### UNIVERSITY OF CANTERBURY

# A Geometric Approach to Complete Reducibility

by

Daniel Lond

A thesis submitted in partial fulfillment for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

 ${\hbox{ college of Engineering} \atop \hbox{ Department of Mathematics and Statistics} }$ 

January 2010

### Declaration of Authorship

I, DANIEL LOND, declare that this thesis titled, 'A GEOMETRIC APPROACH TO COMPLETE REDUCIBILITY' and the work presented in it are my own. I confirm that:

- This work was done wholly or mainly while in candidature for a research degree at this University.
- Where any part of this thesis has previously been submitted for a degree or any other qualification at this University or any other institution, this has been clearly stated.
- Where I have consulted the published work of others, this is always clearly attributed.
- Where I have quoted from the work of others, the source is always given. With the exception of such quotations, this thesis is entirely my own work.
- I have acknowledged all main sources of help.
- Where the thesis is based on work done by myself jointly with others, I have made clear exactly what was done by others and what I have contributed myself.

Signed:			
Date:			

"A quote."

The author of the quote.

### UNIVERSITY OF CANTERBURY

### Abstract

College of Engineering

Department of Mathematics and Statistics

Doctor of Philosophy

by Daniel Lond

The Thesis Abstract ...

# Acknowledgements

The acknowledgements and the people to thank  $\dots$ 

## Contents

D	eclaration of Authorship	į
A	bstract	iii
A	cknowledgements	iv
Sy	ymbols	vi
1	Introduction	1
2	Mathematical Preliminaries	2
3	Külshammer's Second Problem3.1 Külshammer's First Problem3.2 Külshammer's Second Problem3.3 A non-reductive counterexample	. 3
4	The 1-Cohomology  4.1 Abelian 1-Cohomology  4.1.1 Definitions  4.1.2 Maps between 1-cohomologies  4.2 Non-abelian 1-Cohomology  4.2.1 The non-abelian setting  4.2.2 Maps of non-abelian 1-cohomologies	
5	1-Cohomology Calculation         5.1 The method          5.2 A rank 1 calculation          5.2.1 Example          5.2.2 Example          5.3 A rank 2 calculation	. 20 . 21
6	Complete Reducibility	51
7	Conclusion	52

Contents	vi
A Further Calculations	53
B Source Code	54
Bibliography	55

# Symbols

```
\begin{array}{cccc} a & \text{distance} & \text{m} \\ P & \text{power} & \text{W (Js$^{-1}$)} \\ \\ \omega & \text{angular frequency} & \text{rads$^{-1}$} \\ \vdots & & & \\ \end{array}
```

Dedication . . .

## Introduction

- What the thesis is about
- Motivation link with other problems
- Highlight results lead up to highlights
- Similar to abstract but less formal
- Outline of the contents, chapter by chapter

# **Mathematical Preliminaries**

## Külshammer's Second Problem

- Külshammer's First Problem
- Külshammer's Second Problem
- $\bullet$  Counter example for non-reductive G
- Overture to Ch. 3-5

### 3.1 Külshammer's First Problem

### 3.2 Külshammer's Second Problem

### 3.3 A non-reductive counterexample

3) Look at the nonreductive counterexample in Slodowy's paper on Kulshammer's problem. What is special about the 3-dimensional U that makes this counterexample work? Can you find similar structure in the unipotent radical of a reductive group?

### The 1-Cohomology

#### TODO:

- Abelian 1-Cohomology
- Non-ablelian 1-Cohomology [Richardson]
- Brief results of calculations

### 4.1 Abelian 1-Cohomology

#### TODO:

- The general setting: Group H, Vector space/Abelian group V, linear action/action of homomorphisms.
- Definition of  $Z^1$ ,  $B^1$ ,  $H^1$ .
- Definition of  $Z^1(f)$ ,  $B^1(f)$ ,  $H^1(f)$  where  $f: H \to H'$ . f must respect the actions of H, H'. e.g. i inclusion map.
- $H^1(H, V) \to H^1(H_P, V)$  is (1-1? onto?)
- What about when H is finite? algebraic? both? Define  $H^1$  for H finite, then H algebraic then H finite algebraic should be consistent.
- What about  $H^1(x)$  where  $x: V \to V'$ ? Relevant:  $B_4 \leq F_4$ .
- Explain: if  $H = SL_2$  then  $H^1$  is determined by its value on the upper triangular matrices.  $B < SL_2$ ,  $H^1(SL_2, V) \to H^1(B, V)$  is (1-1? onto?)  $\leftarrow$  prove this. [Hum G/B].

•  $H^1(H,V)$  is trivial if H is linearly reductive [done for H finite].

#### 4.1.1 Definitions

Let H be a group and V an abelian group on which H acts homomorphically, that is the map

$$v \mapsto h \cdot v$$
,

is a homomorphism from  $V \to V$  for any h in H. We call a map  $\sigma$  from  $H \to V$  a 1-cocycle if it satisfies

$$\sigma(h_1 h_2) = \sigma(h_1) + h_1 \cdot \sigma(h_2), \tag{4.1}$$

for all  $h_1, h_2$  in H. Denote by  $Z^1(H, V)$  the collection of all 1-cocycles from  $H \to V$ .

We call the (4.1) the 1-cocycle condition.

For any  $\sigma_1, \sigma_2$  in  $Z^1(H, V)$ 

$$(\sigma_1 + \sigma_2) (h_1 h_2) = \sigma_1(h_1 h_2) + \sigma_2(h_1 h_2)$$

$$= \sigma_1(h_1) + h_1 \cdot \sigma_1(h_2) + \sigma_2(h_1) + h_1 \cdot \sigma_2(h_2)$$

$$= (\sigma_1(h_1) + \sigma_2(h_1)) + h_1 \cdot (\sigma_1(h_2) + \sigma_2(h_2))$$

$$= (\sigma_1 + \sigma_2) (h_1) + h_1 \cdot (\sigma_1 + \sigma_2) (h_2),$$

so  $Z^1(H,V)$  is closed under pointwise addition.

The trivial map from  $H \to V$  that sends every h in H to the identity 0 in V is a 1-cocycle. Furthermore for any  $\sigma$  in  $Z^1(H,V)$  we have

$$\begin{split} \sigma(1) &= \sigma(1\cdot 1) &= \sigma(1) + 1\cdot \sigma(1) \\ &= \sigma(1) + \sigma(1) \\ &= 2\,\sigma(1), \end{split}$$

which implies that

$$\sigma(1) = 0.$$

From this we deduce that

$$\sigma(hh^{-1}) = \sigma(1) = 0$$
$$= \sigma(h) + h \cdot \sigma(h^{-1}),$$

and so each  $\sigma$  has an inverse defined by

$$-\sigma(h) = h \cdot \sigma(h^{-1}).$$

Therefore  $Z^{1}(H, V)$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module under pointwise addition.

Given a v in V we define a 1-coboundary  $\chi_v^H: H \to V$  to be

$$\chi_v^H(h) = v - h \cdot v,$$

and denote by  $B^{1}(H, V)$  the collection of all 1-coboundaries.

For any v in V and any  $h_1, h_2$  in H

$$\chi_v^H(h_1 h_2) = v - (h_1 h_2) \cdot v 
= v - h_1 \cdot (h_2 \cdot v) 
= v - h_1 \cdot (v - v + h_2 \cdot v) 
= v - h_1 \cdot v + h_1 \cdot (v - h_2 \cdot v) 
= \chi_v^H(h_1) + h_1 \cdot \chi_v^H(h_2),$$

so that every 1-coboundary is also a 1-cocycle. For any u, v in V and all h in H

$$(\chi_u^H + \chi_v^H)(h) = \chi_u^H(h) + \chi_v^H(h)$$

$$= u - h \cdot u + v - h \cdot v$$

$$= (u + v) - h \cdot (u + v)$$

$$= \chi_{u+v}^H(h)$$

is a 1-coboundary, and hence  $B^1(H,V)$  is also closed under pointwise addition.

Setting v = -u in the above calculation provides the definition of an inverse of a 1-coboundary and hence shows that  $B^1(H,V)$  is a subgroup of  $Z^1(H,V)$  via the two-step subgroup test. In fact it is easy to show that  $B^1(H,V)$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -submodule of  $Z^1(H,V)$ , so we may form the quotient module

$$H^{1}\left(H,V\right)=Z^{1}\left(H,V\right)/B^{1}\left(H,V\right),$$

called the 1-cohomology.

**Lemma 4.1.** Suppose H is linearly reductive. Then  $H^1(H, V) = 0$ .

#### 4.1.2 Maps between 1-cohomologies

Let  $\phi$  be a homomorphism from  $\tilde{H} \to H$ ,  $\tilde{H}$  being another group that acts on V by homomorphisms. Suppose that for every h in H  $\phi$  satisfies

$$\phi(h) \cdot v = h \cdot v,$$

for all v in V.

If  $\sigma$  is a 1-cocycle from  $H \to V$  then we claim that the map denoted  $Z^1(\phi)(\sigma)$  defined by

$$Z^1(\phi)(\sigma) = \sigma \circ \phi,$$

is a 1-cocycle from  $\tilde{H} \to V$ . For take  $h_1, h_2$  in H. We have

$$Z^{1}(\phi)(\sigma)(h_{1}h_{2}) = \sigma(\phi(h_{1}h_{2}))$$

$$= \sigma(\phi(h_{1})\phi(h_{2}))$$

$$= \sigma(\phi(h_{1})) + \phi(h_{1}) \cdot \sigma(\phi(h_{2}))$$

$$= \sigma(\phi(h_{1})) + h_{1} \cdot \sigma(\phi(h_{2}))$$

$$= Z^{1}(\phi)(\sigma)(h_{1}) + h_{1} \cdot Z^{1}(\phi)(\sigma)(h_{2}).$$

Moreover, it can be shown that  $Z^1(\phi)$  maps  $B^1(H,V)$  into  $B^1(\tilde{H},V)$ . This leads us to define a map of 1-cohomologies,

$$H^1(\phi):H^1(H,V)\to H^1(\tilde{H},V),$$

defined by

$$Z^{1}(H,V) \xrightarrow{Z^{1}(\phi)} Z^{1}(\tilde{H},V)$$

$$\downarrow^{\tilde{\pi}}$$

$$H^{1}(H,V) \xrightarrow{H^{1}(\phi)} H^{1}(\tilde{H},V)$$

where  $\pi$  and  $\tilde{\pi}$  are the respective canonical projections of  $Z^1(H, V)$  onto  $H^1(H, V)$  and  $Z^1(\tilde{H}, V)$  onto  $H^1(\tilde{H}, V)$ . To show that the map  $H^1(\phi)$  is well-defined it is sufficient to

show that  $Z^1(\phi)$  is a homomorphism:

$$Z^{1}(\phi)(\sigma_{1} + \sigma_{2})(h) = (\sigma_{1} + \sigma_{2})(\phi(h))$$

$$= \sigma_{1}(\phi(h)) + \sigma_{2}(\phi(h))$$

$$= Z^{1}(\phi)(\sigma_{1})(h) + Z^{1}(\phi)(\sigma_{2})(h).$$

**Lemma 4.2.** Let  $\tilde{H}$  be a subgroup of H and  $i: \tilde{H} \to H$  the inclusion map. Then i gives rise to a well defined map

$$H^1(i): H^1(H, V) \to H^1(\tilde{H}, V).$$

**Lemma 4.3.** Let H be a finite group and  $\tilde{H} = H_p$  a Sylow p-subgroup of H. The map

$$H^1(i): H^1(H,V) \to H^1(H_p,V)$$

is injective.

