

KÜLSHAMMER'S SECOND QUESTION AND THE 1-COHOMOLOGY

Let Γ be an algebraic group, G a connected reductive algebraic group over an algebraically closed field k with $\text{char}(k) = p > 0$. Let P be a parabolic subgroup of G , with Levi subgroup L and unipotent radical V . We have $P = V \rtimes L$, and we denote by π^L the canonical projection

$$(0.1) \quad \pi^L : P \rightarrow L.$$

Since L normalizes V we have an action by group automorphisms of L on V given by

$$(0.2) \quad l \cdot v = lv l^{-1},$$

for $l \in L, v \in V$.

Let $\sigma \in \text{Hom}(\Gamma, L)$. Now we have an action of Γ on V given by

$$(0.3) \quad \gamma \cdot v = \sigma(\gamma) \cdot v$$

for $\gamma \in \Gamma, v \in V$, using Equation 0.2.

Let $\rho \in \text{Hom}(\Gamma, P)$. We associate with ρ the homomorphism $\rho^L : \Gamma \rightarrow L$ defined by

$$(0.4) \quad \rho^L = \pi^L \circ \rho,$$

and $\alpha_\rho : \Gamma \rightarrow V$ defined by

$$(0.5) \quad \alpha_\rho(\gamma) = \rho(\gamma)(\rho^L(\gamma))^{-1}.$$

With the action defined in Equation 0.3, α_ρ is a 1-cocycle.

In Equation 0.5 we have a formula associating elements of $\text{Hom}(\Gamma, P)$ with 1-cocycles $\Gamma \rightarrow V$. However, to be able to compare 1-cocycles it is important that Γ acts on V in the same way. In other words, given $\rho, \varsigma \in \text{Hom}(\Gamma, P)$ it only makes sense to compare $\alpha_\rho, \alpha_\varsigma$ if $\rho^L = \varsigma^L$.

Definition 0.6 (Notation). Fix $\sigma \in \text{Hom}(\Gamma, L)$ and define

$$(0.7) \quad \text{Hom}(\Gamma, P)_\sigma = \{\rho \in \text{Hom}(\Gamma, P) \mid \rho^L = \sigma\}.$$

More generally, if $R \subset \text{Hom}(\Gamma, P)$ define

$$(0.8) \quad R_\sigma = \{\rho \in R \mid \rho^L = \sigma\}.$$

For a given $\sigma \in \text{Hom}(\Gamma, L)$, we denote by $Z^1(\Gamma, V)_\sigma$ the set of 1-cocycles $\Gamma \rightarrow V$ where Γ acts on V as in Equation 0.3. Evidently these 1-cocycles are in one-to-one correspondence with elements of $\text{Hom}(\Gamma, P)_\sigma$. Formally, we have the following Lemma.

Lemma 0.9. *Define the map $z : \text{Hom}(\Gamma, P)_\sigma \rightarrow Z^1(\Gamma, V)_\sigma$ by*

$$(0.10) \quad z(\rho) = \alpha_\rho.$$

Then z is a bijection.

We return to the notion of comparing 1-cocycles. Following the established theory of abelian 1-cohomology, the non-abelian analogue of *equivalent 1-cocycles* is defined in Chapter 3.

Lemma 0.11. *The relation on $Z^1(\Gamma, V)_\sigma$ given by $\alpha \sim \beta$ if there exists $v \in V$ such that*

$$(0.12) \quad \alpha(\gamma) = v\beta(\gamma)(\gamma \cdot v^{-1}),$$

for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$, is an equivalence relation.

We denote the *1-cohomology* by $H^1(\Gamma, V)_\sigma$, defined to be the collection of equivalence classes of $Z^1(\Gamma, V)_\sigma$ under the equivalence relation in Equation 0.12. We denote by $\bar{\alpha}$ the image of $\alpha \in Z^1(\Gamma, V)_\sigma$ under the canonical projection $Z^1(\Gamma, V)_\sigma \rightarrow H^1(\Gamma, V)_\sigma$.

Following the theme of Lemma 0.9, we relate elements of $H^1(\Gamma, V)_\sigma$ to certain conjugacy classes of $\text{Hom}(\Gamma, P)_\sigma$.

Lemma 0.13. *The bijective map $z : \text{Hom}(\Gamma, P)_\sigma \rightarrow Z^1(\Gamma, V)_\sigma$ defined in Equation 0.14 descends to give a bijective map $h : \text{Hom}(\Gamma, P)_\sigma/V \rightarrow H^1(\Gamma, V)_\sigma$, defined by*

$$(0.14) \quad h(V \cdot \rho) = \bar{\alpha}_\rho,$$

where $\rho \in \text{Hom}(\Gamma, P)_\sigma$.

