

**Title:** THE LOCATION OF SUBSTITUTIONS AND BACTERIAL GENOME ARRANGEMENTS

**Authors:** DANIELLA F. LATO AND G. BRIAN GOLING

**Journal:** GENOME BIOLOGY AND EVOLUTION

**DOI:**

**Corresponding Author Information:**

G. BRIAN GOLING

McMASTER ~~UNIVERSITY~~ UNIVERSITY

DEPARTMENT OF BIOLOGY

1280 MAIN ST. WEST

HAMILTON, ON

CANADA

L8S 4K1

EMAIL: GOLDING@MCMASTER.CA

## Supplementary Material

Further supplemental information and code are available on GitHub at [www.github.com/dlato/Location\\_of\\_Substitutions\\_and\\_Bacterial\\_Arrangements](https://www.github.com/dlato/Location_of_Substitutions_and_Bacterial_Arrangements).

### Software Version Numbers

Program	Version Number	Build Date
baseml	4.9	March 2015
codeml	4.9	March 2015
consense	3.6b	NA
dnadist	3.6b	NA
dnaml	3.6b	NA
MAFFT	v7.045b	June 5, 2013
neighbor	3.6b	NA
progressiveMauve	Snap Shot	June 7, 2012
RAXML	8.0.25	June 16, 2014
seqboot	3.6b	NA
trimAl	v1.4.rev15	December 17, 2013

Table S1: Version numbers and build dates for each of the programs used.

## Sequences

Bacteria Strain/Species	Accession Number	Date Accessed
<i>Escherichia coli</i>		
<i>E. coli</i> 0104H4	CP003289	September 29, 2016
<i>E. coli</i> 0157H7	BA000007	September 29, 2016
<i>E. coli</i> 083H1	CP001855	September 29, 2016
<i>E. coli</i> IAI39	CU928164	September 26, 2016
<i>E. coli</i> K12 *	U00096	September 26, 2016
<i>E. coli</i> UMN026	CU928163	September 26, 2016
Outgroup: <i>E. fergusonii</i> ATCC 35469T	NC_011740	August 26, 2020
<i>Bacillus subtilis</i>		
<i>B. subtilis</i> 168 *	NC_000964	November 10, 2016
<i>B. subtilis</i> BS38	NZ_CP017314	November 11, 2016
<i>B. subtilis</i> BSn5	NC_014976	November 11, 2016
<i>B. subtilis</i> PY79	NC_022898	November 11, 2016
<i>B. subtilis</i> QB928	NC_018520	November 11, 2016
<i>B. subtilis</i> RONN1	NC_017195	November 11, 2016
<i>B. subtilis</i> W23	NC_014479	November 11, 2016
Outgroup: <i>B. cereus</i> FDAARGOS_797	NZ_CP053931	August 26, 2020
<i>Streptomyces</i>		
<i>S. lividans</i> TK24	NZ_GG657756	August 26, 2020
<i>S. lividans</i> 1362	NZ_CM001889	August 26, 2020
<i>S. coelicolor</i> A3 *	AL645882	November 30, 2016
<i>S. coelicolor</i> A32 CFB NCB	NZ_CP042324	August 26, 2020
<i>S. coelicolor</i> M1154/pAMX4/pGP1416	NZ_CP050522	August 26, 2020
Outgroup: <i>S. aureofaciens</i> DM1	NZ_CP020567	August 26, 2020
<i>S. meliloti</i> Chromosome		
<i>S. meliloti</i> 2011	NC_020528	April 24, 2017
<i>S. meliloti</i> 1021 *	NC_003047	June 3, 2014
<i>S. meliloti</i> AK83	NC_015590	June 3, 2014
<i>S. meliloti</i> BL225C	NC_017322	June 3, 2014
<i>S. meliloti</i> SM11	NC_017325	June 3, 2014
<i>S. meliloti</i> RMO17	NC_CP009144	April 24, 2017
Outgroup: <i>Rhizobium leguminosarum</i> trifolii WSM1689 chromosome	NZ_CP007045	August 26, 2020
<i>S. meliloti</i> pSymA		
<i>S. meliloti</i> 2011	NC_020527	April 24, 2017
<i>S. meliloti</i> 1021 *	NC_003037	June 3, 2014
<i>S. meliloti</i> AK83	NC_015591	June 3, 2014
<i>S. meliloti</i> BL225C	NC_017324	June 3, 2014
<i>S. meliloti</i> SM11	NC_017327	June 3, 2014
<i>S. meliloti</i> RMO17	NC_CP009145	April 24, 2017
Outgroup: <i>Rhizobium leguminosarum</i> trifolii WSM1689 plasmid pRLG202	NC_0113665	August 26, 2020
<i>S. meliloti</i> pSymB		
<i>S. meliloti</i> 2011	NC_020560	April 24, 2017
<i>S. meliloti</i> 1021 *	NC_003078	June 3, 2014
<i>S. meliloti</i> AK83	NC_015596	June 3, 2014
<i>S. meliloti</i> BL225C	NC_017323	June 3, 2014
<i>S. meliloti</i> SM11	NC_017326	June 3, 2014
<i>S. meliloti</i> RMO17	NC_CP009146	April 24, 2017
Outgroup: <i>Rhizobium leguminosarum</i> trifolii WSM1689 plasmid pRLG201	NC_011368	August 26, 2020

Table S2: Strains and species used for each replicon analysis. Accession numbers, date accessed, and outgroups for each replicon are provided. An asterisk (\*) indicates the strain that was used as the representative strain.

## Constraints to Number of Sequence Chosen

Computational time constraints and the nature of the data were limiting factors for the number of strains that were chosen for each bacterial species. **progressiveMauve** is a multiple sequence alignment program which is useful for accounting for local and large scale genomic rearrangements. Some of the bacterial strains are very similar and therefore there was no issue finding a sufficient number of locally co-linear blocks (LCBs) without having the genomes broken into an overwhelming number of blocks. ~~,, and were among the bacteria where this was the case. However, the strains were slightly too distantly related so when we tried to use a comparable number of strains to the other bacteria (six genomes). We had to strike a balance between having as many genomes in the analysis as possible, and comparing correct homologous sequences. The more distantly related the taxa are, the resulting progressiveMauve split the genomes alignment contained shorter LCBs and many blocks that compared sequences of poor homology. This can be seen in an example of six *Streptomyces* genomes resulting in the genome being split into 521 LCBs (Supplementary Figure S1). These blocks were therefore very small in length and resulted in many blocks that were comparing sequences with poor homology.~~ Consequently, we had to reduce the number of genomes used for ~~the this~~ analysis and after many iterations of genome combinations, we settled on ~~three genomes with a total of 6 LCBs (Supplementary Figure ??)~~ the genomes listed in Table S2. This allowed for the correct comparison of homologous sequences, while also accounting for recombination.

The computational time required to run **progressiveMauve** was an additional constraint that needed to be considered. **progressiveMauve** can align multiple whole genomes and identify regions that have been rearranged within the taxa provided. This process happens in relatively quick computational time, however, like most other programs, the addition of more data increased the amount of time required to complete the process. We ran multiple instances of **progressiveMauve** with varying numbers of *E. coli* genomes (Supplementary Figure S2). These data points were connected using a locally estimated ~~scatterplot scatter plot~~ smoothing method and confidence intervals (Supplementary Figure S2). From this data, we can see that increasing the number of genomes exponentially increases the run time of **progressiveMauve**. It becomes impractical to align more than 27 genomes with **progressiveMauve**, as anything over that would take more than 24h to run. ~~The total computational time additionally depends on the divergence of the sequences. 26 divergent *Streptomyces* genomes took just under a month to complete the progressiveMauve alignment.~~ This information combined with **progressiveMauve**'s inability to pair ~~of~~-homologous sequences in LCBs of distantly related taxa, has limited the total number of genomes we can use per taxa to a maximum of 7. This provides the most accurate data and the most reasonable analysis duration.



Figure S1: Visualization of the progressiveMauve alignment of 6 *Streptomyces* genomes (from top to bottom): *S. coelicolor* AL645882, *S. lividans* NZ\_CM001889, *S. lividans* NZ\_GG657756, *S. venezuelae* NC\_018750, *S. venezuelae* NZ\_CP013129, and *S. venezuelae* NC\_CP018074. Each coloured block represents a different locally co-linear block (LCB). Coloured lines connect LCBs that are similar ~~between~~between taxa. The black lines underneath each LCB represent the whole genome sequence of each of the *Streptomyces* taxa. Each LCB can be treated as a rearrangement, there have therefore been 521 rearrangements between these *Streptomyces* genomes.

Visualization of the alignment of the 3 genomes chosen for this analysis (from top to bottom): AL645882, NZ, and NZ. Each coloured block represents a different locally co-linear block (LCB). Coloured lines connect LCBs that are similar between taxa. The black lines underneath each LCB represent the whole genome sequence of each of the taxa. Each LCB can be treated as a rearrangement, there have therefore been 6 rearrangements between these genomes.




