RECEIVED 12-22-97
0845
0:02:45-0600

RECEIVED 12-22-97
0845

Subject: Fw: Character Assault

Date: Sun, 21 Dec 1997 20:02:45 -0600

From: "Robert WitbolsFeugen" <rcwfeugen@email.msn.com>

To: "Gary Kilgore" < kilgore@swbell.net>

Any suggestions on how to respond are welcome.

It is my idea to request only an explaination from Byron," why 'friends' would leave her alone and drive away. That is the first major inconsistency that should invite him to defend yet provide an opportunity to give a supportive answer.

I'll let the 'keeper of the web' respond, so as to become a neutral party. ----Original Message----

From: saviour888@juno.com <saviour888@juno.com>

To: rockpf@swbell.net <rockpf@swbell.net> Date: Sunday, December 21, 1997 6:47 PM

Subject: Character Assault

> I was one of the three people with Anastasia when she got out of the >car the night before she had been found shot. The comment you made about >our police statements being identical, yet seemingly impossible due to >"logic" and "evidence to the contrary" is not only childish, but also >inaccurate. The police themselves have said that they have no leads in >this case, and the fact that you choose to lay blame upon those who are >even less in-the-know than yourselves is a cruel joke. I realize that >the events leading to her getting out of Justin's car that night may seem >odd to her family, but to someone who had seen this type of behavior >countless times before ... it was nothing new. Just as the statements >about Anastasia's "agnosticism" seem laughable, considering how many >times I have heard her discuss her outright contempt toward Christians >and the church.

> We are still mourning her death. I don't see why you feel the need >to accuse us. Abraham Kneisley's comment may have offended you, but >perhaps this is because you didn't truly know her as well as you thought. > The possibility also exists that she simply became a different person >for Justin (she had already admitted to changing herself to make him >happy). In closing, I have cooperated with the police as much as I can. >If we knew more, we would have said it by now. Please stop making >accusations.

>-Byron C. Case

>

RECLIVED 12-26-97 1025 HRS. Ofligary 10f2

subject: Fw: Father's concern about your reply

Date: Fri, 26 Dec 1997 09:49:40 -0600

From: "Robert WitbolsFeugen" <rcwfeugen@email.msn.com>

To: "Gary Kilgore" < kilgore@swbell.net>

I just received a phone call from Byron's mother (9 am). She said her son complained to her about getting hate mail from Anastasia's father. Does this look like hate mail?

She also mentioned that Byron's father died last Saturday. She asked me not to mention to Byron the fact that he had died from ---HIV--. (So now you too, must swear to secrecy this information.) She also mentioned that someone slashed the tires of his car, apparently the same day his father died.

I truly understand Byron's feeling of persecution and assure you I do not intend to be an additional burden for him to communicate. Evelyn said she would encourage, "coach" she said, [some legal phraseology] Byron response. ----Original Message----

From: Robert WitbolsFeugen <rcwfeugen@email.msn.com>

To: Saviour888@juno.com <Saviour888@juno.com> Date: Wednesday, December 24, 1997 3:13 PM Subject: Father's concern about your reply

>Byron,

>

>I was forwarded you email. Thank you for taking a stand on the issues, which

>have left all of us searching for causes to this tragic set of events. By >explaining your first-hand knowledge of the circumstances, we hope to gain >insight and settle our troublesome emotions.

>First let me explain that the web site is intentionally provocative, because

>of the "web of silence" that still exists 60 days after the tragedy. We >have opened every forum and encouraged all sources with anonominity and >monetary reward to step forward. I am sorry that it 'disturbs' you to have >to 'speculate' about the death of your CLOSEST friends. Your 'support >system', indeed appears to be small, however the silence extends beyond >those you include in that group.

>Your characterization of yourself and of the events that occurred that night

>are incompatible and therefore illogical. You refer those events as >... "nothing unusual"

>For Justin to ask Anastasia to meet at a cemetery and that after dark, she >would be left alone to find a way home without the help of HER closest >"friends", is something very unusual, isn't it? She was abandoned miles from

>HER home. You could have waited until she got to a lighted area or to a phone, maybe in a couple of minutes she would have cooled off. Yet, you seem unconcerned enough to give it even the slightest hesitation and leave to have some fun afterwards. I often worried about when, not if, she would come home. That night was definitely different for me!

>1. What did the four of you do for the hours preceding her departure from >the group?

>2. How did you show your concern for Anastasia at the time of her departure?

