Coherent X-Ray-Optical Control of Nuclear Dynamics with Zeptosecond Phase-Stability

K. P. Heeg, P. Reiser, **Dominik Lentrodt**, C. H. Keitel and J. Evers
Keitel Division, Max-Planck-Institut für Kernphysik

A. Kaldun, S. Goerttler, R. Subramanian, C. Ott, T. Pfeifer
Pfeifer Division, Max-Planck-Institut für Kernphysik

C. Strohm, J. Haber, H. C. Wille, R. Röhlsberger
DESY, Hamburg

R. Rüffer
ESRF, Grenoble

MAX-PLANCK-INSTITUT FÜR KERNPHYSIK

MAX-PLANCK-INSTITUT FÜR KERNPHYSIK

ISOQUANT SFB1225

Group of Jörg Evers at MPIK in Heidelberg



Coherent control of X-rays and nuclei

Nuclear quantum optics

X-rays excite new quantum regimes

Understand fundamentals







Group of Jörg Evers at MPIK in Heidelberg

today



Coherent control of X-rays and nuclei

Nuclear quantum optics

X-rays excite new quantum regimes



Understand fundamentals





From electronic towards nuclear quantum optics



coherence, non-linearities, laser technology

↓

full quantum control

From electronic towards nuclear quantum optics

Electronic transitions





Nuclear transitions





coherence, non-linearities, laser technology

↓

full quantum control

new approach to x-ray physics

new platform for quantum dynamics

Mössbauer transitions

- Refractive index of ^{57}Fe





- Zoom in to ω_0 = 14.4 keV





Mössbauer transitions

- Refractive index of ^{57}Fe



- High transition energies
- Narrow resonances
- Simple level schemes
- Essentially decoherence free

$\Rightarrow$ Clean quantum system ☺

- Zoom in t



What can we learn from the visible range?



"Uncontrolled pump
&
passive observation"

1859

Bunsen



Kirchhoff

Today



"Full quantum control"

Coherence, Non-linearities,
Quantum effects, Lasers. . .

What can we learn from the visible range?



1859

For X-rays and nuclei:

- Extreme scales (energy, length, time)
- Source development
- Instrumentation limitations
- Relatively new field

$\Rightarrow$ Nuclear/X-ray quantum optics is challenging!

Coherence, Non-linearities,
Quantum effects, Lasers. . .

“Full quantum control”

What can we learn from the visible range?



1859

For X-rays and nuclei:

- Extreme scales (energy, length, time)
- **Source development**
- Instrumentation limitations
- Relatively new field

$\Rightarrow$ Nuclear/X-ray quantum optics is challenging!

Coherence, Non-linearities,
Quantum effects, Lasers. . .

"Full quantum control"

Features and challenges

Mössbauer nuclei



X-ray sources



⇒ Narrow linewidths (μeV-peV)
⇒ Extreme quality factors

⇒ Broadband pulses
⇒ Low resonant intensity

⇒ Linear regime of nuclear quantum optics

Features and challenges

Mössbauer nuclei



X-ray sources

⇒ Narrow linewidths (μeV-peV)
⇒ Extreme quality factors

⇒ Broadband pulses
⇒ Low resonant intensity

⇒ **Linear regime of nuclear quantum optics**

Overview

Project 1



"Nuclei control X-rays"

Project 2



"X-rays control nuclear dynamics"

Result in a nutshell



Heeg, Kaldun, Strohm, Reiser, Ott, Subramanian, DL, Haber, Wille, Goerttler, Rüffer, Keitel, Röhlsberger, Pfeifer, Evers, Science **357**, 375 (2017)

Setup: Nuclear forward scattering



Manipulation of the absorption line



Manipulation of the absorption line



$E(t)$

$\cdot\, e^{ikx(t)}$

Fourier transform



Manipulation of the absorption line



$E(t)$

$\cdot\, e^{ikx(t)}$

Piezo-motion well established concept:
Helistö, Kocharovskaya, Smirnov, . . .
e.g.: Helistö et. al. *Phys. Rev. B* **34**, 3458 (1986)
Vagizov et. al. *Nature* **508**, 80 (2014)
. . .

Phase control in attosecond spectroscopy:
e.g.: Ott et. al. *Science* **340**, 716 (2013)



Experimental results



Heeg et. al. *Science* **357** 6349 (2017)

Experimental results



Heeg et. al. *Science* **357** 6349 (2017)

Overview: What's next?

Project 1



"Nuclei control X-rays"

Project 2



"X-rays control nuclear dynamics"

Overview: What's next?

Project 1

Spectral narrowing of X-rays



Project 2

Coherent control of nuclei



"Nuclei control X-rays" ⟶ "X-rays control nuclear dynamics"

What can be done with this new source?



