

PHYS4261 Homework 3

David Lloyd-George

February 2023

Problem 1

This problem uses the following equations:

$$H_{MD} = \frac{C}{r^3} \mathbf{V} \cdot \mathbf{I} \quad \mathbf{V} = \mathbf{L} - \left(\mathbf{S} - 3 \frac{\mathbf{S} \cdot \mathbf{r}}{r^2} \mathbf{r} \right) \quad (1)$$

1a

QUESTION: show that \mathbf{V} is a vector operator w.r.t $\mathbf{J} = \mathbf{L} + \mathbf{S}$

SOLN: A vector operator \mathbf{V} is a vector operator w.r.t \mathbf{J} if the commutator $[V_i, J_j]$ satisfies the following equation:

$$[V_i, J_j] = i\hbar \sum_{k=x,y,z} \epsilon_{ijk} V_k \quad i, j \in x, y, z \quad (2)$$

We can expand out the commutator:

$$\begin{aligned} [V_i, J_j] &= [L_i, J_j] - [S_i, J_j] + \left[\frac{3(\mathbf{S} \cdot \mathbf{r})}{r^2} r_i, J_j \right] \\ &= [L_i, J_j] - [S_i, J_j] + \frac{3(\mathbf{S} \cdot \mathbf{r})}{r^2} [r_i, J_j] + 3 \left[\frac{(\mathbf{S} \cdot \mathbf{r})}{r^2}, J_j \right] r_i \\ &= i\hbar \left(\sum_{k=x,y,z} \epsilon_{ijk} L_k - \sum_{k=x,y,z} \epsilon_{ijk} S_k + \frac{3(\mathbf{S} \cdot \mathbf{r})}{r^2} \sum_{k=x,y,z} \epsilon_{ijk} r_k \right) \\ &= i\hbar \sum_{k=x,y,z} \epsilon_{ijk} \left(L_k - S_k + \frac{3(\mathbf{S} \cdot \mathbf{r})}{r^2} r_k \right) = i\hbar \sum_{k=x,y,z} \epsilon_{ijk} V_k \end{aligned}$$

Thus, \mathbf{V} is a vector operator w.r.t \mathbf{J} . This is because \mathbf{V} is composed of operators $\mathbf{L}, \mathbf{S}, \mathbf{r}$ which are also vector operators w.r.t \mathbf{J} . The other operator fraction is a scalar operator w.r.t \mathbf{J} and therefore will commute with it.

1b

QUESTION: Confirm that $\mathbf{L} \cdot \mathbf{r}$ and $S^2 - 3(\mathbf{S} \cdot \mathbf{r})^2/r^2$ are both the zero operator, and thus $\mathbf{V} \cdot \mathbf{J} = L^2$

SOLN:

We can start by finding $\mathbf{L} \cdot \mathbf{r}$:

$$\mathbf{L} = \mathbf{p} \times \mathbf{r} \rightarrow \mathbf{L} \cdot \mathbf{r} = (\mathbf{p} \times \mathbf{r}) \cdot \mathbf{r} = \mathbf{p} \cdot (\mathbf{r} \times \mathbf{r}) = \mathbf{0} \quad (3)$$

(Another potential way to do this might be expressing \mathbf{r} as a linear combination of ang. momentum eigenstates.)

Showing that $S^2 - 3(\mathbf{S} \cdot \mathbf{r})^2/r^2 = \mathbf{0}$ involves taking advantage of the fact that the spin component of the electron is a 2 state quantum system and the spin vector can therefore be written as a multiple of the pauli vector:

$$S^2 = |a_x|^2 \sigma_x^2 + |a_y|^2 \sigma_y^2 + |a_z|^2 \sigma_z^2 = |a_x|^2 + |a_y|^2 + |a_z|^2 = 3 \quad (4)$$

We can then use the result that $(\sigma \cdot \mathbf{A})(\sigma \cdot \mathbf{B}) = \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{B} - i\sigma \cdot (\mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{B})$ to get an estimate for the latter part of the operator:

$$(\mathbf{S} \cdot \mathbf{r})(\mathbf{S} \cdot \mathbf{r}) = \mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{r} + i\vec{\sigma}(\mathbf{r} \times \mathbf{r}) = r^2 \quad (5)$$

Thus, we have:

$$S^2 - 3(\mathbf{S} \cdot \mathbf{r})^2/r^2 = 3 - 3\frac{r^2}{r^2} = 0 \quad (6)$$

