


# **Summary**



The cliff-walking task (Sutton and Barto, 2017)

### TD Prediction: TD(0)

- Whereas Monte Carlo (MC) prediction methods must wait until the end of an episode to update the value function estimate, temporal-difference (TD) methods update the value function after every time step.
- For any fixed policy, **one-step TD** (or **TD(0)**) is guaranteed to converge to the true state-value function, as long as the step-size parameter  $\alpha$  is sufficiently small.
- In practice, TD prediction converges faster than MC prediction.



```
Input: policy \pi, positive integer num\_episodes
Output: value function V (\approx v_{\pi} \text{ if } num\_episodes \text{ is large enough})
Initialize V arbitrarily (e.g., V(s) = 0 for all s \in \mathcal{S}^+)
for i \leftarrow 1 to num\_episodes do

Observe S_0
t \leftarrow 0
repeat

Choose action A_t using policy \pi
Take action A_t and observe R_{t+1}, S_{t+1}
V(S_t) \leftarrow V(S_t) + \alpha(R_{t+1} + \gamma V(S_{t+1}) - V(S_t))
t \leftarrow t + 1
until S_t is terminal;
end
return V
```

### TD Prediction: Action Values

• (In this concept, we discussed a TD prediction algorithm for estimating action values. Similar to TD(0), this algorithm is guaranteed to converge to the true action-value function, as long as the step-size parameter  $\alpha$  is sufficiently small.)

## TD Control: Sarsa(0)

• Sarsa(0) (or Sarsa) is an on-policy TD control method. It is guaranteed to converge to the optimal action-value function  $q_*$ , as long as the step-size parameter  $\alpha$  is sufficiently small and  $\epsilon$  is chosen to satisfy the **Greedy in the Limit with Infinite** Exploration (GLIE) conditions.



```
Input: policy \pi, positive integer num_episodes, small positive fraction \alpha
Output: value function Q \approx q_{\pi} if num\_episodes is large enough)
Initialize Q arbitrarily (e.g., Q(s, a) = 0 for all s \in \mathcal{S} and a \in \mathcal{A}(s), and Q(terminal-state, \cdot) = 0)
for i \leftarrow 1 to num\_episodes do
    \epsilon \leftarrow \frac{1}{i}
    Observe S_0
    Choose action A_0 using policy derived from Q (e.g., \epsilon-greedy)
    t \leftarrow 0
    repeat
         Take action A_t and observe R_{t+1}, S_{t+1}
         Choose action A_{t+1} using policy derived from Q (e.g., \epsilon-greedy)
         Q(S_t, A_t) \leftarrow Q(S_t, A_t) + \alpha(R_{t+1} + \gamma Q(S_{t+1}, A_{t+1}) - Q(S_t, A_t))
        t \leftarrow t + 1
    until S_t is terminal;
end
return Q
```

### TD Control: Sarsamax

• Sarsamax (or Q-Learning) is an off-policy TD control method. It is guaranteed to converge to the optimal action value function  $q_*$ , under the same conditions that guarantee convergence of the Sarsa control algorithm.

```
TD Control: Sarsamax
Input: policy \pi, positive integer num_episodes, small positive fraction \alpha
Output: value function Q (\approx q_{\pi} \text{ if } num\_episodes \text{ is large enough})
Initialize Q arbitrarily (e.g., Q(s, a) = 0 for all s \in \mathcal{S} and a \in \mathcal{A}(s), and Q(terminal-state, \cdot) = 0)
for i \leftarrow 1 to num\_episodes do
    \epsilon \leftarrow \frac{1}{i}
    Observe S_0
    t \leftarrow 0
    repeat
         Choose action A_t using policy derived from Q (e.g., \epsilon-greedy)
         Take action A_t and observe R_{t+1}, S_{t+1}
         Q(S_t, A_t) \leftarrow Q(S_t, A_t) + \alpha (R_{t+1} + \gamma \max_a Q(S_{t+1}, a) - Q(S_t, A_t))
        t \leftarrow t + 1
    until S_t is terminal;
end
return Q
```

### TD Control: Expected Sarsa



convergence of Sarsa and Sarsamax.

```
Input: policy \pi, positive integer num\_episodes, small positive fraction \alpha Output: value function Q (\approx q_{\pi} if num\_episodes is large enough)
Initialize Q arbitrarily (e.g., Q(s,a)=0 for all s\in\mathcal{S} and a\in\mathcal{A}(s), and Q(terminal\_state,\cdot)=0)
for i\leftarrow 1 to num\_episodes do
\begin{vmatrix} \epsilon\leftarrow\frac{1}{i} \\ \text{Observe } S_0 \\ t\leftarrow0 \\ \text{repeat} \end{vmatrix}
\begin{vmatrix} \text{Choose action } A_t \text{ using policy derived from } Q \text{ (e.g., } \epsilon\text{-greedy)} \\ \text{Take action } A_t \text{ and observe } R_{t+1}, S_{t+1} \\ Q(S_t, A_t) \leftarrow Q(S_t, A_t) + \alpha(R_{t+1} + \gamma \sum_a \pi(a|S_{t+1})Q(S_{t+1}, a) - Q(S_t, A_t)) \\ t\leftarrow t+1 \\ \text{until } S_t \text{ is terminal;} \end{aligned}
end
\text{return } Q
```

# **Analyzing Performance**

- On-policy TD control methods (like Expected Sarsa and Sarsa) have better online performance than off-policy TD control methods (like Q-learning).
- Expected Sarsa generally achieves better performance than Sarsa.

NEXT