Proof. Let x be an element of  $H^1(H,V)$  such that  $H^1(i)(x)=0$ . Now choose a 1-cocycle  $\sigma$  in  $Z^1(H,V)$  such that  $\pi(\sigma)=x$ . Hence  $Z^1(i)(\sigma)$  is a 1-coboundary as its image under  $\tilde{\pi}$  is 0. That is to say  $\sigma$  restricted to  $H_p$  is equal to a 1-coboundary, say  $\chi_v^{H_p}$ . But since  $\chi_v^{H_p}$  can be trivially extended to a 1-coboundary  $\chi_v^H$  from  $H \to V$ , and

$$\pi(\sigma - \chi_v^H) = x,$$

we could well have chosen the 1-cocycle  $(\sigma - \chi_v^H)$  as a representative for x. Hence there is no harm in assuming that  $\sigma$  is 0 when restricted to  $H_p$ . Now choose a set of representatives  $h_1, \ldots, h_l$  in H for the coset space  $H/H_p$  and set

$$v^* = \sum_{i=1}^l \sigma(h_i).$$

Consider the 1-coboundary  $\chi^H_{v^*}$  defined by  $v^*$ 

$$\chi_{v^*}^H(h) = v^* - h \cdot v^*$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^l \sigma(h_i) - h \cdot \sum_{i=1}^l \sigma(h_i)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^l \sigma(h_i) - \sum_{i=1}^l h \cdot \sigma(h_i).$$

By the 1-cocycle condition we have

$$\sigma(hh_i) = \sigma(h) + h \cdot \sigma(h_i),$$

from which we obtain

$$\sum_{i=1}^{l} \sigma(h_i) - \sum_{i=1}^{l} h \cdot \sigma(h_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{l} \sigma(h_i) - \sum_{i=1}^{l} (\sigma(hh_i) - \sigma(h))$$
$$= \sum_{i=1}^{l} \sigma(h_i) - \sum_{i=1}^{l} \sigma(hh_i) + \sum_{i=1}^{l} \sigma(h).$$

Now as the value of  $\sigma$  at a fixed h depends only on the value of  $\sigma$  at the representative  $h_i$  of the coset containing h we can collapse the middle term to yield

$$\chi_{v^*}^{H}(h) = \sum_{i=1}^{l} \sigma(h_i) - \sum_{i=1}^{l} \sigma(hh_i) + \sum_{i=1}^{l} \sigma(h)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{l} \sigma(h_i) - \sum_{i=1}^{l} \sigma(h_i) + \sum_{i=1}^{l} \sigma(h)$$

$$= l \sigma(h).$$

Since l < p, [okay, I should have been talking about V vector space here] l is invertible and so

$$l^{-1}\chi_{v^*}^H(h) = \sigma(h).$$

That is,  $\sigma$  is a 1-coboundary, so the kernel of H(i) is trivial.

We could also let  $\tilde{V}$  be another abelian group and  $f:V\to \tilde{V}$  a homomorphism of groups satisfying

$$f(h \cdot v) = h \cdot f(v).$$

Following a similar chain of arguments as before we can define a map

$$H^1(f): H^1(H,V) \to H^1(H,\tilde{V}),$$

or even

$$H^1(\phi,f):H^1(H,V)\to H^1(\tilde{H},\tilde{V}).$$

It is worth reminding the reader here of the directions of the underlying homomorphisms for the above construction to work:

$$\phi: \tilde{H} \to H$$
$$f: V \to \tilde{V}.$$

### 4.2 Non-abelian 1-Cohomology

TODO:

- set up: Group H, non-abelian group V.
- define:  $Z^1$  as before,  $B^1$  not really the same as before,  $H^1$  harder.
- $\phi: H \to H', Z^1(H',V) \to Z^1(H,V)$ ?  $H^1(H',V) \to H^1(H,V)$ ? could put in some details.
- *H* finite, *H* algebraic as before.
- $H^1(H,V) \to H^1(H_p,V)$  different to abelian case? Link to counter example to KII?
- $H^1(SL_2, V) \to H^1(B, V)$  (1-1? onto?)
- $H^1(H,V)$ , H linearly reductive done.
- $V \to V'$ ,  $Z^1(H,V) \to Z^1(H,V')$ ? exists?  $H^1(H,V) \to H^1(H,V')$ ?? could put in details. V abelian group,  $R = \mathbb{Z}$ . V is a  $\mathbb{Z}$  module.

#### 4.2.1 The non-abelian setting

#### 4.2.2 Maps of non-abelian 1-cohomologies

OLD STUFF: Let G be an algebraic group, P a parabolic subgroup of G, and L a Levi subgroup of P. Let  $\rho: H \to L$  be a homomorphism, H an abstract group.

We are interested in functions  $\rho_{\alpha}: H \to P$  of the form  $\rho_{\alpha}(h) = \alpha(h)\rho(h)$ , where  $\alpha: H \to R_u(P)$ .

What properties must  $\alpha$  satisfy for  $\rho_{\alpha}$  to be a homomorphism?

$$\alpha(gh)\rho(gh) = \rho_{\alpha}(gh) = \rho_{\alpha}(g)\rho_{\alpha}(h)$$

$$= \alpha(g)\rho(g)\alpha(h)\rho(h)$$

$$= \alpha(g)\rho(g)\alpha(h)\rho(g)^{-1}\rho(g)\rho(h)$$

$$= \alpha(g)\rho(g)\alpha(h)\rho(g)^{-1}\rho(gh),$$

that is

$$\alpha(gh) = \alpha(g)\rho(g)\alpha(h)\rho(g)^{-1}$$
.

Since L normalises  $R_u(P)$ , we choose write this as

$$\alpha(gh) = \alpha(g) * g \cdot \alpha(h), \tag{4.2}$$

where the action of H on  $R_u(P)$  is defined by  $\rho$ , and  $*: R_u(P) \times R_u(P) \to R_u(P)$ .

We call (1) the 1-cocycle condition. A morphism  $\alpha: H \to R_u(P)$  that satisfies the 1-cocycle condition for all  $g, h \in H$  is said to be a 1-cocycle, and we denote by  $Z^1(H, R_u(P))$  the set of all 1-cocycles from H into  $R_u(P)$ .

Note that in the case that  $R_u(P)$  is abelian,  $Z^1(H, R_u(P))$  is a vector space under pointwise addition and scalar multiplication, and we write the 1-cocycle condition in additive notation:  $\alpha(gh) = \alpha(g) + g \cdot \alpha(h)$ .

When is  $\rho R_u(P)$ -conjugate to  $\rho_{\alpha}$ ?

Suppose there exists a  $v \in R_u(P)$  such that  $\rho_{\alpha}(h) = v\rho(h)v^{-1}$  for all  $h \in H$ . Then

$$\alpha(h)\rho(h) = \rho_{\alpha}(h) = v\rho(h)v^{-1}$$
$$= v\rho(h)v^{-1}\rho(h)^{-1}\rho(h).$$

Therefore,  $\alpha$  is of the form

$$\alpha(h) = v * h \cdot v^{-1}.$$

This leads us to the next definition.

For a fixed  $v \in R_u(P)$  we define a 1-coboundary to be a morphism  $\chi_v : H \to R_u(P)$  of the form

$$\chi_v(h) = v * h \cdot v^{-1}, \tag{4.3}$$

and denote the collection of all 1-coboundaries from H into  $R_u(P)$  by  $B^1(H, R_u(P))$ . Indeed,

$$\chi_{v}(gh) = v\rho(gh)v^{-1}\rho(gh)^{-1} 
= v\rho(g)\rho(h)v^{-1}\rho(h)^{-1}\rho(g)^{-1} 
= v\rho(g) \left[v^{-1}\rho(g)^{-1}\rho(g)v\right]\rho(h)v^{-1}\rho(h)^{-1}\rho(g)^{-1} 
= \left[v\rho(g)v^{-1}\rho(g)^{-1}\right] \left[\rho(g)v\rho(h)v^{-1}\rho(h)^{-1}\rho(g)^{-1}\right] 
= \left[v*g\cdot v^{-1}\right]*g\cdot \left[v*h\cdot v^{-1}\right] 
= \chi_{v}(g)*g\cdot \chi_{v}(h),$$

so that  $B^1(H, R_u(P)) \subset Z^1(H, R_u(P))$ .

As before, if  $R_u(P)$  is abelian then we write (2) in additive notation,  $\chi_v(h) = v - h \cdot v$ , and note that  $B^1(H, R_u(P))$  is a vector subspace of  $Z^1(H, R_u(P))$ .

When is  $\rho_{\alpha} R_u(P)$ -conjugate to  $\rho_{\beta}$ ?

Let  $\alpha, \beta \in Z^1(H, R_u(P))$  and suppose there exists a  $v \in R_u(P)$  such that  $\rho_{\beta}(h) = v \rho_{\alpha}(h) v^{-1}$ . Then

$$\beta(h)\rho(h) = v\alpha(h)\rho(h)v^{-1}$$
$$= v\alpha(h)\rho(h)v^{-1}\rho(h)^{-1}\rho(h),$$

that is

$$\beta(h) = v\alpha(h) * h \cdot v^{-1}. \tag{4.4}$$

We show that (3) gives rise to an equivalence relation on  $Z^1(H, R_u(P))$ .

Reflexivity:

$$\alpha(h) = v\alpha(h) * h \cdot v^{-1}$$
 with the choice  $v = 1$ .

Symmetry:

If 
$$\alpha(h) = v\beta(h) * h \cdot v^{-1}$$
, then  $\beta(h) = v^{-1}\beta(h) * h \cdot v$ .

Transitivity:

If 
$$\alpha(h) = v\beta(h) * h \cdot v^{-1}$$
 and  $\beta(h) = w\gamma(h) * h \cdot w^{-1}$  then  $\alpha(h) = (vw)\gamma(h) * h \cdot (vw)^{-1}$ .

Now we define the 1-cohomology, denoted by  $H^1(H, R_u(P))$ , to be the set of equivalence classes of  $Z^1(H, R_u(P))$ , where  $\alpha \sim \beta$  if and only if (3) holds.

In the abelian case, (3) becomes  $\beta(h) = \alpha(h) + \chi_v(h)$ , so that two 1-cocycles are equivalent when they differ by a 1-coboundary, and  $H^1(H, R_u(P)) = Z^1(H, R_u(P))/B^1(H, R_u(P))$ .

#### BEN'S SUGGESTIONS:

- 1) Understand the basic properties of nonabelian 1-cohomology. (Definitions of 1-cocycles, 1-coboundaries and 1-cohomology. Maps  $H^1(F,U) \to H^1(F_p,U)$ , where  $F_p$  is a Sylow p-subgroup of F. Etc. Some of this will be in Richardson's paper.)
- 2) Try to show that nonabelian U is needed if we are to find a counterexample to Kulshammer's problem. There are various issues here. For instance, is the following true? Suppose we have  $\rho_0: H \to L$ , and suppose  $U = R_u(P)$  is abelian. Let  $\{\rho_\alpha\}$  be a family of representations of H constructed from  $\rho_0$  using 1-cocycles  $\alpha$ . Show that if the restrictions  $\rho_\alpha|H_p$  are all U-conjugate then the  $\rho_\alpha$  are all U-conjugate. Here H is a finite group with Sylow p-subgroup  $H_p$ , but one could ask the same question with  $H = A_1$  and replacing  $H_p$  with the group of upper unitriangular matrices.

### 1-Cohomology Calculation

In this chapter we present a method of calculating the 1-cohomology  $H^1(SL_2(k), V)$  where  $V = R_u(P)$  is the unipotent radical of a parabolic subgroup P of a reductive group G. The motivation for this, as outlined in previous chapters, is to look for infinitely many conjugacy classes of representations of  $SL_2(k)$  into G and then examine various finite subgroups H of  $SL_2(k)$  in the hope of finding a counterexample for Külshammer's Second Problem.

### 5.1 The method

Let G be a reductive group over an algebraically closed field k of characteristic p. Let  $\Phi$  be the roots for G with  $\Delta \subset \Phi^+ \subset \Phi$  the simple and positive roots, respectively, associated to a fixed maximal torus T of G.

Let  $P_{\alpha} < G$  be the parabolic subgroup of G corresponding to the simple root  $\alpha \in \Delta$ , with Levi subgroup  $L_{\alpha}$  and unipotent radical  $V_{\alpha}$ :

$$V_{\alpha} = R_u(P_{\alpha}) = \langle U_{\delta} \in \Phi^+ | \delta \neq \alpha \rangle,$$
  
 $P_{\alpha} = L_{\alpha} \ltimes V_{\alpha}.$ 

By [reference] there exists a homomorphism  $\rho_0$  from  $SL_2(k)$  into  $L_\alpha$  under which

$$\rho_0 \begin{pmatrix} 1 & u \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \epsilon_{\alpha}(u)$$

$$\rho_0 \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ u & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \epsilon_{-\alpha}(u)$$

We fix an integer r > 0 and define  $\rho_r$  to be the homomorphism from  $SL_2(k)$  into  $L_{\alpha}$  composed of  $\rho_0$  and the Frobenius map,

$$F_r: SL_2(k) \to SL_2(k)$$
  
 $(A_{ij}) \mapsto (A_{ij})^{p^r}.$ 

That is

$$\rho_r = \rho_0 \circ F_r,$$

and satisfies

$$\rho_r \begin{pmatrix} 1 & u \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \epsilon_{\alpha}(u^{p^r})$$

$$\rho_r \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ u & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \epsilon_{-\alpha}(u^{p^r}).$$

We let  $SL_2(k)$  act on  $V_{\alpha}$  via  $\rho_r$  and we consider 1-cocycles  $\sigma \in Z^1(SL_2(k), V_{\alpha})$ . As we are interested in 1-cohomology classes, we may as well only consider those 1-cocycles that are zero on a maximal torus of  $SL_2(k)$  [reference], so let  $\sigma \in Z^1(SL_2(k), V_{\alpha})$  such that

$$\sigma\left(\begin{pmatrix} t & 0\\ 0 & t^{-1} \end{pmatrix}\right) = 0,$$

for all  $t \in k^*$ . We can say a few things about these particular 1-cocycles which help us calculate the 1-cohomology. We refer to the results in [reference]:

$$\begin{pmatrix} t & 0 \\ 0 & t^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \cdot \prod_{\delta} \epsilon_{\delta}(\lambda_{\delta}) = \prod_{\delta} \epsilon_{\delta} \left( (t^{p^{r}})^{\langle \delta, \alpha \rangle} \lambda_{\delta} \right)$$
$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \prod_{\delta} \epsilon_{\delta}(\lambda_{\delta}) = \prod_{\delta} n_{\alpha} \epsilon_{\delta} (\lambda_{\delta}) n_{\alpha}^{-1},$$

where  $n_{\alpha} = \epsilon_{\alpha}(1)\epsilon_{-\alpha}(-1)\epsilon_{\alpha}(1)$  and  $\lambda_{\delta}$  are elements of the underlying field k.

