytic families of proper subschemes. Hence every flat analytic family is locally the pullback of an algebraic one.
- 2) $\text{Hilb}_r^{P(t)}$ is projective. Use the valuative criterion of properness.
- 3) $\text{Hilb}_r^{P(t)}$ is connected. Proven in Hartshorne's thesis.