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**Abstract**

Stem cells have the capacity to self-renew and differentiate to give rise to tissues. In mammals, spermatogonial stem cells are unique undifferentiated cells in the male germline that are present throughout life and produce functional sperm. They have a remarkable dynamic transcriptome between postnatal life and adulthood, thought to allow adaptation to the maturing gonadal environment. To assess if chromatin remodeling is associated with this dynamic, we characterized chromatin accessibility in postnatal and adult spermatogonial cells in mice using ATAC-seq. Extensive changes in chromatin accessibility were observed in spermatogonial cells across postnatal development, that correlate with distinct gene expression profiles and transcription factor motif enrichment. We identify genomic regions with differential chromatin accessibility in adult spermatogonial cells, that are marked by distinct histone modifications and are situated in proximity of transcription start site of genes important for cell maintenance and proliferation. Some of these regions correspond to transposable element subtypes enriched in multiple transcription factor motifs and with increased transcription. Together, our results reveal profiles of chromatin organization, histone modifications and gene expression in spermatogonial cells, and underscore the dynamic nature of the germline genome.

# **Introduction**

Spermatogonial cells are cells in male gonads that actively self-renew and differentiate into spermatogenic cells to produce adult gametes. In mice, they become active one to two days after birth, when they exit mitotic arrest and start dividing, to populate the basement membrane of seminiferous tubules. During the first week of postnatal life, a population of spermatogonial cells continues to proliferate and gives rise to undifferentiated Asingle (As), Apaired (Apr) and Aaligned (Aal) cells. The remaining spermatogonia differentiate to form chains of daughter cells that become primary and secondary spermatocytes around postnatal day (PND) 10 to 12. Spermatocytes undergo meiosis and give rise to haploid spermatids that develop into spermatozoa. Spermatozoa are then released in the lumen of the seminiferous tubules, and continue to mature in the epididymis until becoming capable of fertilization by PND 42-48 (Kubota and Brinster, 2018; Oatley and Griswold, 2017; De Rooij, 2017).

Recent work using population or single cell RNA sequencing (RNA-seq) showed that distinct transcriptional profiles characterize spermatogonial cells in postnatal and adult life (Green et al., 2018; Hammoud et al., 2014, 2015; Hermann et al., 2018; Law et al., 2019). During the first week after birth, spermatogonia have unique features necessary for their rapid establishment and expansion along the basement membrane. This includes high expression of genes involved in cell cycle regulation, stem cell proliferation, transcription and RNA (Grive et al., 2019). In comparison, in the adult testis, genes involved in the maintenance of a steady spermatogonial cells population, with a balance between proliferation and differentiation to ensure sperm formation predominate. This includes pathways related to paracrine signaling and niche communication, as well as mitochondrial function and oxidative phosphorylation (Grive et al., 2019; Hermann et al., 2018).

Epigenetic changes such as histone tail posttranslational modifications and DNA methylation accompany transcriptional differences in spermatogonial cells across postnatal stages (Hammoud et al., 2014, 2015). However, little is known about the accessible chromatin landscape, during the transition from postnatal to adult stage.

We examined chromatin reorganization in the transition from postnatal to adult spermatogonia and its functional relevance by profiling chromatin accessibility by ATAC-seq (assay for transposase-accessible chromatin with high-throughput sequencing) using ﻿an Omni-ATAC protocol (Corces et al., 2017) and integrating data with transcriptomic and epigenetic profiles. The results show that chromatin is extensively remodeled in spermatogonial cells during development, and its accessibility is increased at several genomic regions particularly transposable elements (TEs), that correlate with transcriptional and epigenetic signatures.

# **Results**

## **Enrichment of spermatogonial cells from postnatal and adult mouse testis**

We collected testes from mouse pups at postnatal day (PND) 8 and 15 and from males at postnatal week (PNW) 20, and prepared cell suspensions by enzymatic digestion. Spermatogonial cells were enriched by fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS) using surface markers (Fig. S1A) (Kubota et al., 2004a). Immunocytochemistry using PLZF, a well-established marker of undifferentiated spermatogonia (Costoya et al., 2004), confirmed that our preparation was enriched in spermatogonial cells, with 85-95% PLZF+ cells after FACS compared to 3-6% PLZF+ cells before (Fig. S1B). Transcriptomic analyses by RNA-seq validated the spermatogonial identity of the cells, showing high expression of stem cell and undifferentiated spermatogonial markers, and low expression of somatic cells (Leydig and Sertoli cells) markers both at PND 8 and 15 (Fig. S1C).

## **Chromatin is remodeled in spermatogonial cells during development**

We profiled chromatin accessibility in postnatal and adult spermatogonial cells using an Omni-ATAC protocol (Corces et al., 2017). Omni-ATAC has higher signal-to-noise ratio than classical ATAC-seq and can be used with low input material (few hundred cells). Accessible regions in the genome were identified by peak-calling on merged nucleosome-free fragments (NFF). Following removal of lowly enriched regions, 158,978 regions were selected for downstream analyses (see Methods section for details). Most Tn5-accessible regions are intergenic (38%) and located in gene bodies (33%) or in proximity of a transcription start site (TSS) (28% +/-1 kb from TSS) (Fig. S2A). 3212 differentially accessible regions were identified between PND15 and adult spermatogonia with the majority showing a gain in accessibility in adult cells (Fig. 1A and Table S1). Regions of differential accessibility are predominantly intergenic (45%) and intronic (34%), and 15% of all differentially accessible regions are +/- 1kb from a TSS (Fig. 1B). Gene ontology (GO) analysis, showed that regions with increased accessibility in adult spermatogonia are associated with cell fate and stem cell population maintenance, protein metabolism and RNA metabolic processes (Fig. 1C and Table S1). Separate GO analyses depending on genomic location showed that regions located in gene bodies (mainly introns) are enriched for terms related to reproduction and protein metabolism, whilst regions close to or overlapping with a TSS relate to cell fate specification and tissue morphogenesis (Fig. S2B and Table S1). Regions with decreased accessibility in adult spermatogonia are predominantly located in intergenic regions and associated with multiple terms related to embryonic development (Fig. 1C and Table S1).