#### Lemma 5.1.

$$\sigma\left(\begin{pmatrix}1 & u\\ 0 & 1\end{pmatrix}\right) = \prod_{\delta} \epsilon_{\delta} \left(x_{\delta}\left(u\right)\right),$$

where  $\delta$  ranges  $\Phi^+ - \{\alpha\}$  such that  $\langle \delta, \alpha \rangle > 0$ , and  $x_{\delta} \in k[T]$  are polynomials in one variable.

*Proof.* We have the chain of morphisms

$$k \cong \begin{pmatrix} 1 & * \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{i} SL_2(k) \xrightarrow{\sigma} V_{\alpha} \xrightarrow{\pi_{\delta}} k$$

where i is the inclusion map and  $\pi_{\delta}$  the projection onto the root subgroup  $V_{\delta}$ . Hence, by the definition

$$x_{\delta} = \pi_{\delta} \circ \sigma \circ i$$

is a morphism from k to k.

Now since

$$\begin{pmatrix} t & 0 \\ 0 & t^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & u \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} t^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & t \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & t^2 u \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

we use the 1-cocycle condition to obtain

$$\begin{split} \sigma\left(\begin{pmatrix}1&t^2u\\0&1\end{pmatrix}\right) &=& \sigma\left(\begin{pmatrix}t&0\\0&t^{-1}\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}1&u\\0&1\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}t^{-1}&0\\0&t\end{pmatrix}\right)\\ &=& \sigma\left(\begin{pmatrix}t&0\\0&t^{-1}\end{pmatrix}\right)\begin{pmatrix}t&0\\0&t^{-1}\end{pmatrix}\cdot\sigma\left(\begin{pmatrix}1&u\\0&1\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}t^{-1}&0\\0&t\end{pmatrix}\right)\\ &=& \sigma\left(\begin{pmatrix}t&0\\0&t^{-1}\end{pmatrix}\right)\begin{pmatrix}t&0\\0&t^{-1}\end{pmatrix}\cdot\sigma\left(\begin{pmatrix}1&u\\0&1\end{pmatrix}\right)\begin{pmatrix}1&u\\0&1\end{pmatrix}\cdot\sigma\left(\begin{pmatrix}t^{-1}&0\\0&t\end{pmatrix}\right)\\ &=& \begin{pmatrix}t&0\\0&t^{-1}\end{pmatrix}\cdot\sigma\left(\begin{pmatrix}1&u\\0&1\end{pmatrix}\right). \end{split}$$

Therefore

$$x_{\delta}(t^{2}u) = (t^{p^{r}})^{\langle \delta, \alpha \rangle} x_{\delta}(u).$$

Since x is a polynomial function there can only be non-negative powers of t on the left-hand side of the equality which forces  $\langle \delta, \alpha \rangle \geq 0$ . However, if  $\langle \delta, \alpha \rangle = 0$  then  $x_{\delta}$  is constant and hence zero, as  $\sigma$  is zero on  $\begin{pmatrix} * & 0 \\ 0 & * \end{pmatrix}$ . Therefore the non-zero  $x_{\delta}$  occur precisely when  $\langle \delta, \alpha \rangle > 0$ .

**Lemma 5.2.** Suppose  $\Phi$  is not of type  $G_2$  and let  $\alpha, \beta \in \Phi$ . If  $\alpha + \beta \in \Phi$  then  $\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle \leq 0$ .

Proof.

$$\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle > 0 \iff (\alpha, \beta) > 0 \iff \cos(\theta) > 0,$$

where  $\theta$  is the angle between  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ . Hence acute angles correspond to positive pairs. Referring to the  $A_2$  and  $B_2$  root system diagrams we find that no two roots meeting at an acute angle add to give another root. Therefore if  $\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle > 0$  then  $\alpha + \beta \notin \Phi$ .

We must exclude the case  $\Phi = G_2$  here since  $\alpha, 2\alpha + \beta$  and  $3\alpha + \beta$  are all roots ( $\alpha$  short) but  $\langle \alpha, 2\alpha + \beta \rangle = 1$ . Since the following results depend upon 5.2 we will henceforth assume that  $\Phi \neq G_2$  and deal with the case  $\Phi = G_2$  by way of an example.

**Lemma 5.3.** Let  $\delta_1, \delta_2 \in \Phi \neq G_2$  and  $\gamma \in \Delta$  be roots such that  $\langle \delta_i, \gamma \rangle > 0$  (i = 1, 2). If  $\delta_1 + \delta_2$  is a root, then  $\delta_1$  and  $\delta_2$  are of opposite sign.

*Proof.* Suppose  $\delta_1 + \delta_2 \in \Phi$ . Let  $\theta_i$  be the absolute value of the angle between  $\delta_i$  and  $\gamma$ , (i = 1, 2) and let  $\theta_3$  be the absolute value of the angle between  $\delta_1$  and  $\delta_2$ . Then

$$\langle \delta_i, \gamma \rangle > 0 \qquad (i = 1, 2)$$

$$\implies (\delta_i, \gamma) > 0$$

$$\implies \cos(\theta_i) > 0$$

$$\implies \theta_i < \pi/2,$$

and similarly, using 5.2

$$\langle \delta_1, \delta_2 \rangle \le 0$$
  
 $\implies \theta_3 \ge \pi/2.$ 

So, without loss of generality, this leads to consider four cases:

1: 
$$\theta_1 = \pi/3$$
,  $\theta_2 = \pi/3$ ,  $\theta_3 = 2\pi/3$ ;

**2:** 
$$\theta_1 = \pi/3, \quad \theta_2 = \pi/3, \quad \theta_3 = \pi/2;$$

**3:** 
$$\theta_1 = \pi/4$$
,  $\theta_2 = \pi/3$ ,  $\theta_3 = \pi/2$ ;

**4:** 
$$\theta_1 = \pi/4$$
,  $\theta_2 = \pi/4$ ,  $\theta_3 = \pi/2$ .

For the cases in which  $\theta_3 = \pi/2$  we can see that this means  $\delta_1$  and  $\delta_2$  lie in a  $B_2$  subsystem of  $\Phi$ , and they have the same length. Since  $\delta_1 + \delta_2$  is a root it must be that  $\delta_1$  and  $\delta_2$  are short roots and their sum is a long root.

We are able rule out the third case. For if  $\theta_1 = \pi/4$  then  $\delta_1$  and  $\gamma$  are roots of different length in a  $B_2$  subsystem, but  $\theta_2 = \pi/3$  implies that  $\delta_2$  and  $\gamma$  are roots of the same length in an  $A_2$  subsystem, which is a contradiction.

In the second case we see that  $\delta_1$ ,  $\delta_2$  and  $\gamma$  do not lie together in a rank 2 subsystem but a rank 3 subsystem, and that these roots are the same length which implies that  $\gamma$  is a short root. In fact, since a pair short roots lie in subsystems of type  $A_2$  it must be that the rank 3 subsystem in which the four roots lie is of type  $C_3$ . [Picture?]

Let  $\theta_4$  be the absolute value of the angle between  $\delta_1 + \delta_2$  and  $\gamma$ . Then

$$\cos(\theta_4) = \frac{(\delta_1 + \delta_2, \gamma)}{|\delta_1 + \delta_2||\gamma|} 
> \frac{(\delta_1, \gamma)}{2|\delta_1||\gamma|} + \frac{(\delta_2, \gamma)}{2|\delta_2||\gamma|} \text{ since } |\delta_1 + \delta_2| < |\delta_1| + |\delta_2| 
= \cos(\pi/3).$$

Hence  $\theta_4 < \pi/3$ . The only possibility is for  $\theta_4 = \pi/4$  which means that  $\delta_1 + \delta_2$  is a long root adjacent to  $\gamma$  in a  $B_2$  subsystem.

Corollary 5.4. For any  $u_1, u_2 \in k$ 

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & u_1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \sigma \begin{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & u_2 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix} = \sigma \begin{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & u_2 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Furthermore, the  $x_{\delta}$  are homomorphisms.

*Proof.* We have

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & u_1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \sigma \begin{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & u_2 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix} = \epsilon_{\alpha} \begin{pmatrix} u_1^{p^r} \end{pmatrix} \prod_{\delta} \epsilon_{\delta} (x_{\delta} (u_2)) \epsilon_{\alpha} \begin{pmatrix} -u_1^{p^r} \end{pmatrix},$$

with  $\langle \delta, \alpha \rangle > 0$ . By 5.2  $\alpha + \delta \notin \Phi$  so each  $\epsilon_{\delta}$  commutes with the  $\epsilon_{\alpha}$ .

Corollary 5.5. The image of the group of upper triangular matrices of  $SL_2(k)$  under  $\sigma$  lies in an abelian subgroup of  $V_{\alpha}$ .

Proof. First consider

$$\sigma\left(\begin{pmatrix}1&b\\0&1\end{pmatrix}\right) = \prod_{\delta} \epsilon_{\delta}\left(x_{\delta}(b)\right).$$

Suppose the roots  $\delta_1$  and  $\delta_2$  appear on the right hand side. By 5.1  $\delta_i \in \Phi^+ - \{\alpha\}$  and  $\langle \delta_i, \alpha \rangle > 0$ , so 5.3 asserts that  $\delta_1 + \delta_2$  is no root, hence,  $\epsilon_{\delta_1}$  and  $\epsilon_{\delta_2}$  commute. Therefore,

for any  $a, b \in k$  with  $a \neq 0$ 

$$\sigma\left(\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & a^{-1} \end{pmatrix}\right) = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & a^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \cdot \sigma\left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & b \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}\right)$$
$$= \prod_{\delta} \epsilon_{\delta} \left((a^{p^r})^{\langle \delta, \alpha \rangle} x_{\delta}(b)\right).$$

Since the  $x_{\delta}$  are homomorphisms from  $k \to k$  they must take the form

$$T \mapsto \sum_{i} \mu_i T^{p^i},$$

for some  $\mu_i$  in k. Furthermore, combining the calculation in the proof of 5.1 with the result 5.4 we get that

$$\prod_{\delta} \epsilon_{\delta} \left( x_{\delta} \left( a^{2} b \right) \right) = \prod_{\delta} \epsilon_{\delta} \left( a^{\langle \delta, \alpha \rangle} x_{\delta} \left( b \right) \right),$$

severely restricting the possible polynomials  $x_{\delta}$ . In fact, they are confined to be polynomials involving just one term, and the exponent has already be decided when fixing the integer r in the definition of  $\rho_r$ . For suppose  $x_{\delta}$  and hence some  $\mu_j$  is non-zero. Then equating the coefficients of b in the equality directly above yields

$$\mu_j(a^2)^{p^j} = \mu_j \left( a^{p^r} \right)^{\langle \delta, \alpha \rangle}$$
$$\Longrightarrow 2p^j = \langle \delta, \alpha \rangle p^r.$$

Since 2 divides that on the right hand side of the above, if  $\langle \delta, \alpha \rangle$  is not a multiple of 2 then p, the characteristic of k, must be 2 or else  $x_{\delta} = 0$ , which implies that the 1-cohomology of the restriction of  $SL_2(k)$  to the upper triangular matrices is trivial, and this implies that the 1-cohomology of the whole of  $SL_2(k)$  is trivial.

