

#### Implementation

# Implementation: Iterative Policy Evaluation

The pseudocode for **iterative policy evaluation** can be found below.

```
Input: MDP, policy \pi, small positive number \theta
Output: V \approx v_{\pi}
Initialize V arbitrarily (e.g., V(s) = 0 for all s \in \mathcal{S}^{+})
repeat
\begin{array}{c|c} \Delta \leftarrow 0 \\ \text{for } s \in \mathcal{S} \text{ do} \\ v \leftarrow V(s) \\ V(s) \leftarrow \sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}(s)} \pi(a|s) \sum_{s' \in \mathcal{S}, r \in \mathcal{R}} p(s', r|s, a)(r + \gamma V(s')) \\ \Delta \leftarrow \max(\Delta, |v - V(s)|) \\ \text{end} \\ \text{until } \Delta < \theta; \\ \text{return } V \end{array}
```

Note that policy evaluation is guaranteed to converge to the state-value function  $v_{\pi}$  corresponding to a policy  $\pi$ , as long as  $v_{\pi}(s)$  is finite for each state  $s \in \mathcal{S}$ . For a finite Markov decision process (MDP), this is guaranteed as long as either:

- $\gamma < 1$ , or
- if the agent starts in any state  $s \in \mathcal{S}$ , it is guaranteed to eventually reach a terminal state if it follows policy  $\pi$ .

Please use the next concept to complete **Part 0: Explore FrozenLakeEnv** and **Part 1: Iterative Policy Evaluation** of **Dynamic\_Programming.ipynb**. Remember to save your work!

If you'd like to reference the pseudocode while working on the notebook, you are encouraged to open **this sheet** in a new window.

Feel free to check your solution by looking at the corresponding sections in Dynamic\_Programming\_Solution.ipynb . (In order to access this file, you need only click on "jupyter" in the top left corner to return to the Notebook dashboard.)



### Implementation



To find Dynamic\_Programming\_Solution.ipynb, return to the Notebook dashboard.

## (Optional) Additional Note on the Convergence Conditions

To see intuitively *why* the conditions for convergence make sense, consider the case that neither of the conditions are satisfied, so:

- $\gamma = 1$ , and
- there is some state  $s \in \mathcal{S}$  where if the agent starts in that state, it will never encounter a terminal state if it follows policy  $\pi$ .

In this case,

- · reward is not discounted, and
- an episode may never finish.

Then, it is possible that iterative policy evaluation will not converge, and this is because the state-value function may not be well-defined! To see this, note that in this case, calculating a state value could involve adding up an infinite number of (expected) rewards, where the sum may not **converge**.

In case it would help to see a concrete example, consider an MDP with:

- two states  $s_1$  and  $s_2$ , where  $s_2$  is a terminal state
- one action a (Note: An MDP with only one action can also be referred to as a Markov Reward Process (MRP).)
- $p(s_1, 1|s_1, a) = 1$

In this case, say the agent's policy  $\pi$  is to "select" the only action that's available, so  $\pi(s_1)=a$ . Say  $\gamma=1$ . According to the one-step dynamics, if the agent starts in state  $s_1$ , it will stay in that state forever and never encounter the terminal state  $s_2$ .

In this case,  $v_{\pi}(s_1)$  is not well-defined. To see this, remember that  $v_{\pi}(s_1)$  is the (expected) return after visiting state  $s_1$ , and we have that



## Implementation

convergence conditions were satisfied in this example, then  $v_\pi(s_1)$  would be well-defined. As a **very optional** next step, if you want to verify this mathematically, you may find it useful to review **geometric series** and the **negative binomial distribution**.)

NEXT