## **Differentially accessible chromatin regions associate with distinct gene expression dynamics**

To assess the relevance of changes in chromatin accessibility, we first integrated our ATAC-Seq data with transcriptomic datasets and examined the correlation between chromatin states and transcriptome. We conducted RNA-seq analyses on postnatal spermatogonial cells and used published data from Thy1+ adult spermatogonial cells. We found that 719 genes are differentially expressed in spermatogonial cells between PND8 and PND15, with 515 being upregulated and 212 downregulated (Fig. 2A and Table S2). Comparison between PND8 or PND15 and adult RNA-seq? Fast Gene Set Enrichment Analysis (FGSEA) showed that pathways related to RNA processing and splicing, cell cycle, redox homeostasis and protein catabolism are downregulated between PND8 and PND15 while pathways associated with cellular transport, exocytosis and signal transduction are upregulated (Fig. 2B and Table S2). Comparison with adults

Comparable analyses using published RNA-seq data from PND14 and adult spermatogonia cells (Hammoud et al., 2014, 2015) similarly identified transcriptional changes between postnatal and adult stages (Fig. S?A and Table S2) and downregulation of pathways related to RNA processing, ribosome biogenesis and cell cycle in adult compared to postnatal cells. Pathways related to developmental programs and mitochondrial functions were also downregulated and those related to spermatogenesis or involving cytokine signaling were upregulated (Fig. S? and Table S2).

To integrate chromatin accessibility and transcriptomic datasets, we first divided differentially-accessible regions into proximal (situated less than +/- 2.5 kb from a TSS) and distal (situated more than +/- 2.5 kb from a TSS) following ENCODE practice (Harrow et al., 2012; Myers et al., 2011; Thurman et al., 2012). For proximal regions, we further defined 6 different categories based on change in expression of the nearest gene. The first two include proximal regions with increased chromatin accessibility and upregulated (Category 1) or downregulated (Category 2) expression of the nearest gene. Category 3 and 4 include regions of less chromatin accessibility with the nearest gene either downregulated or upregulated, respectively. Category 5 and 6 include regions with increased or decreased chromatin accessibility respectively, whose nearest gene is not expressed in spermatogonial cells. Here describe a bit the content of the different categories and what we see on Fig. 3A (Fig. 3A and Table S3).

## **Differentially accessible chromatin regions associate with distinct epigenetic profiles**

Next, we examined the relationship between chromatin accessibility and epigenetic marks using published ChIP-seq and bisulfite sequencing (BS) datasets from Thy1+ spermatogonia (Hammoud et al., 2014, 2015). Profiles of histone marks including H3K4me3, H3K27ac and H3K27me3 in adult spermatogonial cells, and DNA methylation in PND7, PND14 and adult spermatogonial cells were used. …..analyses showed that for a subset of regions in Category 1, there is an overlap with active H3K4me3, H3K27ac or dual H3K4me3/K27ac modifications, and an overall lack of H3K27me3 (Fig. 3B and Table S3). Notably, several of the genes in Category 1 with chromatin opening marked by histone modification(s) are known regulators of stem cell potency. *Pdpk1* promoter region was marked by dual H3K4me3/K27ac, while *Pdpk1* mRNA was upregulated in adult spermatogonia (Fig. S3A). *Pdpk1* (phosphoinositide-dependent protein kinase 1) is a glycolysis factor important for stem cell self-renewal (Chen et al., 2020; Kanatsu-Shinohara et al., 2016). In contrast, *Gata2* promoter region was marked by bivalent H3K4me3/K27me3 mark, while *Gata2* expression showed a upregulation across testis maturation (Fig. S3). *Gata2* (GATA-binding factor 2) is a known target of NANOS2, an essential regulator of spermatogonial stem cell potential (Barrios et al., 2010; Sada et al., 2009). Other exemplary genes in Category 1 include pyruvate cellular carriers *Slc25a18, Slc23a1* and *Slc2a5*, suggesting differences in glycolysis regulation in adult spermatogonial cells (Table S3). Notably, we found increased chromatin accessibility at the TSS of GDNF receptor *Gfra2* (Fig. 3C)*.* At mRNA level, *Gfra2* displayed a marked upregulation in adult spermatogonial cells, indicating an increased utilization of GFRA2 receptors in adult spermatogonial cells compared to early postnatal stages, in which GFRA1-mediated signaling is dominant (Fig. 3C) (Grive et al., 2019; Hammoud et al., 2015). We also observed an increased chromatin accessibility and upregulation of DNA damage responsive gene *Fus*, and the multifunctional redox gene *Prdx4* (Table S3)*.*

Interestingly, the highest number of differentially accessible chromatin regions were in Category 2, and included proximal regions with increased chromatin accessibility and decreased expression of nearby genes in adult spermatogonia, indicative of active repression taking place (Fig. 3A and Table S3). A subset of Category 2 regions was marked by H3K27me3 together with H3K4me3, and mainly associated with developmental genes such as *Satb1* and *Hmx1,* *(*Fig. S3B). Other developmental genes such as *Tbx4* also displayed a decreased accessibility and an increase in mRNA expression (Fig. 3C). GO enrichment analysis revealed that regions in Category 2 associated with regulation of cell cycle, RNA processing, DNA repair and cell division (Table S4). Such an example is is *Fgf8*, important for *Fgf8*-*Fgfr1* mediated maintenance of undifferentiated spermatogonia (Hasegawa and Saga, 2014). *Fgf8* showed increased chromatin accessibility close to its TSS and a downregulated expression in adult spermatogonia, in agreement with recent findings from scRNA-seq data suggesting a downregulation of *Fgfr1*-mediated signaling with age (Fig. S3B) (Grive et al., 2019; Hasegawa and Saga, 2014).

Regions in Category 3 displayed decreased chromatin accessibility and a downregulation of nearby genes in adult spermatogonia and were mostly depleted of any of the 3 histone marks investigated (Fig. 3A and 3B). GO enrichment on the nearby genes revealed an association with developmental processes and WNT signaling (Table S4). A notable example we identified in this category is *Pdgfra,* a gene involved in the hepatic stellate cell activation pathway, which was recently identified by scRNA-seq to be upregulated in spermatogonial stem cells in the immature testis compared to adult stage (Hermann et al., 2018). Pdgfra displayed a marked downregulation in adult spermatogonia, and a decrease in chromatin accessibility overlapping its TSS (Fig. 3C). Another example of a gene important for early postnatal spermatogonial cell establishment is Dap2ip, which we also found to carry a marked decrease in chromatin accessibility at its TSS and lower expression in adult spermatogonial cells (Fig. S3C).Surprisingly, we also identified a category of proximal regions (Category 4) with decreased accessibility (<20 regions) at genes which were upregulated in adult spermatogonial cells and with previously uncharacterized role in spermatogonial cells (Fig. 3A). Notably, DNAme profiles across postnatal stages did not show any significant change across any of the 6 categories of proximal regions, suggesting a relatively stable DNAme profile in the transition from early postnatal to adult stage (Fig. S3D). Aside from proximal regions, we also identified numerous distal regions with differential chromatin accessibility between PND15 and adult spermatogonial cells (Fig. S4A). Similar to proximal regions, accessibility in distal regions mainly increased in adult spermatogonial cells compared to early postnatal stage. When integrating the literature ChIP-seq data, we observed enrichment for H3K4me3, H3K27ac and H3K27me3 at a small number of the differentially accessible distal regions, indicative of potential regulatory roles (Fig. S4B and Table S3). Similar to proximal regions, DNAme levels did not display major changes in the transition from early postnatal to adult stage (Fig. S4B). Taken together, our data integration reveals novel associations between chromatin regions of differential accessibility, histone marks and gene transcription in spermatogonial cells from postnatal to adult stages of development. They suggest that chromatin accessibility is a mechanism of control of transcriptional programs and of certain signaling pathways during development.