In [Carter] it is shown that the possible pairings of any two roots are bounded by  $\pm 3$ . Hence by 5.1  $\langle \delta, \alpha \rangle = 1, 2$  or 3. In fact  $\langle \delta, \alpha \rangle = 3$  occurs only in the particularly tricky case that excludes the root system  $G_2$  from the result in 5.2.

Things to do here:

- Refer to Structure/Classification Theorem to get the homomorphisms  $\rho_r$
- Choosing  $\sigma$  s.t.  $\sigma \begin{pmatrix} t & 0 \\ 0 & t^{-1} \end{pmatrix} = 0$

- Letting  $\sigma \begin{pmatrix} 1 & u \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}_i = p_i(u)$
- $\bullet \begin{pmatrix} t & 0 \\ 0 & t^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & u \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} t^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & t \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & t^2 u \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} t & 0 \\ 0 & t^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \cdot (p_i(u)) = (p_i(t^2 u))$
- $\begin{pmatrix} t & 0 \\ 0 & t^{-1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & u_1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & u_2 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow p_i(u_1 + u_2) = p_i(u_1) * \begin{pmatrix} 1 & u_1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot p_i(u_2)$ . Usually end up with  $p_i$  homomorphisms.
- Know  $\sigma \begin{pmatrix} 1 & u \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ . Calc.  $\sigma \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & a^{-1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & a^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \cdot \sigma \begin{pmatrix} 1 & a^{-1}c \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$
- Can get  $\sigma \begin{pmatrix} d^{-1} & 0 \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$  by a similar argument.
- Calc.  $\sigma \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \sigma \begin{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix}$
- Compare with fact  $\begin{pmatrix} t & 0 \\ 0 & t^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \cdot \sigma \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \sigma \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ . Now we know  $\sigma$  exactly on B and  $n_{\gamma}$ .
- Already know  $\sigma \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$  if c = 0. Now calc.

$$\sigma \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} = \sigma \left( \begin{pmatrix} 1 & ac^{-1} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -c & -d \\ 0 & -c^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \right)$$

- We now have fact  $\sigma' \in Z^1(SL_2, V) \Rightarrow \sigma' \sim \sigma$  and know the form of  $\sigma$ . To check " $\Leftarrow$ " direction apply  $\sigma$  to the Steinberg relations.
- Find all  $\tau \in Z^1(SL_2, V)$  conj. to  $\sigma$  and also zero on  $\begin{pmatrix} t & 0 \\ 0 & t^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$  by calculating  $\tau(g) = v * \sigma(g) * g \cdot v^{-1}$ .
- Can now state conj. classes of 1-cocycles by inspection.
- Extend classes to P-conjugacy by action of Z(L). Explain why ...
- G-conjugacy ...

### 5.2 A rank 1 calculation

[INCLUDE  $G_2$  OR  $B_2$  CALCULATIONS]

Let T be a maximal torus of  $B_2$  over an algebraically closed field k of characteristic p. We label the positive roots for  $B_2$  as  $\alpha, \beta, \alpha + \beta, 2\alpha + \beta$ . We have from [reference Humphreys 33.4]:

$$\epsilon_{\beta}(y)\epsilon_{\alpha}(x) = \epsilon_{\alpha}(x)\epsilon_{\beta}(y)\epsilon_{\alpha+\beta}(xy)\epsilon_{2\alpha+\beta}(x^{2}y)$$

$$\epsilon_{\alpha+\beta}(y)\epsilon_{\alpha}(x) = \epsilon_{\alpha}(x)\epsilon_{\alpha+\beta}(y)\epsilon_{2\alpha+\beta}(2xy),$$

and

$$n_{\alpha}\epsilon_{\beta}(x)n_{\alpha}^{-1} = \epsilon_{2\alpha+\beta}(x)$$

$$n_{\alpha}\epsilon_{\alpha+\beta}(x)n_{\alpha}^{-1} = \epsilon_{\alpha+\beta}(-x)$$

$$n_{\alpha}\epsilon_{2\alpha+\beta}(x)n_{\alpha}^{-1} = \epsilon_{\beta}(x)$$

$$n_{\beta}\epsilon_{\alpha}(x)n_{\beta}^{-1} = \epsilon_{\alpha+\beta}(x)$$

$$n_{\beta}\epsilon_{\alpha+\beta}(x)n_{\beta}^{-1} = \epsilon_{\alpha}(-x)$$

$$n_{\beta}\epsilon_{2\alpha+\beta}(x)n_{\beta}^{-1} = \epsilon_{2\alpha+\beta}(x)$$

A proper parabolic subgroup of  $B_2$  is conjugate to one of

$$P_{\alpha} = \langle B, U_{-\alpha} \rangle$$

$$P_{\beta} = \langle B, U_{-\beta} \rangle,$$

where B is the Borel subgroup of  $B_2$  containing T

$$B = \langle T, U_{\alpha}, U_{\beta}, U_{\alpha+\beta}, U_{2\alpha+\beta} \rangle.$$

The two parabolic subgroups have the Levi decompositions

$$P_{\alpha} = L_{\alpha} \ltimes R_{u}(P_{\alpha})$$

$$= \langle T, U_{\alpha}, U_{-\alpha} \rangle \ltimes \langle U_{\beta}, U_{\alpha+\beta}, U_{2\alpha+\beta} \rangle$$

$$P_{\beta} = L_{\beta} \ltimes R_{u}(P_{\beta})$$

$$= \langle T, U_{\beta}, U_{-\beta} \rangle \ltimes \langle U_{\alpha}, U_{\alpha+\beta}, U_{2\alpha+\beta} \rangle$$

#### 5.2.1 Example

Let V be the unipotent radical of the parabolic subgroup of  $B_2$  defined by the (short) root  $\alpha$ :

$$V = R_u(P_\alpha) = \langle U_\beta, U_{\alpha+\beta}, U_{2\alpha+\beta} \rangle,$$

and let  $\rho_r$  be the homomorphism from  $SL_2 \to L_\alpha$  defined by

$$\rho_r \begin{pmatrix} 1 & u \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \epsilon_{\alpha}(u^{p^r})$$

$$\rho_r \begin{pmatrix} t & 0 \\ 0 & t^{-1} \end{pmatrix} = \alpha^{\vee}(t^{p^r})$$

$$\rho_r \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = n_{\alpha},$$

where r is some non-negative integer.

Note that V is abelian. Now  $SL_2$  acts on V via  $\rho_r$ : write  $\mathbf{v} = \epsilon_{\beta}(v_1)\epsilon_{\alpha+\beta}(v_2)\epsilon_{2\alpha+\beta}(v_3)$  in V as a column vector

$$\mathbf{v} = \begin{pmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \\ v_3 \end{pmatrix},$$

and

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & u \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \mathbf{v} &= \rho_r \begin{pmatrix} 1 & u \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{v} \begin{pmatrix} \rho_r \begin{pmatrix} 1 & u \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix}^{-1}$$

$$= \epsilon_{\alpha}(u^{p^r}) \epsilon_{\beta}(v_1) \epsilon_{\alpha+\beta}(v_2) \epsilon_{2\alpha+\beta}(v_3) \epsilon_{\alpha}(-u^{p^r})$$

$$= \epsilon_{\alpha}(u^{p^r}) \epsilon_{\beta}(v_1) \epsilon_{\alpha+\beta}(v_2) \epsilon_{2\alpha+\beta}(v_3) \epsilon_{\alpha}(-u^{p^r}) \epsilon_{2\alpha+\beta}(v_3)$$

$$= \epsilon_{\alpha}(u^{p^r}) \epsilon_{\beta}(v_1) \epsilon_{\alpha}(-u^{p^r}) \epsilon_{\alpha+\beta}(v_2) \epsilon_{2\alpha+\beta}(u^{2p^r}v_2) \epsilon_{2\alpha+\beta}(v_3)$$

$$= \epsilon_{\alpha}(u^{p^r}) \epsilon_{\alpha}(-u^{p^r}) \epsilon_{\beta}(v_1) \epsilon_{\alpha+\beta}(-u^{p^r}v_1) \epsilon_{2\alpha+\beta}(u^{2p^r}v_1) \epsilon_{\alpha+\beta}(v_2) \epsilon_{2\alpha+\beta}(v_3 - 2u^{p^r}v_2)$$

$$= \epsilon_{\beta}(v_1) \epsilon_{\alpha+\beta}(v_2 - u^{p^r}v_1) \epsilon_{2\alpha+\beta}(v_3 - 2u^{p^r}v_2 + u^{2p^r}v_1)$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 - u^{p^r}v_1 \\ v_3 - 2u^{p^r}v_2 + u^{2p^r}v_1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \alpha^{\vee}(t^{p^r}) \epsilon_{\beta}(v_1) \epsilon_{\alpha+\beta}(v_2) \epsilon_{2\alpha+\beta}(v_3) (\alpha^{\vee}(t^{p^r}))^{-1}$$

$$= \epsilon_{\beta}(\beta(\alpha^{\vee}(t^{p^r})) v_1) \epsilon_{\alpha+\beta}((\alpha+\beta)(\alpha^{\vee}(t^{p^r})) v_2) \epsilon_{2\alpha+\beta}((2\alpha+\beta)(\alpha^{\vee}(t^{p^r})) v_3)$$

$$= \epsilon_{\beta}((t^{p^r})^{(\beta,\alpha)}v_1) \epsilon_{\alpha+\beta}((t^{p^r})^{(\alpha+\beta,\alpha)}v_2) \epsilon_{2\alpha+\beta}((t^{p^r})^{(2\alpha+\beta,\alpha)}v_3)$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} t^{-2p^r}v_1 \\ v_2 \\ t^{2p^r}v_3 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \mathbf{v} = \rho_r \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{v} \begin{pmatrix} \rho_r \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix}^{-1}$$

$$= n_{\alpha}\epsilon_{\beta}(v_1)\epsilon_{\alpha+\beta}(v_2)\epsilon_{2\alpha+\beta}(v_3)n_{\alpha}^{-1}$$

$$= n_{\alpha}\epsilon_{\beta}(v_1)n_{\alpha}^{-1}n_{\alpha}\epsilon_{\alpha+\beta}(v_2)n_{\alpha}^{-1}n_{\alpha}\epsilon_{2\alpha+\beta}(v_3)n_{\alpha}^{-1}$$

$$= \epsilon_{\beta}(v_3)\epsilon_{\alpha+\beta}(-v_2)\epsilon_{\beta}(v_3)$$

$$= \epsilon_{\beta}(v_3)\epsilon_{\alpha+\beta}(-v_2)\epsilon_{\beta}(v_3)$$

$$= \epsilon_{\beta}(v_3)\epsilon_{\alpha+\beta}(-v_2)\epsilon_{2\alpha+\beta}(v_1)$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} v_3 \\ -v_2 \\ v_1 \end{pmatrix} .$$

We can combine the above calculations to get an explicit formula for the action of  $SL_2$  on V:

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \cdot \mathbf{v} = \begin{pmatrix} d^{2p^r} v_1 - 2(cd)^{p^r} v_2 + c^{2p^r} v_3 \\ (ad + bc)^{p^r} v_2 - (bd)^{p^r} v_1 - (ac)^{p^r} v_3 \\ b^{2p^r} v_1 - 2(ab)^{p^r} v_2 + a^{2p^r} v_3 \end{pmatrix}$$

Now let  $\sigma'$  in  $Z^1(SL_2, V)$  be a 1-cocycle from  $SL_2 \to V$ . By [some reference]  $\sigma'$  is conjugate to a 1-cocycle  $\sigma$  that has the additional property that

$$\sigma \begin{pmatrix} t & 0 \\ 0 & t^{-1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

for all t in  $k^*$ . Since we are ultimately concerned with the 1-cohomology, that is, conjugacy classes of 1-cocycles, we may proceed with  $\sigma$  instead.

Since  $\sigma$  is a morphism of varieties, each component of  $\sigma \begin{pmatrix} 1 & u \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  should be a polynomial function of u, so let

$$\sigma \begin{pmatrix} 1 & u \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} p_1(u) \\ p_2(u) \\ p_3(u) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Now we make use of the very simple relations

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & t^2 u \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} t & 0 \\ 0 & t^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & u \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} t^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & t \end{pmatrix}$$
 (5.1)

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & u_1 + u_2 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & u_1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & u_2 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{5.2}$$

to get further information on the polynomials  $p_i$  (i = 1, 2, 3).