Figure S2: This graph shows the time to complete a `progressiveMauve` alignment with varying numbers of *E. coli* genomes. The total number of genomes or taxa is along the x-axis and the total time in hours is along the right axis. Each black point represents data from one `progressiveMauve` alignment. All data points are connected by calculating locally estimated scatterplot scatter plot smoothing (black line) with confidence intervals (grey band).

## progressiveMauve Alignment



Figure S3: Visualization of the **progressiveMauve** alignment of the *B. subtilis* genomes. Each coloured block represents a different locally colinear block (LCB). Coloured lines connect LCBs that are similar between taxa. The black lines underneath each LCB represent the whole genome sequence of each of the *B. subtilis* taxa. From top to bottom the taxa are: *B. subtilis* PY79, *B. subtilis* QB928, *B. subtilis* 168, *B. subtilis* BSn5, *B. subtilis* BS38, *B. subtilis* RONN1, *B. subtilis* W23. Each LCB can be treated as a rearrangement, there have therefore been 12 rearrangements between these *B. subtilis* genomes.

## Poor Sequence Alignment

After a re-alignment of **progressiveMauve** LCBs with **MAFFT** there were still regions of the alignment that were visibly poor. This prompted the additional alignment quality trimming using a custom Python script and **trimAl** (Capella-Gutiérrez et al. 2009). An example of what a “poor” alignment would look like can be found in Figure S4. The FASTA format of this segment of the alignment can be found on GitHub labelled as file “**poor\_ecoli\_alignment\_example.fna**”.

This segment of **MAFFT** alignment (Figure S4) appears to have completely misaligned the second sequence (*E. coli* O157H7). When we look at the genes that these regions of DNA are found within (Table S3), we see that the second sequence (*E. coli* O157H7) does not have the same protein sequence as the other bacteria genes. Poor sequence alignments like this, as well as other non-homologous alignment regions were removed from the analysis. Please see the main paper for more detailed methods.

Alignment: poor\_ecoli\_alignment\_example\_TWO.fna  
 Seaview [blocks=10 fontsize=10 A4] on Tue Mar 24 14:14:23 2020




Figure S4: Visualization of a section of MAFFT alignment between the six strains of *E. coli*. This alignment was visualized with the SeaView graphical interface (Gouy et al. 2010).

<i>E. coli</i> Strain	NCBI Accession Number	Alignment Gene Id
0104H4	CP003289	O3K_04155
O157H7	BA000007	ECs3861
083H1	CP001855	NRG857_18350
IAI39	CU928164	yghE
K12	U00096	yghE
UMN026	CU928163	yghE

Table S3: *E. coli* strain, NCBI accession number, and Gene Id for the genes in the poor alignment example (Figure S4).

## Phylogenetic Trees




Figure S5: Phylogenetic tree of *E. coli* genomes. *E. fergusonii* ATCC 35469T was used as an outgroup to root the tree. Branch lengths are to scale. The numbers at each node indicate the bootstrap value as a percentage. The number of bootstrapped trees was 100-1000.




Figure S6: Phylogenetic tree of *B. subtilis* genomes. *B. cereus* FDAARGOS\_797 was used as an outgroup to root the tree. Branch lengths are to scale. The numbers at each node indicate the bootstrap value as a percentage. The number of bootstrapped trees was 100-1000.




Figure S7: Phylogenetic tree of *Streptomyces* genomes. *S. aureofaciens*DM1 was used as an outgroup to root the tree. Branch lengths are to scale. The numbers at each node indicate the bootstrap value as a percentage. The number of bootstrapped trees was 1001000.




Figure S8: Phylogenetic tree using only the chromosomes of *S. meliloti*. *Rhizobium leguminosarum*trifolii WSM1689 chromosome was used as an outgroup to root the tree. Branch lengths are to scale. The numbers at each node indicate the bootstrap value as a percentage. The number of bootstrapped trees was 1001000.

~~Number of Locally Colinear Blocks that had identical topologies to the “super sequence” tree, not identical to the “super sequence” tree, and the proportion of the total alignment that was represented by the non-identical tree topologies. Topologies that were not identical were determined to be different at the 5% significant value using an SH-test in RAxML (Stamatakis 2014). The SH-Test could not be preformed on as there were only three taxa present~~




Figure S9: Phylogenetic tree using only pSymA of *S. meliloti*. *eircular-Rhizobium leguminosarumtrifolii WSM1689* plasmid *pRLG202* was used as an outgroup to root the tree. Branch lengths are to scale. The numbers at each node indicate the bootstrap value as a percentage. The number of bootstrapped trees was ~~100~~1000.




Figure S10: Phylogenetic tree using only pSymB of *S. meliloti*. *eircular-chromid-Rhizobium leguminosarumtrifolii WSM1689* plasmid *pRLG201* was used as an outgroup to root the tree. Branch lengths are to scale. The numbers at each node indicate the bootstrap value as a percentage. The number of bootstrapped trees was ~~100~~1000.

and RAXML needs a minimum of 4 taxa for the test (Stamatakis 2014).

## Origin and Terminus Locations

Each of the bacterial strains used in this analysis vary in total genomic length, in some cases this difference is up to 856Kbp like in *E. coli* (Table S4). This will cause the farthest point from the origin of replication to appear larger because of the increased genome size of some strains.

Bacteria	Origin of Replication	Terminus of Replication	Length of Longest Genome (bp)
<i>E. coli</i>	3925744	1588773	5498450
<i>B. subtilis</i>	1	1942542	4215606
<i>Streptomyces</i>	3419363	1 & <del>8667507</del> <ins>8667664</ins>	<del>8667507</del> <ins>8667664</ins>
<i>S. meliloti</i> Chromosome	1	1735626	3908022
<i>S. meliloti</i> pSymA	1350001	672888	1633319
<i>S. meliloti</i> pSymB	55090	896756	1690594

Table S4: Origin of replication and terminus of replication positions in replicons of *E. coli*, *B. subtilis*, *Streptomyces*, and *S. meliloti*. The origin and terminus of replication are values from the representative strain of each bacteria, which can be found in Supplementary Table S2. The linear nature of *Streptomyces* chromosome gives it two termini, one at each end of the chromosome. The ~~length-length~~ of the longest genome is the longest genome length from all strains/species of each ~~bacteria~~ bacteria. This is not ~~necessarily-necessarily~~ the same as the genome length of the representative strain.