## **Accessibility changes at open chromatin regions carry binding sites for distinct families of transcription factors**

Transcription factors (TFs) are essential for establishing and maintaining transcriptional programs across developmental stages in cells (Fushan et al., 2015; Shavlakadze et al., 2019). To examine if regions of different chromatin accessibility between PND15 and adult spermatogonia are enriched in regulatory elements such as TF binding motifs, we performed motif enrichment analysis using the Hypergeometric Optimization of Motif EnRichment (HOMER) tool (Heinz et al., 2010). In regions with increased chromatin accessibility, we identified 41 enriched TF motifs (q-value ≤ 0.05) (Fig. 4A). Top candidates by significance (q-value ≤ 0.0001) are members of the Fos/Jun family (FOS, FOSB, FOSL1 and FOSL2, JUN, JUNB and JUND) (Fig. 4B). Notably, at mRNA level, some of the TFs displayed age-specific differences (Log2CPM ≥ 1 and abs Log2 fold change ≥ 1): *Fos,* *Junb* and *Jund* were downregulated in adult spermatogonial cells (Fig. 4C). JUN, FOS and CREB are all part of the AP-1 (activating protein-1) superfamily, and play an important role in regulating cell proliferation and death, by mediating the senescence-associated chromatin and transcriptional landscape (Martínez-Zamudio et al., 2020; Shaulian and Karin, 2002).JUND and c-FOS specifically promote the proliferative potential of spermatogonial stem cells (He et al., 2008; Wang et al., 2018). USF1 and POU3F1, *2* factors important in the maintenance of the spermatogonial stem cell pool also displayed enriched binding motifs in the more accessible regions. However, their mRNA levels were downregulated in adult spermatogonial cells (Fig. 4C) (Faisal et al., 2019; Wu et al., 2010). POU3F1 is a GDNF-regulated TF, which has been shown to play an important role in promoting spermatogonial cell self-renewal capacity (Niu et al., 2011; Wu et al., 2010). Notably, *Pou3f1* downregulation in adult spermatogonial cells coincides with a marked downregulation of *Gfra1* and an upregulation of *Gfra2* (Fig. 3C and Table S2).TF motif analysis using HOMER also revealed enriched binding sites for retinoic acid receptors such as RXRα and RARα (Fig. 4A). Recently, expression of RA receptors *Rxrα* and *Rarα* was reported in the stem cell-containing population of spermatogonial cells in both pup and adult testis, together with evidence that their utilization in spermatogonial cells is vastly dependent on the niche microenvironment (Lord et al., 2018).

To check if some of TF binding motifs are preferentially enriched in certain genomic locations, we performed motif enrichment analysis for more accessible chromatin regions situated in gene bodies, intergenic regions and in regions +/- 1kb from TSS. We identified several TF motifs specifically enriched in intergenic regions, specifically members of the ubiquitously expressed NF-Y complex, NF-YA, NF-YB and NF-YC (Fig. 4D). In mESCs, NF-Y TF family members located in distal regions facilitate a permissive chromatin conformation, and play an important role in the expression of core ESC pluripotency genes (Oldfield et al., 2014). Furthermore, NF-YA/B motif enrichment has also been found in regions of open chromatin in human spermatogonial cells (Guo et al., 2017).

Although regions of more accessible chromatin encompass the majority of the differentially accessible regions in adult spermatogonia compared to PND15, less accessible chromatin also displayed a high number of enriched TF binding motifs (Fig. 4A). Notably, almost all of these TF motifs were uniquely enriched in the regions of decreased chromatin accessibility and predominantly associated with developmental factors. Top hits included members of the FOX family (FOXO1, FOXO3, FOXP2, FOXK1, FOXA2) and members of the ETS and ETS-related families (ETS1, GABPA, ETV4, ELF1, ELF3) (Fig. 4B). The gene expression levels of most of these TFs were decreased in adult spermatogonial cells (Fig. 4A). FOXO1 is a pivotal regulator of the self-renewal and differentiation of spermatogonial stem cells in both pup and adult testis, via the PI3K-Akt signaling pathway (Chan et al., 2014; Goertz et al., 2011). The roles of the various ETS-related TFs in spermatogonial cells have not been clarified, however recently published data found ETV4 in the stem-cell enriched fraction of the spermatogonial population, particularly during the spermatogonial stem cell pool establishment immediately after birth (Cheng et al., 2020; Law et al., 2019). Motif enrichment analysis on the regions with decreased chromatin accessibility situated in gene body and intergenic regions revealed that TFs important in numerous developmental processes (FOXC1, FOXJ2, FOXM1, LHX6) were specifically enriched in intergenic regions of decreased chromatin accessibility (Fig. 4D). This is consistent with the association of intergenic regions with embryonic development-related GO terms that we previously detected (Fig. 1C). Our findings provide a detailed characterization of the enriched TF motifs present at the regions of dynamic accessibility between PND15 and adult spermatogonial populations and point towards novel candidate regulators of the differential transcriptome of pup and adult mouse spermatogonia.