If we apply  $\sigma$  to both sides of (5.1), using the 1-cocycle condition on the right hand side, then we get

$$\sigma\left(\begin{pmatrix}1&t^2u\\0&1\end{pmatrix}\right) = \sigma\left(\begin{pmatrix}t&0\\0&t^{-1}\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}1&u\\0&1\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}t^{-1}&0\\0&t\end{pmatrix}\right) \\
= \sigma\left(\begin{pmatrix}t&0\\0&t^{-1}\end{pmatrix}\right) + \begin{pmatrix}t&0\\0&t^{-1}\end{pmatrix} \cdot \sigma\left(\begin{pmatrix}1&u\\0&1\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}t^{-1}&0\\0&t\end{pmatrix}\right) \\
= \sigma\left(\begin{pmatrix}t&0\\0&t^{-1}\end{pmatrix}\right) + \begin{pmatrix}t&0\\0&t^{-1}\end{pmatrix} \cdot \left(\sigma\left(\begin{pmatrix}1&u\\0&1\end{pmatrix}\right) + \begin{pmatrix}1&u\\0&1\end{pmatrix}\right) \cdot \sigma\left(\begin{pmatrix}t^{-1}&0\\0&t\end{pmatrix}\right) \right) \\
= \begin{pmatrix}t&0\\0&t^{-1}\end{pmatrix} \cdot \sigma\left(\begin{pmatrix}1&u\\0&1\end{pmatrix}\right).$$

That is,

$$p_1(t^2u) = t^{-2p^r}p_1(u) (5.3)$$

$$p_2(t^2u) = p_2(u) (5.4)$$

$$p_3(t^2u) = t^{2p^r}p_3(u). (5.5)$$

From (5.4) it is clear that  $p_2$  is constant, so there is a  $\lambda$  in k such that  $p_2(x) = \lambda$  for all x in k. Now notice that on the left hand side of (5.3) there are only non-negative powers of t, and on the right hand side there are only non-positive powers of t. This equality is only satisfied if  $p_1(x) = 0$  for all x in k, so  $p_1$  is the zero polynomial.

We apply  $\sigma$  to (5.2) and using the 1-cocycle condition to obtain

$$\sigma\left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & u_1 + u_2 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}\right) = \sigma\left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & u_1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} 1 & u_2 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}\right) \\
= \sigma\left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & u_1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}\right) + \begin{pmatrix} 1 & u_1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \sigma\left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & u_2 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}\right).$$

That is,

$$p_2(u_1 + u_2) = p_2(u_1) + p_2(u_2) (5.6)$$

$$p_3(u_1 + u_2) = p_3(u_1) + p_3(u_2) - 2u_1^{p^r} p_2(u_2).$$
 (5.7)

Since  $p_2$  is constant, (5.6) implies that  $p_2$  is the zero polynomial, which means (5.7) becomes

$$p_3(u_1 + u_2) = p_3(u_1) + p_3(u_2).$$

Hence  $p_3$  is a homomorphism, that is, of the form

$$p_3(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{N} \mu_i x^{p^i}, (5.8)$$

for some  $u_i$  in k.

Now combining (5.5) and (5.8) yields

$$\sum_{i=0}^{N} \mu_i (t^2 u)^{p^i} = t^{2p^r} \sum_{i=0}^{N} \mu_i u^{p^i}.$$
 (5.9)

If  $p_3$  is not the zero polynomial then there is a non-zero  $\mu_l$  for some index l. By equating the coefficients of u in (5.9) we get

$$\mu_l t^{2p^l} = \mu_l t^{2p^r}$$

$$\implies p^l = p^r.$$

Therefore l = r. This means that the only non-zero  $\mu_i$  is already specified by the choice of r in defining  $\rho_r$ .

Letting  $\mu_l = \mu$  in k, we have

$$\begin{split} \sigma\left(\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & a^{-1} \end{pmatrix}\right) &= \sigma\left(\begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & a^{-1} \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} 1 & a^{-1}b \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}\right) \\ &= \sigma\left(\begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & a^{-1} \end{pmatrix}\right) + \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & a^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \cdot \sigma\left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & a^{-1}b \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}\right) \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & a^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \mu(a^{-1}b)^{p^r} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \mu(ab)^{p^r} \end{pmatrix}. \end{split}$$

If we are to find a non-trivial 1-cohomology  $H^1(SL_2, V)$  then  $\sigma$  cannot be a 1-coboundary. But if the characteristic of k, p, is not equal to 2 then by setting  $\mathbf{v}$  in V as

$$\mathbf{v} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \mu 2^{-1} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

we get for all a in  $k^*$  and all b in k

$$\chi_v \left( \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & a^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \right) = \mathbf{v} - \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & a^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \cdot \mathbf{v}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \mu 2^{-1} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \mu 2^{-1} \\ -\mu (ab)^{p^r} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \mu (ab)^{p^r} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \sigma \left( \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & a^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \right).$$

That is,  $\sigma$  takes the value of a 1-coboundary on the subgroup of upper triangular matrices of  $SL_2$ . By [some reference], this means that  $\sigma$  is a 1-coboundary from the whole of  $SL_2 \to V$ , and hence the 1-cohomology  $H^1(SL_2, V)$  is trivial. Therefore it is necessary to proceed with p=2:

$$\sigma\left(\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & a^{-1} \end{pmatrix}\right) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \mu(ab)^{2^r} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{5.10}$$

We can use an entirely similar argument to the one in calculating (5.10) to show that

$$\sigma\left(\begin{pmatrix} d^{-1} & 0 \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}\right) = \begin{pmatrix} \mu'(cd)^{2^r} \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

for some  $\mu'$  in k.

We are now interested in the value of

$$\sigma\left(\begin{pmatrix}0&1\\-1&0\end{pmatrix}\right) = \sigma\left(\begin{pmatrix}0&1\\1&0\end{pmatrix}\right),$$

remembering that k now has characteristic 2. On the one hand

$$\sigma\left(\begin{pmatrix}0&1\\1&0\end{pmatrix}\right) = \sigma\left(\begin{pmatrix}1&1\\0&1\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}1&0\\1&1\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}1&1\\0&1\end{pmatrix}\right)$$

$$= \sigma\left(\begin{pmatrix}1&1\\0&1\end{pmatrix}\right) + \begin{pmatrix}1&1\\0&1\end{pmatrix} \cdot \sigma\left(\begin{pmatrix}1&0\\1&1\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}1&1\\0&1\end{pmatrix}\right)$$

$$= \sigma\left(\begin{pmatrix}1&1\\0&1\end{pmatrix}\right) + \begin{pmatrix}1&1\\0&1\end{pmatrix} \cdot \left(\sigma\left(\begin{pmatrix}1&0\\1&1\end{pmatrix}\right) + \begin{pmatrix}1&0\\1&1\end{pmatrix} \cdot \sigma\left(\begin{pmatrix}1&1\\0&1\end{pmatrix}\right)\right)$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix}0\\0\\\mu\end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix}1&1\\0&1\end{pmatrix} \cdot \left(\begin{pmatrix}\mu'\\0\\0\end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix}1&0\\1&1\end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix}0\\0\\\mu\end{pmatrix}\right)$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix}0\\0\\\mu\end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix}1&1\\0&1\end{pmatrix} \cdot \left(\begin{pmatrix}\mu'\\0\\0\end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix}\mu\\\mu\\\mu\\\mu\end{pmatrix}\right)$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix}0\\0\\\mu\end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix}1&1\\0&1\end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix}\mu+\mu'\\\mu\\\mu\\\mu\end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix}0\\0\\\mu\end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix}1&1\\0&1\end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix}\mu+\mu'\\\mu\\\mu\\\mu\end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix}0\\0\\\mu\end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix}\mu+\mu'\\\mu'\\\mu'\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}\mu+\mu'\\\mu'\\\mu'\end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix}\mu+\mu'\\\mu'\\\mu+\mu'\end{pmatrix}.$$

On the other hand, by applying  $\sigma$  to both sides of the equality

$$\begin{pmatrix} t & 0 \\ 0 & t^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} t^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & t \end{pmatrix},$$

we get

$$\begin{pmatrix} t & 0 \\ 0 & t^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \cdot \sigma \left( \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) = \sigma \left( \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right).$$

Therefore  $\sigma\left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}\right)$  is an element of V that is fixed by the action of  $\begin{pmatrix} t & 0 \\ 0 & t^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$ . Referring to the formula for the action of  $SL_2$  on V we see that such an element of V is of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ * \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
,

which implies that  $\mu = \mu'$ .

Finally, consider

$$\sigma\left(\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}\right).$$

If c = 0 then we already have

$$\sigma\left(\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & a^{-1} \end{pmatrix}\right) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \mu(ab)^{2^r} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Otherwise  $c^{-1}$  exists and we can compute

$$\begin{split} \sigma\left(\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}\right) &= \sigma\left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & ac^{-1} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} c & d \\ 0 & c^{-1} \end{pmatrix}\right) \\ &= \sigma\left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & ac^{-1} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, + \begin{pmatrix} 1 & ac^{-1} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \cdot \sigma\left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} c & d \\ 0 & c^{-1} \end{pmatrix}\right) \\ &= \sigma\left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & ac^{-1} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, + \begin{pmatrix} 1 & ac^{-1} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \cdot \left(\sigma\left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \cdot \sigma\left(\begin{pmatrix} c & d \\ 0 & c^{-1} \end{pmatrix}\right)\right) \right) \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \mu(ac^{-1})^{2^r} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 1 & ac^{-1} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \mu \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, + \begin{pmatrix} \mu(cd)^{2^r} \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \mu(ac^{-1})^{2^r} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 1 & ac^{-1} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \mu(cd)^{2^r} \\ \mu \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \mu(ac^{-1})^{2^r} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 1 & ac^{-1} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \mu(cd)^{2^r} \\ \mu \\ (ac^{-1})^{2^r} \mu(cd)^{2^r} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \mu(cd)^{2^r} \\ \mu(1 + ad)^{2^r} \\ \mu(ac^{-1})^{2^r} (1 + ad)^{2^r} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mu(cd)^{2^r} \\ \mu(bc)^{2^r} \\ \mu(ab)^{2^r} \end{pmatrix}. \end{split}$$

In fact, we see that

$$\sigma\left(\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}\right) = \begin{pmatrix} \mu(cd)^{2^r} \\ \mu(bc)^{2^r} \\ \mu(ab)^{2^r} \end{pmatrix},$$

holds in either case.

[Show converse - Steinberg relations]

Now if  $\sigma$  is in the same conjugacy class as  $\tau$  then by [some reference]

$$\tau\left(\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}\right) = \mathbf{v} + \sigma\left(\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}\right) + \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \cdot \mathbf{v}.$$

As before, we consider 1-cocycles that are zero on  $\begin{pmatrix} t & 0 \\ 0 & t^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$ , so this means considering  $\mathbf{v}$  that is fixed by the action of  $\begin{pmatrix} t & 0 \\ 0 & t^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$ :

$$\tau \left( \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \right) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ v_2 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + \sigma \left( \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \right) + \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ v_2 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \\
= \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ v_2 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \mu(cd)^{2r} \\ \mu(bc)^{2r} \\ \mu(ab)^{2r} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ v_2 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \\
= \begin{pmatrix} \mu(cd)^{2r} \\ \mu(bc)^{2r} \\ \mu(ab)^{2r} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Therefore each  $\mu$  in k corresponds to a conjugacy class of 1-cocycles  $[\sigma_{\mu}]$  from  $SL_2 \to V$  where

$$\sigma_{\mu} \begin{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mu(cd)^{2^r} \\ \mu(bc)^{2^r} \\ \mu(ab)^{2^r} \end{pmatrix},$$

and the 1-cocycle  $\tau$  is in the class  $[\sigma_{\mu}]$  if there is a  ${\bf v}$  in V such that

$$\tau \left( \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \right) = \mathbf{v} + \sigma_{\mu} \left( \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \right) + \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \cdot \mathbf{v}.$$

