***If your institution runs COMP1640 please email us if you have any queries after reading the following instructions from the Module Leader:***

**To clarify:**

I have provided 3 versions of the CW spec ver 1, 2 and 3. These can be rotated at will.

The case study is secondary really, if one looks at the marking scheme the criteria can apply to any of the case studies.

I have provided 3 extra docs called “additional-requirement 1, 2 and 3” to go with the respective CW docs. These contain additional requirements to be given to the students in week 6 of the course to represent extra work from the client after development is well under way.

If tutors want to tweak, add, or remove requirements from the ones listed that is fine.

**Submission:**

There is one coursework spec that lays out the work for the group part and the individual part in one place. 60% available for the group part, 40% for the individual part.

More detail should be provided in the lectures from the staff to flesh out the requirements etc…

The overseas centres can manage the submission according to their set up but,

Each team must submit one copy of the group report, this can be well in excess of 30 pages or much more for strong teams. All student names of that group need to be listed at the front of the group report. I suggest the Scrum master takes responsibility for this upload.

Then each group member will submit their own individual report.

We do not need 6 or 7 copies of the group report.

Sometimes students like to all submit the group report and append their individual report to the end. This is not a problem, but just adds to file sizes unnecessarily.

What we need is 1 group report and individual reports from each team member. This may depend on the type of system being used, but for Moodle I usually create an upload slot for Scrum masters to upload the group report and another slot for all the individual reports. I then the download all these into distinct team folders for marking.

When submitting work for moderation I will need a copy of the group report for any of the individual reports uploaded so I can see the whole thing.

There is a marking sheet provided that applies to all 3 case studies. Please provide clear written feedback for the students and me so we can clearly see how marks have been arrived at. Clearly the group feedback will be common to all team members and should be copy pasted.

I am usually generous with the weighted team contribution score and would only really knock off marks for obviously very poorly engaged students who have not contributed. This is a judgement call for the tutors, but any student getting 8, 9 or 10 I would give 100% of the group mark.

The lecture material will be getting overhauled at Greenwich for 23/24 so centres will have access to it in the following year but the core material will remain.

**Resits:**

In the event that a whole team fails (al students gets below 40% each for group and induvial work combined) then the team resit is to bring the current piece of work up a passable level.

If an individual student needs to do a resit there is a separate individual resit specification which is essentially a 3000-word essay on Scrum. We do not want groups of 2 or 3 students trying to complete the main CW as a resit.

If one or 2 members of a team fail but the rest of the team passed it may be that these students can improve their individual report part of the original work to get a pass providing the group work is above 40%. But if they have not contributed to the group work then the individual resit CW is probably more appropriate.

ECs will apply here so all resits will be capped at 40% without a valid EC claim.

Do not hesitate to contact if you need further information.