We can now write out the expression for $\mathbf{V} \cdot \mathbf{J}$ and using the previous results get:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{V} \cdot \mathbf{J} &= \mathbf{V} \cdot (\mathbf{L} + \mathbf{S}) = L^2 - S^2 + \frac{3(\mathbf{S} \cdot \mathbf{r})(\mathbf{L} \cdot \mathbf{r})}{r^2} + \frac{3(\mathbf{S} \cdot \mathbf{r})^2}{r^2} \\ &= L^2 - (S^2 - \frac{3(\mathbf{S} \cdot \mathbf{r})^2}{r^2}) + \frac{3(\mathbf{S} \cdot \mathbf{r})(\mathbf{L} \cdot \mathbf{r})}{r^2} = L^2 \end{aligned}$$

1c

QUESTION: confirm that for $l \neq 0$:

$$\Delta E_F = \frac{C}{2} \langle nlm | r^{-3} | nlm \rangle \frac{l(l+1)}{j(j+1)} (F(F+1) - j(j+1) - I(I+1)) \quad (7)$$

where $\Delta E_F := \langle nlsjFM_F | H_{MD} | nlsjFM_F \rangle$

SOLN: This is going to take alot of algebra. We can use the identity that:

$\mathbf{I} \cdot \mathbf{J} = \frac{F^2 - J^2 - I^2}{2}$ to simplify the calculation:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta E_F &:= \langle nlsjFM_F | H_{MD} | nlsjFM_F \rangle = C \langle nlsjFM_F | \frac{1}{r^3} \mathbf{V} \cdot \mathbf{I} | nlsjFM_F \rangle \\ &= C \langle nlm | r^{-3} | nlm \rangle \langle nlsjFM_F | \mathbf{V} \cdot \mathbf{I} | nlsjFM_F \rangle \\ &\rightarrow \langle nlsjFM_F | \mathbf{V} \cdot \mathbf{I} | nlsjFM_F \rangle = \frac{1}{j(j+1)\hbar^2} \langle nlsjFM_F | (\mathbf{V} \cdot \mathbf{J})(\mathbf{I} \cdot \mathbf{J}) | nlsjFM_F \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{j(j+1)\hbar^2} \langle nlsjFM_F | L^2(\mathbf{I} \cdot \mathbf{J}) | nlsjFM_F \rangle = \frac{l(l+1)}{j(j+1)} \langle nlsjFM_F | (\mathbf{I} \cdot \mathbf{J}) | nlsjFM_F \rangle \\ &\rightarrow \Delta E_F = \frac{C}{2} \langle nlm | r^{-3} | nlm \rangle \frac{l(l+1)}{j(j+1)} \langle nlsjFM_F | (F^2 - J^2 - I^2) | nlsjFM_F \rangle \\ &= \frac{C}{2} \langle nlm | r^{-3} | nlm \rangle \frac{l(l+1)}{j(j+1)} (F(F+1) - j(j+1) - I(I+1)) \end{aligned}$$

The projection theorem is used and using it we can redefine $\mathbf{V} = \frac{\mathbf{V} \cdot \mathbf{J}}{j(j+1)} \mathbf{J}$ as they are vector operators w.r.t each other

1d

Using the expression from 1c, and plugging in the value for $F - 1$:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta E_F - \Delta E_{F-1} &= \frac{C}{2} \langle nlm | r^{-3} | nlm \rangle \frac{l(l+1)}{j(j+1)} (F(F+1) - j(j+1) - I(I+1)) \\ &\quad + \frac{C}{2} \langle nlm | r^{-3} | nlm \rangle \frac{l(l+1)}{j(j+1)} (-(F-1)F + j(j+1) + I(I+1)) \\ &= \frac{C}{2} \langle nlm | r^{-3} | nlm \rangle \frac{l(l+1)}{j(j+1)} (F^2 + F - F^2 + F) \\ &= C \langle nlm | r^{-3} | nlm \rangle \frac{l(l+1)}{j(j+1)} F \propto F \end{aligned}$$

Note, I believe that the reduction in F quantum number should result in the reduction of I as we are setting j to be fixed but I am not sure.

Problem 2

2a

QUESTION: Show that:

$$\langle \Psi | \frac{1}{|\mathbf{r}_1 - \mathbf{r}_2|} | \Psi \rangle = \int_0^\infty dr_1 u^2(r_1) \int_0^\infty dr_2 u^2(r_2) \frac{1}{r_{>}} \quad (8)$$

