

## STA 200B HW6 Solution

$$9.2. P = P(\bar{X} - \frac{1.96}{\sqrt{n}} < X_{n+1} < \bar{X} + \frac{1.96}{\sqrt{n}}) = P(-\frac{1.96}{\sqrt{n}} < X_{n+1} - \bar{X} < \frac{1.96}{\sqrt{n}}) = P(|X_{n+1} - \bar{X}| < \frac{1.96}{\sqrt{n}})$$

Note that  $X_{n+1} - \bar{X} = X_{n+1} - \frac{X_1 + \dots + X_n}{n} = X_{n+1} + (-\frac{1}{n})X_1 + \dots + (-\frac{1}{n})X_n \sim N(0, \frac{n+1}{n})$

so  $Z := \frac{X_{n+1} - \bar{X}}{\sqrt{(n+1)/n}} \sim N(0,1)$ . Then

$$P = P(|\frac{X_{n+1} - \bar{X}}{\sqrt{(n+1)/n}}| < \frac{1.96}{\sqrt{n+1}}) = P(|Z| < \frac{1.96}{\sqrt{n+1}}) < P(|Z| < 1.96) = 0.95.$$

i.e.  $P$  is less than 0.95.

9.17 Method 1

(a) Note that  $X_i - \theta \sim U(-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$ .

Then  $\bar{X} - \theta = \frac{\sum (X_i - \theta)}{n}$  is a pivot as it's distribution does not depend on  $\theta$ .

Let  $F(\cdot)$  be the cdf of  $\bar{X} - \theta$ , we can choose the  $r_1$ -th quantile and  $r_2$ -th quantile of  $F$

such that  $P(F_{r_1} < \bar{X} - \theta < F_{r_2}) = 1 - \alpha$  and  $r_2 - r_1 = 1 - \alpha$ .

so the  $1 - \alpha$  CI for  $\theta$  is  $(\bar{X} - F_{r_2}, \bar{X} - F_{r_1})$ .

(b) Note that  $\frac{X_i}{\theta}$  has the pdf  $f(y) = 2y$ ,  $0 \leq y \leq 1$ .

Then  $\frac{\bar{X}}{\theta} = \frac{\sum X_i}{n\theta} = \frac{1}{n} \sum (\frac{X_i}{\theta})$  is a pivot as it's distribution does not depend on  $\theta$ .

Let  $G(\cdot)$  be the cdf of  $\frac{\bar{X}}{\theta}$ . we can choose the  $r_1$ -th quantile and  $r_2$ -th quantile of  $G$

such that  $P(G_{r_1} < \frac{\bar{X}}{\theta} < G_{r_2}) = 1 - \alpha$  and  $r_2 - r_1 = 1 - \alpha$

so the  $1 - \alpha$  CI for  $\theta$  is  $(\frac{\bar{X}}{G_{r_2}}, \frac{\bar{X}}{G_{r_1}})$

Method 2.

(a) Note that  $Y_i = X_i - \theta + \frac{1}{2} \sim U(0,1)$

Then  $Y_{(n)} = \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} Y_i = \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} X_i - \theta + \frac{1}{2} = X_{(n)} - \theta + \frac{1}{2}$  has the cdf  $F(y) = y^n$  for  $0 < y < 1$ .

The reason is that  $P(Y_{(n)} \leq y) = P(Y_1 \leq y, \dots, Y_n \leq y) = \prod_{i=1}^n P(Y_i \leq y) = y^n$ .

So we can find constants  $a$  and  $b$  such that  $P(a < Y_{(n)} < b) = b^n - a^n = 1 - \alpha$

Namely,  $P(a < X_{(n)} - \theta + \frac{1}{2} < b) = 1 - \alpha$  and  $P(X_{(n)} + \frac{1}{2} - b < \theta < X_{(n)} + \frac{1}{2} - a) = 1 - \alpha$

Thus, a  $1 - \alpha$  CI for  $\theta$  is  $(X_{(n)} + \frac{1}{2} - b, X_{(n)} + \frac{1}{2} - a)$ , where  $b^n - a^n = 1 - \alpha$ .