[some explanation for the following]

Lemma 0.15. *The bijective map h defined in Equation ?? descends to give a bijective map $\tilde{h} : [\text{Hom}(\Gamma, P)_\sigma/V] / C_L(\sigma) \rightarrow H^1(\Gamma, V)_\sigma / C_L(\sigma)$, defined by*

$$(0.16) \quad \tilde{h}((C_L(\sigma)V) \cdot \rho) = \widetilde{\alpha}_\rho,$$

where $(C_L(\sigma)V) \cdot \rho \in [\text{Hom}(\Gamma, P)_\sigma/V] / C_L(\sigma) = \text{Hom}(\Gamma, P)_\sigma / VC_L(\sigma)$.

Definition 0.17 (Notation). We define

$$(0.18) \quad \text{Hom}(\Gamma, P)^L = \{\rho^L \mid \rho \in \text{Hom}(\Gamma, P)\}.$$

More generally, when $R \subset \text{Hom}(\Gamma, P)$ we define

$$(0.19) \quad R^L = \{\rho^L \mid \rho \in R\}.$$

Lemma 0.20. *Let $R \subset \text{Hom}(\Gamma, P)$. Suppose $R = P \cdot \rho$ for some $\rho \in R$. Then $R^L = L \cdot \rho^L$.*

More generally, if $R = P \cdot R$ then $R^L = L \cdot R^L$.

Definition 0.21. Define the map

$$(0.22) \quad \mathcal{H} : \text{Hom}(\Gamma, P)_\sigma \rightarrow H^1(\Gamma, V)_\sigma / C_L(\sigma)$$

by the composition of $h : \text{Hom}(\Gamma, P)_\sigma \rightarrow Z^1(\Gamma, V)_\sigma$, followed by the canonical projection $Z^1(\Gamma, V)_\sigma \rightarrow H^1(\Gamma, V)_\sigma$, followed by the canonical projection $H^1(\Gamma, V)_\sigma \rightarrow H^1(\Gamma, V)_\sigma / C_L(\sigma)$.

Theorem 0.23. *Let $R \subset \text{Hom}(\Gamma, P)$ and suppose $P \cdot R = R$. Then R is a finite union of P -conjugacy classes if and only if*

- (i) R^L is a finite union of L -conjugacy classes, and
- (ii) for each $\sigma \in \text{Hom}(\Gamma, L)$, $\mathcal{H}(R_\sigma) \subset H^1(\Gamma, V)_\sigma / C_L(\sigma)$ is finite.

Remark 0.24. Conditions (i) and (ii) are equivalent to

- (i') $R_\sigma = \emptyset$ for all but finitely many L -conjugacy classes of $\sigma \in \text{Hom}(\Gamma, L)$, and
- (ii') for each $\sigma \in \text{Hom}(\Gamma, L)$, R_σ is a finite union of $VC_L(\sigma)$ -conjugacy classes,

respectively. We obtain (ii) \Leftrightarrow (ii') by appealing to the bijection \tilde{h} , while (i) \Leftrightarrow (i') is self-evident.

Proof. First an observation. Suppose $R = P \cdot R$. Fix $\sigma \in \text{Hom}(\Gamma, L)$ and let $\rho \in R_\sigma$, so that $\rho^L = \sigma$. Suppose $p \cdot \rho \in R_\sigma$ for some $p \in P$, and let $v \in V, l \in L$ such that $p = vl$. Then

$$(0.25) \quad p \cdot \rho \in R_\sigma \Leftrightarrow (vl) \cdot \rho \in R_\sigma$$

$$(0.26) \quad \Leftrightarrow [(vl) \cdot \rho]^L = \sigma$$

$$(0.27) \quad \Leftrightarrow l \cdot \rho^L = \sigma$$

$$(0.28) \quad \Leftrightarrow l \in C_L(\sigma).$$

This shows that

$$(0.29) \quad R_\sigma \cap P \cdot \rho \subset (VC_L(\sigma)) \cdot \rho.$$

The reverse inclusion follows since $R = P \cdot R$ and R_σ is stable under conjugation by V and $C_L(\sigma)$. Hence

$$(0.30) \quad R_\sigma \cap P \cdot \rho = (VC_L(\sigma)) \cdot \rho.$$

Now suppose R is a finite union of P -conjugacy classes, so there exists a finite set $\mathcal{P} \subset \text{Hom}(\Gamma, P)$ such that

$$(0.31) \quad R = \bigcup_{\rho \in \mathcal{P}} P \cdot \rho$$

Lemma 0.20 shows that (i) holds. Furthermore

$$(0.32) \quad R_\sigma = R_\sigma \cap R$$

$$(0.33) \quad = R_\sigma \cap \left(\bigcup_{\rho \in \mathcal{P}} P \cdot \rho \right)$$

$$(0.34) \quad = \bigcup_{\rho \in \mathcal{P}} (R_\sigma \cap P \cdot \rho),$$

and by Equation 0.30

$$(0.35) \quad R_\sigma = \bigcup_{\rho \in \mathcal{P}} (VC_L(\sigma)) \cdot \rho.$$

Hence (ii'), and therefore (ii), holds. This proves the forward direction.