SOLN: The solution involves using an expansion for $\frac{1}{|\mathbf{r}_1 - \mathbf{r}_2|}$ along with the orthonormality conditions for the spherical harmonic functions:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \langle \Psi | \frac{1}{|\mathbf{r}_1 - \mathbf{r}_2|} | \Psi \rangle = \\
& = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-l}^{m=l} \int d\mathbf{r}_1^3 d\mathbf{r}_2^3 \psi^* \frac{4\pi}{2l+1} \frac{r_{<}^l}{r_{>}^{l+1}} Y_{lm}^*(\theta_1, \phi_1) Y_{lm}(\theta_2, \phi_2) \psi \\
& \rightarrow = 4\pi \int d\mathbf{r}_1^3 d\mathbf{r}_2^3 \frac{1}{r_{>}} \psi^* Y_{00}^*(\theta_1, \phi_1) Y_{00}(\theta_2, \phi_2) \psi \\
& = 4\pi \int d\mathbf{r}_1^3 d\mathbf{r}_2^3 \frac{1}{r_{>}} \phi_{100}^*(r_1) \phi_{100}^*(r_2) Y_{00}^*(\theta_1, \phi_1) Y_{00}(\theta_2, \phi_2) \phi_{100}(r_1) \phi_{100}(r_2) \langle \chi | \chi \rangle \\
& = 4\pi \frac{1}{(\sqrt{4\pi})^2} \int d\mathbf{r}_1^3 d\mathbf{r}_2^3 \frac{1}{r_{>}} \phi_{100}^2(r_1) \phi_{100}^2(r_2) \\
& = \frac{1}{(4\pi)^2} \int d\mathbf{r}_1^3 d\mathbf{r}_2^3 \frac{1}{r_{>}} \frac{1}{r_1^2} \frac{1}{r_2^2} u^2(r_1) u^2(r_2) \\
& = \frac{(4\pi)^2}{(4\pi)^2} \int_0^{\infty} u^2(r_1) \frac{r_1^2}{r_1^2} dr_1 \int_0^{\infty} u^2(r_2) \frac{1}{r_{>}} \frac{r_2^2}{r_2^2} dr_2 \\
& = \int_0^{\infty} u^2(r_1) dr_1 \int_0^{\infty} u^2(r_2) \frac{1}{r_{>}} dr_2
\end{aligned}$$

The fact that $Y_{00} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi}}$ allows the simplification to be made.

2b

QUESTION: using:

$$v(r_1) = \int_0^{\infty} dr_2 u^2(r_2) \frac{1}{r_{>}} \quad (9)$$

show that:

$$v(r) = \frac{1 - e^{-2Zr}}{r} - Ze^{-2Zr} \quad (10)$$

SOLN: we can start by writing out $u^2(r)$:

$$u^2(r) = 4Z^3 r^2 e^{-2Zr} \quad (11)$$

We can then split the integral $v(r)$ into two components, one where $r > r_2$ and one where $r < r_2$. This will have the effect of defining the $r_>$

$$\begin{aligned}
v(r) &= \int_0^r dr_2 u^2(r_2) \frac{1}{r} + \int_r^\infty dr_2 u^2(r_2) \frac{1}{r_2} \\
&= \frac{1}{r} \int_0^r dr_2 4Z^3 r_2^2 e^{-2Zr_2} + \int_r^\infty dr_2 4Z^3 r_2 e^{-2Zr_2} \\
&\quad \int r_2 e^{-2Zr_2} dr_2 = -\frac{r_2 e^{-2Zr_2}}{2Z} - \frac{e^{-2Zr_2}}{4Z^2} \\
&\quad \int r_2^2 e^{-2Zr_2} dr_2 = -\frac{r_2^2 e^{-2Zr_2}}{2Z} - \frac{r_2 e^{-2Zr_2}}{2Z^2} - \frac{e^{-2Zr_2}}{4Z^3} \\
\rightarrow v(r) &= \frac{4Z^3}{r} \left(-\frac{r^2 e^{-2Zr}}{2Z} - \frac{r e^{-2Zr}}{2Z^2} - \frac{e^{-2Zr}}{4Z^3} + \frac{1}{4Z^3} \right) + 4Z^3 \left(\frac{r e^{-2Zr}}{2Z} + \frac{e^{-2Zr}}{4Z^2} \right) \\
&= \left(\frac{1 - e^{-2Zr}}{r} - 2Z e^{-2Zr} + Z e^{-2Zr} \right) = \frac{1 - e^{-2Zr}}{r} - Z e^{-2Zr}
\end{aligned}$$

Q.E.D

2c

QUESTION: show that:

$$\langle \Psi | \frac{1}{|\mathbf{r}_1 - \mathbf{r}_2|} | \Psi \rangle = \frac{5}{8} Z \quad (12)$$

SOLN: we can use the results from 2a and 2b to simplify this calculation:

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \Psi | \frac{1}{|\mathbf{r}_1 - \mathbf{r}_2|} | \Psi \rangle &= \int_0^\infty u^2(r_1) dr_1 \int_0^\infty u^2(r_2) \frac{1}{r_>} dr_2 \\
&= \int_0^\infty dr_1 u^2(r_1) \left(\frac{1 - e^{-2Zr_1}}{r_1} - Z e^{-2Zr_1} \right) \\
&= 4Z^3 \int_0^\infty dr_1 r_1^2 e^{-2Zr_1} \left(\frac{1 - e^{-2Zr_1}}{r_1} - Z e^{-2Zr_1} \right) \\
&= 4Z^3 \int_0^\infty (r_1 e^{-2Zr_1} - r_1 e^{-4Zr_1} - Z r_1^2 e^{-4Zr_1}) dr_1 \\
&= 4Z^3 \left(\frac{1}{4Z^2} - \frac{1}{16Z^2} - \frac{Z}{32Z^3} \right) \\
&= Z - \frac{Z}{4} - \frac{Z}{8} = \frac{5}{8} Z
\end{aligned}$$

Problem 3

3a

QUESTION: show that:

$$|j_1(j_2j_3)j_{23}JM\rangle = \sum_{m_2, m_3} \sum_{m_1, m_{23}} |j_1m_1\rangle |j_2m_2\rangle |j_3m_3\rangle \langle m_2m_3|j_2j_3j_{23}m_{23}\rangle \langle m_1m_{23}|j_1j_{23}JM\rangle$$

SOLN: We can start out by giving the definition of $|j_1(j_2j_3)j_{23}JM\rangle$ in a similar way to that of $|(j_1j_2)j_{12}j_3JM\rangle$. That is, we can write it out in terms of the CG. coefficients, an uncoupled state, and the first coupled state:

$$|j_1(j_2j_3)j_{23}JM\rangle = \sum_{m_1, m_{23}} |j_1m_1\rangle |j_2j_3j_{23}m_{23}\rangle \langle m_1m_{23}|j_1j_{23}JM\rangle \quad (13)$$

We can then expand out $|j_2j_3j_{23}m_{23}\rangle$ in terms of its uncoupled representation:

$$|j_2j_3j_{23}m_{23}\rangle = \sum_{m_2, m_3} |j_2m_2\rangle \otimes |j_3m_3\rangle \langle m_2m_3|j_2j_3j_{23}JM\rangle \quad (14)$$

plugging 14 into 13 yields:

$$\begin{aligned} |j_1(j_2j_3)j_{23}JM\rangle &= \sum_{m_1, m_{23}} \sum_{m_2, m_3} |j_1m_1\rangle |j_2m_2\rangle |j_3m_3\rangle \langle m_2m_3|j_2j_3j_{23}JM\rangle \langle m_1m_{23}|j_1j_{23}JM\rangle \\ &= \sum_{m_2, m_3} \sum_{m_1, m_{23}} |j_1m_1\rangle |j_2m_2\rangle |j_3m_3\rangle \langle m_2m_3|j_2j_3j_{23}JM\rangle \langle m_1m_{23}|j_1j_{23}JM\rangle \end{aligned}$$

3b

QUESTION: show that $R_{j_{23}j_{12}} := \langle (j_1j_2)j_{12}j_3JM | j_1(j_2j_3)j_{23}JM \rangle$ is independent of M

SOLN: We can use a similar trick as used in the previous HW to show M independence. We can start with the commutator $[\mathbb{I}, J_{\pm}] = 0$. Where \mathbb{I} is the identity:

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle (j_1j_2)j_{12}j_3JM | [\mathbb{I}, J_{\pm}] | j_1(j_2j_3)j_{23}JM \mp 1 \rangle = 0 \\ &\rightarrow \langle (j_1j_2)j_{12}j_3JM | (J_{\pm} | j_1(j_2j_3)j_{23}JM \mp 1 \rangle) - \\ &\quad (\langle (j_1j_2)j_{12}j_3JM | J_{\mp}) | j_1(j_2j_3)j_{23}JM \mp 1 \rangle = 0 \\ &\rightarrow a_{\pm}(J, M) \langle (j_1j_2)j_{12}j_3JM | j_1(j_2j_3)j_{23}JM \rangle - \\ &\quad a_{\mp}(J, M) \langle (j_1j_2)j_{12}j_3JM \mp 1 | j_1(j_2j_3)j_{23}JM \mp 1 \rangle = 0 \\ &\rightarrow a_{\pm}(J, M \mp 1) \langle (j_1j_2)j_{12}j_3JM | j_1(j_2j_3)j_{23}JM \rangle = a_{\mp}(J, M) \langle (j_1j_2)j_{12}j_3JM | j_1(j_2j_3)j_{23}JM \rangle \\ &\rightarrow \langle (j_1j_2)j_{12}j_3JM | j_1(j_2j_3)j_{23}JM \rangle = \langle (j_1j_2)j_{12}j_3JM \mp 1 | j_1(j_2j_3)j_{23}JM \mp 1 \rangle \end{aligned}$$

Thus we can see that the inner product (and therefore the recoupling coefficient) is independent of the value of M