Spanish evaluative nouns denote extreme degrees

Melania S. Masià sanmame@gmail.com

Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona

27th Colloquium on Generative Grammar Universidad de Alcalá - May 17-19, 2017

Evaluative nouns

Introduction

ENs are especial because they do not only assign a property to an individual, but also express a value judgment.

- (1) a. La vecina es una idiota. the neighbor is an idiot
 - h Tu clase es un desastre. Your class is a mess

(see Milner, 1978; Ruwet, 1982; Suñer Gratacós, 1990, 1999; a.o.)

Questions

Introduction

- What makes ENs evaluative?
- What is their relation to gradability?

Plan

Introduction

In this talk I

- delimit the class of ENs.
- argue that ENs are gradable and denote extreme degrees of properties
- discuss the consequences of the analysis regarding degree modifiers and subjectivity

The research underlying this work has been partially supported by research project FFI2012-32886 funded by the Spanish Ministry of Economy and Competitiveness and by scholarship FPU2010-6022 from the Spanish Ministry of Education

The class of evaluative nouns

Evaluative nouns

- ENs assign a property and express a value judgment.
 - (2) a. La vecina es una idiota. the neighbor is an idiot
 - b. Tu clase es un desastre. Your class is a mess
- The class can be isolated based on several tests.

(see Milner, 1978; Ruwet, 1982; Suñer Gratacós, 1990, 1999; Hernanz and Suñer Gratacós, 1999; a.o.)

Qualitative nominal constructions

ENs occur in the first position in the N of an N construction

- (3) a. la {idiota / genio} de la vecina the idiot genius of the neighbor 'that {idiot / genius} of a neighbor'
 - b. un(a) {desastre / maravilla} de vecina
 a mess wonder of neighbor
 'a(n) {mess / delight} of a neighbor'

(Bolinger, 1972; Hulk and Tellier, 2000; Doetjes and Rooryck, 2003; den Dikken, 2006; Villalba and Bartra-Kaufmann, 2010; a.o.)

Qualitative nominal constructions

ENs occur in the first position in the N of an N construction

- (3) a. la {idiota / genio} de la vecina the idiot genius of the neighbor 'that {idiot / genius} of a neighbor'
 - b. un(a) {desastre / maravilla} de vecina mess wonder of neighbor а 'a(n) {mess / delight} of a neighbor'
- (4) # la {médica / abuela} de la vecina the doctor grandmother of the neighbor

ENs appear in attributive constructions with the indefinite article

(5) La vecina es *(un(a)) {idiota / genio / desastre}. the neighbor is a idiot genius mess 'The neighbor is a(n) {idiot / genius / mess}.'

```
(Fernández Lagunilla, 1983; Portolés, 1994; Bosque, 1996, §3.2; Fernández Leborans, 1999; di Tullio and Suñer Gratacós, 2008; a.o.)
```

Emphatic un

ENs appear in attributive constructions with the indefinite article

- (5) La vecina es *(un(a)) {idiota / genio / desastre}. the neighbor is a idiot genius mess 'The neighbor is a(n) {idiot / genius / mess}.'
- (6) La vecina es (*una) {médica / abuela}. the neighbor is a doctor grandmother

ENs occur in verbless and independent exclamatives

```
    (7) a. ¡Un(a) {genio / desastre}, esta vecina!
        a genius mess this neighbor
        'This neighbor is such a {genius / mess}!'
        b. ¡{Genio / Desastre / Idiota}!
             genius mess idiot
        '{Genius / Mess / Idiot}!'
```

(Milner, 1978; Suñer Gratacós, 1990; Vinet, 1991; Hernanz and Suñer Gratacós, 1999; Hernanz, 2001; Munaro, 2006)

Verbless exclamatives

ENs occur in verbless and independent exclamatives

- (7) a. ¡Un(a) {genio / desastre}, esta vecina! genius mess this neighbor 'This neighbor is such a {genius / mess}!'
- (8) a. * ¡Una {médica / abuela}, esta vecina! doctor grandmother this neighbor 'This neighbor is such a {doctor / grandmother}!'

