

Summary paragraphs of papers + some quotes

Contents

1 Important	2
1.1 Barkley 2013: Top-down isoprene emissions over tropical South America inferred from SCIAMACHY and OMI formaldehyde columns	2
1.1.1 Reference sector correction	2
1.1.2 Removing biomass burning (section 4)	2
1.1.3 Nested Grid	3
1.1.4 Inferred emissions	3
1.1.5 Accounting for Smearing	3
2 VOCs	3
2.1 Gloudemans 2006: Validation of OMI, GOME-2A and GOME-2B tropospheric NO ₂ , SO ₂ and HCHO products using MAX-DOAS observations from 2011 to 2014 in Wuxi, China	3
2.2 Sitch 2015:	3
2.3 Wang 2016: Validation of OMI tropospheric HCHO using MAX-DOAS observations from 2011-2014 in Wuxi, China	3
2.4 Yue 2015: Distinguishing the drivers of trends in land carbon fluxes and plant volatile emissions over the past 3 decades	4
2.5 Zhu 2016:	4
3 Ozone	5
3.1 Borchio 2007:	5
3.2 Liu 2015: Origins of tropospheric ozone interannual variation over Réunion: A model investigation	5
3.3 Mze 2010:	5
3.4 Thompson 2015:	5
References	5

List of Figures

List of Tables

1 Important

1.1 Barkley 2013: Top-down isoprene emissions over tropical South America inferred from SCIAMACHY and OMI formaldehyde columns

Barkley et al. (2013) use HCHO from SCIAMACHY and OMI, with a nested grid version of GEOS-Chem CTM to infer top-down isoprene emissions estimates over South America in 2006.

1.1.1 Reference sector correction

Both retrievals use daily radiance reference spectrum instead of a solar irradiance spectrum: so the slant columns (Ω_S) represent the difference from the radiance reference slant column. An absolute normalisation is applied to Ω_S daily, using the median remote Pacific Ocean (110^{circ} - 140^{circ} W, 15^{circ} N- 15^{circ} S) slant column (Ω_{S_0}), and the modelled daily background (Ω_{V_B}) over the same region.

$$\Omega_V = \frac{(\Omega_S - \Omega_{S_0})}{AMF} + \Omega_{V_B}$$

1.1.2 Removing biomass burning (section 4)

Scenes affected are removed using a gridded fire count from AATSR, and also the MODIS instruments on EOS-Aqua and EOS-Terra. This filter is observed using three temporal resolutions: daily, eight-day, and monthly, with the effect on top-down emissions quantified. If a fire occurs in a grid cell or one adjacent of both the concurrent and preceding day, it is removed. This removed up to 25% of land pixels for OMI over the Amazon.

1.1.3 Nested Grid

1.1.4 Inferred emissions

1.1.5 Accounting for Smearing

2 VOCs

2.1 Gloudemans 2006: Validation of OMI, GOME-2A and GOME-2B tropospheric NO₂, SO₂ and HCHO products using MAX-DOAS observations from 2011 to 2014 in Wuxi, China

Gloudemans et al. (2007)

This paper describes MAX-DOAS observations in Wuxi, China, and compares them NO₂, SO₂, and HCHO satellite products. An analysis of factors affecting the tropospheric vertical column density (VCD) for satellites is also given.

Recalculating the AMFs using the MAX-DOAS apriori improves the correlation between MAX-DOAS VCDs and the satellite VCDs (by 35% for HCHO). OMI VCDs are underestimated by $\sim 20\%$ at Wuxi, China (a few hours north west from Shanghai).

AMFs are mainly affected by relative vertical distributions of aerosols and trace gases (TGs). This can be directly influenced by biomass burning or anthropogenic pollution (for instance the smog around several large Chinese cities).

Meaningful TG profiles can be retrieved during cloudy conditions, except for heavy fog or optically thick clouds).

2.2 Sitch 2015:

2.3 Wang 2016: Validation of OMI tropospheric HCHO using MAX-DOAS observations from 2011-2014 in Wuxi, China

Wang et al. (2016) Systematic underestimation of HCHO by $\sim 20\%$ by OMI. Discrepancies with MAX-DOAS increase with increasing cloud fractions, and AOD.

VCDs recalculated using AMFs based on shape factors created with the MAX-DOAS observations were 35% better (closer to MAX-DOAS VCDs) wrt. HCHO. These improvements were strongest on days with large trace gas VCDs, and smog/pollution over Wuxi is common.

Except for HCHO, correlation of satellite and MAX-DOAS column is sensitive to distance of pixels averaged away from the Wuxi site. This is probably due to HCHO being more homogeneous spatially.

2.4 Yue 2015: Distinguishing the drivers of trends in land carbon fluxes and plant volatile emissions over the past 3 decades

Yue, Unger, and Zheng (2015) Yale Interactive terrestrial Biosphere (YIBs) model driven by ECMWF used to study global trends of land carbon fluxes and BVOC emissions from 1982-2011. MODIS and NDVI-GIMMS are used to determine LAI, with isoprene emissions driven by two separate datasets in order to test isoprene driving sensitivity. One isoprene scheme is MEGAN, the other is a photosynthesis dependent model.

