

# Neural Networks

IKHAN CHOI

## CONTENTS

1. Motivations	1
1.1. Statistical model	1
1.2. Statistical mechanics	2
2. Models	2
2.1. Random fields	2
2.2. Bayesian networks	3
2.3. Markov networks	3
2.4. Neural networks	3
3. Inference	3
3.1. Viterbi algorithm	3
4. Learning	3
4.1. Gradient descent method	3
4.2. Back propagation	3
4.3. Maximum likelihood estimate	5

The AI paradigm changes when a new approximating method is discovered.

## 1. MOTIVATIONS

### 1.1. Statistical model.

∈

The following is not mathematically sound.

**Definition 1.1.** A *statistical model* is an approximation scheme for unknown probability distribution.

In particular, the general purpose of many statistical models is to estimate the joint probability distribution of two random variables  $X$  and  $Y$ . The joint probability distribution contains data about relation between  $X$  and  $Y$ . Suppose our goal is to obtain the most possible value of  $Y$  when given  $X = x$ , and we have estimated the joint distribution function  $f_{X,Y}$ . Then, the function  $y \mapsto f_{X,Y}(x, y)$  describes the distribution of  $Y|X = x$ , so what we wanted is reasonably defined as

$$\hat{y} = \arg \max_y f_{X,Y}(x, y).$$

---

*Last Update:* July 25, 2019.

## 1.2. Statistical mechanics.

## 2. MODELS

### 2.1. Random fields.

**Definition 2.1** (Random field). A *random field* is a set of random variables parametrized by a topological space or a (directed or undirected) graph.

**Definition 2.2.** In this note, we will call the random fields on a graph as *networks*.

Actually, networks and graphs are often used as synonyms.

**Example 2.1** (Markov chain). Let  $G = (V, E)$  be a directed graph defined by

$$V = \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, \quad E = \{(t, t+1)\}_{t \in V},$$

that is, an element  $t \in V$  denotes the time  $t$ .

Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be a finite set of states such that every subset is measurable. Then, the set of  $\mathcal{S}$ -valued random variables  $\{X_t\}_{t \in V}$  indexed by  $V$  defines a random field. The Markov property is given by

$$X_t \perp\!\!\!\perp X_s \mid X_{t-1}$$

for  $s \leq t$ . Since  $\mathcal{S}$  is finite, alternatively we may rewrite it by

$$\Pr(X_t = x_t \mid X_{t-1} = x_{t-1}) = \Pr(X_t = x_t \mid X_{t-1} = x_{t-1}, \dots, X_0 = x_0).$$

**Example 2.2** (Maxwell-Boltzman distribution). Let  $G = (V, E)$  be a graph defined by

$$V = \{n\}_{n=1}^N, \quad E = \emptyset$$

for a large natural number  $N$ ; the set  $V$  is considered to be the set of ideal gas particles. Define the space of microstates  $\mathcal{S} = \mathbb{Z}_x^3 \times \mathbb{Z}_p^3$ , which embodies the discretized phase space. At each particle  $n \in V$ , an  $\mathcal{S}$ -valued random variable denoted by  $X_n$  is attached.

Let  $m > 0$  be a constant. Define the *Boltzmann factor* as a function  $\phi : \mathbb{R}_{>0} \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$\phi(\beta, i) := e^{-\beta E_i},$$

where  $\beta = \frac{1}{k_B T} > 0$  is called *coldness* and the *energy function*  $E : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is defined by

$$E(x, p) := \frac{\|p\|^2}{2m}.$$

The assumption for Boltzmann factors states that given  $\beta$ , the probability for a particle to be in the state  $i$  is proportional to the Boltzmann factor:

$$\Pr(X_n = i) \propto e^{-\beta E_i}$$

for each state  $i \in \mathcal{S}$  and particle  $n \in V$ . Thus, we can write

$$\Pr(X_n = i) = \frac{e^{-\beta E_i}}{\sum_{j=1}^N e^{-\beta E_j}} =: \frac{1}{Z(\beta)} e^{-\frac{\beta}{2m} \|p_i\|^2}.$$

The denominator  $Z$  is called the *partition function*. Note that it depends on the data of the Boltzmann factor.

## 2.2. Bayesian networks.

**Definition 2.3** (Bayesian network). Let  $G$  be a directed acyclic graph.

The graph acts as a parameter space. We want to investigate mutual effects among the parametrized random variables.

**Theorem 2.3** (Factorization of probability).

**Example 2.4** (NBC, Naive Bayesian Classifier).

**Example 2.5** (HMM, Hidden Markov Model).

## 2.3. Markov networks.

**Definition 2.4** (Markov network).

Markov networks are sometimes called MRF, Markov random field.

**Example 2.6** (CRF, Conditional Random Field). Consider a network with a graph  $G$  such that vertices are divided into two classes.

**2.4. Neural networks.** Probabilistic graphical models provide effective explanations of the neural networks, but neural networks are not confined only to graphical models.

**Definition 2.5** (Neural network). *Neural network* cannot be defined mathematically. It indicates statistical models that can solve problems with a collection of artificial neurons by adjusting connection strength among them.

**Example 2.7** (MLP, Multi-layer Perceptron).

**Example 2.8** (RNN, Recurrent Neural Network).

## 3. INFERENCE

### 3.1. Viterbi algorithm.

## 4. LEARNING

### 4.1. Gradient descent method.

**4.2. Back propagation.** Backpropagation refers to algorithms to train the weight matrices for minimizing the cost function  $J$ , which does not depend explicitly on any variables except the last layer vector  $a^{(n)}$ . However, since  $J$  is a function of the weight matrices implicitly, via  $a^{(n)}$ , we may find the representation of the gradient of  $J$  as viewing it as a function on the space of weight matrices of each given layer. In other words, we want to find the coefficients of the differential form  $dJ$  on the basis  $\{dW_{ij}^{(n-1)}\}_{i,j}$ ,  $\{dW_{jk}^{(n-2)}\}_{j,k}$ , or  $\{dW_{kl}^{(n-3)}\}_{k,l}$ , and so on.