Verbless exclamatives

ENs occur in verbless and independent exclamatives

```
(8) b. *¡{Médica / Abuela}!
doctor grandmother
'{Doctor / Grandmother}!'
```

Evaluative nouns

- Express a value judgment
- Appear in the first position in the qualitative construction
- Require the indefinite article in predicative constructions
- May appear in verbless exclamatives

 Gradability
 Consequence

 00000
 0000

 00000
 00000

Conclusion

Gradability

Gradability

- One possibility for the special behavior of ENs is that they include a degree argument in their lexical semantics (see Bolinger, 1972; Matushansky, 2002; Espinal, 2013)
- ENs pass the diagnostics for nominal gradability

Diagnostics for gradability I

Degree readings with size adjectives and downward-monotone modifiers

- (9) La vecina es un(a) {idiota / genio} enorme. the neighbor is a idiot genius huge 'The neighbor is a huge {idiot / genius}.'
- (10) La clase fue un(a) {?maravilla / desastre} increíble. the class was a marvel mess unbelievable 'The class was an unbelievable {marvel / mess}.'

(Morzycki, 2008, 2009; de Vries, 2010, 2015; Nouwen, 2011; Sassoon, 2013)

Diagnostics for gradability I

Degree readings with size adjectives and downward-monotone modifiers

- (9) La vecina es un(a) {idiota / genio} enorme. the neighbor is a idiot genius huge 'The neighbor is a huge {idiot / genius}.'
- (11) # La vecina es una médica {increíble / enorme}. the neighbor is a doctor unbelievable huge 'The neighbor is a(n) {unbelievable / huge} doctor.'

Diagnostics for gradability II

Modification by degree expression muy

- (12) a. Se ve que Richards era muy genio IMPRS see that Richards was very genius 'It seems that Richards was very much a genius.'
 - b. Yo siempre he sido muy desastre en el colegio.
 l always have been very mess in the school
 'I've always been very much a mess at school.'

(see also Espinal, 2013)

Diagnostics for gradability II

Modification by degree expression muy

- (12) a. Se ve que Richards era muy genio IMPRS see that Richards was very genius 'It seems that Richards was very much a genius.'
- (13) * La vecina es muy médica. the neighbor is very doctor

Diagnostics for gradability III

Degree interrogatives with cómo de 'how'

- (14) a. ¿Cómo de {alta / guapa} es la vecina? how of tall handsome is the neighbor 'How {tall / handsome} is the neighbor?'
 - b. ¿Cómo de {genio / desastre} es la vecina? how of genius mess is the neighbor 'How much of a {genius / mess} is the neighbor?'

Diagnostics for gradability III

Degree interrogatives with cómo de 'how'

- (14) a. ¿Cómo de {genio / desastre} es la vecina?
 how of genius mess is the neighbor
 'How much of a {genius / mess} is the neighbor?'
 - b. ???¿Cómo de {médica / abuela} es María?how of doctor / grandmother is María'How much of a {doctor / grandmother} is María?'

- Degree readings with size adjectives and downward-monotone modifiers
- Modification by muy
- Degree interrogatives with cómo de

Gradability

Extremeness

Evaluative nouns are not just gradable

The view from adjectives

Being gradable is not enough to appear in the first position of the A of an N construction

- (15) a. ?? la {alta / delgada / vieja} de la vecina the tall thin old of the neighbor 'that {tall / thin / old} neighbor'
 - b. la {espléndida / ingrata / estúpida} de la vecina the splendid ungrateful stupid of the neighbor 'that {splendid / ungrateful / stupid} neighbor'

Evaluative nouns are not just gradable

The view from adjectives

Being gradable is not enough to appear in verbless exclamatives

000000000

- (16) a. ¡{Fantásticas / Maravillosas}, las iglesias de Segovia! fantastic wonderful the churches of Segovia '{Awesome / Wonderful}, the churches of Segovia!'
 - b. * ¡Románicas, las iglesias de Segovia! Romanesque the churches of Segovia
 - c. * ¡Pequeñas, las iglesias de Segovia! small the churches of Segovia

(examples from Hernanz, 2001)

Extreme predicates

 Extreme adjectives encode extremeness as part of their lexical semantics (Cruse, 1986; Paradis, 1997, 2001; Martin, 2006; Morzycki, 2012; Umbach, 2012)

000000000

- Extremeness is not a property exclusive of adjectives. Nouns can also be extreme (see Constantinescu, 2011; Morzycki, 2012, 2014)
- Intuitively, in order for someone to be an idiot, just some degree of idiocy is not enough, they need to be remarkably idiotic