As discussed in [ref previous section] we can use this result to find the 1-cocycles from  $SL_2 \to P_\alpha$  by considering the action of  $Z(L_\alpha)^\circ$ , the connected centre of the Levi subgroup  $L_\alpha$ . Now,

$$Z(L_{\alpha})^{\circ} = \langle \gamma^{\vee}(x) | x \in k \rangle$$

where  $\gamma$  is a root in  $\Phi_{\alpha,\beta}$  such that

$$\langle \alpha, \gamma \rangle = 0. \tag{5.11}$$

Since  $\gamma = m\alpha + n\beta$  for some integers m, n, we have

$$\langle \alpha, \gamma \rangle = \langle \alpha, m\alpha + n\beta \rangle \tag{5.12}$$

and so

$$\langle \alpha, m\alpha + n\beta \rangle = 0$$

$$\iff \langle m\alpha + n\beta, \alpha \rangle = 0$$

$$\iff m\langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle + n\langle \beta, \alpha \rangle = 0$$

$$\iff 2m - 2n = 0$$

$$\iff m = n$$

Therefore  $Z(L_{\alpha})^{\circ} = \langle (\alpha + \beta)^{\vee}(x) | x \in k \rangle$ . Taking an element  $\mathbf{s} = (\alpha + \beta)^{\vee}(s)$  of  $Z(L_{\alpha})^{\circ}$  we compute the action of  $\mathbf{s}$  on the 1-cocycle  $\sigma_{\mu}$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
(\mathbf{s} \cdot \sigma_{\mu}) \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} &= (\alpha + \beta)^{\vee}(s) \epsilon_{\beta} \left( \mu(cd)^{2^{r}} \right) \epsilon_{\alpha+\beta} \left( \mu(bc)^{2^{r}} \right) \epsilon_{2\alpha+\beta} \left( \mu(ab)^{2^{r}} \right) (\alpha + \beta)^{\vee}(s)^{-1} \\
&= \epsilon_{\beta} \left( s^{\langle \beta, \alpha + \beta \rangle} \mu(cd)^{2^{r}} \right) \epsilon_{\alpha+\beta} \left( s^{\langle \alpha + \beta, \alpha + \beta \rangle} \mu(bc)^{2^{r}} \right) \epsilon_{2\alpha+\beta} \left( s^{\langle 2\alpha + \beta, \alpha + \beta \rangle} \mu(ab)^{2^{r}} \right) \\
&= \begin{pmatrix} (s^{2}\mu)(cd)^{2^{r}} \\ (s^{2}\mu)(bc)^{2^{r}} \\ (s^{2}\mu)(ab)^{2^{r}} \end{pmatrix}.
\end{aligned}$$

So we see that the infinitely many conjugacy classes of 1-cocycles from  $SL_2 \to V$  collapse

to just two classes when we consider the action of  $Z(L_{\alpha})^{\circ}$ , that is, moving from V-conjugacy to  $P_{\alpha}$ -conjugacy:

$$[\sigma_0] = \{\sigma_0\}$$

$$[\sigma_1] = \{\sigma_\mu \mid \mu \in k^*\}.$$

#### 5.2.2 Example

Let V be the unipotent radical of the parabolic subgroup of  $B_2$  defined by the (long) root  $\beta$ :

$$V = R_u(P_\beta) = \langle U_\alpha, U_{\alpha+\beta}, U_{2\alpha+\beta} \rangle,$$

and let  $\rho_r$  be the homomorphism from  $SL_2 \to L_\beta$  defined by

$$\rho_r \begin{pmatrix} 1 & u \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \epsilon_{\beta}(u^{p^r})$$

$$\rho_r \begin{pmatrix} t & 0 \\ 0 & t^{-1} \end{pmatrix} = \beta^{\vee}(t^{p^r})$$

$$\rho_r \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = n_{\beta},$$

where r is some non-negative integer.

Note that V is not abelian in general. The Group Law for V can be computed as follows. Let  $\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}$  in V. We have, using notation similar to the previous example

$$\mathbf{v} * \mathbf{w} = \epsilon_{\alpha}(v_{1})\epsilon_{\alpha+\beta}(v_{2})\epsilon_{2\alpha+\beta}(v_{3})\epsilon_{\alpha}(w_{1})\epsilon_{\alpha+\beta}(w_{2})\epsilon_{2\alpha+\beta}(w_{3})$$

$$= \epsilon_{\alpha}(v_{1})\epsilon_{\alpha+\beta}(v_{2})\epsilon_{\alpha}(w_{1})\epsilon_{\alpha+\beta}(w_{2})\epsilon_{2\alpha+\beta}(v_{3})\epsilon_{2\alpha+\beta}(w_{3})$$

$$= \epsilon_{\alpha}(v_{1})\epsilon_{\alpha}(w_{1})\epsilon_{\alpha+\beta}(v_{2})\epsilon_{2\alpha+\beta}(2v_{2}w_{1})\epsilon_{\alpha+\beta}(w_{2})\epsilon_{2\alpha+\beta}(v_{3})\epsilon_{2\alpha+\beta}(w_{3})$$

$$= \epsilon_{\alpha}(v_{1}+w_{1})\epsilon_{\alpha+\beta}(v_{2}+w_{2})\epsilon_{2\alpha+\beta}(v_{3}+w_{3}+2v_{2}w_{1})$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} v_{1}+w_{1} \\ v_{2}+w_{2} \\ v_{3}+w_{3}+2v_{2}w_{1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Now we compute the action of  $SL_2$  on V via  $\rho_r$ . Let **v** be an element of V:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & u \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \mathbf{v} &= \rho_r \begin{pmatrix} 1 & u \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{v} \begin{pmatrix} \rho_r \begin{pmatrix} 1 & u \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix}^{-1}$$

$$= \epsilon_{\beta}(u^{p^r}) \epsilon_{\alpha}(v_1) \epsilon_{\alpha+\beta}(v_2) \epsilon_{2\alpha+\beta}(u_3) \epsilon_{\beta}(-u^{p^r})$$

$$= \epsilon_{\alpha}(v_1) \epsilon_{\beta}(u^{p^r}) \epsilon_{\alpha+\beta}(u^{p^r} v_1) \epsilon_{2\alpha+\beta}(u^{p^r} v_1^2) \epsilon_{\alpha+\beta}(v_2) \epsilon_{2\alpha+\beta}(v_3) \epsilon_{\beta}(-u^{p^r})$$

$$= \epsilon_{\alpha}(v_1) \epsilon_{\beta}(u^{p^r}) \epsilon_{\alpha+\beta}(v_2 + u^{p^r} v_1) \epsilon_{2\alpha+\beta}(u_3 + u^{p^r} v_1^2) \epsilon_{\beta}(-u^{p^r})$$

$$= \epsilon_{\alpha}(v_1) \epsilon_{\alpha+\beta}(u^{p^r} v_1) \epsilon_{2\alpha+\beta}(u^{p^r} v_1^2) \epsilon_{\alpha+\beta}(v_3 + u^{p^r} v_1^2) \epsilon_{\beta}(-u^{p^r})$$

$$= \epsilon_{\alpha}(v_1) \epsilon_{\alpha+\beta}(v_2 + u^{p^r} v_1) \epsilon_{2\alpha+\beta}(v_3 + u^{p^r} v_1^2)$$

$$= \epsilon_{\alpha}(v_1) \epsilon_{\alpha+\beta}(v_2 + u^{p^r} v_1) \epsilon_{2\alpha+\beta}(v_3 + u^{p^r} v_1^2)$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 + u^{p^r} v_1 \\ v_3 + u^{p^r} v_1^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} t & 0 \\ 0 & t^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \cdot \mathbf{v} = \rho_r \begin{pmatrix} t & 0 \\ 0 & t^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{v} \begin{pmatrix} \rho_r \begin{pmatrix} t & 0 \\ 0 & t^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix}^{-1}$$

$$= \epsilon_{\alpha}(\alpha(\beta^{\vee}(t^{p^r})) \epsilon_{\alpha+\beta}(v_2) \epsilon_{2\alpha+\beta}(v_3) (\beta^{\vee}(t^{p^r})) - 1$$

$$= \epsilon_{\alpha}(\alpha(\beta^{\vee}(t^{p^r})) \epsilon_{\alpha+\beta}((t^{p^r})) \epsilon_{\alpha+\beta}((t^{p^r})) \epsilon_{2\alpha+\beta}((t^{p^r})) \epsilon_{2\alpha+\beta}((t^{p^r})) \epsilon_{3\beta})$$

$$= \epsilon_{\alpha}((t^{p^r})^{(\alpha,\beta)} v_1) \epsilon_{\alpha+\beta}((t^{p^r})^{(\alpha+\beta,\beta)} v_2) \epsilon_{2\alpha+\beta}((t^{p^r})^{(2\alpha+\beta,\beta)} v_3)$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} t^{-p^r} v_1 \\ t^{p^r} v_2 \\ v_3 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \mathbf{v} = \rho_r \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{v} \begin{pmatrix} \rho_r \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix}^{-1}$$

$$= n_{\beta} \epsilon_{\alpha}(v_1) \epsilon_{\alpha+\beta}(v_2) \epsilon_{2\alpha+\beta}(v_3) n_{\beta}^{-1}$$

$$= n_{\beta} \epsilon_{\alpha}(v_1) \epsilon_{\alpha+\beta}(v_1) \epsilon_{2\alpha+\beta}(v_3)$$

$$= \epsilon_{\alpha}(-v_2) \epsilon_{\alpha+\beta}(v_1) \epsilon_{2\alpha+\beta}(v_3)$$

$$= \epsilon_{\alpha}(-v_2) \epsilon_{\alpha+\beta}(v_1) \epsilon_{2\alpha+\beta}(v_3) - 2 v_1 v_2$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} v_1 \\ v_3 - 2 v_1 v_2 \end{pmatrix} .$$

Or, more explicitly

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \cdot \mathbf{v} = \begin{pmatrix} c^{p^r} v_2 + d^{p^r} v_1 \\ a^{p^r} v_2 + b^{p^r} v_1 \\ v_3 + (ac)^{p^r} v_2^2 + (bd)^{p^r} v_1^2 + 2(bc)^{p^r} v_1 v_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

As in the previous example we let  $\sigma$  in  $Z^1(SL_2, V)$  be a 1-cocycle from  $SL_2 \to V$  such that

$$\sigma \begin{pmatrix} t & 0 \\ 0 & t^{-1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

for all t in  $k^*$ , and

$$\sigma \begin{pmatrix} 1 & u \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} p_1(u) \\ p_2(u) \\ p_3(u) \end{pmatrix},$$

for all u in k.

We use the same two identities to further investigate the 1-cocycle:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & t^2 u \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} t & 0 \\ 0 & t^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & u \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} t^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & t \end{pmatrix}$$
 (5.13)

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & u_1 + u_2 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & u_1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & u_2 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{5.14}$$

Applying  $\sigma$  to both sides of (5.13), using the 1-cocycle condition on the right hand side, we get

$$\sigma\left(\begin{pmatrix}1 & t^2u\\0 & 1\end{pmatrix}\right) = \begin{pmatrix}t & 0\\0 & t^{-1}\end{pmatrix} \cdot \sigma\left(\begin{pmatrix}1 & u\\0 & 1\end{pmatrix}\right).$$

That is

$$p_1(t^2u) = t^{-p^r}p_1(u) (5.15)$$

$$p_2(t^2u) = t^{p^r}p_2(u) (5.16)$$

$$p_3(t^2u) = p_3(u). (5.17)$$

From (5.17) we find that  $p_3$  is constant-valued, say  $p_3(x) = \lambda$  in k for all x in k. From (5.15) we see that there are only non-negative powers of t on the left hand side and only non-positive powers the right hand side. Therefore  $p_1$  is the zero polynomial.

Now applying  $\sigma$  to both sides of (5.14):

$$\sigma\left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & u_1 + u_2 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}\right) = \sigma\left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & u_1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & u_2 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}\right)$$

$$= \sigma\left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & u_1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}\right) * \begin{pmatrix} 1 & u_1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \sigma\left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & u_2 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}\right)$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ p_2(u_1) \\ \lambda \end{pmatrix} * \begin{pmatrix} 1 & u_1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ p_2(u_2) \\ \lambda \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ p_2(u_1) \\ \lambda \end{pmatrix} * \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ p_2(u_2) \\ \lambda \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ p_2(u_1) + p_2(u_2) \\ 2\lambda \end{pmatrix}$$

That is,

$$p_2(u_1 + u_2) = p_2(u_1) + p_2(u_2) (5.18)$$

$$\lambda = 2\lambda. \tag{5.19}$$

By (5.19) we see that  $p_3$  is in fact the zero polynomial, and (5.18) implies that  $p_2$  is a homomorphism, that is, of the form

$$p_2(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{N} \mu_i x^{p^i}, (5.20)$$

for some  $\mu_i$  in k.

Now combining (5.16) and (5.20) yields

$$\sum_{i=0}^{N} \mu_i (t^2 u)^{p^i} = t^{p^r} \sum_{i=0}^{N} \mu_i u^{p^i}.$$
 (5.21)

If  $p_2$  is not the zero polynomial then there is a non-zero  $\mu_l$  for some index l. By equating coefficients of  $u^{p^i}$  in (5.21) we get

$$\mu_l t^{2p^l} = \mu_l t^{p^r}$$

$$\implies 2p^l = p^r.$$

Thus 2 divides  $p^r$ , and since p is a prime, p = 2. Furthermore l = r - 1. This means that the non-zero  $\mu_l$  is already specified by the choice of r in defining  $\rho_r$ , and that r must be non-zero if  $p_2$  is to be non-zero.