## **Chromatin accessibility at transposable elements undergoes significant remodeling in the transition from postnatal to adult spermatogonia**

Transposable elements (TEs) are under tight control in the germline, through coordinated epigenetic mechanisms involving DNA methylation, chromatin silencing and PIWI proteins – piRNA pathway (Deniz et al., 2019; Thompson et al., 2016). Recent evidence suggests an important role for long terminal repeat (LTR) - type elements, specifically for ERVKs, the youngest class of endogenous retroviruses (ERVs), in the transcriptional regulation of mRNAs and long non-coding RNAs (lncRNAs) during mitosis-to-meiosis transition (Davis et al., 2017; Sakashita et al., 2020). Furthermore, accessibility analysis at LTRs in mitotic and meiotic germ cells, revealed a unique chromatin accessibility landscape in spermatogonial cells, compared to the rest of germ cells in the testis (Sakashita et al., 2020). To explore potential differences in TEs regulation driven by postnatal age, we compared the accessibility of TEs in PND15 and adult spermatogonia. For this purpose, we quantified the ATAC-seq reads overlapping TEs defined by UCSC RepeatMasker, and performed differential accessibility analysis at the subtype level (see Methods section). Our results revealed that the transition from PND15 to adult stage is accompanied by significant chromatin accessibility differences at 135 TE subtypes (Fig. 5A and 5B and Table S5). Although most of the differentially accessible TE subtypes displayed a decrease in chromatin accessibility between PND15 and adult stages (68,9%, 93/135) (Fig. 5A), we also observed 42 TE subtypes which increased in accessibility in adult spermatogonia (Fig. 5B). Of note, more accessible subtypes also displayed an increased expression in adult spermatogonia compared to early postnatal stage (Fig. 5B). TE loci within the subtypes harboring changes in chromatin accessibility were situated in intergenic and intronic regions (68% intergenic and 25% intronic), and around 6% were located in proximity of a gene (+/- 1kb from a TSS) (Fig. 5C). LTRs were the most abundant TEs to display changes in chromatin accessibility, specifically ERVK and ERV1 subtypes (Fig. 5A and 5B). Exemplary ERVK subtypes harboring less accessible chromatin included RLTR17, RLTR9A3, RLTR12B and RMER17B (Table S5). Enrichment of RLTR17 and RLTR9 repeats has been reported previously in mESCs, specifically at TFs important for pluripotency maintenance such as *Oct4* and *Nanog* (Fort et al., 2014). Interestingly, we identified the promoter region of the lncRNA *Lncenc1*, an important regulator of pluripotency in mESCs (Fort et al., 2014; Sun et al., 2018), harboring several LTR loci with decreased accessibility in our adult spermatogonia, with the RLTR17 locus falling within the TSS of *Lncenc1*. This decrease in accessibility correlated with a marked decrease in expression of *Lncenc1* in adult spermatogonia (Fig. 5D). *Lncenc1* (also known as *Platr18*) is part of the pluripotency-associated transcript (*Platr*) family of lncRNAs which were recently identified as potential regulators of the pluripotency-associated genes *Oct4*, *Nanog* and *Zfp42* in mESCs (Bergmann et al., 2015; Dann et al., 2008; Wu et al., 2018). We were also able to identify several other *Platr* genes, such as *Platr27* and *Platr14*, for which the TSS overlapped LTRs with reduced accessibility, RLTR17 and RLTR16B\_MM, respectively (Fig. 5D and Table S5). These 2 pluripotency-associated transcripts also showed a decrease in mRNA expression in adult spermatogonia, while their expression was unchanged between PND8 and PND15 (Fig. 5D and Table S5). The remaining LTR subtypes with decreased accessibility in adult spermatogonia belonged to the ERV1, ERVL and MaLR families (Fig. 5A). Only very few other non-LTR TEs showed a decrease in chromatin accessibility, with 7 DNA element subtypes, 2 Satellite subtypes and 1 LINE subtype, respectively (Fig. 5A and Table S5). Emerging evidence suggests an important contribution of TEs in providing tissue-specific substrates for TF binding (Fort et al., 2014; Sundaram and Wysocka, 2020; Sundaram et al., 2014). To investigate the regulatory potential of the less accessible LTR subtypes, we assessed the enrichment of TF motifs in these regions using HOMER. To do so, we focused on the family level and grouped together all LTR subtypes coming from one family (EVK, ERV1, ERVL and ERVL-MaLR families). Among the less accessible LTR families, ERVKs showed the highest number of enriched TF motifs in adult spermatogonial cells. Top hits included TFs with known regulatory roles in cell proliferation and differentiation such as FOXL1 and FOXQ1, stem cell maintenance factors ELF1, EBF1 and THAP11 and TFs important in spermatogenesis PBX3, ZNF143 and NFYA/B (Fig. 5E and S6A). ERVLs displayed motif enrichment for very few TFs, among which the previously undescribed ETV2, newly reported spermatogonial stem cell factor ZBTB7A and the testis-specific CTCF paralog CTCFL (Fig. 5E) (Green et al., 2018).

Among the TE subtypes which increased in chromatin accessibility, members of the ERVK, ERVL and ERV1 families were predominant (57,1%, 24/42) (Fig. 5B). Interestingly, we also found a considerable number of LINE L1 subtypes with increased chromatin accessibility in adult spermatogonial cells (Fig. 5B). When parsing the data for more accessible loci within the L1 subtypes, we found several L1 loci situated less than +/- 5 kb from the TSS of numerous olfactory (*Olfr*) genes. Most of them were located in *Olfr* gene clusters on chromosome 2, 7 and 11 (Table S5). Furthermore, the increase in accessibility of the L1 loci correlated with an increase in mRNA expression of the nearby *Olfr* gene in adult spermatogonial cells (Fig. 6A). Representative examples were *Olfr362* and *Olfr1307*, both situated in the *Olfr* gene cluster on Chr2 (Fig. 6B). Interestingly, when visualizing the data in IGV, we also observed that the *Olfr* gene cluster on chromosome 2 exhibited a higher density of L1 loci compared to neighboring regions (Fig. S6B). Similar to before, we performed TF motif enrichment analysis at the family level by grouping together all differentially accessible TE subtypes coming from one family. More accessible LINE L1s were highly enriched in TF motifs, particularly in multiple members of the ETS, E2F and FOX families (Fig. 6C). The most significant motifs belonged to spermatogonial stem cell maintenance and stem cell potential regulators FOXO1 and ZEB1, as well as TFs which have been recently associated with active enhancers of the stem cell-enriched population of spermatogonia such as ZBTB17 and KLF5 (Fig. 6C and S6C) (Cheng et al., 2020). More accessible ERV1s also displayed enrichment of several TF binding sites, including spermatogenesis-related TFs (PBX3, PRDM1, NFYA/B), hypoxia inducible HIF1A and cytokine regulators STAT5A/B, suggestive of different spermatogonial cell metabolic demands between early postnatal and adult stage (Fig. 6C and S6C). Overall, we provide an extensive characterization of the chromatin accessibility landscape of TEs in PND15 and adult spermatogonia, reveal differences in accessibility and TF motif landscape at distinct subtypes of TEs between these 2 timepoints, and suggest potential gene programs that may be regulated by these changes.