Diagnostics for extremeness I

Extreme predicates have their own specialized degree modifiers

```
(17) a. Juan es directamente {horrible / maravilloso / ??bajo}.
        Juan is downright horrible wonderful
                                                       short
     b. bastante {??horrible / ??maravilloso / bajo}
        fairly
                    horrible wonderful
                                             short
```

```
(Cruse, 1986; Paradis, 1997; Hernanz, 2001; Morzycki, 2012; a.o.)
```

Diagnostics for extremeness I

Extreme predicates have their own specialized degree modifiers

- (17) a. Juan es un valiente {idiota / genio / #médico}. Juan is a brave idiot genius doctor 'Juan is a downright {idiot / genius / doctor}.'
 - b. La clase es un(a) soberano/a {maravilla / *acto} the class is a supreme.M/F wonder event 'The class is a full-on {wonder / event}.'

Extreme predicates are not very natural in comparatives, but are better in equatives

- (18) ?? Tus zapatos son más {preciosos / horribles} que los your shoes are more gorgeous horrible than the míos. mine 'Your shoes are more {gorgeous / horrible} than mine.'
- (19) Tus zapatos son tan {preciosos / horribles} como los míos. your shoes are as gorgeous horrible as the mine 'Your shoes are as {gorgeous / horrible} as mine.'

(Cruse, 1986; Paradis, 1997; Morzycki, 2012)

Diagnostics for extremeness II

Extreme predicates are not very natural in comparatives, but are better in equatives

- (18) a. ?? Juan es más (un) {genio / desastre} que Pablo. Juan is more a genius mess than Pablo 'Juan is more of a {genius / mess} than Pablo.'
 - b. Juan es tan {genio / desastre} como Pablo. Juan is as genius mess as Pablo 'Juan is as much of a {genius / mess} as Pablo.'

Diagnostics for extremeness III

Extreme predicates also can be intensified via prosodic prominence

- (19) Kevin Spacey is {fantaaaastic / ??gooooooooood}!
- (20) La vecina es una {idioooota / ??méeeeedica}. the neighbor is a idiot doctor

(Cruse, 1986; Bolinger, 1972; Morzycki, 2012)

Extreme predicates entail their weaker counterparts.

- (21) Tus zapatos son preciosos. ⇒ Tus zapatos son bonitos. your shoes are gorgeous your shoes are beautiful
- (22) a. La vecina es un genio. \Rightarrow La vecina es lista. the neighbor is a genius the neighbor is smart
 - b. María es un desastre ⇒ María es desordenada.
 María is a mess María is sloppy

Analysis

- ENs encode extremeness in their lexical semantics
- I implement this using Morzycki's 2012 proposal for extreme adjectives

- The basic idea is that extreme predicates use degrees that are situated beyond the relevant scale
- so if an individual exceeds the contextual scale for dumbness, she starts qualifying as an idiot

dumbness scale: o——o- - - - - - - - - dumb idiot

idiot

- The basic idea is that extreme predicates use degrees that are situated beyond the relevant scale
- so if an individual exceeds the contextual scale for dumbness, she starts qualifying as an idiot dumbness scale:

dumb

• Formally, $\|idiota\| = \lambda d\lambda x. \ x \text{ is dumb to an extreme } d$

- The basic idea is that extreme predicates use degrees that are situated beyond the relevant scale
- so if an individual exceeds the contextual scale for dumbness, she starts qualifying as an idiot dumbness scale:

 More formally, $\llbracket idiota_C \rrbracket = \lambda d\lambda x [d > \max(C) \wedge \operatorname{dumb}(d)(x)]$

Summary

- ENs encode extremeness in their lexical semantics
- This explains their distribution in the N/A of an N contruction and verbless exclamatives
- and establishes a parallelism between extreme adjectives and nouns

Gradability

Consequences of the analysis

Degree modifiers

Maximizers

- ENs are expected to combine with nominal degree modifiers
- Adjectives of completeness (complete, total) are adnominal correlates of maximality modifiers (Constantinescu, 2011; Masià, 2017)
- (23) a. Lucía tiene completa libertad. Lucía has complete freedom
 - b. ?? Lucía tiene completa sabiduría. Lucía has complete wisdom

Degree modifiers

Maximizers

In ENs, the degrees above the contextual scale constitute a sort of maximum

- a. La vecina es una completa idiota. the neighbor is a complete idiot
 - b. La vecina es un desastre total. the neighbor is a mess 'The neighbor is a total mess.'