(b) It's known that  $\frac{X_i}{\theta}$  has the pdf  $f(y) = 2y$ ,  $0 < y < 1$

Then  $Y_{(n)} = \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} (\frac{X_i}{\theta}) = \frac{X_{(n)}}{\theta}$  has the cdf  $G(y) = y^{2n}$

The reason is that  $P(Y_{(n)} \leq y) = P(\frac{X_{(n)}}{\theta} \leq y) = \prod_{i=1}^n P(\frac{X_i}{\theta} \leq y) = \prod_{i=1}^n y^2 = y^{2n}$

So we can find constants  $c$  and  $d$  such that  $P(c < Y_{(n)} < d) = d^{2n} - c^{2n} = 1 - \alpha$

Namely,  $P(c < \frac{X_{(n)}}{\theta} < d) = 1 - \alpha$  and  $P(\frac{X_{(n)}}{d} < \theta < \frac{X_{(n)}}{c}) = 1 - \alpha$

Thus, a  $1 - \alpha$  CI for  $\theta$  is  $(\frac{X_{(n)}}{d}, \frac{X_{(n)}}{c})$  where  $d^{2n} - c^{2n} = 1 - \alpha$

9.30(a) Using the conclusions (ii) & (iii) of Example 4.2.3 in the lecture notes

(but note that  $\text{Gamma}(d, \beta)$  in the lecture notes corresponds to  $\text{Gamma}(d, \frac{1}{\beta})$  in the text)

$$\frac{2(nb+1)}{b} \lambda \sim \text{Gamma}(a + \sum X_i, [n + (1/b)] \cdot [2(nb+1)/b]) = \text{Gamma}(a + \sum X_i, \frac{1}{2}) \sim \chi^2_{2(a + \sum X_i)}$$

$$(c). P\left\{\frac{1}{2n} \chi^2_{2\sum X_i, 1-d/2} \leq \lambda \leq \frac{1}{2n} \chi^2_{2\sum X_i, d/2}\right\} = P\left\{\frac{1}{2n} \chi^2_{2\sum X_i, 1-d/2} \cdot \frac{2(nb+1)}{b} \leq \frac{2(nb+1)}{b} \lambda \leq \frac{1}{2n} \chi^2_{2\sum X_i, d/2} \cdot \frac{2(nb+1)}{b}\right\}$$

$$= P\left\{\frac{nb+1}{nb} \chi^2_{2\sum X_i, 1-d/2} \leq T \leq \frac{nb+1}{nb} \chi^2_{2\sum X_i, d/2}\right\} = P\left\{\frac{\frac{nb+1}{nb} \chi^2_{2\sum X_i, 1-d/2} - ET}{\sqrt{\text{Var}(T)}} \leq \frac{T - ET}{\sqrt{\text{Var}(T)}} \leq \frac{\frac{nb+1}{nb} \chi^2_{2\sum X_i, d/2} - ET}{\sqrt{\text{Var}(T)}}\right\}$$

$$= P\left\{\frac{\frac{nb+1}{nb} \chi^2_{2\sum X_i, 1-d/2} - 2(a + \sum X_i)}{\sqrt{2(a + \sum X_i)}} \leq \frac{T - ET}{\sqrt{\text{Var}(T)}} \leq \frac{\frac{nb+1}{nb} \chi^2_{2\sum X_i, d/2} - 2(a + \sum X_i)}{\sqrt{2(a + \sum X_i)}}\right\}$$

Using (b), we have  $\gamma = P(\chi^2_v \leq \chi^2_{v,r}) = P(\frac{\chi^2_v - v}{\sqrt{2v}} \leq \frac{\chi^2_{v,r} - v}{\sqrt{2v}}) \approx \Phi(\frac{\chi^2_{v,r} - v}{\sqrt{2v}})$  as  $v \rightarrow \infty$

where  $\Phi(\cdot)$  is the cdf of  $N(0,1)$ .

So  $\chi^2_{v,r} \approx v + \sqrt{2v} \cdot \Phi^{-1}(r)$  as  $v \rightarrow \infty$ .

