

Crafting Self-Evident Code with D

-or-

How I figured out how to understand my own code



by Walter Bright
Dlang.org
August 2023
<https://twitter.com/WalterBright>

The highest praise for code:
“that's so simple,
anyone could have written it”

We've All Heard About

- Secure code
- Safe code
- Clean code
- Modern code
- Structured code
- Optimized code
- Clever code
- User friendly code
- Effective code
- Maintainable code

But Is Your Code Comprehensible?

```

#include <stdio.h>
#define O1O printf
#define OIO putchar
#define O10 exit
#define OI0 strlen
#define QLQ fopen
#define OIQ fgetc
#define O1Q abs
#define QO0 for
typedef char IOL;

IOL*QI[] = {"Use:\012\011dump file\012","Unable to open file '\x25s'\012",
"\012", " ", ""};

main(I,II) IOL*I[][];
{ FILE *L;
  unsigned IO;
  int Q,OL['^'0],II0 = EOF,
    O=1,I=0,III=O+O+I,OQ=056;
  IOL*I[L]=="%2x ";
  (I != 1<<1&&(O1O(QI[0]),O10(1011-1010))),
  ((L = QLQ(II[0],"r"))==0&&(O1O(QI[0],II[0]),O10(O)));
  IO = I-(O<<I<<O);
  while (L-I,1)
  { QO0(Q = 0L;((Q &~(0x10-O)) == I));
    OL[Q++] = OIQ(L);
    if (OL[0]==II0) break;
    O1O("\0454x: ",IO);
    if (I == (1<<1))
    { QO0(Q=O10(QI[O<<O<<1]);Q<O10(QI[0]));
      Q++)O1O((OL[Q]!=II0)?II:L:QI[III],OL[Q]);/*"
      O10(QI[1O])*/
      O1O(QI[III]);{}
    }
    QO0 (Q=0L;Q<1<<1<<1<<1<<1;Q+=Q<0100)
    { (OL[Q]!=II0)? /* 0010 10IOQ 000LQL */
      ((D(OL[Q])==0&&(*OL+O1Q(Q-I))=OQ)),
      OIO(OL[Q]):
      OIO(1<<(1<<1<<1)<<1);
    }
    O1O(QI[01^10^9]);
    IO+=Q+0+I;}
  }
  D(I) { return I>=' '&&I<='\~';
}

```

How I wrote code in
the 1980s



Just Shoot Me Now

```
#define BEGIN {  
#define END }
```

Don't Reinvent bool

enum { No, Yes } // in my office, pls

enum { Yes, No } // no hire

Horrors Blocked By D

- Regex expressions with operator overloading
- iostreams (I never remember which way the << goes)
- Metaprogramming with macros
- Argument Dependent Lookup
- SFINAE
- Floor wax or tasty dessert topping
- Multiple inheritance

Code flows from Left to Right
and Top to Bottom

(just like a book)

We do that already, right?

Oops

g(f(e(d(c(b(a)))),3)))))

UFCS To The Rescue

a.b.c.d(3).e.f.g;

Simpler Example of Left to Right

```
int a();  
int b(int);
```

```
int oldway() => b(a);  
int better() => a.b;
```

And Top to Bottom

```
import std.stdio;
import std.array;
import std.algorithm;

void main() {
    stdin.byLine(KeepTerminator.yes).
        map!(a => a.idup).
        array.
        sort.
        copy(stdout.lockingTextWriter());
}
```

The More Control Paths, the Less Understandable

Shaw : you know a great deal about computers,
don't you?

Mr Spock : I know all about them.

Reduce Conditionals

```
version (X)
    doX();
doY();
if (Z)
    DoZ();
```

```
doX();
doY();
doZ();
```

Negation In English

Dr McCoy : We're trying to help you, Oxmyx.

Bela Oxmyx : Nobody helps nobody but himself.

Mr Spock : Sir, you are employing a double negative.

Negation in Code

if (!noWay)

Is inevitably perceived as

if (noWay)

Rewrite as a Positive

if (way)

Negation and version

version (!Windows) {...}

Is not allowed. But one can write:

version (Windows) else { ... }

But why make it difficult?