Drivers of trends in GPP, NPP, NEP, BVOC emissions, etc.. are determined through running the model with various parameters set to repeat the 1980 data. Differences from the control run show how sensitive the changes are to changes in the parameters. trends WERE sensitive to the use of MEGAN vs the use of the photosynthesis driven model.

Understanding the drivers of trends in biogenic volatile organic compound emissions is needed in order to estimate future carbon fluxes, changes in the water cycle, air quality, and other climate responses. Changes in growing and dying season for plants drives some of the isoprene emissions trends.

Global increases of carbon uptake from 1982-2011, especially for tropical areas, largely due to CO₂ fertilisation.

“The terrestrial biosphere interacts with the atmosphere through photosynthesis and biogenic volatile organic compound (BVOC) emissions. Annually, terrestrial ecosystems assimilate ~120 petagrams of carbon (Pg C) from the atmosphere (Beer et al., 2010), most of which reenters atmosphere through respiration and decomposition, resulting in a net global land carbon sink of 2.6 ± 0.7 Pg C a⁻¹ (Le Quèrè et al., 2009; Sitch et al., 2015)”

2.5 Zhu 2016:

Zhu et al. (2016) Current satellite HCHO can provide a reliable proxy for isoprene emission variability, with a low mean bias due to column corrections and scattering weights.

3 Ozone

3.1 Borchhi 2007:

3.2 Liu 2015: Origins of tropospheric ozone interannual variation over Réunion: A model investigation

Liu et al. (2015): Ozonesondes released at reunion island as part of SHADOZ(<http://croc.gsfc.nasa.gov/shadoz/>) are analysed against GMI-CTM grid box profiles matching sonde release dates. 50% increase in tropospheric ozone from 1992 - 2011 in JJA months based on ozonesonde measurements. "Far fewer studies have focused on the long-term behaviour of ozone in the Southern Hemisphere owing to a lack of suitable observations." Sparse sampling does not fully capture Interannual Variability (IAV) in the upper troposphere shown by the model.

Stratospheric influence over Reunion of the tropospheric ozone is important, although model resolution and sonde sparsity make analysis more difficult. "Increasing emission over southern Africa appear to affect only the lower troposphere", with an increasing trend in the middle and upper troposphere during austral winter left unexplained. The widening tropical belt may also be increasing the stratospheric influence over Reunion.

3.3 Mze 2010:

Mze et al. (2010) "The tropical stratosphere is, however, a zone where it is difficult to measure ozone by satellite experiments, due to increased Rayleigh atmospheric attenuation, high altitude clouds, low temperature, high humidity and dense aerosols (Borchhi et al., 2007)".

3.4 Thompson 2015:

References

Barkley, Michael P. et al. (2013). "Top-down isoprene emissions over tropical South America inferred from SCIAMACHY and OMI formaldehyde columns". In: *Journal of Geophysical Research Atmospheres* 118.12, pp. 6849–6868. ISSN: 21698996. DOI: 10.1002/jgrd.50552. URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/jgrd.50552>.

- Gloudemans, Annemieke et al. (2007). "Evidence for long-range transport of carbon monoxide in the Southern Hemisphere from SCIAMACHY observations". In: *European Space Agency, (Special Publication) ESA SP 33.SP-636*, pp. 1–5. ISSN: 03796566. DOI: 10.1029/2006GL026804.
- Wang, Y. et al. (2016). "Ground-based MAX-DOAS observations of tropospheric aerosols, NO₂, SO₂ and HCHO in Wuxi, China, from 2011 to 2014". In: *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics Discussions* September, pp. 1–61. ISSN: 1680-7375. DOI: 10.5194/acp-2016-282. URL: <http://www.atmos-chem-phys-discuss.net/acp-2016-282/>.
- Yue, X., N. Unger, and Y. Zheng (2015). "Distinguishing the drivers of trends in land carbon fluxes and plant volatile emissions over the past 3 decades". In: *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics* 15.20, pp. 11931–11948. ISSN: 16807324. DOI: 10.5194/acp-15-11931-2015.
- Zhu, Lei et al. (2016). "Observing atmospheric formaldehyde (HCHO) from space: validation and intercomparison of six retrievals from four satellites (OMI, GOME2A, GOME2B, OMPS) with SEAC⁴RS aircraft observations over the Southeast US". In: *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics Discussions* 0.March, pp. 1–24. ISSN: 1680-7375. DOI: 10.5194/acp-2016-162. URL: <http://www.atmos-chem-phys-discuss.net/acp-2016-162/>.
- Liu, Junhua et al. (2015). "Origins of tropospheric ozone interannual variation over Réunion: A model investigation". In: *Journal of Geophysical Research Atmospheres*, pp. 1–19. DOI: 10.1002/2015JD023981. URL: <http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/2015JD023981/abstract>.
- Mze, N. et al. (2010). "Climatology and comparison of ozone from ENVISAT/GOMOS and SHADOZ/balloon-sonde observations in the southern tropics". In: *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics* 10.16, pp. 8025–8035. ISSN: 16807316. DOI: 10.5194/acp-10-8025-2010.