Origin Location	<i>E. coli</i> Chromosome	<i>B. subtilis</i> Chromosome	<i>Streptomyces</i> Chromosome	<i>S. meliloti</i> Chromosome	<i>S. meliloti</i> pSymA	<i>S. meliloti</i> pSymB
Moved 100kb Left	$-1.445 \times 10^{-7}***$	$4.374 \times 10^{-9}*^*$	$6.909 \times 10^{-9}***$	$-1.316 \times 10^{-6}***$	$-1.058 \times 10^{-6}***$	$-2.009 \times 10^{-7}***$
Moved 90kb Left	$-1.544 \times 10^{-7}***$	$-1.036 \times 10^{-7}***$	$5.677 \times 10^{-9}***$	$-1.32 \times 10^{-6}***$	$-1.246 \times 10^{-6}***$	$-1.357 \times 10^{-7}***$
Moved 80kb Left	$-1.65 \times 10^{-7}***$	$-1.072 \times 10^{-7}***$	$8.11 \times 10^{-9}***$	$-1.338 \times 10^{-6}***$	$-1.398 \times 10^{-6}***$	$-6.57 \times 10^{-8}***$
Moved 70kb Left	$-1.667 \times 10^{-7}***$	$-1.102 \times 10^{-7}***$	$6.716 \times 10^{-9}***$	$-1.363 \times 10^{-6}***$	$-1.405 \times 10^{-6}***$	$9.83 \times 10^{-8}$
Moved 60kb Left	$-1.64 \times 10^{-7}***$	$-1.19 \times 10^{-7}***$	$8.7 \times 10^{-9}***$	$-1.324 \times 10^{-6}***$	$-1.394 \times 10^{-6}***$	$1.129 \times 10^{-7}***$
Moved 50kb Left	$-1.446 \times 10^{-7}***$	$-1.211 \times 10^{-7}***$	$1.045 \times 10^{-8}***$	$-1.36 \times 10^{-6}***$	$-1.403 \times 10^{-6}***$	$1.521 \times 10^{-7}***$
Moved 40kb Left	$-1.4 \times 10^{-7}***$	$-1.299 \times 10^{-7}***$	$1.214 \times 10^{-8}***$	$-1.255 \times 10^{-6}***$	$-1.422 \times 10^{-6}***$	$1.543 \times 10^{-7}***$
Moved 30kb Left	$-1.498 \times 10^{-7}***$	$-1.292 \times 10^{-7}***$	$1.24 \times 10^{-8}***$	$-1.26 \times 10^{-6}***$	$-1.392 \times 10^{-6}***$	$1.63 \times 10^{-7}***$
Moved 20kb Left	$-1.51 \times 10^{-7}***$	$-1.1 \times 10^{-7}***$	$1.395 \times 10^{-8}***$	$-1.525 \times 10^{-6}***$	$-1.412 \times 10^{-6}***$	$1.603 \times 10^{-7}***$
Moved 10kb Left	$-1.262 \times 10^{-7}***$	$-2.602 \times 10^{-9}$	$1.563 \times 10^{-8}***$	$-1.599 \times 10^{-6}***$	$-9.499 \times 10^{-7}***$	$2.973 \times 10^{-7}***$
Moved 10kb Right	$-1.305 \times 10^{-7}***$	$-2.045 \times 10^{-8}***$	$1.578 \times 10^{-8}***$	$1.614 \times 10^{-6}***$	$-1.026 \times 10^{-6}***$	$3.505 \times 10^{-7}***$
Moved 20kb Right	$-1.454 \times 10^{-7}***$	$-1.006 \times 10^{-7}***$	$1.903 \times 10^{-8}***$	$-1.634 \times 10^{-6}***$	$-1.475 \times 10^{-6}***$	$1.649 \times 10^{-7}***$
Moved 30kb Right	$-1.548 \times 10^{-7}***$	$-8.596 \times 10^{-8}***$	$2.046 \times 10^{-8}***$	$-1.698 \times 10^{-6}***$	$-1.417 \times 10^{-6}***$	$1.526 \times 10^{-7}***$
Moved 40kb Right	$-1.632 \times 10^{-7}***$	$-8.378 \times 10^{-8}***$	$2.125 \times 10^{-8}***$	$-1.719 \times 10^{-6}***$	$-1.367 \times 10^{-6}***$	$1.589 \times 10^{-7}***$
Moved 50kb Right	$-1.856 \times 10^{-7}***$	$-7.879 \times 10^{-8}***$	$1.957 \times 10^{-8}***$	$-1.735 \times 10^{-6}***$	$-1.277 \times 10^{-6}***$	$1.654 \times 10^{-7}***$
Moved 60kb Right	$-1.91 \times 10^{-7}***$	$-6.98 \times 10^{-8}***$	$1.974 \times 10^{-8}***$	$-1.788 \times 10^{-6}***$	$-1.169 \times 10^{-6}***$	$1.645 \times 10^{-7}***$
Moved 70kb Right	$-1.892 \times 10^{-7}***$	$-6.634 \times 10^{-8}***$	$1.934 \times 10^{-8}***$	$-1.854 \times 10^{-6}***$	$-1.059 \times 10^{-6}***$	$1.843 \times 10^{-7}***$
Moved 80kb Right	$-1.879 \times 10^{-7}***$	$-5.814 \times 10^{-8}***$	$2.313 \times 10^{-8}***$	$-1.891 \times 10^{-6}***$	$-9.07 \times 10^{-7}***$	$1.90 \times 10^{-7}***$
Moved 90kb Right	$-1.862 \times 10^{-7}***$	$-4.314 \times 10^{-8}***$	$2.304 \times 10^{-8}***$	$-1.865 \times 10^{-6}***$	$-7.171 \times 10^{-7}***$	$2.415 \times 10^{-7}***$
Moved 100kb Right	$-1.799 \times 10^{-7}***$	$-2.597 \times 10^{-8}***$	$1.945 \times 10^{-8}***$	$-1.525 \times 10^{-6}***$	$-6.572 \times 10^{-7}***$	$3.095 \times 10^{-7}***$

Table S5: Logistic regression analysis of the number of substitutions along the genome of the respective bacterial replicons after the origin location was moved by the specified increments from the original origin of replication position (listed in Table S4). All results are marked with significance codes as followed:  $< 0.001 = '***'$ ,  $0.001 < 0.01 = '**'$ ,  $0.01 < 0.05 = '*'$ ,  $0.05 < 0.1 = '$ ',  $> 0.1 = '$ '. Logistic regression was calculated after the origin of replication was moved to the new location in the genome and all subsequent positions were scaled around the origin accounting for ~~bidirectionality of bidirectional~~ replication.

Bacteria Strain	Accession Number	Date Accessed
<i>E. coli</i> K12 Chromosome	U00096	September 26, 2016
<i>B. subtilis</i> 168 Chromosome	NC_000964	November 10, 2016
<i>S. coelicolor</i> A3 Chromosome	AL645882	November 30, 2016
<i>S. meliloti</i> Chromosome 1021	NC_003047	June 3, 2014
<i>S. meliloti</i> pSymA 1021	NC_003037	June 3, 2014
<i>S. meliloti</i> pSymB 1021	NC_003078	June 3, 2014

Table S6: Strains and species used for determining the protein coding regions of each bacterial replicon. GenBank reference annotation was used to determine all protein coding sections of the replicons. NCBI accession numbers and date accessed are provided.

## Genomic Position Clustering

A custom R script was used to cluster genomic positions together based on a user specified genetic distance using single-link clustering. An illustration of the clustering method used in this supplemental test can be found in Figure S11. This clustering was done for genomic distances beginning at 1bp and increasing by one order of magnitude until 1,000,000bp difference exists between the taxa genomic positions. These newly clustered genomic positions were then put into the same substitution analysis as mentioned previously to determine the impact of this position clustering on the spatial substitution trends through a linear regression. A complete table of the statistical results from the clustering assessment are found in Table S7. The results from this analysis indicate that genomic positions up to 1,000,000bp apart can be considered a singular genomic position without altering the overall spatial substitution analysis.




Figure S11: Visualization of the genomic position clustering method. In this example, the user specified the genetic distance to be 2, all genomic positions within 2 base pairs would be clustered together. In this example we are looking at 6 taxa with genomic positions 10, 14, 12, 25, 22, and 20. Based on the clustering algorithm, positions 10, 14 and 12 would be grouped into a cluster (outlined in green), position 25 would be its own cluster (outlined in pink), and positions 22 and 20 would be grouped into another cluster (outlined in blue). Once the clusters are determined, a new genomic position for each of the clusters is calculated using the average of all positions within that cluster. In this example, the green cluster would have a new genomic position of 12 (the average between those three positions), the pink cluster would have the same genomic position of 25, and the blue cluster would have a new genomic position of 21. The new list of genomic positions for the 4 taxa would be: 12, 12, 12, 25, 21 and 21.

Position Difference	<i>E. coli</i> Chromosome	<i>B. subtilis</i> Chromosome	<i>Streptomyces</i> Chromosome	<i>S. meliloti</i> Chromosome	<i>S. meliloti</i> pSymA	<i>S. meliloti</i> pSymB
1bp	$-1.394 \times 10^{-7}**$	$-2.538 \times 10^{-8}**$	$1.736 \times 10^{-8}**$	$-1.541 \times 10^{-6}**$	$-9.130 \times 10^{-7}**$	$2.488 \times 10^{-7}***$
10bp	$-1.394 \times 10^{-7}***$	$-2.518 \times 10^{-8}***$	$-4.484 \times 10^{-9}***$	$-1.627 \times 10^{-6}***$	$-9.13 \times 10^{-7}***$	$3.487 \times 10^{-7}***$
100bp	$-1.764 \times 10^{-7}***$	$-1.417 \times 10^{-8}***$	$1.448 \times 10^{-8}***$	$-1.605 \times 10^{-6}***$	$-1.166 \times 10^{-6}***$	$4.021 \times 10^{-7}***$
1000bp	$-1.784 \times 10^{-7}***$	$-1.417 \times 10^{-8}***$	$1.505 \times 10^{-8}***$	$-1.605 \times 10^{-6}***$	$-1.153 \times 10^{-6}***$	$4.021 \times 10^{-7}***$
10000bp	$-1.712 \times 10^{-7}***$	$-3.496 \times 10^{-8}***$	$4.790 \times 10^{-8}***$	$-1.605 \times 10^{-6}***$	$-3.570 \times 10^{-8}*$	$3.784 \times 10^{-7}***$
100000bp	$-2.061 \times 10^{-7}***$	$-3.561 \times 10^{-8}***$	$4.167 \times 10^{-9}***$	$-1.605 \times 10^{-6}***$	$-4.676 \times 10^{-7}***$	$3.784 \times 10^{-7}***$
1000000bp	$4.229 \times 10^{-8}***$	$-7.710 \times 10^{-9}***$	$6.083 \times 10^{-8}***$	$-1.605 \times 10^{-6}***$	$4.285 \times 10^{-6}***$	$-8.888 \times 10^{-7}***$

Table S7: Results from the position clustering analysis. Logistic regression analysis of the number of substitutions along the genome of the respective bacteria replicons to test position differences. The “Position Difference” column denotes different base pair distances that the positions in the genome were clustered together as. All results are marked with significance codes as followed:  $< 0.001 = '***'$ ,  $0.001 < 0.01 = '**'$ ,  $0.01 < 0.05 = '*'$ ,  $0.05 < 0.1 = .'$ ,  $> 0.1 = '$ . Logistic regression was calculated after the positions in the genome were determined to be the same at each position difference listed in the first column.