(see Paradis, 1997; Morzycki, 2012 for extreme adjectives)

Degree modifiers

Maximizers

Non-evaluative nouns are ruled out with adjectives of completeness

- (25) a. ?? La vecina es una completa abuela. the neighbor is a complete grandmother
 - b. ?? La vecina es una médica total. the neighbor is a doctor total 'The neighbor is a total doctor.'

Consequences of the analysis Subjectivity

- Subjective predicates are those for which their truth is relativized to the perspective of a judge
- Prototypical examples of subjective predicates are predicates of personal taste
 - (26) a. Broccoli is tasty.
 - b. Semantics is fun.
- In general, evaluative adjectives (beautiful, dumb) are subjective

(Lasersohn, 2005, 2009; Stephenson, 2007; Stojanovic, 2007; Bylinina, 2014; Kennedy, 2013, 2016; Gutzmann, 2016; a.o.)

Subjective attitude verbs

Subjective predicates can be embedded under subjective attitude verbs (*find*, *consider*)

- (27) Los dinosaurios me parecen aterradores. the dinosaurs DAT.1SG seem.3PL terrifying 'I find dinosaurs terrifying.' (Lit. 'Dinosaurs seem terrifying to me.')
- (28) # Los dinosaurios me parecen extinguidos. the dinosaurs DAT.1SG seem.3PL extinct 'I find dinosaurs extinct.'

(Stephenson, 2007; Sæbø, 2009; Bouchard, 2012)

Subjective attitude verbs

ENs can also be embedded under subjective attitude verbs

- (29) a. La vecina me parece una idiota. the neighbor DAT. $1\mathrm{SG}$ seem. $3\mathrm{SG}$ a idiot 'I find the neighbor to be an idiot.'
 - b. Encuentro que la vecina es un desastre. find. $1 {
 m SG}$ that the neighbor is a mess 'I find the neighbor to be a mess.'

Faultless disagreement

Subjective predicates give rise to faultless (rather than objective) disagreement

(30) A: Broccoli is tasty.

B: No. it's not.

FAULTLESS DISAGREEMENT

(Kölbel, 2002; Lasersohn, 2005; Stephenson, 2007; Stojanovic, 2007; Bylinina, 2014)

Faultless disagreement

Subjective predicates give rise to faultless (rather than objective) disagreement

(31) A: Broccoli is green.

B: No. it's not.

CONTRADICTION

(Kölbel, 2002; Lasersohn, 2005; Stephenson, 2007; Stojanovic, 2007; Bylinina, 2014)

Faultless disagreement

ENs also give rise to faultless disagreement

(32) A: La vecina es un(a) {idiota / desastre}.

'The neighbor is a(n) {idiot / mess}.'

B: No, no lo es.

'No, she's not.'

FAULTLESS DISAGREEMENT

Faultless disagreement

ENs also give rise to faultless disagreement

(32) A: La vecina es un(a) {idiota / desastre}. 'The neighbor is a(n) {idiot / mess}.'

B: No, no lo es.

'No. she's not.'

FAULTLESS DISAGREEMENT

(33) A: La vecina es médica

'The neighbor is a doctor.'

B: No, no lo es.

'No. she's not.'

CONTRADICTION

- The source of subjectivity in ENs is related to the use of evaluative measure function in their semantics
 - (34) a. $[idiota] = \lambda d\lambda x$. x is dumb to an extreme d b. $[genio] = \lambda d\lambda x$. x is smart to an extreme d
- They are thus expected to be subjective in two ways
 - wrt the cut-off point
 - wrt the ordering

Subjectivity

Subjective wrt the cut-off point

(35) A: Lucía es {inteligente / divertida}.

Lucía is smart funny

B: No, no lo es.

'No, she's not.'

FAULTLESS DISAGREEMENT

Subjective wrt the cut-off point

```
(35) A: Lucía es {inteligente / divertida}.
Lucía is smart funny
```

B: No, no lo es.

'No, she's not.'

FAULTLESS DISAGREEMENT

(36) A: La vecina es un(a) {idiota / desastre}. the neighbor is a idiot mess

B: No, no lo es.

'No. she's not.'

FAULTLESS DISAGREEMENT

Subjectivity

Subjective wrt the ordering

(37) A: Lucía es más {inteligente / divertida} que Anna.
 Lucía is more smart funny than Anna
 B: No, Anna es más {inteligente / divertida} que Lucía.
 'No, Anna is {smarter / funnier} than Lucía.'