The standardized lower cutoff point is then (as  $\sum X_i \rightarrow \infty$ )

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\frac{nb+1}{nb} \chi^2_{2\sum X_i, 1-d/2} - 2(a + \sum X_i)}{\sqrt{2(a + \sum X_i)}} &\approx \frac{\frac{nb+1}{nb} [(2\sum X_i) + \sqrt{2\sum X_i} \Phi^{-1}(1-d/2)] - 2(a + \sum X_i)}{\sqrt{2(a + \sum X_i)}} \\ &= \frac{\frac{2}{nb} \sum X_i - 2a + \frac{nb+1}{nb} \sqrt{2\sum X_i} \cdot \Phi^{-1}(1-d/2)}{\sqrt{2(a + \sum X_i)}} \rightarrow \infty \text{ as } \sum X_i \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

The desired result is obtained.

$$9.58(a) \quad P(M \in (-\infty, X_{(n)})) = P(X_{(n)} \geq m) = 1 - P(X_{(n)} < m) = 1 - P(X_1 < m, \dots, X_n < m)$$

$$= 1 - \prod_{i=1}^n P(X_i < m) = 1 - \prod_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) = 1 - \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^n$$

$$P(M \in [X_{(1)}, \infty)) = P(X_{(1)} \leq m) = 1 - P(X_{(1)} > m) = 1 - P(X_1 > m, \dots, X_n > m)$$

$$= 1 - \prod_{i=1}^n P(X_i > m) = 1 - \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^n.$$

$$P(M \in [X_{(1)}, X_{(n)}]) = P(M \in (-\infty, X_{(n)})) + P(M \in [X_{(1)}, \infty)) - 1$$

$$= 1 - \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^n + 1 - \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^n - 1 = 1 - 2\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^n.$$

1.(a)  $\text{Var}(X_i) = E(X_i) = \lambda$ . By the central limit theorem

$$\frac{\bar{X} - \lambda}{\sqrt{\lambda/n}} \Rightarrow N(0,1).$$

Then an approximate C.I at level 99% can be found through the following equations for  $\lambda$

$$\text{i.e. } \left| \frac{\bar{X} - \lambda}{\sqrt{\lambda/n}} \right| = z_{0.995}, \text{ where } z_{0.995} \text{ is the } 0.995 \text{ quantile of } N(0,1)$$

Equivalently, we solve the equation  $(\bar{X} - \lambda)^2 = z_{0.995}^2 \cdot \frac{\lambda}{n}$  and obtain that

$$\lambda = \frac{(2\bar{X} + \frac{z_{0.995}^2}{n}) \pm \sqrt{\frac{z_{0.995}^4}{n^2} + \frac{4\bar{X} z_{0.995}^2}{n}}}{2}$$

And the two solutions serve as the end points of the approximate C.I.

2.(a) Clearly,  $\bar{X} - \mu_1 \sim N(0, \frac{\sigma_1^2}{n})$ ,  $\bar{Y} - \mu_2 \sim N(0, \frac{\sigma_2^2}{m})$

Since  $\underline{X} = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$  and  $\underline{Y} = (Y_1, \dots, Y_m)$  are independent,

$$(\bar{X} - \bar{Y}) - (\mu_1 - \mu_2) = (\bar{X} - \mu_1) + (\bar{Y} - \mu_2) \sim N(0, \frac{\sigma_1^2}{n} + \frac{\sigma_2^2}{m})$$

So the best 90% C.I for  $\mu_1 - \mu_2$  is  $(\bar{X} - \bar{Y}) \pm z_{0.95} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{\sigma_1^2}{n} + \frac{\sigma_2^2}{m}}$ , where  $z_{0.95}$  is the 0.95 quantile of  $N(0,1)$ .

(b) Let  $\sigma_1 = \sigma_2 = \sigma$ . then  $(\bar{X} - \bar{Y}) - (\mu_1 - \mu_2) \sim N(0, \sigma^2(\frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{m}))$ ; i.e.  $\frac{\bar{X} - \bar{Y} - (\mu_1 - \mu_2)}{\sigma\sqrt{\frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{m}}} \sim N(0,1)$ .