# **Discussion**

As initiators of the spermatogenic cascade, spermatogonial cells are essential in germ cell proliferation and differentiation throughout postnatal life. Although recent studies employing bulk and scRNA-seq have revealed distinct transcriptional signatures of spermatogonial cells across postnatal life, very few have focused on describing the underlying landscape of open chromatin, and the extent to which it can contribute to the gene expression dynamics (Grive et al., 2019; Hammoud et al., 2015; Hermann et al., 2018).

Our ATAC-seq revealed a reorganization of open chromatin in adult spermatogonia compared to the PND15 cell population. This striking reorganization of accessible chromatin, which we detected mainly in intergenic and intronic regions, may be indicative of novel regulatory regions governing at least in part the vast transcriptome changes that spermatogonial cells undergo in their transition from early postnatal to adult stage (Grive et al., 2019; Hammoud et al., 2015). Indeed, we found that the regions of differential accessibility were associated with distinct gene pathways, with morphogenesis and developmental pathways associated to the regions of decreased chromatin accessibility, while regions of increased chromatin openness were enriched for DNA repair pathways, stem cell maintenance, RNA processing and protein metabolic processes. Furthermore, we identified numerous enriched TF motifs in the regions of differential accessibility, indicative of their potential regulatory role. AP-1 TFs with previously described roles in spermatogonial cell proliferation such as JUND and c-FOS, and pluripotency factors such as POU3F1, displayed enriched binding sites in the regions of increased chromatin accessibility. In contrast, FOX and ETS TF motifs, known regulators of developmental pathways, mainly mapped to regions which decreased in accessibility in adult spermatogonial cells. For some of the enriched TF motifs, a preference for certain genomic locations was evident: NF-YA and B binding sites exhibited enrichment specifically in intergenic regions of more accessible chromatin which interestingly, were also associated with spermatogenesis-related pathways. NF-YA/B also localized in intergenic regions of open chromatin in humans spermatogonial cells (Guo et al., 2017), prompting additional investigation of their roles in regulating spermatogonial cell programs, with potential consequences for sperm formation.

Our comparison of the gene expression changes from PND8 to PND15 spermatogonial cells confirmed the dynamic transcriptome associated with developing spermatogonial cell states (Grive et al., 2019; Hammoud et al., 2015). Notably, PND15 corresponds to the maturation of Sertoli cell niche in the mouse testis (Flickinger, 1967; Shinohara et al., 2001). Upregulation of pathways associated with signal transduction and cellular transport in PND15 spermatogonia suggests an increased cellular communication with the somatic niche, compared to the first week of postnatal development. We complemented these findings with literature RNA-seq data from PND14 and adult spermatogonia, and confirmed further transcriptome changes in signaling pathways related to mitochondria, developmental processes and cell-to-cell signaling. Furthermore, we revealed numerous pathways related to cytokine signaling upregulated in adult spermatogonia, which point to the recently suggested role of testis resident macrophages in maintaining and regulating spermatogonial proliferation (DeFalco et al., 2015; Garbuzov et al., 2018). These findings suggest that, as the testis matures and the somatic niche develops, spermatogonial cells rely more on paracrine signaling and undergo vast changes in gene expression programs.

To obtain a comprehensive profile of the chromatin and the transcriptome differences between early postnatal and adult spermatogonial cells, we have integrated the chromatin accessibility and gene expression, with known histone H3 modifications and global DNAme patterns of THY1+ spermatogonial cells from (Hammoud et al., 2014, 2015). This allowed us to identify 4 distinct categories of differentially accessible chromatin regions for which the nearest gene was dynamically expressed between early postnatal and adult stages. Interestingly, we found a similar number of genes with increased chromatin accessibility around their TSS which exhibited either an up- or a downregulated expression between pup and adult spermatogonia. In the category of upregulated genes with increased nearby chromatin accessibility, we identified several factors associated with redox processes, mitochondria function and cell proliferation. In contrast, genes marked by an increase in chromatin accessibility and downregulated expression in adult spermatogonia comprised factors important for cell cycle, RNA processing and developmental genes, suggesting that active repression is taking place at these genes (Starks et al., 2019). For some of the developmental genes, more accessible chromatin was also marked by a bivalent H3K4me3/H3K27me3, indicative of a poised state. Notably, previous findings in THY1+ adult spermatogonial cells and in sperm also revealed a poised state at promoters of developmental genes (Erkek et al., 2013; Hammoud et al., 2014; Jung et al., 2017). Therefore, our findings suggest that open chromatin reorganization may contribute to the poised status that is already established at the spermatogonial cell stage for certain developmental genes. We also identified a category of regions for which the decrease in chromatin accessibility correlated with a decreased expression, category which also included developmental factors. The stable methylation patterns we detected at the differentially accessible chromatin regions, in both distal and proximal genomic regions, suggest a minimal impact for DNAme in regulating gene expression dynamics of spermatogonial cells across postnatal age.

Lastly, by investigating chromatin accessibility specifically at TEs, we revealed that distinct TE subtypes undergo changes in chromatin accessibility between PND15 and adult spermatogonial populations. ERVK and ERV1 subtypes were the most abundant categories of TEs to become less accessible in adult spermatogonia, whilst LINE L1 subtypes gained in accessibility. Although the majority of these TEs resided in intergenic and intronic regions, we were able to detect specific loci belonging to the differentially accessible ERVK and LINE L1 subtypes, which localized nearby TSS of distinct gene families. RLTR17, one of the LTR subtypes with decreased chromatin accessibility in adult spermatogonial cells, overlapped the TSS of several downregulated long-non coding RNAs from the *Platr* family. *Platr* genes, including the ones identified in our study, *Lncenc1* and *Platr14,* areLTR-associated long non-coding RNAsimportant for embryonic stem cell gene expression programs (Bergmann et al., 2015). Interestingly, RLTR17 has also been previously linked to pluripotency maintenance. In mouse embryonic stem cells, RLTR17 is highly expressed and enriched in open chromatin regions, and has been shown to provide binding sites for pluripotency factors Oct4 and Nanog (Fort et al., 2014). On the basis of these findings, we suggest that RLTR17 chromatin organization may play a significant role in regulating pluripotency programs between early postnatal and adult spermatogonial cells. In contrast to the decreased accessibility of LTRs, LINE L1 subtypes displayed an increase in chromatin accessibility in adult spermatogonial cells. Some of these L1 loci were situated in the vicinity of olfactory receptor genes with upregulated mRNA expression in adult spermatogonia. Recent findings in mouse and human embryonic stem cells have suggested a non-random genomic localization for L1 elements, specifically at genes which encode proteins with specialized functions (Lu et al., 2020). Among these, the *Olfr* gene family was the most enriched in L1 elements (Lu et al., 2020) . Although their role in spermatogonial cells is currently not established, Olfr proteins have been implicated in the swimming behavior of sperm (Fukuda and Touhara, 2005; Vanderhaeghen et al., 1997). Given their dynamic regulation across age in spermatogonial cells, we speculate that *Olfr* genes could play additional roles in spermatogenesis, other than in sperm physiology. This data together with the high number of enriched TF motifs identified at the differentially accessible ERVKs and LINE L1 elements, underscores previously undescribed regulatory roles for chromatin organization of TEs in spermatogonial cells during the transition from developing to adult stages (Sundaram and Wysocka, 2020; Sundaram et al., 2014).

