### 1 Part 1: Linear Embedding – GLoVE

1.1 Given the vocabulary size V and embedding dimensionality d, how many trainable parameters does the GLoVE model have?

There is a total of Vd + V parameters.

1.2 Write the gradient of the loss function with respect to one parameter vector wi.

$$y = \frac{\mathrm{d}L}{\mathrm{d}w_k} = 2\sum_{i \neq k} w_i (w_i^T w_k + b_i + b_k - \log X_{ik}) + 2\sum_{j \neq k} w_j (w_k^T w_j + b_j + b_k - \log X_{jk}) + 4w_k (w_k^T w_k + 2b_k - \log X_{kk})$$

- 1.3 Implement the gradient update of GLoVE in language model.ipynb.
- 1.4 Which d leads to optimal validation perfor- mance? Why does / doesn't larger d always lead to better validation error?

The optimal is given by d = 10. There will be overfitting problem when d gets too large.

#### 2 Network architecture

2.1 What is the total number of trainable parameters in the model? Which part of the model has the largest number of trainable parameters?

Input to Embedding Weight: 250\*18 = 4500 Embedding to Hidden Weight: 128\*3\*18 = 6912

Hidden bias: 128

Hidden to Output Weight: 128\*250 = 32000

Output bias: 250

The total number of parameter is 43790

The output layer has the largest number of trainable parameters.

2.2 n-grams: If we stored all the counts explicitly, how many entries would this table have?

 $250^4 = 3,906,250,000$ 

#### 3 Third Exercise

As in the notebook. The printed result is as the following graph.

```
#check gradients()
   print_gradients()
   loss derivative[2, 5] 0.001112231773782498
   loss derivative[2, 121] -0.9991004720395987
   loss derivative[5, 33] 0.0001903237803173703
   loss derivative[5, 31] -0.7999757709589483
   param gradient.word embedding weights[27, 2] -0.2719953998
   param gradient.word embedding weights[43, 3] 0.86417222673
   param gradient.word embedding weights[22, 4] -0.2546730202
   param gradient.word embedding weights[2, 5] 0.0
   param gradient.embed to hid weights[10, 2] -0.652699031391
   param gradient.embed to hid weights[15, 3] -0.131064330004
   param gradient.embed to hid weights[30, 9] 0.1184677461816
   param gradient.embed to hid weights[35, 21] -0.10004526104
   param_gradient.hid_bias[10] 0.2537663873815642
   param gradient.hid bias[20] -0.03326739163635357
   param gradient.output bias[0] -2.0627596032173052
   param gradient.output bias[1] 0.0390200857392169
   param gradient.output bias[2] -0.7561537928318482
   param gradient.output bias[3] 0.21235172051123635
```

Abbildung 1: Part 3 Fig

#### 4 Forth Exercise

4.1 Use the model to predict the next word. Does the model give sensible predictions? Try to find an example where it makes a plausible prediction even though the 4-gram wasn't present in the dataset

The model gives the next word "yorkäfter "city of new" with Prob: 0.97". the model gives sensible predictions. 'her', 'home', 'is' are followed by "not" with Prob: 0.18.

- 4.2 What do the words in each cluster have in common? How do the t-SNE embeddings for both models compare? How does this compare to the t-SNE embeddings?
- 1. In "tsne\_plot\_representation", Words with similar functions grammatically are group together, like verytoo, thesethose on the top left corner.
- 2. Compared with the first graph, tsne glove representation puts words with similar type close, like twothreefour, peoplechildren etc.
- plot\_2d\_GLoVE\_representation tends to put words that appears together often closer.

## 4.3 Are the words 'new' and 'york' close together in the learned representation? Why or why not?

No. They have a distance of 3.5, which is not close. This is because this model pays attention to the grammatical function for the word.

# 4.4 Which pair of words is closer together in the learned representation: ('government', 'political'), or ('government', 'university')? Why do you think this is?

Distance between 'government', 'political' is 1.55. Distance between 'government', 'university' is 1.17. As discussed before, this model pays attention to the grammatical function. In this case the latter one has both words as nonu, thus have a lower distance.

```
[22] trained_model.predict_next_word("city","of","new")
city of new york Prob: 0.97109
    city of new . Prob: 0.01537
    city of new , Prob: 0.00183
    city of new life Prob: 0.00097
    city of new ? Prob: 0.00095
    city of new home Prob: 0.00086
    city of new business Prob: 0.00070
    city of new world Prob: 0.00051
    city of new season Prob: 0.00041
    city of new family Prob: 0.00039
    find_occurrences("her","home","is")
    trained_model.predict_next_word("her","home","is")
The tri-gram "her home is" did not occur in the training set.
    her home is not Prob: 0.17877
    her home is . Prob: 0.09093
    her home is nt Prob: 0.07028
    her home is over Prob: 0.04218
    her home is the Prob: 0.03287
    her home is just Prob: 0.03132
    her home is still Prob: 0.02931
    her home is good Prob: 0.02851
    her home is all Prob: 0.02742
    her home is about Prob: 0.02518
[24] trained_model.word_distance("new","york")
Г⇒ 3.5030235071123608
[25] trained_model.word_distance("government","political")
□→ 1.557156015209253
[26] trained_model.word_distance("government","university")
Г→ 1.1773016773151586
```