It's known that  $\frac{(n-1)S_X^2}{\sigma^2} \sim \chi_{n-1}^2$ ,  $\frac{(m-1)S_Y^2}{\sigma^2} \sim \chi_{m-1}^2$  and  $S_X^2$  is independent of  $S_Y^2$ .

$$\text{where } S_X^2 = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})^2, \quad S_Y^2 = \frac{1}{m-1} \sum_{j=1}^m (Y_j - \bar{Y})^2.$$

$$\text{Then } \frac{(n-1)S_X^2}{\sigma^2} + \frac{(m-1)S_Y^2}{\sigma^2} \sim \chi_{n+m-2}^2.$$

It's also known that  $\bar{X}$  and  $S_X^2$  are independent,  $\bar{Y}$  and  $S_Y^2$  are independent

so  $\frac{\bar{X} - \bar{Y} - (\mu_1 - \mu_2)}{\sigma\sqrt{\frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{m}}}$  and  $\frac{(n-1)S_X^2}{\sigma^2} + \frac{(m-1)S_Y^2}{\sigma^2}$  are independent.

It implies that 
$$\frac{[\bar{X} - \bar{Y} - (\mu_1 - \mu_2)] / \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{m}}}{\sqrt{[(n-1)S_X^2 + (m-1)S_Y^2] / (n+m-2)}} = \frac{[\bar{X} - \bar{Y} - (\mu_1 - \mu_2)] / (\sigma \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{m}})}{\sqrt{[(n-1)S_X^2 + (m-1)S_Y^2] / (\sigma^2 (n+m-2))}} \sim t_{n+m-2}$$

Thus, the best 90% CI for  $\mu_1 - \mu_2$  is

$$(\bar{X} - \bar{Y}) \pm t_{n+m-2, 0.95} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{m}} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{(n-1)S_X^2 + (m-1)S_Y^2}{n+m-2}}, \text{ where } t_{n+m-2, 0.95} \text{ is the 0.95 quantile of } t_{n+m-2}.$$

(c) Once the two samples are obtained, calculate the above CI.

If 0 lies in the interval, then we fail to reject  $H_0$ .

otherwise, we reject  $H_0$ .

(d) It's a one-sided test, so we use  $t_{n+m-2, 0.9}$ , the 0.9 quantile of  $t_{n+m-2}$ .

Then the acceptance region of  $\mu_1 - \mu_2$  is  $(\bar{X} - \bar{Y} - t_{n+m-2, 0.9} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{m}} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{(n-1)S_X^2 + (m-1)S_Y^2}{n+m-2}}, \infty)$

If 0 lies in this region, we fail to reject  $H_0$ .

otherwise, we reject  $H_0$ .

3. (a) For  $0 < x < 1$ .

$$P(X_{(n)}/\theta \leq x) = P(X_{(n)} \leq \theta x) = P(X_1 \leq \theta x, \dots, X_n \leq \theta x) = \prod_{i=1}^n P(X_i \leq \theta x) = \prod_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{\theta x}{\theta}\right) = x^n$$

By taking the derivative w.r.t  $x$ , we obtain the pdf of  $X_{(n)}/\theta$  is

$$f(x|\theta) = nx^{n-1}, \quad 0 < x < 1$$

The distribution of  $X_{(n)}/\theta$  does not depend on  $\theta$ , so it's a pivot.

(b) For any  $a < b \leq 1$ , we have  $P(a < \frac{X_{(n)}}{\theta} < b) = b^n - a^n$ .

Thus, choose  $a$  and  $b$  such that  $b^n - a^n = r$ , for example,  $a = (\frac{1-r}{2})^{1/n}$ ,  $b = (\frac{1+r}{2})^{1/n}$ .

$$\text{Then } P\left(\frac{X_{(n)}}{b} < \theta < \frac{X_{(n)}}{a}\right) = P\left(a < \frac{X_{(n)}}{\theta} < b\right) = r.$$

(i.e.  $(\frac{X_{(n)}}{b}, \frac{X_{(n)}}{a})$  is a level  $r$  CI for  $\theta$ ).