Bacteria and Replicon	Average Replicon Length	Number of Sites	Number of Substitutions
<i>E. coli</i> Chromosome	5082529	3032961	200477
<i>B. subtilis</i> Chromosome	4077077	2411673	218843
<i>Streptomyces</i> Chromosome	8494093	5266854	20898
<i>S. meliloti</i> Chromosome	3426881	2125845	6420
<i>S. meliloti</i> pSymA	1455940	451314	10055
<i>S. meliloti</i> pSymB	1664597	1200129	28233

Table S8: Total number of protein coding sites in each replicon for this analysis and the number of those sites that have a substitution (multiple substitutions at one site are counted as two substitutions).

## High Substitutions Gene Example

Throughout this analysis there are a few genes/gene segments in all the bacterial replicons that have relatively high numbers of substitutions when compared to other genes or gene segments. These high numbers of substitutions are indeed real changes seen in homologous genes. To illustrate this, we have chosen a segment of alignment from *B. subtilis*. Information about the genes involved in this segment can be found in Table S9. A protein alignment for these genes can be found on GitHub ([www.github.com/dlato/Location\\_of\\_Substitutions\\_and\\_Bacterial\\_Arrangements](https://www.github.com/dlato/Location_of_Substitutions_and_Bacterial_Arrangements)) under the file name “[StreptomycesBacillus\\_high\\_substitutions\\_gene\\_example.txt](#)”.

~~Both strains have 100% sequence identity at the DNA level, while the species has 87.2% sequence identity with the strains for this particular alignment. Despite this~~ Despite this high sequence identity and almost identical protein alignment (Figures S12 and S13), there are a total of ~~31~~<sup>205</sup> substitutions (across all nodes of the phylogenetic tree, Figure S7S6) within this short stretch of sequence. It is segments like these that are resulting in the appearance of extremely high numbers of substitutions in sections of all the bacterial ~~repliconic~~ replicon genomes.

Species	NCBI Accession Number	Gene Id
<i>B. subtilis</i> 168	NC_000964	BSU17380
<i>B. subtilis</i> BS38	NZ_CP017314	BSBS38_RS09695
<i>B. subtilis</i> BSn5	NC_014976	BSN5_RS21150
<i>B. subtilis</i> PY79	NC_022898	U712_RS08990
<i>B. subtilis</i> QB928	NC_018520	B657_RS09460
<i>B. subtilis</i> RONN1	NC_017195	I33_RS09040
<i>B. subtilis</i> W23	NC_014479	BSUW23_RS09220

Table S9: Information about the example gene segment [from](#) *B. subtilis* alignment with high number of substitutions.

Alignment: Block21\_coding\_gene\_aln\_0852\_sec\_0001\_good\_sec\_0000.txt  
 Seaview [blocks=10 fontsize=10 A4] on Tue Sep 29 13:39:03 2020




Figure S12: Visualization of a portion of the nucleotide alignment of *B. subtilis* genes with high numbers of substitutions. Alignment visualization was performed with SeaView (Gouy et al. 2010)

Alignment: Block21\_coding\_gene\_aln\_0852\_sec\_0001\_good\_sec\_0000.txt  
Seaview [blocks=10 fontsize=10 A4] on Tue Sep 29 13:50:41 2020




Figure S13: Visualization of [a portion of](#) the protein alignment of *B. subtilis* genes with high numbers of substitutions. Alignment visualization was performed with *SeaView* (Gouy et al. 2010)

## High Substitution Distribution

Bacteria and Replicon	Bidirectional Genomic Position (bp)	Protein/Gene Examples
<i>E. coli</i> Chromosome	1130000 - 1140000	Uncharacterized proteins Hypothetical proteins Lipoprotein Transcriptional activator
	1720000 - 1740000	Hypothetical proteins Predicted protein Small toxic polypeptide
<i>B. subtilis</i> Chromosome	1990000 - 2000000	Hypothetical proteins Unknown function
	3550000 - 3570000	Hypothetical proteins Derived by automated computational analysis Putative integral membrane protein Reductase
<i>S. meliloti</i> Chromosome	180000 - 200000	Hypothetical proteins Small molecule metabolism
	790000 - 800000	Hypothetical proteins Transposase Small molecule metabolism
<i>S. meliloti</i> pSymB	610000 - 620000	Hypothetical proteins Transposon related functions Predicted membrane protein

Table S10: Table of high number of substitutions per 10Kbp genomic regions for each bacterial replicon and examples of the associated proteins/gene functions found in that region. The genomic position begins at the origin of replication and continues in both directions until the terminus of replication (bidirectional replication).

## Weighted, Non-weighted, and 20Kbp Near and Far From the Origin Substitution Linear Regression Analysis

Multiple linear regressions were performed to determine if there was any correlation between number of substitutions and distance from the origin of replication. A linear regression to determine how the weighted and non-weighted total number of substitutions per 10Kbp section in various sections of the genome (10Kbp, 25Kbp, 50Kbp, 100Kbp, 200Kbp, and 400Kbp) changes with genomic position was performed (Table ??Tables S11 and S12). All additional linear regression results (Table ??Tables S11 and S12) mirror the results from the logistic regression on presence or absence of substitutions and changes in genomic position (see the Main Paper results section for more information). The results from these supplemental tests are consistent with the results from the linear regression found in the Main Paper, most bacterial replicons have a decreasing number of substitutions when moving away from the origin of replication.

To calculate the non-weighted values of the total number of substitutions per 10Kbp region of the genome, the total number of substitutions was summed up over each 10Kbp-region of the genome (10Kbp, 25Kbp, 50Kbp, 100Kbp, 200Kbp, and 400Kbp), while accounting for bidirectional replication (see Main Paper for details). A linear regression on these total number of substitutions in each 10kb-section of the genome (10Kbp, 25Kbp, 50Kbp, 100Kbp, 200Kbp, and 400Kbp) was performed to see how the number of substitutions changes with distance from the origin of replication (Table S12). The weighted values of the total number of substitutions per 10Kbp-various region of the genome, the total number of substitutions was summed up over each 10Kbp-region of the genome (10Kbp, 25Kbp, 50Kbp, 100Kbp, 200Kbp, and 400Kbp) while accounting for bidirectional replication (see Main Paper for details). These summed values were then divided by the total number of protein coding sites in each 10Kbp-region to obtain the weighted value. A linear regression on these weighted total number of substitutions in each 10kb-section of the

Bacteria and Replicon	Protein Coding Window Size					
	10Kbp	25Kbp	50Kbp	100Kbp	200Kbp	400Kbp
<i>E. coli</i> Chromosome	$-2.27 \times 10^{-10}***$ (0.038)	$-2.54 \times 10^{-10}**$ (0.078)	$-2.32 \times 10^{-10}**$ (0.112)	$-2.36 \times 10^{-10}*$ (0.133)	NS (0.200)	NS (0.362)
<i>B. subtilis</i> Chromosome	NS (0.009)	NS (0.001)	NS (0.0002)	NS (0.002)	NS (0.019)	NS (0.484)
<i>Streptomyces</i> Chromosome	NS ( $2.49 \times 10^{-5}$ )	NS ( $4.46 \times 10^{-5}$ )	NS (0.009)	NS (0.0002)	$3.72 \times 10^{-11}*$ (0.132)	$4.34 \times 10^{-11}*$ (0.197)
<i>S. meliloti</i> Chromosome	$-1.21 \times 10^{-10}**$ (0.076)	$-1.71 \times 10^{-10}***$ (0.137)	$-1.86 \times 10^{-10}**$ (0.126)	$-2.78 \times 10^{-10}**$ (0.350)	NS (0.150)	NS (0.397)
<i>S. meliloti</i> pSymA	NS (0.032)	NS (0.019)	NS (0.135)	NS (0.0124)	NS (0.034)	NS ( $1.42 \times 10^{-30}$ )
<i>S. meliloti</i> pSymB	NS (0.001)	NS (0.003)	NS (0.008)	NS (0.006)	NS ( $2.12 \times 10^{-8}$ )	NS (0.043)

Table S11: Linear regression on various sections of the genome (10Kbp, 25Kbp, 50Kbp, 100Kbp, 200Kbp, and 400Kbp) with increasing distance from the origin of replication after accounting for bidirectional replication. The total number of substitutions in each section of the genome was divided by the total number of protein coding sites in that genomic region. All results are marked with significance codes as followed:  $< 0.001 = '***'$ ,  $0.001 < 0.01 = '**'$ ,  $0.01 < 0.05 = '*'$ ,  $> 0.05 = 'NS'$ . The  $R^2$  value for each coefficient estimate is found below the value in brackets ().

genome was performed to see how the number of substitutions changes with distance from the origin of replication (Table S11).