Referring to the Group Law we see that V is abelian in characteristic 2, so we will use the '+' symbol for combining elements of V from now on.

Proceeding with p=2, r>0 and letting  $\mu_l=\mu$ , we have

$$\sigma\left(\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & a^{-1} \end{pmatrix}\right) = \sigma\left(\begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & a^{-1} \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} 1 & a^{-1}b \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}\right)$$

$$= \sigma\left(\begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & a^{-1} \end{pmatrix}\right) + \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & a^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \cdot \sigma\left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & a^{-1}b \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}\right)$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & a^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \mu(a^{-1}b)^{2^{r-1}} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \mu(ab)^{2^{r-1}} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We can use an entirely similar argument to show that

$$\sigma\left(\begin{pmatrix} d^{-1} & 0 \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}\right) = \begin{pmatrix} \mu'(cd)^{2^{r-1}} \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

for some  $\mu'$  in k.

We are now interested in the value of

$$\sigma\left(\begin{pmatrix}0&1\\-1&0\end{pmatrix}\right) = \sigma\left(\begin{pmatrix}0&1\\1&0\end{pmatrix}\right).$$

We have

$$\begin{split} \sigma\left(\begin{pmatrix}0&1\\1&0\end{pmatrix}\right) &= \sigma\left(\begin{pmatrix}1&1\\0&1\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}1&0\\1&1\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}1&1\\0&1\end{pmatrix}\right) \\ &= \sigma\left(\begin{pmatrix}1&1\\0&1\end{pmatrix}\right) + \begin{pmatrix}1&1\\0&1\end{pmatrix} \cdot \sigma\left(\begin{pmatrix}1&0\\1&1\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}1&1\\0&1\end{pmatrix}\right) \\ &= \sigma\left(\begin{pmatrix}1&1\\0&1\end{pmatrix}\right) + \begin{pmatrix}1&1\\0&1\end{pmatrix} \cdot \left(\sigma\left(\begin{pmatrix}1&0\\1&1\end{pmatrix}\right) + \begin{pmatrix}1&0\\1&1\end{pmatrix} \cdot \sigma\left(\begin{pmatrix}1&1\\0&1\end{pmatrix}\right)\right) \\ &= \begin{pmatrix}0\\\mu\\0\end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix}1&1\\0&1\end{pmatrix} \cdot \left(\begin{pmatrix}\mu'\\0\\0\end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix}1&0\\1&1\end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix}0\\\mu\\0\\0\end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix}1&0\\1&1\end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix}0\\\mu\\0\end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix}0\\\mu\\0\end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix}1&1\\0&1\end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix}\mu'\\0\\0\end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix}\mu\\\mu\\\mu\\\mu^2\end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix}0\\\mu\\0\end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix}1&1\\0&1\end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix}\mu'+\mu\\\mu\\\mu^2\end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix}0\\\mu\\0\end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix}\mu'+\mu\\\mu'\\\mu'^2\end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix}\mu'+\mu\\\mu'+\mu\\\mu'^2\end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix}\mu'+\mu\\\mu'+\mu\\\mu'^2\end{pmatrix} \end{split}$$

Since  $\sigma\left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}\right)$  is fixed under the action of  $\begin{pmatrix} t & 0 \\ 0 & t^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$  for all t in  $k^*$  we must have  $\mu' = \mu$ .

Suppose  $c \neq 0$ . We have

$$\begin{split} \sigma\left(\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}\right) &= \sigma\left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & ac^{-1} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} c & d \\ 0 & c^{-1} \end{pmatrix}\right) \\ &= \sigma\left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & ac^{-1} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}\right) + \begin{pmatrix} 1 & ac^{-1} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \left(\sigma\left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}\right) + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \sigma\left(\begin{pmatrix} c & d \\ 0 & c^{-1} \end{pmatrix}\right)\right) \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \mu(ac^{-1})^{2^{r-1}} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 1 & ac^{-1} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \mu^{2} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \mu(cd)^{2^{r-1}} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \mu(ac^{-1})^{2^{r-1}} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 1 & ac^{-1} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \mu^{2} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \mu(cd)^{2^{r-1}} \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \mu(ac^{-1})^{2^{r-1}} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 1 & ac^{-1} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \mu(cd)^{2^{r-1}} \\ 0 \\ \mu^{2} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \mu(ac^{-1})^{2^{r-1}} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \mu(cd)^{2^{r-1}} \\ \mu^{2} + (ac^{-1})^{p^{r}} \left(\mu(cd)^{2^{r-1}}\right)^{2} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \mu(cd)^{2^{r-1}} \\ \mu(ac^{-1} + a^{2}c^{-1}d)^{2^{r-1}} \\ \mu^{2}(1 + ad)^{2^{r}} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \mu(cd)^{2^{r-1}} \\ \mu(ab)^{2^{r-1}} \\ \mu^{2}(bc)^{2^{r-1}} \end{pmatrix}. \end{split}$$

But the above result holds when c = 0 too, so we conclude that

$$\sigma\left(\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}\right) = \begin{pmatrix} \mu(cd)^{2^{r-1}} \\ \mu(ab)^{2^{r-1}} \\ \mu^2(bc)^{2^r} \end{pmatrix}.$$

[Show converse is true]

As in the previous example, we choose a  $\mathbf{v}$  in V that is fixed by  $\begin{pmatrix} t & 0 \\ 0 & t^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$  and compute

$$\tau \left( \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \right) = \mathbf{v} + \sigma \left( \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \right) + \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \cdot \mathbf{v}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ v_3 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \mu(cd)^{2^{r-1}} \\ \mu(ab)^{2^{r-1}} \\ \mu^2(bc)^{2^r} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ v_3 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ v_3 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \mu(cd)^{2^{r-1}} \\ \mu(ab)^{2^{r-1}} \\ \mu^2(bc)^{2^r} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ v_3 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} \mu(cd)^{2^{r-1}} \\ \mu(ab)^{2^{r-1}} \\ \mu^2(bc)^{2^r} \end{pmatrix},$$

which tells us that for each  $\mu$  in k we get a distinct conjugacy class of 1-cocycles  $[\sigma_{\mu}]$  from  $SL_2 \to V$ , where

$$\sigma_{\mu} \begin{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mu(cd)^{2^{r-1}} \\ \mu(ab)^{2^{r-1}} \\ \mu^{2}(bc)^{2^{r}} \end{pmatrix}.$$

But as before if we consider the action of  $Z(L_{\beta})$  on our 1-cocycles

$$(\mathbf{s} \cdot \sigma_{\mu}) \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} = (2\alpha + \beta)^{\vee}(s) \cdot \sigma_{\mu} \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{pmatrix} (s\mu)(cd)^{2^{r-1}} \\ (s\mu)(ab)^{2^{r-1}} \\ (s\mu)^{2}(bc)^{2^{r}} \end{pmatrix}.$$

our infinitely many V-conjugacy classes collapse to just two  $P_{\beta}$ -conjugacy classes:

$$[\sigma_0] = \{\sigma_0\},$$
  

$$[\sigma_1] = \{\sigma_\mu \mid \mu \in k^*\}.$$

#### 5.3 A rank 2 calculation

Is  $Im(\rho_{r,s})$  irred in  $L_{\gamma,\delta}$ ?

No  $\to Im(\rho_{r,s})$  inside (a conjugate of)  $P_{\gamma}(B_2)$  or  $P_{\delta}(B_2)$ . Then it's inside  $P_{\gamma} = L_{\gamma} \ltimes R_u(P_{\gamma})$  or  $P_{\delta} = L_{\delta} \ltimes R_u(P_{\delta})$ , so it's inside  $L_{\gamma}$  or  $L_{\delta}$ .

- 1) Know about non G-cr in  $B_2$ , can I put them in an  $A_1A_1$ ?
- 1a) Can this sit inside a rank 1 Levi?
- 2) Use  $B_2 = SO_5$ .
- 3) Take  $Im(\rho_{r,s})$ , can we conjugate it into  $P_{\gamma}$  or  $P_{\delta}$ ?

Let char(k) = 2 and set  $V := \langle U_{\phi} | \phi \in \Phi^+, \phi \neq \gamma + \delta, \phi \neq \gamma + 2\delta \rangle$ . We will write  $\mathbf{v} = \epsilon_{\alpha}(v_1)\epsilon_{\beta}(v_2)\epsilon_{\alpha+\beta}(v_3)\epsilon_{\beta+\gamma}(v_4)\epsilon_{\alpha+\beta+\gamma}(v_5)\epsilon_{\beta+\gamma+\delta}(v_6)\epsilon_{\alpha+\beta+\gamma+\delta}(v_7)\epsilon_{\beta+\gamma+2\delta}(v_8)\epsilon_{\alpha+\beta+\gamma+2\delta}(v_9)$  $\epsilon_{\beta+2\gamma+2\delta}(v_{10})\epsilon_{\alpha+\beta+2\gamma+2\delta}(v_{11})\epsilon_{\alpha+2\beta+2\gamma+2\delta}(v_{12}) \in V$  as a column vector:

$$\mathbf{v} = \begin{pmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \\ v_3 \\ v_4 \\ v_5 \\ v_6 \\ v_7 \\ v_8 \\ v_9 \\ v_{10} \\ v_{11} \\ v_{12} \end{pmatrix}$$

The Group Law on V is

$$\mathbf{u} * \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ u_2 v_1 \\ 0 \\ u_4 v_1 \\ 0 \\ u_6 v_1 \\ 0 \\ u_8 v_1 \\ 0 \\ u_{10} v_1 \\ u_{10} v_1 \\ u_{10} v_1 + u_{10} v_2 + u_{10} v_3 + u_{9} v_4 + u_{8} v_5 \end{pmatrix}$$

For integers  $r, s \ge 0$  we have a homomorphism  $\rho_{r,s}: SL_2 \to \widetilde{A}_1\widetilde{A}_1 < L_{\{\gamma,\delta\}}$  defined by

$$\rho_{r,s} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & u \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \epsilon_{\delta}(u^{2^{r}}) \cdot \epsilon_{\gamma+\delta}(u^{2^{s}})$$

$$\rho_{r,s} \begin{pmatrix} t & 0 \\ 0 & t^{-1} \end{pmatrix} = \delta^{\vee}(t^{2^{r}}) \cdot (\gamma + \delta)^{\vee}(t^{2^{s}})$$

$$\rho_{r,s} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = n_{\delta} \cdot n_{\gamma+\delta}$$

from which we obtain an action of  $SL_2$  on V:

$$\begin{pmatrix} v_1 \\ c^{2^{s+1}}v_{10} + d^{2^{s+1}}v_2 \\ c^{2^{s+1}}v_{11} + d^{2^{s+1}}v_3 \\ c^{2^{r+1}}v_8 + d^{2^{r+1}}v_4 \\ c^{2^{r+1}}v_9 + d^{2^{r+1}}v_5 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$v_6 + (bd)^{2^r}v_4 + (bd)^{2^s}v_2 + (ac)^{2^r}v_8 + (ac)^{2^s}v_{10} \\ v_7 + (bd)^{2^r}v_5 + (bd)^{2^s}v_3 + (ac)^{2^r}v_9 + (ac)^{2^s}v_{11} \\ a^{2^{r+1}}v_8 + b^{2^{r+1}}v_4 \\ a^{2^{r+1}}v_9 + b^{2^{r+1}}v_5 \\ a^{2^{s+1}}v_{10} + b^{2^{s+1}}v_2 \\ a^{2^{s+1}}v_{11} + b^{2^{s+1}}v_3 \\ v_{12} + (bd)^{2^{r+1}}v_4v_5 + (bd)^{2^{s+1}}v_2v_3 + (bc)^{2^{r+1}}(v_4v_9 + v_5v_8) \\ + (bc)^{2^{s+1}}(v_2v_{11} + v_3v_{10}) + (ac)^{2^{r+1}}(v_8v_9) + (ac)^{2^{s+1}}(v_{10}v_{11}) \end{pmatrix}$$