(c). Now  $r = 0.95$ , take  $a = (\frac{1-r}{2})^{1/n} = (0.025)^{1/n}$ ,  $b = (\frac{1+r}{2})^{1/n} = (0.975)^{1/n}$ .

then the 95% CI for  $\theta$  is  $(\frac{X_{(n)}}{(0.975)^{1/n}}, \frac{X_{(n)}}{(0.025)^{1/n}})$ .

9.28. (a) The joint pdf of  $X = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$  is

$$f_n(X | \theta, \sigma^2) = \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} e^{-\frac{(X_i - \theta)^2}{2\sigma^2}} = \frac{1}{(2\pi\sigma^2)^{n/2}} \cdot e^{-\frac{\sum (X_i - \theta)^2}{2\sigma^2}}$$

The posterior joint pdf  $\pi(\theta, \sigma^2 | X)$  is

$$\pi(\theta, \sigma^2 | X) \propto f_n(X | \theta, \sigma^2) \cdot \pi(\theta, \sigma^2 | \mu, \tau^2, a, b)$$

$$= \frac{1}{(2\pi\sigma^2)^{n/2}} e^{-\frac{\sum (X_i - \theta)^2}{2\sigma^2}} \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\tau^2\sigma^2}} e^{-\frac{(\theta - \mu)^2}{2\tau^2\sigma^2}} \cdot \frac{1}{\Gamma(a)b^a} \left(\frac{1}{\sigma^2}\right)^a e^{-\frac{1}{b\sigma^2}}$$

$$= \frac{1}{(2\pi\sigma^2)^{n/2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2\tau^2\sigma^2}[(n\tau^2+1)\theta^2 - 2(\tau^2\sum X_i + \mu)\theta + (\tau^2\sum X_i^2 + \mu^2)]} \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\tau^2\sigma^2}} \cdot \frac{1}{\Gamma(a)b^a} \left(\frac{1}{\sigma^2}\right)^a e^{-\frac{1}{b\sigma^2}}$$

$$= \frac{1}{(2\pi\sigma^2)^{n/2}} \cdot e^{-\frac{n\tau^2+1}{2\tau^2\sigma^2}\left(\theta - \frac{\tau^2\sum X_i + \mu}{n\tau^2+1}\right)^2} \cdot e^{-\frac{n\tau^2\sum (X_i - \bar{X})^2 + \sum (X_i - \mu)^2}{2\sigma^2(n\tau^2+1)}} \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\tau^2\sigma^2}} \cdot \frac{1}{\Gamma(a)b^a} \left(\frac{1}{\sigma^2}\right)^a e^{-\frac{1}{b\sigma^2}}$$

$$\propto \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\tau^2\sigma^2/(n\tau^2+1)}} e^{-\frac{(\theta - \frac{\tau^2\sum X_i + \mu}{n\tau^2+1})^2}{\tau^2\sigma^2/(n\tau^2+1)}} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{\sigma^2}\right)^{a+\frac{n}{2}+1} e^{-\frac{1}{\sigma^2}\left(\frac{n\tau^2\sum (X_i - \bar{X})^2 + \sum (X_i - \mu)^2}{2(n\tau^2+1)} + \frac{1}{b}\right)}$$

It is the pdf of  $N(\mu_1, \tau_1^2\sigma^2)$  multiplied by the pdf of  $IG(a_1, b_1)$

where  $\mu_1 = \frac{\tau^2\sum X_i + \mu}{n\tau^2+1}$ ,  $\tau_1^2 = \frac{\tau^2}{n\tau^2+1}$ ,  $a_1 = a + \frac{n}{2}$ ,  $b_1 = \left(\frac{n\tau^2\sum (X_i - \bar{X})^2 + \sum (X_i - \mu)^2}{2(n\tau^2+1)} + \frac{1}{b}\right)^{-1}$

Thus, this is a conjugate family.