Recall the definitions:

$$a_i^{(n)} = \sigma \left( \sum_j W_{ij}^{(n-1)} a_j^{(n-1)} \right).$$

Since the derivative of the sigmoid function is given by  $\sigma' = \sigma - \sigma^2$ , we can compute the following auxiliary relations

$$\frac{\partial a_i^{(n)}}{\partial a_j^{(n-1)}} = h(a_i^{(n)})W_{ij}^{(n-1)} \text{ and } \frac{\partial a_i^{(n)}}{\partial W_{i'j}^{(n-1)}} = \delta_{ii'}h(a_i^{(n)})a_j^{(n-1)},$$

where  $h(x) = x - x^2$ .

Then, we can compute

$$dJ = \sum_i \frac{\partial J}{\partial a_i^{(n)}} \sum_j \frac{\partial a_i^{(n)}}{\partial W_{ij}^{(n-1)}} dW_{ij}^{(n-1)} = \sum_{i,j} \frac{\partial J}{\partial a_i^{(n)}} h(a_i^{(n)})a_j^{(n-1)} dW_{ij}^{(n-1)},$$

which implies

$$\nabla J(W^{(n-1)}) = \left[ \frac{\partial J}{\partial a_i^{(n)}} h(a_i^{(n)})a_j^{(n-1)} \right] \frac{\partial}{\partial W_{ij}^{(n-1)}}.$$

Note that it is a function of  $a_i$  and  $a_j$ . The gradient descent method will take

$$W_{ij}^{(n-1)+} := W_{ij}^{(n-1)} - \alpha \cdot \frac{\partial J}{\partial a_i^{(n)}} h(a_i^{(n)})a_j^{(n-1)}$$

with a proper parameter  $\alpha > 0$ .

By the same reason,

$$\begin{aligned} dJ &= \sum_{i,j,k} \frac{\partial J}{\partial a_i^{(n)}} \frac{\partial a_i^{(n)}}{\partial a_j^{(n-1)}} \frac{\partial a_j^{(n-1)}}{\partial W_{jk}^{(n-2)}} dW_{jk}^{(n-2)} \\ &= \sum_{i,j,k} \frac{\partial J}{\partial a_i^{(n)}} \cdot h(a_i^{(n)})W_{ij}^{(n-1)} \cdot h(a_j^{(n-1)})a_k^{(n-2)} dW_{jk}^{(n-2)}, \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$\nabla J(W^{(n-2)}) = \left[ \sum_i \frac{\partial J}{\partial a_i^{(n)}} \cdot h(a_i^{(n)})W_{ij}^{(n-1)} \cdot h(a_j^{(n-1)})a_k^{(n-2)} \right] \frac{\partial}{\partial W_{jk}^{(n-2)}}.$$

Therefore, the gradient descent method will take

$$\begin{aligned} W_{jk}^{(n-2)+} &:= W_{jk}^{(n-2)} - \alpha \cdot \sum_i \frac{\partial J}{\partial a_i^{(n)}} h(a_i^{(n)})W_{ij}^{(n-1)} h(a_j^{(n-1)})a_k^{(n-2)} \\ &= W_{jk}^{(n-2)} + (1 - a_j^{(n-1)})a_k^{(n-2)} \sum_i (W_{ij}^{(n-1)+} - W_{ij}^{(n-1)})W_{ij}^{(n-1)}. \end{aligned}$$

In similar way,

$$W_{kl}^{(n-3)+} := W_{kl}^{(n-3)} + (1 - a_k^{(n-2)})a_l^{(n-3)} \sum_i (W_{jk}^{(n-2)+} - W_{jk}^{(n-2)})W_{jk}^{(n-2)} (?)$$

### 4.3. Maximum likelihood estimate.

**Definition 4.1.** Let  $f$  be a distribution function on a measure space  $X$ . Let  $\{f_\theta\}_\theta$  be a parametrized family of distribution functions on  $X$ . The *likelihood*  $L_n(\theta) : \Omega^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$  for a fixed parameter  $\theta$  is a random variable defined by

$$L_n(\theta) := \prod_{i=1}^n f_\theta(x_i)$$

where  $\{x_i\}_i$  is a family of i.i.d.  $X$ -valued random variables with a distribution  $f$ .

The objective of the likelihood function is to find  $\theta$  such that  $f_\theta$  approximates the unknown distribution  $f$ . Write

$$\frac{1}{n} \log L_n(\theta) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \log f_\theta(x_i).$$

By the law of large numbers,  $\frac{1}{n} \log L_n(\theta)$  converges to a constant function

$$\mathbb{E}(\log f_\theta(x)) = \int_X f \log f_\theta$$

in measure as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . This constant function is exactly what we call *cross entropy*.

The *Kullback-Leibler divergence* is a kind of asymmetric distance function defined from the difference with cross entropy

$$D_{KL}(f \| f_\theta) := \int_X f \log f - \int_X f \log f_\theta.$$

It is proved to be always nonnegative by the Jensen inequality:

$$\int_X f \log f_\theta - \int_X f \log f = \int_X f \log \frac{f_\theta}{f} \leq \log \left( \int_X f \frac{f_\theta}{f} \right) = 0.$$

Here, we exclude the region  $f = 0$  from the integration region. Then, we can say, bigger  $L_n(\theta)$  is, closer  $f_\theta$  and  $f$  are.