Positives are Self Evident

```
version (Windows) { ... }  
else version (OSX) { ... }  
else static assert("unsupported");
```

DMD Hall of Shame

- `tf.isnothrow`
- `IsTypeNoreturn`
- `Noaccesscheck`
- `Ignoresymbolvisibility`
- `Include.notComputed`
- “`not nothrow`”

Compound If Conditionals

The following

if (A && B && C && D)

if (A || B || C || D)

Is far more comprehensible than

if (A && (!B || C))

De Morgan's Theorem to the rescue!

$$(\neg A \And \neg B) \Rightarrow \neg(A \Or B)$$

$$(\neg A \Or \neg B) \Rightarrow \neg(A \And B)$$

Mr Spock : Dazzling display of logic

From Ubuntu unistd.h

```
#if defined __USE_BSD || (defined \
__USE_XOPEN && !defined __USE_UNIX98)
```

Prof Marvel : I can't bring it back, I
don't know how it works!

Casts Hide Bugs

- Make code harder to read
- Difficult to determine if casts are correct
- Sledgehammer
- Grep code for `cast`
- <https://github.com/dlang/dmd/pull/15488>

`char* xyzzy(char* p)`

- Does `p` modify what it points to?
- Is `p` returned?
- Does `xyzzy` free `p`?
- Does `xyzzy` save `p` somewhere, like in a global?

```
const char* xyzzy(return scope  
const char* p)
```

- p doesn't modify what it points to
- p is returned
- p is not free'd
- xyzzy doesn't squirrel away a copy of p

Payoff: things that don't need to be documented

Memory Allocation

- Memory allocated during a function should be free'd during that function, independent of caller
- Or pass allocator in as a parameter
- Have a “sink” parameter that accepts output

Pass Abstract “sink” For Output

```
import dmd.errors;
void gendocfile(Module m) {
    ...
    if (!success)
        error("expansion limit");
}
```

```
import dmd.errorsink;
void gendocfile(Module m, ErrorSink eSink) {
    ...
    if (!success)
        eSink.error("expansion limit");
}
```

<https://github.com/dlang/dmd/pull/15471>

Pass Files as Buffers Rather than Files to Read

```
void gendocfile(Module m, const(char)*[] docfiles) {
    OutBuffer mbuf;
    foreach (file; ddocfiles) {
        auto buffer = readFile(file.toDString());
        mbuf.write(buffer.data);
    }
    ...
}
```

```
void gendocfile(Module m, const char[] ddoctext) {
    ...
}
```

Move Calls to Environment to Caller

```
void gendocfile(Module m) {  
    char* p = getenv("DDOCFILE");  
    if (p)  
        global.params.ddoc.files.shift(p);  
}
```

<https://github.com/dlang/dmd/pull/15503>

Write to Buffer, Caller Writes File

```
void gendocfile(Module m) {  
    OutBuffer buf2;  
    ...  
    writeFile(m.loc, m.docfile.toString(), buf2[ ]);  
}
```

```
void gendocfile(Module m, ref OutBuffer outbuf) {  
    ... // write to outbuf  
}
```

<https://github.com/dlang/dmd/pull/15535>

Use Pointers to Functions (or Templates)

```
import dmd.doc;
bool expand(...) {
    if (isIDStart(p))
        ...
}
```

```
alias fp_t = bool function(const(char)* p);
bool expand(..., fp_t isIDStart) {
    if (isIDStart(p))
        ...
}
```

<https://github.com/dlang/dmd/pull/15470>

Two Categories of Functions

- Alter state of the program
 - doAction()
- Ask a question
 - isSomething()
 - HasCharacteristic()
 - These can hopefully be made pure

Try not to do both in one function. Makes it difficult to understand/modify it.

Line Things Up

```
final switch (of)
{
    case elf:      lib = LibElf_factory();      break;
    case macho:    lib = LibMach_factory();    break;
    case coff:     lib = LibMSCOFF_factory();   break;
    case omf:      lib = LibOMF_factory();      break;
}
```

Prof. Marvel : I have reached a cataclysmic decision!

Use ref Instead Of *

<https://github.com/dlang/dmd/pull/15487>

Takeaways

- Use language features as intended
- Avoid negation
- Left to right, top to bottom
- Functions do everything through front door
- Don't conflate engine with environment
- Reduce cyclomatic complexity
- Keep trying – this is a process!