(b). The posterior pdf of  $\theta$  is

$$\pi(\theta | X) = \int_0^\infty \pi(\theta, \sigma^2 | X) d\sigma^2 = \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\tau_1^2\sigma^2}} e^{-\frac{(\theta - \mu_1)^2}{2\tau_1^2\sigma^2}} \cdot \frac{1}{\Gamma(a_1)b_1^{a_1}} \left(\frac{1}{\sigma^2}\right)^{a_1+1} \cdot e^{-\frac{1}{b_1\sigma^2}} d\sigma^2$$

Let  $y = \frac{1}{\sigma^2}$ , it follows that

$$\pi(\theta | X) = \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\tau_1^2}} y^{1/2} e^{-\frac{(\theta - \mu_1)^2}{2\tau_1^2} y} \cdot \frac{1}{\Gamma(a_1)b_1^{a_1}} \cdot y^{a_1+1} \cdot e^{-\frac{1}{b_1}y} (-y^{-2}) dy$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\tau_1^2}} \cdot \frac{1}{\Gamma(a_1)b_1^{a_1}} \cdot \int_0^\infty \underbrace{y^{a_1+\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\left(\frac{(\theta - \mu_1)^2}{2\tau_1^2} + \frac{1}{b_1}\right)y}}_{\text{proportional to the pdf of Gamma distribution}} dy$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\tau_1^2}} \cdot \frac{1}{\Gamma(a_1)b_1^{a_1}} \cdot \Gamma(a_1 + \frac{1}{2}) \cdot \left(\frac{(\theta - \mu_1)^2}{2\tau_1^2} + \frac{1}{b_1}\right)^{-(a_1+\frac{1}{2})}$$

$$\propto \left(1 + \frac{(\theta - \mu_1)^2}{2\tau_1^2 b_1}\right)^{-(a_1+\frac{1}{2})}$$

Then  $\eta := \frac{\sqrt{a_1 b_1}}{\tau_1} (\theta - \mu_1)$  has the ~~posterior~~ posterior pdf.

$$f(\eta | X) \propto \left(1 + \frac{\eta^2}{2a_1}\right)^{-(a_1+\frac{1}{2})}$$

One can find a  $r_1$ -th quantile and  $r_2$ -th quantile of the posterior distribution of  $\eta$  s.t.  $r_2 - r_1 = 1 - \alpha$

Then ~~a credible set~~  $P(r_1 < \frac{\sqrt{a_1 b_1}}{\tau_1} (\theta - \mu_1) < r_2 | X) = 1 - \alpha$

Thus, a  $1 - \alpha$  credible set for  $\theta$  is  $(\mu_1 + \frac{r_1 \tau_1}{\sqrt{a_1 b_1}}, \mu_1 + \frac{r_2 \tau_1}{\sqrt{a_1 b_1}})$ .

c). As  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $a_1 = a + \frac{n}{2} \sim \frac{n}{2}$  for any fixed  $a$ .

Approximately,  $\eta = \frac{\sqrt{a_1 b_1}}{\tau_1} (\theta - \mu_1)$  has the posterior  $t_n$  distribution

And the  $1-\alpha$  credible set can be written as  $\left| \frac{\sqrt{a_1 b_1}}{\tau_1} (\theta - \mu_1) \right| \leq t_{n, \alpha/2}$

or equivalently,  $|\theta - \mu_1|^2 \leq t_{n, \alpha/2}^2 \cdot \frac{\tau_1^2}{a_1 b_1}$

Note that  $t_n^2 = F_{1, n}$  and  $t_{n, \alpha/2}^2 = F_{1, n, \alpha/2}$

One can choose  $\tau^2 \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $a \rightarrow 0$ ,  $b \rightarrow \infty$ .

Then  $\mu_1 \rightarrow \bar{X}$ ,  $a_1 \rightarrow \frac{n}{2}$ ,  $b_1 \rightarrow \frac{2}{\sum (X_i - \bar{X})^2} = \frac{2}{n S^2}$  (or  $\frac{2}{(n-1) S^2}$ ),  $\tau_1^2 \rightarrow \frac{1}{n}$ .

It follows that the credible set satisfies

$$|\theta - \bar{X}|^2 \leq F_{1, n, \alpha/2} \cdot \frac{S^2}{n} \quad \text{approximately}$$

The desired result is obtained.