FD

Subjectivity

Subjective wrt the ordering

- (37) A: Lucía es más {inteligente / divertida} que Anna. Lucía is more smart funny than Anna B: No, Anna es más {inteligente / divertida} que Lucía. 'No, Anna is {smarter / funnier} than Lucía.'
- (38) A: La vecina es más (una) {idiota / desastre} que the neighbor is more a idiot mess than Anna. Anna
 - B: No, Anna es más (una) {idiota / desastre} que la vecina.
 'No, Anna is more of a(n) {idiot / mess} than the neighbor.'

Summary

- ENs combine with degree modifiers
- ENs are subjective both wrt to the cut-off point and wrt to the ordering

Conclusion

- ENs are gradable
- In particular, ENs denote extreme degrees of properties
- As a consequence, they combine with degree modifiers and are subjective

References I

- Bolinger, D. (1972). Degree Words. The Hague: Mouton.
- Bosque, I. (1996). Por qué determinados sustantivos no son sustantivos determinados. Repaso y balance. In
 I. Bosque (Ed.), El sustantivo sin determinación. Presencia y ausencia de determinante en la lengua española,
 pp. 13–119. Madrid: Visor.
- Bouchard, D.-É. (2012). Long-Distance Degree Quantification and The Grammar of Subjectivity. Ph. D. thesis, McGill University.
- Bylinina, L. (2014). The Grammar of Standards: Judge-Dependence, Purpose-Relativity, and Comparison Classes in Degree Constructions. Ph. D. thesis, Universiteit Utrecht.
- Constantinescu, C. (2011). Gradability in the Nominal Domain. Ph. D. thesis, Universiteit Leiden.
- Cruse, D. A. (1986). Lexical Semantics. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- den Dikken, M. (2006). Relators and Linkers: The Syntax of Predication, Predicate Inversion, and Copulas. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Doetjes, J. and J. Rooryck (2003). Generalizing over qualitative and quantitative constructions. In M. Coene and Y. D'hulst (Eds.), From NP to DP, Volume 1, pp. 277–296. Amsterdam: John Benjamins.
- Espinal, M. T. (2013). Bare nominals, bare predicates. Properties and related types. In J. Kabatek and A. Wall (Eds.), New Perspectives on Bare Noun Phrases in Romance and Beyond, pp. 63–94. Amsterdam: John Benjamins.
- Fernández Lagunilla, M. (1983). El comportamiento de un con sustantivos y adjetivos en función de predicado nominal: sobre el llamado un enfático. In Serta Philologica: F. Lázaro Carreter: Natalem Diem Sexagesimum Celebranti Dicata, Volume 1, pp. 195–208. Madrid: Cátedra.

References II

- Fernández Leborans, M. J. (1999). La predicación: las oraciones copulativas. In I. Bosque and V. Demonte (Eds.), Gramática descriptiva de la lengua española, Volume II, pp. 2357–2460. Madrid: Espasa Calpe.
- Gutzmann, D. (2016). If expressivism is fun, go for it! In C. Meier and J. van Wijnbergen-Huitink (Eds.), Subjective Meaning: Alternatives to Relativism, pp. 21–46. Berlin: De Gruyter.
- Hernanz, M. L. (2001). ¡En bonito lío me he metido! Notas sobre la afectividad en español. *Moenia. Revista Lucense de Lingüística y Literatura* 7, 93–109.
- Hernanz, M. L. and A. Suñer Gratacós (1999). La predicación: La predicación no copulativa. Las construcciones absolutas. In I. Bosque and V. Demonte (Eds.), Gramática descriptiva de la lengua española, Volume II, pp. 2525–2560. Madrid: Espasa Calpe.
- Hulk, A. and C. Tellier (2000). Mismatches: Agreement in qualitative constructions. Probus 12(1), 33-66.
- Kennedy, C. (2013). Two sources of subjectivity: Qualitative assessment and dimensional uncertainty. Inquiry 56(2-3), 258–277.
- Kennedy, C. (2016). Two kinds of subjectivity. In C. Meier and J. van Wijnbergen-Huitink (Eds.), Subjective Meaning: Alternatives to Relativism, pp. 105–126. Berlin: De Gruyter.
- Kölbel, M. (2002). Truth Without Objectivity. London: Routledge.
- Lasersohn, P. (2005). Context dependence, disagreement, and predicates of personal taste. Linguistics and Philosophy 28(6), 643–686.
- Lasersohn, P. (2009). Relative truth, speaker commitment, and control of implicit arguments. Synthese 166(2), 359–374.
- Martin, F. (2006). Prédicats statifs, causatifs et résultatifs en discours. Sémantique des adjectifs évaluatifs et des verbes psychologiques. Ph. D. thesis, Université libre de Bruxelles.