We took a closer look at 20Kbp regions of the replicons close and far from the origin of replication. We performed a logistic regression on the presence or absence of a substitution with distance from the origin of replication. Data points from the 20Kbp regions closest to the origin of replication and data points from the 20Kbp regions closest to the terminus of replication were used for this portion of the analysis. Outliers were removed from this analysis. The number of substitutions per site was also calculated in each of these 20Kbp regions for each bacterial replicon. We were unable to determine a consistent spatial substitution trend when considering only the 20Kbp near and far from the origin of replication in all bacterial replicons. Some bacterial replicons had a positive correlation coefficient, indicating that the number of substitutions increases with increasing distance from the origin of replication (Table S13). Other replicons had a negative correlation coefficient, suggesting that the number of substitutions decreases with increasing distance from the origin of replication (Table S13). Additionally, it was unclear if the number of substitutions per site locally were higher near the origin of replication or near the terminus. Some bacteria had higher number of substitutions per site near the origin (*Streptomyces*, *S. meliloti* chromosome and pSymB), while other replicons has the opposite trend (*E. coli*, *B. subtilis*, and *S. meliloti* pSymA) (Table S13). These results suggest that on a small local scale, there are varying patterns of substitutions with respect to distance from the origin of replication. This varies between bacteria, and in some cases even within the same bacteria (*S. meliloti* pSymB). This variation locally does not allow us to make any overarching statements about the local distribution of substitutions in bacterial genomes. It is therefore more useful to consider the global (genome wide) pattern of substitutions when making overarching statements about genomic substitution arrangements.

### Non-linear Analysis of Number of Substitutions and Distance From the Origin of Replication

Using a simple smoothed conditional means method (`geom_smooth()` function in R), a non-linear trend analysis was performed on all bacterial replicons. The previous mentioned weighted data (see the previous subsection), was used in this analysis. The weighted data represents the total number of substitutions divided by the total number of protein-coding sites in 10Kbp segments of the genomes. Outliers were removed. The results from this non-linear analysis can be seen in Figures S14 - S19. The visual results from this analysis mirror the findings from the main

paper, the total number of substitutions varies with distance from the origin of replication, but the direction of this trend is unclear and inconsistent between bacterial replicons.

## Total Number of Sites Linear Regression

We performed a linear regression on the total number of protein coding sites and distance from the origin of replication ([Table S14](#)). We found that the total number protein coding sites decreases with distance from the origin of replication in majority of the bacterial replicons in this analysis. We were unable to detect a significant relationship between the number of protein coding sites and distance from the origin of replication in *B. subtilis*, the chromosome, and SymB of *S. meliloti*.

## High *dS* Values

Throughout this analysis there are a few genes/gene segments in all the bacterial replicons that have relatively high *dS* values. This is particularly evident in *B. subtilis* near 0.5Mbp from the origin of replication. Although we have rigorous and conservative methods for our sequence alignment and trimming, there appear to be some genes that are well aligned and similar for portions of the gene, but are quite divergent for other regions of the same gene. To illustrate this, we have chosen a gene alignment from this high *dS* region in *B. subtilis* located around 0.5Mbp from the origin of replication. The genes in this alignment can be found in Table S15. A simple Clustal Omega protein alignment of these genes can be found below. In this example it is evident that some portions of the gene have almost 100% sequence identity, while others are drastically divergent. These divergent regions are typically have a length close to our minimum 100bp trimming length, and are retained in our analysis in some cases. These divergent regions are what is driving the high *dS* values in our analysis.

## CLUSTAL O(1.2.4) multiple sequence alignment

NC_014479	MAYEEKTDWLPPDPINEDDVNRWEKGKDAHTDLAAHKNDMNNPHNTTKAQVGLGNVDNV	60
NC_000964	MAYEEKTDWLPPDPINEDDVNRWEKGKDAHTDLAAHKNDMNNPHNTTKAQIGLGNVDNV	60
NC_022898	MAYEEKTDWLPPDPINEDDVNRWEKGKDAHTDLAAHKNDMNNPHNTTKAQIGLGNVDNV	60
NC_018520	MAYEEKTDWLPPDPINEDDVNRWEKGKDAHTDLAAHKNDMNNPHNTTKAQIGLGNVDNV	60
NZ_CP017314	MAYEEKTDWLPPDPINEDDVNRWEKGKDAHTDLAAHKNDMNNPHNTTKAQIGLGNVDNV	60
NC_014976	MAYEEKTDWLPPDPINEDDVNRWEKGKDAHTDLAAHKNDMNNPHNTTKAQIGLGNVDNV	60
NC_017195	MAYEEKTDWLPPDPINEDDVNRWEKGKDAHTDLAVHKNDMNNPHNTTKAQIGLGNVDNV	60
	***** . ***** : *****	
NC_014479	KQAAKKDFDQHDQDQVRHIAEEEREKWNGGQLSKITKDDGSVFITID-NGQDFNEAAQQ	119
NC_000964	QQASKTEFNEHNHDSTRHITSVERDEWNAKETPAGAQYKADQ-----	102
NC_022898	QQASKTEFNEHNHDSTRHITSVERDEWNAKETPAGAQYKADQ-----	102
NC_018520	QQASKTEFNEHNHDSTRHITSVERDEWNAKETPAGAQYKADQ-----	102
NZ_CP017314	QQAAKKDFDKHEQDQVRHITSTERENWNAKETPGEAQNKAQDQ-----	102
NC_014976	QQAAKKDFEKHVNDGTIHITAAERSKWNNNAQLSKISGDDGRVFYKSVEITDYNDL---	116
NC_017195	QQAAKKDFDKHISDETIHISSSERTKWNNNAQLTKLTDEKGKYLASIQN-GLDFHKIVEEL	119
	:*: . : * . ** : ** : : ..	
NC_014479	KKSFTFYTVKTGLNTPPQPTKGIFYLYSSENDGEAIAMTNDGG---IWR-KTLTSGEWS	173
NC_000964	-----A-----EANAKAYTD----NFAAR-----	117
NC_022898	-----A-----EANAKAYTD----NFAAR-----	117
NC_018520	-----A-----EANAKAYTD----NFAAR-----	117
NZ_CP017314	-----A-----EANAKAYTD----SFAAR-----	117
NC_014976	TDTGMYLIYNQDGLNGPGLNQCFLLVMSYKN--TLVQIAYDGIKGEQSFRIRKNDSTTWT	174
NC_017195	GQTFFFYTDKTGINTPPFATRGL-YIGYKSYGEALAMDYEGG----TWR-KSLNDSGWT	172
	. : :	
NC_014479	EWASFETEAGSKSKAAQ-----	190
NC_000964	-----RD-----	119
NC_022898	-----RD-----	119
NC_018520	-----RD-----	119
NZ_CP017314	-----RD-----	119
NC_014976	AWIESETTEGSQKKIDAHANKTDIHVTKSDKDKWNDSQLFKITQDNLAKYCEDA--DFN	232
NC_017195	DWQLETSEGAQFKVRSHEEKTEIHVNKSDFDKWKNSQLFKVTADNGTQKINLSSGSFYD	232
NC_014479	-----	190
NC_000964	-----NPNQVT-KA---Q-----VGLGNV-----	134
NC_022898	-----NPNQVT-KA---Q-----VGLGNV-----	134
NC_018520	-----NPNQVT-KA---Q-----VGLGNV-----	134
NZ_CP017314	-----NPNQVT-KA---Q-----VGLGNV-----	134
NC_014976	TVIETGFYYMSGATTTNAPVNN--NGYLMVYNFSTYAYQEYTSYSSSDTISTGRRKFMR	290
NC_017195	SLKDVGTVTFYGTNAVTDNPSNTSLRGMQLVGQLG-----IGMGYAVDVGNAWWF	283
NC_014479	-----AEKNAKNYIDNDNSSIHITNDERVKWNGAQLTKLTKDNGRRT	234
NC_000964	-----ENVKQASLADFDAHLSNSKVHVSEGERNKWNAAQLIKLTGDDGKRI	180
NC_022898	-----ENVKQASLADFDAHLSNSKVHVSEGERNKWNAAQLIKLTGDDGKRI	180
NC_018520	-----ENVKQASLADFDAHLSNSKVHVSEGERNKWNAAQLIKLTGDDGKRI	180
NZ_CP017314	-----ENVKQASQADFDAHLSNTKVHVSEGERNKWNAAQLIKLTGDDGKRI	180
NC_014976	NKVANSWDVTSWREIESVEGSQIKVDAHANKTDIHVTTSDFDKWNNAQLYRLTDTQGCRT	350
NC_017195	-FYNANDSAINWYQIESITGAQSKIDAHANKTDIHVTTSDFDKWNNAQLYRLTDTQGCRT	342