Split-and-control unit (SCU)

- Source for tunable x-ray double-pulses
- Control phase, frequency, . . . of second pulse relative to first one

$\Rightarrow$ Coherent control of nuclear excitation

What can be done with this new source?



Here: focus on low excitation at synchrotrons



Two special cases of control

- "Stimulated emission of excitons"

  Two pulses with opposite phase:

  First preparation pulse
  excites exciton

  Second control pulse
  de-excites target



- "Coherent boost of excitation"

  Two pulses with same phase:

  First preparation pulse
  excites exciton

  Second control pulse
  further excites target



How to observe?





How to observe?





Energy

Time



correlate properties

tomographic information encoded in interference pattern

Experimental results (at ID 18, ESRF)

"Stimulated emission of excitons"



"Coherent excitation boost"



slices at detuning = 0



"Rule of thumb":

SE → More intense at early times

Boost → More intense at later times

K. P. Heeg et al. *submitted*

Nuclear dipole moment



Regular decay without second pulse

K. P. Heeg et al. *submitted*

Nuclear dipole moment

Excitation boost
Stimulated emission



Regular decay without second pulse



K. P. Heeg et al. *submitted*

Nuclear dipole moment

Excitation boost
Stimulated emission

| dipole moment |



Regular decay without second pulse



K. P. Heeg et al. *submitted*

Nuclear dipole moment

Excitation boost
Stimulated emission

| dipole moment |



Regular decay without second pulse



K. P. Heeg et al. *submitted*

Why is the control so stable?

“Conventional approaches”

- Interfering pathways spatially separated
- Geometry must be stabilized throughout the entire long accumulation of statistics



Piezo control with mechanical motion

- Interfering pathways coincide in space
- Control depends on motion relative to the geometry at the time of excitation
- Geometry only needs to be stable for a ~ 200 ns measurement interval after each x-ray pulse
- All other drifts / noise do not matter!



Phase stability



RMS → $\sigma_y(\tau)$

RMS instability of two measurements τ apart

Allan *Proc. IEEE* **2**, 221 (1966)

$\sim 1/\sqrt{\tau}$

artefact due to dead times in data acqusition electronics



Systematics due to motion?

Excitation boost
Stimulated emission

X-ray period 287 zs
Measurement time ~ 45 min

few-zeptosecond phase stability!

Phase stability



RMS → $\sigma_y(\tau)$

RMS instability of two measurements τ apart

Allan *Proc. IEEE* **2**, 221 (1966)

$\sim 1/\sqrt{\tau}$

artefact due to dead times in data acqusition electronics



Systematics due to motion?

When will it saturate?

X-ray period 287 zs

Measurement time ~ 45 min

few-zeptosecond phase stability!

Tomography of the piezo motion



Simulated phase error ~ 25 zs

2D spectra



1D spectra





K. P. Heeg et al. *submitted*

Outlook

More resonant photons

→ faster measurements
→ smaller samples

Adaptive X-ray optics

X-ray quantum optics

→ control of dynamics
→ simulate control fields

much more. . .



Track motion on sub-Angstrom scales

Ideas and concepts are valid beyond x-rays

→ e.g. attosecond spectroscopy by T. Pfeifer et al @ MPIK

Multi-dimensional X-ray spectroscopy

Outlook

More resonant photons

→ faster measurements
→ smaller samples

Adaptive X-ray optics

X-ray quantum optics

→ control of dynamics
→ simulate control fields

much more. . .

ys stability?



Track motion on sub-Angstrom scales

Ideas and concepts are valid beyond x-rays

→ e.g. attosecond spectroscopy by T. Pfeifer et al @ MPIK

Multi-dimensional X-ray spectroscopy

Outlook

More resonant photons

→ faster measurements
→ smaller samples

Adaptive X-ray optics

X-ray quantum optics

→ control of dynamics
→ simulate control fields

much more. . .

↓

ys stability?



Track motion on sub-Angstrom scales

Ideas and concepts are valid beyond x-rays

→ e.g. attosecond spectroscopy by T. Pfeifer et al @ MPIK

Multi-dimensional X-ray spectroscopy → **X-ray phase detection?**

Summary & Acknowledgements

- Control of light-matter interaction via mechanical motion
- Enhance resonant intensity of given x-ray pulses via spectral redistribution
- Generation of tunable phase-coherent x-ray double pulses
- Control of nuclear dynamics between “stimulated emission” and “excitation boost” with zepto-second stability



The team:

Heeg, Kaldun, Strohm, Reiser, Ott, Subramanian, Lentrodt, Haber, Wille, Goerttler, Rüffer, Keitel, Röhlsberger, Pfeifer, Evers, Science **357**, 375 (2017) + submitted

MPI for Nuclear Physics, Heidelberg, Germany
DESY, Hamburg, Germany
ESRF, Grenoble, France