>

>3. Is it just a coincidence that Anastasia's evening should start and end >at a cemetery and without a ride from and to her home?
>4. What did you do with the time between Anastasia's death and Justin's >death to deserve the label 'closest friend'?
> These should be fairly simple questions for which you should respond.
>Thank you for taking the time to respond.

1025 H185, Chilyry 2012

RECEIVED 12-26-97

12 50 HRS. OKilyop

Subject: Fw: Condolences

Date: Fri, 26 Dec 1997 11:11:55 -0600

From: "Robert WitbolsFeugen" <rcwfeugen@email.msn.com>

To: "Gary Kilgore" < kilgore@swbell.net>

I sent this email just moments ago. Hopefully, it will bring him to the best path to resolve his troubled emotions and not leave him feel like he's so alone in the world.

----Original Message----

From: Robert WitbolsFeugen <rcwfeugen@email.msn.com>

To: byron c case <saviour888@juno.com> Date: Friday, December 26, 1997 11:03 AM

Subject: Condolences

>Dear Byron,

>I am sorrowed to hear of the passing of your father. I, too have lost my >father and my father-in-law (who was close) passed away just a few weeks >before Anastasia's death. I have also lost my brother, friends from my >youth, and a friend of the family succumbed to HIV a few years ago. As the >surviving son, you are embarking upon a path that is familiar to me, and I >tender my condolences to you for the tasks that lie ahead.

>It seems to me that in large families there are more opinions favoring >traditional funeral services. Thus, it transpired that Anastasia had the >type of service she did, although those who were close to her knew such >arrangements were not her preference. I sincerely hope you have the power >to memorialize your father in a manner pleasing to his wishes.

>I am also pained to hear that someone has slashed your tires, a despicable >act. I too, have had my car vandalized and someone wrecked my car when my >father-in-law died.

>Of all the losses that I have experienced, the loss of friends or family, >even the loss of a marriage or a career, nothing compares to the pain of

>loss of a child. Especially a murdered child whose memory will never rest >in peace until justice is done. If you, now in the pain of familiarity

>death, characterize my correspondence with you as "hate mail", then I pity >you, Byron.

>Sincerely,

>R. C. WitbolsFeugen

>

RECEIVED 12-26-97 10F2 2015 HRS. OKilgoy

Subject: Fw: Answers & Questions -- Response from Byron

Date: Fri. 26 Dec 1997 15:52:03 -0600

From: "Robert WitbolsFeugen" < rcwfeugen@email.msn.com>

To: "Gary Kilgore" < kilgore@swbell.net>

As long as you're reading... This came from Byron when I sent you last letter at 3:10 pm.

He had received my "Condolences letter" before this was sent. I'm not sure how to reach this youth but now what?

Can you get pay phone records from the DQ and at 435 and Truman RD (3) pay phones? There are other numbers we may recognize, does it register an (800)#?.

----Original Message----

From: saviour888@juno.com <saviour888@juno.com>

To: rcwfeugen@email.msn.com <rcwfeugen@email.msn.com>

Date: Friday, December 26, 1997 2:50 PM

Subject: Answers & Questions

> The unjustified spite that you and your family harbor toward
>myself and others involved wears thin my patience and respect. Not that
>you care, but our recent dialogue has done nothing but serve to upset me,
>especially considering my father's passing away on Christmas Eve ... one
>day before his birthday, and the act of vandalism which caused me to be
>indefinitely without transportation. Your selfish attitude towards
>mourning shows that any attempts I make to explain what has gone before
>are in vain. Simply because someone you care deeply for is gone, does
>not grant you the right to impose your ill-conceived suspicions upon
>innocents. The universe, as they say, does not revolve around your
>sadness. I shall answer your questions, but only because my fight
>against ignorance is not limited to the religious right.

>>> You could have waited until she got to a lighted area or to a phone, >maybe in a

>>> couple of minutes she would have cooled off. Yet, you seem >unconcerned enough

>>> to give it even the slightest hesitation and leave to have some fun >afterwards.

> First off, you apparently fail to grasp this: none of us expected >her to get out of the car. It was not the type of situation in which one >would have been able to do much about it even if it HAD crossed our minds >to do so. (I speak for everyone in the car at the time, simply because I >believe our thoughts on the issue to have been similar) As for being >unconcerned, certainly. I personally wasn't terribly worried about her, >simply because of what was mentioned in the earlier E-mail about her >outbursts having become a mere annoyance.

>>> What did the four of you do for the hours preceding her departure from >the group?

> There were not hours, but one single half-hour period of time
>during which time we were in her company. We did not meet her at Mount
>Washington Cemetery, as originally planned, she called Justin from a
>Dairy Queen pay phone, and we left the condominium at about six thirty.
>It took us about fifty minutes to get there ... do the math.