Conversely, suppose (i) and (ii) hold. By (ii) there exists a finite set $\mathcal{Q} \subset \text{Hom}(\Gamma, P)$ and by Equation 0.30

$$(0.36) \quad R_\sigma = \bigcup_{\rho \in \mathcal{Q}} (VC_L(\sigma)) \cdot \rho$$

$$(0.37) \quad = \bigcup_{\rho \in \mathcal{Q}} (R_\sigma \cap P \cdot \rho)$$

$$(0.38) \quad = R_\sigma \cap \left(\bigcup_{\rho \in \mathcal{Q}} P \cdot \rho \right).$$

Hence R_σ is contained in a finite union of P -conjugacy classes. By (i) there exists a finite set $\mathcal{S} \subset \text{Hom}(\Gamma, L)$ such that

$$(0.39) \quad R^L = \bigcup_{\tau \in \mathcal{S}} L \cdot \tau.$$

For $\sigma \in \text{Hom}(\Gamma, L)$, define $L \cdot R_\sigma = \{L \cdot \rho \mid \rho \in R_\sigma\}$. Evidently $L \cdot R_\sigma$ is contained in a finite union of P -conjugacy classes.

Now let $\rho \in R$. By Equation 0.39 there exists $l \in L, \tau \in \mathcal{S}$ such that $\rho^L = l \cdot \tau$. Hence $l^{-1} \cdot \rho^L = \tau$ which implies $\rho \in L \cdot R_\tau$. This shows that R is contained in a finite union of P -conjugacy classes. The reverse inclusion is satisfied since $R = P \cdot R$. This completes the proof. \square

Definition 0.40. Let $\Gamma' < \Gamma$ and denote by ι the inclusion map $\Gamma' \hookrightarrow \Gamma$. We denote by ρ^ι the homomorphism $\Gamma' \rightarrow P$ defined by

$$(0.41) \quad \rho^\iota = \rho \circ \iota,$$

and define

$$(0.42) \quad \text{Hom}(\Gamma, P)^\iota = \{\rho^\iota \mid \rho \in \text{Hom}(\Gamma, P)\}.$$

More generally, if $R \subset \text{Hom}(\Gamma, P)$ then define

$$(0.43) \quad R^\iota = \{\rho^\iota \mid \rho \in R\}.$$

Theorem 0.44. Let $R \subset \text{Hom}(\Gamma, P)$ such that $R = P \cdot R$. Suppose

- (i) R^L is a finite union of L -conjugacy classes,
- (ii) for all $\sigma \in \text{Hom}(\Gamma, L)$ such that $R_\sigma \neq \emptyset$, the map

$$H^1(\iota) : H^1(\Gamma, V)_\sigma / C_L(\sigma) \rightarrow H^1(\Gamma', V)_{\sigma^\iota} / C_L(\sigma)$$

has finite fibres, and

- (iii) R^ι is a finite union of P -conjugacy classes.

Then R is a finite union of P -conjugacy classes.

Remark 0.45. Since $R = P \cdot R$, R^L is already a union of L -conjugacy classes by Lemma 0.20, so the point of (i) is that the union is finite.

Proof. Since $R^\iota \subset \text{Hom}(\Gamma', V)_\sigma$, $R^\iota = P \cdot R^\iota$ and R^ι is a finite union of P -conjugacy classes, by Theorem 0.23

- (iv) $(R^\iota)^L$ is a finite union of L -conjugacy classes, and
- (v) for each $\tau \in \text{Hom}(\Gamma', V)_{\sigma^\iota}$, $\mathcal{H}(R_\tau)$ is finite.

Let $\sigma \in \text{Hom}(\Gamma, V)$. If $R_\sigma = \emptyset$ then $\mathcal{H}(R_\sigma)$ is certainly finite. On the other hand, if $R_\sigma \neq \emptyset$ then

$$(0.46) \quad \mathcal{H}(R_\sigma) \subset \widetilde{H^1}(\iota)^{-1}(R_{\sigma^\iota})$$

□