

## CUTTING

AUTHOR

ABSTRACT. abstrsct

### 1. Introduction

### 2. Definition

**Definition.** Let  $O$  be the pole of the plane  $U$  that has polar coordinate  $(r, \theta)$  and  $M$  be a surface that is parameterized by an isometry  $\phi : U \setminus \{O\} \rightarrow U \times \mathbb{R}$  such that  $\phi(P) \rightarrow (0, \theta, z)$  as  $P \rightarrow O$  for a positive real number  $z$ . Then  $M$  is developable surface that forms generalized cone from Lemma \*. Suppose that  $\gamma$  is Jordan curve lying on  $U \setminus \{O\}$ . If  $z$ -coordinate of any point of the image  $\phi(\gamma)$  vanishes, the curve  $\gamma$  is called *cutting* with *folding*  $\phi$ .

**Theorem 2.1.** *If a Jordan curve  $\gamma$  lying on  $U \setminus \{O\}$  is cutting, then the pole  $O$  belongs to interior of  $\gamma$ . Moreover, there exists a bijective function between the points on  $\gamma$  and angular coordinates of each point.*

*Proof.*

□

**Definition.** Let a mapping  $\tau : U \rightarrow U$  be *folding transformation* of folding  $\phi$  if  $\tau \circ \phi^{-1}$  is a projection such that  $\tau \circ \phi^{-1}(r, \theta, z) = (r, \theta)$ .

### 3

**Theorem 3.1.** *For certain positive real number  $Z$ , if a function  $q(z, \theta)$  satisfies the followings:*

- (1)  $q(z, \theta)$  is continuous and bounded for  $z$  and  $\theta$ ;
- (2)  $q(z, \theta)$  is strictly increasing for  $z$ ;
- (3)  $q(z, \theta)$  is periodic with period  $2\pi$  for  $\theta$ ;
- (4) there is an interval  $[\alpha, \beta) \subset [0, 2\pi)$  s.t.  $q(z, \theta)$  is monotonic over  $\theta \in [\alpha, \beta)$ ;

- (5)  $q(0, \theta) = 1$  for all  $\theta$  in the domain

*for all real number  $z$  in  $(0, Z)$  and  $\theta$ , then there exists a real number  $z_0 \in (0, Z)$  such that for all  $z \in (0, z_0)$  there is a function  $p(z, \theta)$  such that:*

- (6)  $|p(z, \theta)| = q(z, \theta)$ ;
- (7)  $p(z, \theta)$  is piecewise continuous for  $\theta$ ;
- (8)  $p(z, \theta)$  has simple folding curve for  $\theta$ ;

---

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. subclass.

Key words and phrases. keywords.

$$(9) \int_0^{2\pi} p(z, \theta) d\theta = 2\pi$$

*Proof.* Since  $q = 1$  where  $z = 0$  and  $q(z, \theta)$  is strictly increasing for  $z$ , a real number  $\phi$  is positive which is defined such that

$$\phi = \int_0^{2\pi} q(Z, \theta) d\theta - 2\pi > 0.$$

Let  $Q(z; a, b)$  be a function such that

$$Q(z; a, b) = \int_0^{2\pi} q(z, \theta) d\theta - 2 \int_{\frac{2a+b}{3}}^{\frac{a+2b}{3}} q(Z, \theta) d\theta.$$

That  $q(Z, \theta)$  is bounded implies

$$\int_{\frac{2a+b}{3}}^{\frac{a+2b}{3}} q(Z, \theta) d\theta \leq \frac{b-a}{3} \sup\{q(Z, \theta) : \theta \in \mathbb{R}\}$$

for all interval  $[a, b)$ , therefore if we take an interval  $[a_0, b_0) \subset [\alpha, \beta)$  whose length is less than  $3\phi/2 \sup\{q(Z, \theta)\}$ , we have

$$(1) \quad Q(Z; a_0, b_0) > 2\pi.$$

$Q(0; a_0, b_0) = 2\pi - \frac{2}{3}(b-a) < 2\pi$  and the inequality (1) imply that there exists  $z_0 \in (0, Z)$  which makes  $Q(z_0; a, b)$  be supposed to be  $2\pi$  according to IVT. It means that there is an interval  $[a_0, b_0)$  such that  $q(z, \theta)$  is monotonic over  $\theta \in [a_0, b_0)$  and there exists  $z_0 \in (0, Z)$  such that  $Q(z_0; a, b) = 2\pi$

To prove the proposition for all  $z < z_0$ , consider a positive real number  $t$  less than  $(b-a)/2$ .  $Q(z; a_0+t, b_0-t)$  is continuous for  $t$  and

$$Q(z; a_0, b_0) < Q(z_0; a_0, b_0) = 2\pi$$

$$Q(z; a_0+t, b_0-t) = 0 < 2\pi$$

imply there exists  $t \in (0, (b-a)/2)$  such that  $Q(z; a, b) = 2\pi$  letting  $[a, b) = [a_0+t, b_0-t)$ .  $q(z, \theta)$  is obviously monotonic over  $\theta \in [a, b)$ .

Let  $p(z, \theta)$  be a function such that

$$p(z, \theta) = \begin{cases} -q(z, \theta), & \text{if } \theta \in [a, b) \\ q(z, \theta), & \text{if } \theta \notin [a, b) \end{cases}$$

where  $a, b$  is the numbers determined above. Then we can prove the function  $p(z, \theta)$  satisfy the conditions.  $\square$

In Theorem 3.1, let trivial supremum of  $z$  defined the supremum of  $Z$ , non-trivial supremum of  $z$  defined the supremum of  $z_0$ .

## References

- [1] B. Blackadar, *K-Theory for Operator Algebras*, Math. Sci. Res. Inst. Publ. **5**, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1986.

ADDRESS

E-mail address: email