>>> How did you show your concern for Anastasia at the time of her >departure?

>Contemptuously, >Byron C. Case

RECEIVED 12-26-97 .2015 HRS, CHELJOY > Frankly, I didn't. As I mentioned before, it was simply an >annoyance to everyone present at the time. > >>> Is it just a coincidence that Anastasia's evening should start and >end at a >>> cemetery and without a ride from and to her home? > Yes. >>> What did you do with the time between Anastasia's death and Justin's >death to >>> deserve the label 'closest friend'? > Nothing. Had anything productive actually been possible, I would >have done it. I didn't find out about Anastasia until a friend called me >after the story aired on the news. The first thing I did after that was >call Justin, who wasn't home. I certainly don't appreciate the tone with >which you use the words "closest friend". The next time someone close to >you is murdered, remind me to debunk your relationship (of which I know >nothing) and see how much it hurts you. > I have questions for you as well. I have spoken with two of the >people who acted as close confidants to her after she moved out of >Justin's, and both have noted that they are/were being given the cold >shoulder by the family. This is odd because those who had taunted, >betrayed, and ignored her are being given not only possessions by which >to remember her, but also credit as being her "good friends". People who >were there for her when no one else would be ... people who consoled her >when family wouldn't do ... people who could listen and speak to her >without fear of judgement on either side ... these are the ones you >ignore and toss aside. I speak for them, as well as myself, when I say >that you have a very distorted view of your daughter's life. > >

3-17-98 Glilgop 1 of 2

Subject: What's up Doc?-- Byron's answer

Date: Sat, 7 Mar 1998 07:49:05 -0600

From: "Robert WitbolsFeugen" < RCWFeugen@email.msn.com>

To: "Patrick Rock" <rockpf@swbell.net>
CC: "Gary Kilgore" <kilgore@swbell.net>

I think you've lost any chance of meaningful communication with him with that last email (he probably thinks you're taunting him, and I wouldn't be surprised if his mommy calls you to complain about harassing her poor, sensitive boy again:-), but maybe we can play Good Cop/Bad Cop with him here.

>> You seemed to be on your way out the door, with your tall dark hairdo friend with a

>> pony tail, when suddenly you returned to the glass cased counter in the back. I

>> guess I've seen more pictures of you than you have of me.

Well, apparently it was a day where we all showed a lack of recognition for one another ... the friend I was with was Abraham. I guess you never really met him, though. Where were you exactly? I remember going directly to the book section, then browsed the jewelry selection. I was with our other friend, Paul as well ... but he's not exactly the type of person you'd expect to be seen with us. Do you go to Aquarius/Vulcan's Forge a lot? I've never really seen you in person with the exception of the funeral.

>>Maybe you'd like to challenge me to a game of pool over at Mill Creek Brewery and

>> we could eat at Joe's later.

Well, I'm not much for pool, but I have been considering meeting with you and/or Patrick to more easily discuss things. You two have a lot of questions and E-mail, as handy as it may be, isn't exactly the best way to go about answering them. I think in person, there would be less of a chance of misunderstandings flaring up. If you'd like, give me a call at 931-8846 and we can arrange a time to meet.

~Byron

Well, maybe I just got lucky. But then again he may tire of the same ole thing. Isn't that what he's saying here.

"and E-mail, as handy as it may be, isn't exactly the best way to go about answering [questions]them."

Signed Robert C WitbolsFeugen

3-17-98 Coffee 2 of 2 Subject: Nothing to fear, but FEAR itself Date: Mon. 9 Mar 1998 23:17:07 -0600

From: "Robert WitbolsFeugen" < RCWFeugen@email.msn.com>

To: "Gary Kilgore" < kilgore@swbell.net>

3-17-98 Alilyosp 142

The following is an except from a letter received from Pat Rock. Apparently, Byron mentioned to him that he had offered to meet with us face to face. I'm not sure about the benefits of a meeting that includes the possibility of such topics nor other ones he may wish to suddenly bring out into the open. I hoping you feel this case may make it to court someday (SOON) and we don't have to proceed with this 'kangaroo court'. However, if planned carefully there may be some benefits that are worth the risk, none of which I see in Patrick's approach.

I'd agree with your assessment of Anna, though I'm not sure WHO you mean contacted Peige simultaneously (Byron & Anna? What would Byron be doing emailing Peige?) When you mentioned that Peige was going to write or talk to Anna soon, I was suspicious even then.