	: . * * . : . : * : . : *** *** : ** : * *	
NC_014479	WVPDGTIDILSLSTGFYYVGKVVNNPVDDDNAWNYDIE-GESGRKTIVAYQSFEVTM	293
NC_000964	QLQDGTDILTLSSGFYCAVGQSVPNVEGDAAWNYDIVE-GGSGRKTIVAYQSWGSMM	239
NC_022898	QLQDGTDILTLSSGFYCAVGQSVPNVEGDAAWNYDIVE-GGSGRKTIVAYQSWGSMM	239
NC_018520	QLQDGTDILTLSSGFYCAVGQSVPNVEGDAAWNYDIVE-GGSGRKTIVAYQSWGSMM	239
NZ_CP017314	QLQDGTDILTLSSGFYCAVGQSVPNVEGDATWNYDIVE-GGSGRKTIVAYQSWGSMM	239
NC_014976	KIPDGTDLTLPSGFYYALGNVITNNPVGDSWNYDVIETGGGRKTILASRSFDGTF	410
NC_017195	KIPDGTDLTLPSGFYYAVGNVIINNPVLGDGSWNYDVIETGGGRKTIFASRSFDGTF : ****:*: : *** .*: : *** .* :*****:*. ****.* :*: : :	402
NC_014479	WIGMVHTDGKFRGWKRVLTVSEELNSEINKITDESLYQDAAYSNNYPIGITTVAIQLGS	353
NC_000964	WIGMVHTDGEFRGWKQIATTDFIDRVQTELDLH-----ENDKTNPHSVTK-----	284
NC_022898	WIGMVHTDGEFRGWKQIATTDFIDRVQTELDLH-----ENDKTNPHSVTK-----	284
NC_018520	WIGMVHTDGEFRGWKQIATTDFIDRVQTELDLH-----ENDKTNPHSVTK-----	284
NZ_CP017314	WIGMVHTDGKFRGWKQIATTDFIDRVQSELDIH-----KNDKTNPHSVTK-----	284
NC_014976	WTATIHTDGVFKGWNKIETE-----	430
NC_017195	WMATIHTDGVFKGWNKIETE-----	422
NC_014479	* . :**** *:***:*	
NC_000964	TGYPYELGEVLNIKSSKYRFAQFFFYAGNTGQKKV FIRHWYDTVGWTDFITIPSSEELES	413
NC_022898	--QQVGLGNVENVKQETPDGAQ-----KKADTALNQSKDYTNSTAFITRPLNS-----	330
NC_018520	--QQVGLGNVENVKQETPDGAQ-----KKADTALNQSKDYTNSTAFITRPLNS-----	330
NZ_CP017314	--QQVGLGNVENVKQETPDGAQ-----KKADTALNQSKDYTNSTAFITRPLNS-----	330
NC_014976	-----	430
NC_017195	-----	422
NC_014479	VLNTAKLYTD SHANNTEIHVTQNDKTKWNNSQIFKLTQDDGTLGKFYNEDLNNITKTGFY	473
NC_000964	ITDANDL-----NLP--PGT---YRLDTNYMNAN-----	354
NC_022898	ITDANDL-----NLP--PGT---YRLDTNYMNAN-----	354
NC_018520	ITDANDL-----NLP--PGT---YRLDTNYMNAN-----	354
NZ_CP017314	ITDANDL-----NLP--PGT---YRLDTNYMNAN-----	354
NC_014976	-----	430
NC_017195	-----	422
NC_014479	YIYSSTTELNAPINRNGYLLVNVETYPYQEFTSYSGYTD SIPNRRKFIRNKKQDSEEW	533
NC_000964	--PVLQNQFPLNDNRTGLLIIYPSANK-----WATRQDWFSISTKTLYTRVAVNGTDY	405
NC_022898	--PVLQNQFPLNDNRTGLLIIYPSANK-----WATRQDWFSISTKTLYTRVAVNGTDY	405
NC_018520	--PVLQNQFPLNDNRTGLLIIYPSANK-----WATRQDWFSISTKTLYTRVAVNGTDY	405
NZ_CP017314	--PELQNQFPLNDNRTGLLIIYPSANK-----WATRQDWFSISTKTLYTRVAVNGTEY	405
NC_014976	-----	430
NC_017195	-----	422
NC_014479	TPWMEIEYSQGAQAKADKALADAKNYVDTNYTNQKLTKLTGSNAIQDARTGGDEYPQLT	593
NC_000964	SGWYILENSEGSQN KADKALADAKNYVETNYTNQKLTVLTGSNAIQDARISGNDYKYGIT	465
NC_022898	SGWYILENSEGSQN KADKALADAKNYVETNYTNQKLTVLTGSNAIQDARISGNDYKYGIT	465
NC_018520	SGWYILENSEGSQN KADKALADAKNYVETNYTNQKLTVLTGSNAIQDARISGNDYKYGIT	465
NZ_CP017314	TDWYILETSEGSQSKADKALADAKNYVDSNYTNKLT TVLTGSNAIQDARTSGNEYPPGLT	465
NC_014976	-----VSAQT KADKALADAKNYVETNYTNQKLTVLTGSNAIQDARTGGNEYPPGLT	481
NC_017195	-----ASAQT KADKALSDAKNYVETNYTNQKLTVLTGSNAIQDARISGNDYKYGIT . :* *****:*****:*****:*** *** ***** .*: :* *:*	473

NC_014479	LIDIGQGNNTGYPLRYGVKNEKYSDFRFAQYFYGTGNESGSYIDSTGTWIRHWWSGSGW	653
NC_000964	FMDIGANNTTGYPLTYGFVKNEKHNSYRFTQYFYGNADTTGSYDHVGTWIRHWWADSGW	525
NC_022898	FMDIGANNTTGYPLTYGFVKNEKHNSYRFTQYFYGNADTTGSYDHVGTWIRHWWADSGW	525
NC_018520	FMDIGANNTTGYPLTYGFVKNEKHNSYRFTQYFYGNADTTGSYDHVGTWIRHWWADSGW	525
NZ_CP017314	FMDIGANNTTGYPLTYGIVKNEKYSNYRFAQYFYGTGNESNSYFTSTGSWIRHWWSDSGW	525
NC_014976	LMDIGQGNTTGYPLGYGIVKNEKYSDFRFTQYFYGTGNESNSYIDSTGTWIRHWWSGSGW	541
NC_017195	FMDIGANNTTGYPLTYGFVKNEKHNSYRFTQYFYGNADTTGSYDHVGTWIRHWWADSGW	533
	:**** . *.****** **:*****:*: :**:*****... : .. .*: :****:..***	
NC_014479	TAWHKISGFAHAYIRTGQYLDKAHTKIQFNRKIKDSHNAFDKNSRFVAPNDGMFLV	713
NC_000964	TAWQKISGFAHANIGTTGRQALIKGENNKIKYNRIKDSHKLFDTKNRRFVASHAGMHLV	585
NC_022898	TAWQKISGFAHANIGTTGRQALIKGENNKIKYNRIKDSHKLFDTKNRRFVASHAGMHLV	585
NC_018520	TAWQKISGFAHANIGTTGRQALIKGENNKIKYNRIKDSHKLFDTKNRRFVASHAGMHLV	585
NZ_CP017314	TAWHKISGFAHANIGTTGKQQLIKGEQLQVKYNRKIKDSHNTFDTKNNRFIVPNDGMFLV	585
NC_014976	TAWQKISGFAHANIGTTGRQALIKGENNKIKYNRIKDSHKLFDTKNRRFVASHAGMHLV	601
NC_017195	TAWQKISGFAHANIGTTGRQALIKGENNKIKYNRIKDSHKLFDAKNNRFVASHAGMHLV	593
	***:***** * *** * * *. *: :** ****: **:***.***: . : **.**	
NC_014479	GGGLYMINTPAYINFHLKLYLNGSLYKPIDHKRGDFV--DKENEMNLDLNGNVTPMNKG	771
NC_000964	SASLYIENTERYSNFELYVYVNGTKYKLMNQFRMPTPSNNSDNEFATVTGSVTVPAG	645
NC_022898	SASLYIENTERYSNFELYVYVNGTKYKLMNQFRMPTPSNNSDNEFATVTGSVTVPAG	645
NC_018520	SASLYIENTERYSNFELYVYVNGTKYKLMNQFRMPTPSNNSDNEFATVTGSVTVPAG	645
NZ_CP017314	NAGLYIENYQRYVNYELDIYLNGVRYKNIAHYRANPGDQSDDTEINVGLYGAATVPANQG	645
NC_014976	SASLYIENTERYSNFELYVYVNGTKYKLMNQFRMPTPSNNSDNEFATVTGSVTVPAG	661
NC_017195	SASLYIENTERYSNFELYVYVNGTKYKLMNQFRMPTPSNNSDNEFATVTGSVTVPAG	653
	...**: * * *.: * :*:** ** : : * .. .*: * : * .*** : *	
NC_014479	DYIEIYCYCNYHGTDRRGVDYEVNYIDIQELGGLNYPY	813
NC_000964	DYVEIYVYVGYSQDVTRYVTDNGALNYFDVLELGGRNYPY	687
NC_022898	DYVEIYVYVGYSQDVTRYVTDNGALNYFDVLELGGRNYPY	687
NC_018520	DYVEIYVYVGYSQDVTRYVTDNGALNYFDVLELGGRNYPY	687
NZ_CP017314	DYIEIYLYVGNGGTTRYTTESSGWYNYFDITEIGGRNYPRT	687
NC_014976	DYVEIYVYVGYSQDVTRYVTDNGALNYFDVLELGGRNYPY	703
NC_017195	DYVEIYVYVGSDITRYVTDNGVLNYFDVLELGGRNYPY	695
	**:*** * .* * * . : . .*: * ;** *** .	