One limitation of our study is the incomplete purification achieved using FACS, which doesn’t fully remove other testis cell types from our cell preparations. Therefore, we cannot entirely exclude the influence of contaminating cells on some of the transcriptome and chromatin accessibility data interpretation. Secondly, differences can also stem from the literature datasets which involve similar but not identically enriched populations of spermatogonial cells. Nevertheless, by comparing open chromatin landscape between developing and adult spermatogonial cells, our results reveal for the first time that there is an age-dependent dynamic reorganization of chromatin accessibility in spermatogonial cells. By integrating this newly generated data with gene expression profiles and known histone modifications, we provide novel insight into the chromatin - transcriptome dynamics of mouse spermatogonial cells between developing and adult stages and compile an information-rich resource for further germline studies.

# **Methods**

## **Mouse husbandry**

Male C57Bl/6J mice were purchased from Janvier Laboratories (France) and bred in-house to generate male mice used for experiments. All animals were kept on a reversed 12-h light/12-h dark cycle in a temperature- and humidity-controlled facility, with food (M/R Haltung Extrudat, Provimi Kliba SA, Switzerland) and water provided ad libitum. Cages were changed once weekly. Animals from 2 independent breedings were used for the experiments.

## **Germ cells isolation**

Germ cells were isolated from male mice at postnatal day (PND) 8 or 15 for RNA-seq and ATAC-seq experiments, and adults at 20 weeks of age (PNW20) for ATAC-seq. Testicular single-cell suspensions were prepared as previously described with slight modifications (Kubota et al., 2004a, 2004b). For preparations using PND8 and PND15 pups, testes from 2 animals were pooled for each sample. Pup testes were collected in sterile HBSS on ice. Tunica albuginea was gently removed from each testis, making sure to keep the seminiferous tubules as intact as possible. Tubules were enzymatically digested in 0.25% trypsin-EDTA (ThermoFisher Scientific) and 7mg/ml DNase I (Sigma-Aldrich) solution for 5 min at 37oC. The suspension was vigorously pipetted up and down 10 times and incubated again for 3 min at 37oC. The digestion was stopped by adding 10% fetal bovine serum (ThermoFisher Scientific) and the cells were passed through a 20μm-pore-size cell strainer (Miltenyi Biotec) and pelleted by centrifugation at 600g for 7 min at 4oC. Cells were resuspended in PBS-S (PBS with 1% PBS, 10 mM HEPES, 1 mM pyruvate, 1mg/ml glucose, 50 units/ml penicillin and 50 μg/ml streptomycin) and used for sorting. For preparations from adult testis, one adult male was used for each sample. The tunica was removed and seminiferous tubules were digested in 2 steps. The first consisted in an incubation in 1mg/ml collagenase type IV (Sigma-Aldrich) for 5 min at 37oC and vigorous swirling until the tubules were completely separated. Then tubules were placed on ice for 5 min to sediment, the supernatant removed and washed with HBSS. Washing/sedimentation steps were repeated 3 times and were necessary to remove interstitial cells. After the last washing step, sedimented tubule fragments were digested again with 0.25% trypsin-EDTA and 7mg/ml DNase I solution, and the digestion was stopped by adding 10% FBS. The resulting single-cell suspension was filtered through a 20μm strainer (Corning Life Sciences) and washed with HBSS. After centrifugation at 600g for 7 min at 4oC, the cells were resuspended in PBS-S, layered on a 30% Percoll solution (Sigma-Aldrich) and centrifuged at 600g for 8 min at 4oC without braking. The top 2 layers (HBSS and Percoll) were removed and the cell pellets resuspended in PBS-S and used for sorting.

## **Spermatogonial cells enrichment by FACS**

For pup testis, dissociated cells were stained with BV421-conjugated anti-β2M, biotin-conjugated anti-THY1 (53-2.1), and PE-conjugated anti-αv-integrin (RMV-7) antibodies. THY1 was detected by staining with Alexa Fluor 488-Sav. For adult testes, cells were stained with anti-α6-integrin (CD49f; GoH3), BV421-conjugated anti-β2 microglobulin (β2M; S19.8), and R-phycoerythrin (PE)-conjugated anti-THY1 (CD90.2; 30H-12) antibodies. α6-Integrin was detected by Alexa Fluor 488-SAv after staining with biotin-conjugated rat anti-mouse IgG1/2a (G28-5) antibody. Prior to FACS, 1 μg/ml propidium iodide (Sigma) was added to the cell suspensions to discriminate dead cells. All antibody incubations were performed in PBS-S for at least 30 min at 4oC followed by washing in PBS-S. Antibodies were obtained from BD Biosciences (San Jose, United States) unless otherwise stated. Cell sorting was performed at 4oC on a FACS Aria III 5L using an 85μm nozzle at the Cytometry Facility of University of Zurich. For RNA-seq on PND8 and PND15 spermatogonia, cells were collected in 1.5 ml Eppendorf tubes in 500 μL PBS-S, immediately pelleted by centrifugation and snap frozen in liquid N2. Cell pellets were stored at -80oC until RNA extraction. For OmniATAC on PND15 spermatogonia, 25’000 cells were collected in a separate tube, pelleted by centrifugation and immediately processed using the OmniATAC library preparation protocol (Corces et al., 2017). For OmniATAC on adult spermatogonia, 5000 cells from each animal were collected in a separate tube and further processed using the same protocol.