References III

- Masià, M. S. (2017). Spanish Adjectives at the Syntax-Semantics Interface. Ph. D. thesis, Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona.
- Matushansky, O. (2002). Tipping the scales: The syntax of scalarity in the complement of seem. Syntax 5(3), 219–276.
- Milner, J.-C. (1978). De la syntaxe a l'interprétation : quantités, insultes, exclamations. Paris: Éditions du Seuil.
- Morzycki, M. (2008). Adverbial modification in AP: Evaluatives and a little beyond. In J. Dölling,
 - T. Heyde-Zybatow, and M. Schäfer (Eds.), Event Structures in Linguistic Form and Interpretation, pp. 103–126. Berlin: Walter de Gruyter.
- Morzycki, M. (2009). Degree modification of gradable nouns: Size adjectives and adnominal degree morphemes. Natural Language Semantics 17(2), 175–203.
- Morzycki, M. (2012). Adjectival extremeness: Degree modification and contextually restricted scales. Natural Language & Linguistic Theory 30(2), 567–609.
- Morzycki, M. (2014). Where does nominal gradability come from? Misgivings, second thoughts, regrets, and the hope of redemption. Paper presented at the University of Massachusetts.
- Munaro, N. (2006). Verbless exclamatives across Romance: Standard expectations and tentative evaluations. University of Venice Working Papers in Linguistics 16, 185–209.
- Nouwen, R. (2011). Degree modifiers and monotonicity. In P. Égré and Nathan Klinedinst (Eds.), Vagueness and Language Use, pp. 146–164. Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Paradis, C. (1997). Degree Modifiers of Adjectives in Spoken British English. Lund: Lund University Press.
- Paradis, C. (2001). Adjectives and boundedness. Cognitive Linguistics 12, 47-64.

References IV

- Portolés, J. (1994). La metáfora y la lingüística: los atributos metafóricos con *un* enfático. In V. Demonte (Ed.), *Gramática del español*, pp. 531–556. México: Colegio de México.
- Ruwet, N. (1982). Grammaire des insultes et autres études. Paris: Éditions du Seuil.
- Sæbø, K. J. (2009). Judgment ascriptions. Linguistics and Philosophy 32(4), 327-352.
- Sassoon, G. W. (2013). Size adjectives as adjectives. Paper presented at the Semantics & Philosophy of Language Workshop, University of Chicago.
- Stephenson, T. (2007). Judge dependence, epistemic modals, and predicates of personal taste. Linguistics and Philosophy 30(4), 487–525.
- Stojanovic, I. (2007). Talking about taste: Disagreement, implicit arguments, and relative truth. Linguistics and Philosophy 30(6), 691–706.
- Suñer Gratacós, A. (1990). La predicación secundaria en español. Ph. D. thesis, Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona.
- Suñer Gratacós, A. (1999). La aposición y otras relaciones de predicación en el sintagma nominal. In I. Bosque and V. Demonte (Eds.), Gramática descriptiva de la lengua española, Volume I, pp. 523–564. Madrid: Espasa Calpe.
- di Tullio, A. and A. Suñer Gratacós (2008). La evolución de *un* como artículo enfático ante nombres de cualidad en función de atributo. In C. Company and J. G. Moreno de Alba (Eds.), *Actas del VII Congreso Internacional de Historia de la Lengua Española*, pp. 499–510.
- Umbach, C. (2012). Non-restrictive Modification and Evaluativity. Paper presented at the Workshop on Semantic and Pragmatic Properties of (Non) Restrictivity, Universität Stuttgart.

References V

- Villalba, X. and A. Bartra-Kaufmann (2010). Predicate focus fronting in the Spanish determiner phrase. Lingua 120(4), 819–849.
- Vinet, M.-T. (1991). French non-verbal exclamative constructions. Probus 3(1), 77-100.
- de Vries, H. (2010). Evaluative Degree Modification of Adjectives and Nouns. Master's thesis, Universiteit Utrecht.
- de Vries, H. (2015). Gradable nouns: Investigating the relation between linguistic and conceptual structure. Paper presented at the Workshop on Gradability, Scale Structure and Vagueness: Experimental Perspectives, CCHS-CSIC, Madrid.