Bacteria and Replicon	Protein Coding Window Size					
	10Kbp	25Kbp	50Kbp	100Kbp	200Kbp	400Kbp
<i>E. coli</i> Chromosome	$-1.66 \times 10^{-4}***$ (0.398)	$-4.12 \times 10^{-4}***$ (0.476)	$-8.64 \times 10^{-4}***$ (0.563)	$-1.71 \times 10^{-3}***$ (0.509)	$-3.42 \times 10^{-3}**$ (0.534)	$-6.71 \times 10^{-3}*$ (0.592)
<i>B. subtilis</i> Chromosome	NS (0.004)	NS (0.004)	NS (0.001)	NS (0.001)	NS (0.145)	NS (0.027)
<i>Streptomyces</i> Chromosome	NS (0.002)	NS (0.007)	NS (0.004)	NS (0.027)	NS (0.075)	NS (0.076)
<i>S. meliloti</i> Chromosome	$-8.97 \times 10^{-6}***$ (0.040)	$-3.72 \times 10^{-5}**$ (0.098)	$-7.76 \times 10^{-5}*$ (0.126)	$-1.64 \times 10^{-4}*$ (0.188)	NS (0.082)	NS (0.427)
<i>S. meliloti</i> pSymA	NS (0.027)	NS (0.001)	NS (0.006)	NS (0.193)	NS (0.050)	NS ( $1.59 \times 10^{-31}$ )
<i>S. meliloti</i> pSymB	NS (0.035)	NS (0.053)	NS (0.010)	NS (0.002)	NS (0.495)	NS (0.491)

Table S12: Linear regression on 10kb various sections of the genome (10Kbp, 25Kbp, 50Kbp, 100Kbp, 200Kbp, and 400Kbp) with increasing distance from the origin of replication after accounting for bidirectional replication. Weighted columns have The linear regression was performed on the total number of substitutions in each 10kb section of the genome divided by without accounting for the total number of protein coding sites in the genome. Non-weighted columns are performing a linear regression on the total number of substitutions in each 10kb section of the genome genomic region. All results are marked with significance codes as followed:  $< 0.001 = '***'$ ,  $0.001 < 0.01 = '**'$ ,  $0.01 < 0.05 = '*'$ ,  $> 0.05 = 'NS'$ . The  $R^2$  value for each coefficient estimate is found below the value in brackets ().

### Average $dN$ , $dS$ , and $\omega$ per Gene Values

The average  $dN$ ,  $dS$ , and  $\omega$  values per gene were calculated. For genes that were split into multiple parts (due to the presence of gaps or poor homology in the alignment), the  $dN$ ,  $dS$ , and  $\omega$  values for each gene part were averaged to obtain a single average value per gene. A complete list of these values can be found on GitHub ([www.github.com/dlato/Location\\_of\\_Substitutions\\_and\\_Bacterial\\_Arrangements](https://www.github.com/dlato/Location_of_Substitutions_and_Bacterial_Arrangements)) under the file name “Supplementary\_table\_per\_gene\_dN\_dS\_omega.pdf”.

### Distribution of $dN$ , $dS$ , and $\omega$

#### 20Kbp Near and Far From Origin Selection Linear Regression Analysis

We additionally took a closer look at 20 genes close and far from the origin of replication. We performed a linear regression on the change in selection values ( $dN$ ,  $dS$ , and  $\omega$ ) with distance from the origin of replication in these genes (Table S17). For majority of the bacterial replicons we failed to find a trend, which is not surprising since there was no evidence of an overall genomic trend when looking at these values (see Main Paper for results). Again, we are unable to conclude that there is a consistent overall trend for any of the selection values,  $dN$ ,  $dS$ , and  $\omega$ .

Bacteria and Replicon	Protein Coding			
	Correlation Coefficient		Number of Substitutions per 20kb Near	
	Origin	Terminus	Origin	Terminus
<i>E. coli</i> Chromosome	NS	$6.16 \times 10^{-6}**$	$5.85 \times 10^{-3}$	$6.47 \times 10^{-3}$
<i>B. subtilis</i> Chromosome	$1.18 \times 10^{-6}*$	$1.57 \times 10^{-5}***$	$4.23 \times 10^{-3}$	$5.01 \times 10^{-3}$
<i>Streptomyces</i> Chromosome	NS	NS	$2.26 \times 10^{-4}$	$2.05 \times 10^{-5}$
<i>S. meliloti</i> Chromosome	$7.11 \times 10^{-6}***$	NS	$1.51 \times 10^{-3}$	$3.86 \times 10^{-5}$
<i>S. meliloti</i> pSymA	$-6.94 \times 10^{-5}***$	NS	$2.03 \times 10^{-3}$	$3.27 \times 10^{-3}$
<i>S. meliloti</i> pSymB	$1.58 \times 10^{-5}***$	$-7.10 \times 10^{-5}***$	$3.06 \times 10^{-3}$	$1.25 \times 10^{-3}$

Table S13: Logistic regression on 20kb closest and farthest from the origin of replication after accounting for bidirectional replication and outliers. Number of substitutions was calculated by taking the total number of substitutions in each of the 20Kbp regions and dividing by the total number of sites in those regions. All results are marked with significance codes as followed:  $< 0.001 = '***'$ ,  $0.001 < 0.01 = '**'$ ,  $0.01 < 0.05 = '*'$ ,  $> 0.05 = 'NS'$ . [The  \$R^2\$  values for each estimate are in brackets.](#)

Bacteria and Replicon	Coefficient Estimate	$R^2$
<i>E. coli</i> Chromosome	$-2.33 \times 10^{-2}***$	0.423
<i>B. subtilis</i> Chromosome	NS	0.001
<i>Streptomyces</i> Chromosome	$-4.08 \times 10^{-3}***$	0.095
<i>S. meliloti</i> Chromosome	NS	0.013
<i>S. meliloti</i> pSymA	NS	0.002
<i>S. meliloti</i> pSymB	$2.69 \times 10^{-2}**$	0.081

Table S14: Linear regression analysis of the total number of protein coding sites per 10kb along the genome of the respective bacteria replicons. Linear regression was calculated after the origin of replication was moved to the beginning of the genome and all subsequent positions were scaled around the origin accounting for [bidirectionality of bidirectional](#) replication. All results are marked with significance codes as follows:  $< 0.001 = '***'$ ,  $0.001 < 0.01 = '**'$ ,  $0.01 < 0.05 = '*'$ ,  $> 0.05 = 'NS'$ .




Figure S14: The graph shows the total number of substitutions weighted by the total number of protein-coding sites per 10Kbp segments of the *E. coli* genome. Each of these individual values are represented by beige coloured circles. A non-linear trend line (using the `geom_smooth()` function in R), was fit to these average values and the associated 95% confidence intervals for this line is represented by the grey ribbon around the blue trend line. Outliers were removed from this graph.




Figure S15: The graph shows the total number of substitutions weighted by the total number of protein-coding sites per 10Kbp segments of the *B. subtilis* genome. Each of these individual values are represented by beige coloured circles. A non-linear trend line (using the `geom_smooth()` function in R), was fit to these average values and the associated 95% confidence intervals for this line is represented by the grey ribbon around the blue trend line. Outliers were removed from this graph.




Figure S16: The graph shows the total number of substitutions weighted by the total number of protein-coding sites per 10Kbp segments of the *Streptomyces* genome. Each of these individual values are represented by beige coloured circles. A non-linear trend line (using the `geom_smooth()` function in R), was fit to these average values and the associated 95% confidence intervals for this line is represented by the grey ribbon around the blue trend line. Outliers were removed from this graph.