## **Immunocytochemistry**

The protocol used for assessing spermatogonial cell enrichment after sorting was kindly provided by the Oatley Lab at Washington State University, Pullman, USA (Yang et al., 2013). Briefly, 30,000-50,000 cells were adhered to poly-L-Lysine coated coverslips (Corning Life Sciences) in 24-well plates for 1 h. Cells were fixed in freshly prepared 4% PFA for 10 min at room temperature then washed in PBS with 0.1% Triton X-100 (PBS-T). Non-specific antibody binding was blocked by incubation with 10% normal goat serum for 1 h at room temperature. Cells were incubated overnight at 4oC with mouse anti-PLZF (0.2 μg/ml, Active Motif, clone 2A9) primary antibody. Alexa488 goat anti-mouse IgG (1 µg/mL, ThermoFisher Scientific) was used for secondary labelling at 4oC for 1 h. Coverslips were washed 3x and mounted onto glass slides with VectaShield mounting medium containing DAPI (Vector Laboratories) and examined by fluorescence microscopy. Stem cell enrichment was determined by counting PLZF+ cells in 10 random fields of view from each coverslip and dividing by the total number of cells present in the field of view (DAPI-stained nuclei).

## **RNA extraction and library preparation for RNA-seq**

For RNA-seq on PND8 and PND15 spermatogonial cells, total RNA was extracted from sorted cells using AllPrep RNA/DNA Micro kit (Qiagen). RNA quality was assessed using a Bioanalyzer 2100 (Agilent Technologies). Samples were quantified using Qubit RNA HS Assay (ThermoFisher Scientific). 10 ng of total RNA from each sample were used to prepare total long RNA sequencing libraries using SMARTer® Stranded Total RNA-Seq Kit v2 - Pico Input Mammalian (Takara Bio USA, Inc.) at the Functional Genomics Center Zurich (FGCZ) according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

**Library preparation for Omni-ATAC**

Chromatin accessibility was profiled in PND15 and adult spermatogonial cells. Libraries were prepared starting from 25 000 PND15 and 5000 adult sorted spermatogonia, respectively as in Corces et al., 2017. Briefly, sorted cells were lysed in cold lysis buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.4, 10 mM NaCl, 3 mM MgCl2, 0.1% NP40, 0.1% Tween-20, and 0.01% digitonin) and nuclei were pelleted and transposed using Nextera Tn5 (Illumina) for 30 min at 37oC in a thermomixer with shaking at 1000 rpm. Transposed fragments were purified using the MinElute Reaction Cleanup Kit (Qiagen). Following purification, libraries were generated by PCR amplification using the NEBNext High-Fidelity 2X PCR Master Mix (New England Biolabs), and purified using Agencourt AMPure XP magnetic beads (Beckman Coulter) to remove primer dimers (78bp) and fragments >1000bp. Library quality was assessed on an Agilent High Sensitivity DNA chip using the Bioanalyzer 2100 (Agilent Technologies).
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## **RNA sequencing**

*Quality control and alignment:*Single-end (SE) sequencing was performed using an Illumina HiSeq4000 at the FGCZ. PND8 raw data (FASTQ files) was merged from two individual runs. For analysis of published RNA-seq data (PND14 and PNW8 spermatogonia) FASTQ files were obtained using fastq-dump (version 2.10.8), quality-controlled using FastQC (Andrews et al., 2012) (version 0.11.8). TrimGalore (Krueger, 2015) (version 0.6.2) was used to trim adapters and low-quality ends from reads with Phred score less than 30 (-q 30), and for discarding trimmed reads shorter than 30 bp (--length 30). Trimmed reads were pseudo-aligned using Salmon (Patro et al., 2017) (version 0.9.1) with automatic detection of the library type (-l A), correcting for sequence-specific bias (--seqBias) and correcting for fragment GC bias correction (--gcBias) on a transcript index prepared for the Mouse genome (GRCm38) from GENCODE (version M18) (Harrow et al., 2012), with additional piRNA precursors and transposable elements (concatenated by family) from Repeat Masker as in (Gapp et al., 2018).

*Downstream analysis:*Analysis was conducted with R (R Core Team, [2020](#ref-r_2020)) (version 3.6.2) using packages from The Comprehensive R Archive Network (CRAN) ([https://cran.r-project.org](https://cran.r-project.org/)) and Bioconductor (Huber et al., 2015). Pre-filtering of genes was performed using the filterByExpr function from edgeR (Robinson et al., 2009) (version 3.28.1) with a design matrix and requiring at least 15 counts (min.counts = 15). Normalization factors were obtained using TMM normalization (Robinson and Oshlack, 2010) from edgeR package and differential gene expression (DGE) analysis was performed using limma-voom (Law et al., 2014) pipeline from limma (Ritchie et al., 2015) (version 3.42.2). Log2 fold change between samples was calculated by subtracting Log2 normalized expression values. Gene ontology (GO) analysis was performed on expressed genes with fGSEA (version 1.15.2) using fGSEAMultilevel function on sets with 10 to 1000 annotated genes (minSize = 10, maxSize = 1000), and p-values boundary of 1E-100 (eps = 1e-100) (Korotkevich et al., 2016). For PND8 versus PND15 comparison, genes were pre-ranked using t-statistic; for PND14 versus PNW8 comparison, Log2 fold change was used due to the lack of multiple replicates for each timepoint. REVIGO was used to summarize GO terms obtained following fGSEA (Supek et al., 2011).

## **Omni-ATAC**

*Quality control, alignment, and peak calling:*Paired-end (PE) sequencing was performed on PND15 and adult spermatogonial cells samples on an Illumina HiSeq2500 platform (FGCZ). FASTQ files were assessed for quality using FastQC (Andrews et al., 2012) (version 0.11.8). Quality control (QC) was performed using TrimGalore (Krueger, 2015) (version 0.6.2) in PE mode (--paired), trimming adapters, low-quality ends (-q 30) and discarding reads < 30 bp after trimming (--length 30). Alignment on the GRCm38 genome was performed using Bowtie2 (Langmead and Salzberg, 2012) (version 2.3.5) with the following parameters: fragments up to 2 kb were allowed to align (-X 2000), entire read alignment (--end-to-end), suppressing unpaired alignments for paired reads (--no-mixed), suppressing discordant alignments for paired reads (--no-discordant) and minimum acceptable alignment score with respect to the read length (--score-min L,-0.4,-0.4). Using alignmentSieve (version 3.3.1) from deepTools (Ramirez et al., 2016) (version 3.4.3), aligned data (BAM files) were adjusted for the read start sites to represent the center of the transposon cutting event (--ATACshift), and filtered for reads with a high mapping quality (--minMappingQuality 30). Reads mapping to the mitochondrial chromosome and ENCODE blacklisted regions were filtered out. To call nucleosome-free regions, all aligned files were merged within groups (PND15 and adult), sorted, and indexed using SAMtools (Li et al., 2009) (version 0.1.19), and nucleosome-free fragments (NFFs) were obtained by selecting alignments with a template length between 40 and 140 inclusively. Peak calling (identifying areas in a genome that have been enriched for transcription factors) on the NFFs was performed using MACS2 (Zhang et al., 2008) (version 2.2.7.1) with mouse genome size (-g 2744254612) and PE BAM file format (-f BAMPE).