Now let  $\sigma$  be a 1-cocycle from  $SL_2$  to V such that for all t in  $k^*$ 

$$\sigma \begin{pmatrix} t & 0 \\ 0 & t^{-1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since  $\sigma$  is a morphism of varieties, each component of  $\sigma\begin{pmatrix} 1 & u \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  should be a polynomial function of u, so we let

$$\sigma \begin{pmatrix} 1 & u \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} p_1(u) \\ \vdots \\ p_{12}(u) \end{pmatrix},$$

where each  $p_i$  ( $1 \le i \le 12$ ) is as required. Applying  $\sigma$  to the identity

$$\begin{pmatrix} t & 0 \\ 0 & t^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & u \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} t^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & t \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & t^2 u \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

gives rise to the following equations

$$p_{i}(t^{2}u) = \begin{cases} p_{i}(u), & i = 1, 6, 7, 12 \\ t^{-2^{r+1}}p_{i}(u), & i = 4, 5 \\ t^{-2^{s+1}}p_{i}(u), & i = 2, 3 \\ t^{2^{r+1}}p_{i}(u), & i = 8, 9 \\ t^{2^{s+1}}p_{i}(u), & i = 10, 11 \end{cases}$$

$$(5.22)$$

It is clear that for i = 1, 6, 7, 12 the polynomials  $p_i$  must be constant-valued, say  $\lambda_i$  for some fixed  $\lambda_i$  in k (resp). Furthermore, since  $p_i(t^2u)$  involves only non-negative powers of t,  $p_i$  must be the zero polynomial for i = 2, 3, 4, 5. Now consider the identity

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & u_1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & u_2 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & u_1 + u_2 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Applying  $\sigma$  to both sides yields

$$p_{1}(u_{1} + u_{2}) = p_{1}(u_{1}) + p_{1}(u_{2})$$

$$p_{6}(u_{1} + u_{2}) = p_{6}(u_{1}) + p_{6}(u_{2})$$

$$p_{7}(u_{1} + u_{2}) = p_{7}(u_{1}) + p_{7}(u_{2}) + p_{6}(u_{1})p_{1}(u_{2})$$

$$p_{8}(u_{1} + u_{2}) = p_{8}(u_{1}) + p_{8}(u_{2})$$

$$p_{9}(u_{1} + u_{2}) = p_{9}(u_{1}) + p_{9}(u_{2}) + p_{8}(u_{1})p_{1}(u_{2})$$

$$p_{10}(u_{1} + u_{2}) = p_{10}(u_{1}) + p_{10}(u_{2})$$

$$p_{11}(u_{1} + u_{2}) = p_{11}(u_{1}) + p_{11}(u_{2}) + p_{10}(u_{1})p_{1}(u_{2})$$

$$p_{12}(u_{1} + u_{2}) = p_{12}(u_{1}) + p_{12}(u_{2}) + (p_{6}(u_{1}))^{2} p_{1}(u_{2}).$$

Now we see that the constant polynomials  $p_1, p_6, p_7, p_{12}$  must in fact be the zero polynomial and the remaining polynomials must be homomorphisms from  $k \to k$ . That is

for some  $w_j, x_j, y_j, z_j$  in k and all u in k

$$p_8(u) = \sum_{j=0}^{N} w_j u^{2^j}$$

$$p_9(u) = \sum_{j=0}^{N} x_j u^{2^j}$$

$$p_{10}(u) = \sum_{j=0}^{N} y_j u^{2^j}$$

$$p_{11}(u) = \sum_{j=0}^{N} z_j u^{2^j}$$

If  $\sigma$  is not the trivial 1-cocycle then one of the polynomials above is not the zero polynomial. Suppose for instance that  $p_8$  is not the zero polynomial, so that  $w_l \neq 0$  for some index  $l \geq 0$ . By (5.22)

$$\sum_{j=0}^{N} w_j(t^2 u)^{2^j} = t^{2^{r+1}} \sum_{j=0}^{N} w_j u^{2^j}$$

$$\Rightarrow w_l(t^2 u)^{2^l} = t^{2^{r+1}} w_l u^{2^l}$$

$$\Rightarrow l = r.$$

The same kind of calculation for the other polynomials shows that

$$p_8(u) = wu^{2^r}, \quad p_9(u) = xu^{2^r},$$
  
 $p_{10}(u) = yu^{2^s}, \quad p_{11}(u) = zu^{2^s},$ 

for some w, x, y, z in k.

So, we have

$$\sigma \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & a^{-1} \end{pmatrix} = \sigma \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & a^{-1} \end{pmatrix} * \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & a^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \cdot \sigma \begin{pmatrix} 1 & a^{-1}b \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ w(ab)^{2^{r+1}} \\ x(ab)^{2^{r+1}} \\ y(ab)^{2^{s+1}} \\ z(ab)^{2^{s+1}} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We apply the same argument using the fact that each component of  $\sigma\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ u & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  is a polynomial function, say  $p_i'(u)$  for all u in k, to get

$$\sigma \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ y'(cd)^{2^{s}} \\ z'(cd)^{2^{s}} \\ w'(cd)^{2^{r}} \\ w'(cd)^{2^{r}} \\ x'(cd)^{2^{r}} \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

for some w', x', y', z' in k.

From this we deduce that

$$\sigma \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \sigma \begin{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \\
= \sigma \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} * \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \sigma \begin{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \\
= \sigma \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} * \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \sigma \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} * \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \sigma \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \\
= \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ y + y' \\ z + z' \\ w + w' \\ x + x' \\ w' + y' \\ x' + z' \\ w + w' \\ x + x' \\ y + y' \\ z + z' \\ w'x' + y'z' \end{pmatrix}$$

Furthermore, since  $\sigma\begin{pmatrix}0&1\\1&0\end{pmatrix}$  is fixed under the action of  $\begin{pmatrix}t&0\\0&t^{-1}\end{pmatrix}$ , we have

$$\sigma \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} n_1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ n_6 \\ n_7 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ n_{12} \end{pmatrix},$$

for some  $n_1, n_6, n_7, n_{12}$  in k. So in fact

$$w' = w$$

$$x' = x$$

$$y' = y$$

$$z' = z$$

$$n_1 = 0$$

$$n_6 = w + y$$

$$n_7 = x + z$$

Consider  $\sigma \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$ . If c = 0 then we already have

$$\sigma \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & a^{-1} \end{pmatrix} = \sigma \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & a^{-1} \end{pmatrix} * \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & a^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \cdot \sigma \begin{pmatrix} 1 & a^{-1}b \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \\
= \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ w(ab)^{2^{r+1}} \\ x(ab)^{2^{r+1}} \\ y(ab)^{2^{s+1}} \\ z(ab)^{2^{s+1}} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Otherwise,  $c \neq 0$  and we can write

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & ac^{-1} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c & d \\ 0 & c^{-1} \end{pmatrix},$$

and so

$$\begin{split} \sigma \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} &= & \sigma \begin{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & ac^{-1} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c & d \\ 0 & c^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= & \sigma \begin{pmatrix} 1 & ac^{-1} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} * \begin{pmatrix} 1 & ac^{-1} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \sigma \begin{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c & d \\ 0 & c^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= & \sigma \begin{pmatrix} 1 & ac^{-1} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} * \begin{pmatrix} 1 & ac^{-1} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \sigma \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} * \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \sigma \begin{pmatrix} c & d \\ 0 & c^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & & & & \\ & y(cd)^{2^s} & & & \\ & y(cd)^{2^s} & & & \\ & & x(cd)^{2^s} & & \\ & & x(cd)^{2^s} & & \\ & & x(ad)^{2^s} & & \\ & & & x(ab)^{2^s} & \\ & & & x(cd)^{2^s} & \\ & & & x(bc)^{2^s} + z(bc)^{2^s} & \\ & & & x(ab)^{2^s} & \\ & x(ab)$$

We see that in any case

$$\sigma \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ y(cd)^{2^{s}} \\ z(cd)^{2^{s}} \\ w(cd)^{2^{r}} \\ x(cd)^{2^{r}} \\ x(cd)^{2^{r}} \\ x(bc)^{2^{r}} + y(bc)^{2^{s}} \\ x(bc)^{2^{r}} + z(bc)^{2^{s}} \\ w(ab)^{2^{r}} \\ x(ab)^{2^{r}} \\ y(ab)^{2^{s}} \\ z(ab)^{2^{r}} \\ wx(bc)^{2^{r+1}} + yz(bc)^{2^{s+1}} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Conversely, suppose we have a map  $\sigma: SL_2 \to V$  of the form

$$\sigma \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ y(cd)^{2^{s}} \\ z(cd)^{2^{s}} \\ w(cd)^{2^{r}} \\ x(cd)^{2^{r}} \\ x(cd)^{2^{r}} \\ x(bc)^{2^{r}} + y(bc)^{2^{s}} \\ x(bc)^{2^{r}} + z(bc)^{2^{s}} \\ w(ab)^{2^{r}} \\ x(ab)^{2^{r}} \\ y(ab)^{2^{s}} \\ z(ab)^{2^{r}} \\ wx(bc)^{2^{r+1}} + yz(bc)^{2^{s+1}} \end{pmatrix},$$

for some w, x, y, z in k and integers  $r, s \ge 0$ .

[Show  $\sigma$  is a 1-cocycle]

Next we shall describe  $H^1(SL_2, V)$ . Recall that a 1-cocycle  $\tau'$  is in the same conjugacy class as  $\sigma$  if there is a  $\mathbf{v}$  in V such that

$$\tau'(g) = \mathbf{v} * \sigma(g) * g.\mathbf{v}^{-1}$$

for all g in  $SL_2$ . Furthermore,  $\tau'$  is conjugate to some 1-cocycle  $\tau$ , where  $\tau$  has the added property that

$$\tau \begin{pmatrix} t & 0 \\ 0 & t^{-1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus  $\sigma$  is conjugate to  $\tau$  by some  $\mathbf{v}$  in V that is fixed under the action of  $\begin{pmatrix} t & 0 \\ 0 & t^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$ :

We can denote this relationship by

$$(w, x, y, z) \sim (w, x + \lambda w, y, z + \lambda y),$$

where the 4-tuple (w, x, y, z) represents the 1-cocycle

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ y(cd)^{2^{s}} \\ z(cd)^{2^{s}} \\ w(cd)^{2^{r}} \\ w(cd)^{2^{r}} \\ x(cd)^{2^{r}} \\ x(bc)^{2^{r}} + y(bc)^{2^{s}} \\ x(bc)^{2^{r}} + z(bc)^{2^{s}} \\ w(ab)^{2^{r}} \\ x(ab)^{2^{r}} \\ y(ab)^{2^{s}} \\ z(ab)^{2^{r}} \\ wx(bc)^{2^{r+1}} + yz(bc)^{2^{s+1}} \end{pmatrix}.$$

We find infinitely many conjugacy classes, for instance for each x, z in k the family of classes of the form

$$[(0, x, 0, z)] = \{(0, x, 0, z)\}.$$

Now we consider P-conjugacy. An element  $\mathbf{s} = \alpha^{\vee}(s)(\beta + \gamma + \delta)^{\vee}(t) \in Z(L)$  acts on the 1-cocycle  $\sigma$  by

$$(\mathbf{s} \cdot \sigma) \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ s^{-1}t^{2}y(cd)^{2^{s}} \\ sz(cd)^{2^{s}} \\ s^{-1}t^{2}w(cd)^{2^{r}} \\ sx(cd)^{2^{r}} \\ sx(cd)^{2^{r}} \\ sx(bc)^{2^{r}} + y(bc)^{2^{s}} ) \\ sx(bc)^{2^{r}} + z(bc)^{2^{s}} \\ s^{-1}t^{2}w(ab)^{2^{r}} \\ sx(ab)^{2^{r}} \\ s^{-1}t^{2}y(ab)^{2^{s}} \\ sz(ab)^{2^{r}} \\ t^{2}(wx(bc)^{2^{r+1}} + yz(bc)^{2^{s+1}}) \end{pmatrix}$$

#### Chapter 6

# Complete Reducibility

 $\bullet$  Discuss  $B_4$  results relating to G-cr

Chapter 7

Conclusion

### Appendix A

### **Further Calculations**

- $G_2$  calculation?
- $\bullet$  The rest of the  $B_4$  calculations

# Appendix B

# Source Code

Put source code here . . .

#### Bibliography

- [1] A. S. Arnold, J. S. Wilson, and M. G. Boshier. A simple extended-cavity diode laser. *Review of Scientific Instruments*, 69(3):1236–1239, March 1998. URL http://link.aip.org/link/?RSI/69/1236/1.
- [2] Carl E. Wieman and Leo Hollberg. Using diode lasers for atomic physics. Review of Scientific Instruments, 62(1):1–20, January 1991. URL http://link.aip.org/link/?RSI/62/1/1.
- [3] C. J. Hawthorn, K. P. Weber, and R. E. Scholten. Littrow configuration tunable external cavity diode laser with fixed direction output beam. Review of Scientific Instruments, 72(12):4477–4479, December 2001. URL http://link.aip.org/link/?RSI/72/4477/1.