Figure S17: The graph shows the total number of substitutions weighted by the total number of protein-coding sites per 10Kbp segments of the *S. meliloti* Chromosome. Each of these individual values are represented by beige coloured circles. A non-linear trend line (using the `geom_smooth()` function in R), was fit to these average values and the associated 95% confidence intervals for this line is represented by the grey ribbon around the blue trend line. Outliers were removed from this graph.




Figure S18: The graph shows the total number of substitutions weighted by the total number of protein-coding sites per 10Kbp segments of the *S. meliloti* pSymA replicon. Each of these individual values are represented by beige coloured circles. A non-linear trend line (using the `geom_smooth()` function in R), was fit to these average values and the associated 95% confidence intervals for this line is represented by the grey ribbon around the blue trend line. Outliers were removed from this graph.




Figure S19: The graph shows the total number of substitutions weighted by the total number of protein-coding sites per 10Kbp segments of the *S. meliloti* pSymB replicon. Each of these individual values are represented by beige coloured circles. A non-linear trend line (using the `geom_smooth()` function in R), was fit to these average values and the associated 95% confidence intervals for this line is represented by the grey ribbon around the blue trend line. Outliers were removed from this graph.

Species	NCBI Accession Number	Gene Id
<i>B. subtilis</i> 168 *	NC_000964	BSU12750
<i>B. subtilis</i> BS38	NZ_CP017314	BSBS38_RS07215
<i>B. subtilis</i> BSn5	NC_014976	BSN5_RS18735
<i>B. subtilis</i> PY79	NC_022898	U712_RS06655
<i>B. subtilis</i> QB928	NC_018520	B657_RS07025
<i>B. subtilis</i> RONN1	NC_017195	I33_RS06720
<i>B. subtilis</i> W23	NC_014479	BSUW23_RS06800

Table S15: [Information about the example gene alignment from \*B. subtilis\* with a high  \$dS\$  value.](#)

Bacteria and Replicon	Outliers (%)	Zero Value (%)		
		$dN$	$dS$	$\omega$
<i>E. coli</i> Chromosome	7.49	13.82	1.05	13.82
<i>B. subtilis</i> Chromosome	5.41	4.40	0.16	4.40
<i>Streptomyces</i> Chromosome	4.74	25.70	14.48	25.70
<i>S. meliloti</i> Chromosome	17.05	61.21	59.26	61.21
<i>S. meliloti</i> pSymA	6.69	11.28	9.75	11.28
<i>S. meliloti</i> pSymB	6.13	13.20	5.20	13.20

Table S16: Percent of data that was calculated to be an outlier or had a selection variable ( $dN$ ,  $dS$ , and  $\omega$ ) value of zero.




Figure S20: Distribution of all  $dN$ ,  $dS$ , and  $\omega$  values on a log base 10 scale for each replicon. Individual points are shown as a strip chart (which has been jittered in the x-direction in R (Wickham et al. 2019)), and the density of these selection values is shown in the overlaid violin plot. All points are included in this graphic including outliers. For more information on how outliers were calculated, please see the main paper. Any  $dN$ ,  $dS$ , or  $\omega$  values that had a value of zero is pushed to the bottom of the x-axis. Since these values will not appear on a log base 10 scale, they are not included in the violin portions of this graphic. For a complete list of zero values in each of the selection categories please refer to Table S16. In these graphs there is a horizontal line of values at 0.0001 for most of the selection coefficients in most of the bacterial replicons. This is due to rounding practices when `codeml` (Yang 1997) calculates  $dN$ ,  $dS$ , and  $\omega$  values.

Bacteria and Replicon	Near Origin			Near Terminus		
	dN	dS	$\omega$	dN	dS	$\omega$
<i>E. coli</i> Chromosome	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
<i>B. subtilis</i> Chromosome	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
<i>Streptomyces</i> Chromosome	NS	NS	$-9.36 \times 10^{-7*}$ (0.328)	NS	NS	NS
<i>S. meliloti</i> Chromosome	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
<i>S. meliloti</i> pSymA	NS	NS	NS	$-2.53 \times 10^{-7*}$ (0.238)	NS	NS
<i>S. meliloti</i> pSymB	NS	$6.19 \times 10^{-6**}$ (0.372)	NS	NS	$4.92 \times 10^{-6*}$ (0.232)	NS

Table S17: Linear regression for  $dN$ ,  $dS$ , and  $\omega$  calculated for each bacterial replicon for the 20 genes closest and 20 genes farthest from the origin of replication. All results are marked with significance codes as followed:  $p: < 0.001 = '***'$ ,  $0.001 < 0.01 = '**'$ ,  $0.01 < 0.05 = '*'$ ,  $> 0.05 = 'NS'$ . The  $R^2$  values for each estimate are in brackets.

### Chromosome Selection Analysis Without Outliers

Due to the extremely high sequence similarity of the chromosomes in this analysis, there are a relatively low number of substitutions and therefore many and  $\omega$  values that are equal to zero (see Table S16). The high number of zero values were included in the original calculation of outliers (see Main Paper for more details) causing all of the non-zero and  $\omega$  values to be classified as outliers (see Figure 6 in the Main Paper). We decided to perform the same calculations on  $,$ , and  $\omega$  but including the outliers to see what the results would have been. A visualization of the distribution of  $,$ , and  $\omega$  along the chromosome of is seen in Figure ???. The average values for  $,$ , and  $\omega$  are found in Table ?? and the linear regression to determine if there is a correlation between distance from the origin of replication and  $,$ , and  $\omega$  values for the chromosome of is found in Table ???. We also looked at the values of  $,$ , and  $\omega$  in the 20Kbp regions near and far from the origin of replication (including outliers) in the chromosome, these results are summarized in Table ???. The methods for these calculations are the same as in the Main Paper and in section , however, outliers were not removed from these calculations.

The results in Tables ?? - ?? closely reflect the results of the analysis when outliers were included (see Main Paper). We were unable to determine a significant correlation between and  $\omega$  values and distance from the origin of replication. The significant correlation between and distance from the origin of replication is small. Even when the outliers (non-zero values of and  $\omega$ ) are included in the selection analysis, we still can find no evidence for an overall trend between distance from the origin of replication and  $,$ , and  $\omega$  values.

The graph show the values of  $,$ , and  $\omega$  along the chromosome of . Distance from the origin of replication is on the x-axis beginning with the origin of replication denoted by position zero on the left, and the terminus indicated on the far right. The y-axis of the graph indicates the value of  $,$ , and  $\omega$  found at each gene segment position of the chromosome. Outliers are included in this graph. The average  $,$ , and  $\omega$  values for each 10,000bp regions of the replicon were calculated and represented by the dark brown points.

A trend line represented in blue (using the loess method), was fit to these average values and the associated 95% confidence intervals for this line is represented by the grey ribbon around the blue trend line. For a complete list zero value information, please see Table S16.

Weighted averages of  $,$ , and  $\omega$  values calculated for chromosome using the gene length as the weight. Arithmetic mean was calculated for the per gene averages for chromosome. Outliers were included in the calculation.

Linear regression to determine the correlations between  $,$ , and  $\omega$  values and distance from the origin of replication. Outliers were included in the calculation. All results are marked with significance codes as follows:  $p: < 0.001 = '***'$ ,  $0.001 < 0.01 = '**'$ ,  $0.01 < 0.05 = '*'$ ,  $> 0.05 = 'NS'$ .

Linear regression for  $,$ , and  $\omega$  calculated for the 20 genes closest and 20 genes farthest from the origin of replication in the chromosome. Outliers were included in the calculation. All results are marked with significance codes as follows:  $p: < 0.001 = '***'$ ,  $0.001 < 0.01 = '**'$ ,  $0.01 < 0.05 = '*'$ ,  $> 0.05 = 'NS'$ .

## References

- Capella-Gutiérrez S, Silla-Martínez J M, and Gabaldón T (2009). trimAl: a tool for automated alignment trimming in large-scale phylogenetic analyses. *Bioinfor* 25(15), 1972–1973.
- Gouy M, Guindon S, and Gascuel O (2010). SeaView version 4: A multiplatform graphical user interface for sequence alignment and phylogenetic tree building. *Mol Biol Evol* 27, 221–224.
- Stamatakis A (2014). RAxML version 8: a tool for phylogenetic analysis and post-analysis of large phylogenies. *Bioinfor* 30(9), 1312–1313.
- Wickham H, Averick M, Bryan J, Chang W, McGowan L D, François R, Grolemund G, Hayes A, Henry L, Hester J, et al. (2019). Welcome to the {tidyverse}. *Journal of Open Source Software* 4(43), 1686.
- Yang Z (1997). PAML: a program package for phylogenetic analysis by maximum likelihood. *Bioinfor* 13(5), 555–556.