*Differential accessibility analysis:*The downstream analysis was performed in R (version 3.6.2), using packages from CRAN ([https://cran.r-project.org](https://cran.r-project.org/)) and Bioconductor (Huber et al., 2015). The peaks were annotated based on overlap with GENCODE (version M18) (Harrow et al., 2012) transcript, and/or the distance to the nearest transcription start site (available at the following link: https://github.com/mansuylab/SC\_postnatal\_adult/bin/annoPeaks.R). The number of extended reads overlapping in the peak regions was calculated using the csaw package (Lun and Smyth, 2015) (version 1.20.0). Peak regions which did not have at least 15 reads in at least 40% of the samples were filtered out. Normalization factors were obtained on the filtered peak regions using the TMM normalization method (Robinson and Oshlack, 2010) and differential analysis on the peaks (adults vs PND15) was performed using the Genewise Negative Binomial Generalized Linear Models with Quasi-likelihood (glmQLFit) Tests from the edgeR package (Robinson et al., 2009) (version 3.28.1). Peak regions which had an absolute Log2 fold change ≥ 1 and an FDR ≤ 0.05 were categorized as differentially accessible regions. GO analysis was performed on DARs with the rGREAT package (Zuguang, 2020) (version 1.18.0), which is a wrapper around the GREAT tool (McLean et al., 2010) (version 4.0). Transcription factor motif enrichment analysis was performed using the marge package (Amezquita, 2018) (version 0.0.4.9999), which is a wrapper around the Homer tool (Heinz et al., 2010) (version 4.11.1).

*Differential accessibility analysis at transposable elements:* TE gene transfer format (GTF) file was obtained from [http://labshare.cshl.edu/shares/mhammelllab/www-data/TEtranscripts/TE\_GTF/mm10\_rmsk\_TE.gtf.gz](http://labshare.cshl.edu/shares/mhammelllab/www-data/TEtranscripts/TE_GTF/mm10_rmsk_TE.gtf.gz%20on%2003.02.2020) on 03.02.2020. The GTF file provides hierarchical information about TEs: **Class** (level 1, eg. LTR), **Family** (level 2, eg. LTR  L1), **Subtype** (level 3, eg. LTR  L1  L1\_Rod), and **Locus** (level 4, eg. LTR  L1  L1\_Rod  L1\_Rod\_dup1). TE loci were annotated based on overlap with GENCODE (version M18) as described above for ATAC-seq peaks. Filtered BAM files (without reads mapping to blacklisted or mitochondrial regions) were used for analyzing TEs. Mapped reads were assigned to TEs using featureCounts from the R package Rsubread (Liao et al., 2019) (version 2.0.1) and were summarized to Subtypes (level 3), allowing for multi-overlap with fractional counts, while ignoring duplicates. The number of extended reads overlapping at the TE loci were obtained using the csaw package (Lun and Smyth, 2015) (version 1.20.0). Subtypes which did not have at least 15 reads, and loci which did not have at least 5 reads in at least 40% of the samples, were filtered out. Normalization and differential accessibility analysis were performed as described above. Subtypes which had an absolute Log2 fold change ≥ 0.5 and an FDR ≤ 0.05 were categorized as differentially accessible subtypes and the loci with an absolute Log2 fold change ≥ 1 and an FDR ≤ 0.05 were categorized as differentially accessible loci. For further downstream data analysis, only the differentially accessible loci of differentially accessible subtypes were considered. GO and motif enrichment analysis were performed as described above.

## **Chromatin immunnoprecipitation sequencing**

*Quality control, alignment, and peak calling:*ChIP-Seq SE data for PNDW8 (adults) were obtained from GEO accession GSE49621 (Hammoud et al., 2014). FASTQ files were obtained using fastq-dump (version 2.10.8), and different runs were merged. The FASTQ files were assessed for quality using FastQC (Andrews et al., 2012) (version 0.11.8). Quality control (QC) was performed using TrimGalore (Andrews et al., 2012) (version 0.6.0), trimming adapters, low-quality ends (-q 30) and discarding trimmed reads shorter than 30 bp (--length 30). Alignment to the GRCm38 genome was performed using Bowtie2 (Langmead and Salzberg, 2012) (version 2.3.5). Reads with more than 3 mismatches were removed from the aligned data, as suggested in (Royo et al., 2016), and reads with low mapping quality (--minMappingQuality 30) or mapping to the mitochondrial chromosome or aforementioned blacklisted regions were filtered out. Peak calling was performed using MACS2 (Zhang et al., 2008) (version 2.2.7.1) with mouse genome size (-g 2744254612) and SE BAM file format (-f BAM).

## **Bisulfite sequencing (BS)**

*Quality control and alignment:*BS paired-end data for PND7, PND14, and PNW8 (adults) were obtained from GEO accession GSE49623 (Hammoud et al., 2015). FASTQ files were obtained using fastq-dump (version 2.10.8), and different runs were merged. FASTQ files were assessed for quality using FastQC (Andrews et al., 2012) (version 0.11.8). QC was performed using TrimGalore (Krueger, 2015) (version 0.6.4\_dev) in PE mode (--paired), trimming adapters, low-quality ends (-q 30) and discarding trimmed reads shorter than 30 bp (--length 30). Alignment of the QC data was performed using Bismark (Krueger and Andrews, 2011) (version 0.22.3) on a GRCm38 index built using bismark\_genome\_preparation (version 0.17.0). Methylation information for individual cytosines was extracted using the bismark\_methylation\_extractor tool from the Bismark package (version 0.22.3).
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**Figures**

All figures in this study were generated using ggplot2 (Wickham, 2016), EnrichedHeatmap (Gu et al., 2018), and ComplexHeatmap (Gu et al., 2016) packages, and using base plotting functions in R. Genomic tracks were generated in IGV and color coded in Inkscape.
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