As far as any meeting with Byron goes, take your time. I can explain to him that we're still using email because of the difficulty in just

finding time to set up any sort of face-to-face (at best, it would take till after Easter, I mean, just because Byron's not Christian . . .:-)

We both know he's hoping to get SOMETHING out of a meeting, either to get you and me off his back so that if we bother him again, he'll charge harassment, or to give us some major misdirection clues . . . saying bad things about Anna and Tara while probably keeping in touch with them seems right in line with that. Boy ain't as dumb as he seems, just a bit arrogant of his own abilities.

This is something to think about IF we go for a face-to-face, especially if we talk to Byron alone (so he can't any other guilty parties around for help or advice): it's time to confront him with some of the facts that we've found so far. Specifically, we need to confront him about the fact that he completely missed that detour Justin took to the house, that his vehicle (which he claims was at his house) was positively I'd near Lincoln, and (just for grins) that he was easily recognized by the clerk at the adult bookstore. We don't have to tell him specifics about Justin's detour, other than the fact the police have positive evidence of it, and we don't need to tell him HOW his vehicle was I'd. Plenty of time to consider the course. No reply from Kilgore yet, of course?

Haven't found the photos yet, but I'm running out of places they could have been mislaid.

BTW, what you heard from me Saturday was two things: one was apprehension, as your previous messages Friday night sounded angry, and I wasn't sure whether I was going to get more over the phone (and I'd just gotten your first message that morning a few minutes earlier, and the "Good Ole Boy" message didn't come in till the moment you heard me mention it, so my email was pretty slow Saturday morning), and the other thing was my own depression; we all have our ways of dealing with Anastasia's death (like Betsey casting blame everywhere but the obvious), and mine is to occasionally fall into a deep funk, as I was this weekend. If I could find a grief counselor who didn't start his/her work straight from a religious standpoint (I've tried three so

far), I'd be seeing one. Signed Robert C WitbolsFeugen

3.17-98 Wilgrep 2 of 2 Subject: Fw: Not much time here---Patricks response

Date: Tue, 10 Mar 1998 08:00:34 -0600

From: "Robert WitbolsFeugen" < RCWFeugen@email.msn.com>

To: <Kilgore@swbell.net>

Hope..?/. Patrick's paranoid side does offer some glimpses to the other side of the issues. It's just that his powder is a bit wet. The point should be, Anna has forwarded two or more critical aspects that she could only have learned from someone who knew what happened and where did they learn about the video? You confirmed it with me during our initial interview. Did Byron learned of it from you? I heard it from Peige who heard it from Anna. Anna also is the source of the two bullet story. [The explosive impact of being shot while laying on the ground occurs to me here] Did you find the bullet deep in the ground? She probably was already dead, and Byron knew just where to find her, maybe Alex just used his mystical powers to locate Anastasia.

----Original Message----

From: Patrick Rock <rockpf@swbell.net>

To: Robert WitbolsFeugen < RCWFeugen@email.msn.com>

Date: Tuesday, March 10, 1998 7:27 AM

Subject: Re: Not much time here

>Ah ha! Byron said those nasty things about Anna, possibly not to set up >a misdirection (we might be giving him too much credit), but just to >cover his ass in case she told more than we revealed (he could claim she >was lying to hurt him). That could be the same motivation behind his >bad-mouthing of Tara earlier.

>Just a thought, but what if he's offering the meeting because he wants
>to find out just how many leaks there have been, and from where. He was
>hoping to slide the surveillance video story by us (heard about it at
>the funeral), and it's possible that Anna was a loose cannon at the
>time, calling you on her own and volunteering more information than he
>wanted out (sort of Byron's analogy to my calling the Pitch, except that
>she never told him). Try to remember everything she said then that
>might differ from Byron's "official" line, as her story then is probably
>the truth. Byron probably emailed her immediately after I mentioned her
>in the letter, and she probably is sharing all of Peige's correspondence
>with Byron.

>I think we hit a nerve here, and are smelling fear. The key now is that >Byron knows that we know he's been lying, but he doesn't know how much >we know, so he'll be more careful in his lies from now on. If we >continue correspondence, it might do well to ask the same questions over >and see how he answers.

>This is where Jackson County is so rinky-dink. Anna could be a major >link, and they sit on their hands not doing a thing about it. They >could ask the local constabulary in her jurisdiction to interrogate her, >with a phone linkup to Jackson County, and I'd bet they'd find A LOT of >interesting information, but they won't do such a thing--"The facts will >always be there", as Kilgore likes to blather.

3-17-98 Coffilgorp