

Measuring the Verdet Constant in SF-59 Glass

Liza Mulder* and Danika Luntz-Martin[†]

Department of Physics, Smith College, Northampton, MA 01063

(Dated: October 7, 2014)

Abstract

We measured the intensity of a laser propagating through SF-59 glass in a magnetic field while rotating a polarizing lens or varying a magnetic field. We observed Faraday Rotation in both cases and measured the Verdet constant of the SF-59 glass rod to be $19.5 \pm 0.9 \frac{1}{Tm}$ and $19.12 \pm 0.05 \frac{1}{Tm}$.

I. INTRODUCTION

Faraday Rotation refers a phenomenon first observed by Faraday in 1945. It was a landmark discovery because it was the first link between light and magnetism.² Faraday discovered that polarized light propagating through certain materials while in a magnetic field experienced a shift in the polarization angle. This effect can be observed in materials which have different refractive indices for left circularly polarized (LCP) light and right circularly polarized (RCP) light when they are in a magnetic field. These materials are called birefringent. Light can be written as the vector sum of LCP and RCP components. When it propagates through the material its components experience different phase shifts leading to a total phase shift in the light's polarization.¹ The magnitude of the phase shift depends on three factors: the strength of the magnetic field, the distance that the light travels in the material and the properties of the material itself. The phase shift for a specific material is given by the Verdet constant (v_c) which has units of $\frac{\text{radians}}{\text{tesla meter}}$. Thus the equation for total phase shift is $\Delta\theta = v_c BL$.

In this paper, we measure the Verdet constant of SF-59 glass in order to observe the linear relationship expected between phase shift and magnetic field. We performed a second experiment to confirm the value we found for the Verdet constant in our first experiment.

II. METHODS

Our experimental setup was based off the TeachSpin teaching manual. We used a TeachSpin FRI-A apparatus consisting of a diode laser, a solenoid, a polarizing lens and photodetector. To observe Faraday Rotation and measure the Verdet constant we used a SF-59 glass rod. SF-59 glass is heavy flint glass with a high lead content. The manufacturers dope silicone glass with lead because the high lead content increases the Verdet constant of the glass, making it easier to observe Faraday Rotation.³ We placed the glass rod in the center of the solenoid. The length of our rod was 5 cm shorter than the solenoid so that the magnetic field was approximately constant across the length of the rod and we could neglect the sever drop in magnetic field due to the edges of the solenoid.

Our power source was a Keithley 2230-30-1 Triple Channel DC Power Supply which we used on current control throughout our experiments. We connected the two 3 volt terminals




FIG. 1. The set-up of our experiment. The light source was a laser, modulated by a function generator. We sent the beam through a solenoid containing a rod of material, and next a polarization filter. A photodetector at the other end measured the light intensity. This signal went through a lock-in detector, and from there to the computer.

in parallel so that we could obtain a total current of 3 amps through the solenoid. By varying the current through the solenoid we could change the magnetic field through the glass rod. We measured the magnetic field using a TEL-Atomic Inc. Smart Magnetic Sensor for a current of 2A and obtained a field of 21.8mT at the center of solenoid decreasing to 20.5mT at the edges of the glass rod. We took the average of this range to be our best value and difference between high and low values divided by two to be our uncertainty. To obtain field values for other currents we exploited the linear relationship between magnetic field and current.

We used a Rigol DG1022A function generator to modulate the laser at a frequency of 400Hz. The signal from the photodiode was run through a preamp, a bandpass filter and

a lock-in detector. We used lock-in detector to stabilize our measurements and filter out ambient light from the room along with other forms of systematic error.

The output from the lock-in detector was then measured with a Keithley 2100 DMM. To facilitate data collection we used a computer program (helpfully provided by our instructors) called "Keithley DC Incremental Write." The program would record 16 values for voltage, average them and give the result with uncertainty as one data point.

For our changing theta experiment, we measured the voltage while rotating the polarizing lens. We started with no current through the solenoid and an angle of 90° between the polarized laser and the polarizing lens. We found this angle by observing where our intensity and measured voltage were at a minimum. We called this a relative angle of 0° . We then measured the voltage every 10° for a single rotation (360°). We repeated this measurement for currents of $I = 1\text{A}$, $I = 2\text{A}$ and $I = 3\text{A}$.

For our changing field experiment, we set our relative angle to 45° . We then measured the voltage for currents 0A , $\pm 0.5\text{A}$, $\pm 1\text{A}$, $\pm 1.5\text{A}$, $\pm 2\text{A}$, $\pm 2.5\text{A}$ and $\pm 3\text{A}$. For each change in current the initial voltage reading would drift as the solenoid heated and resistance changed. We allowed the voltage to stabilize before starting data collect. For this experiment we set the computer program to average over 100 values for each data point.

III. RESULTS

From the changing theta experiment, we got 36 data points for each current, i.e. 0A , 1A , 2A , and 3A , shown in Figure 2. The red points are the measurements taken without a magnetic field, the orange points were taken with 1A of current passing through the solenoid, the green points with the current at 2A , and the blue points at 3A . The curve fits are the function $V = A \cos^2(\theta + C) - A$, with A held constant at 6.2845 V (obtained from the first curve fit), and C calculated by the curve fit. We are interested in the change in C between curve fits because this gives us the phase shift caused by applying various magnetic fields.

From the measurements of changing magnetic field, with a constant polarization filter angle, for currents from -3A to 3A in steps of 0.5A , we obtained the results shown in Table I.




FIG. 2. Photo-detector voltage (proportional to light intensity) plotted against relative angle from 0 to π radians. The red points are the measurements taken without a current in the solenoid, the orange points were taken with 1A of current, the green points with the current at 2A, and the blue points at 3A. The curve fits are the function $V = A \cos^2(\theta + C) - A$, with A held constant at 6.2845 V (obtained from the first curve fit), and C calculated by the curve fit.

IV. ANALYSIS

We analyzed the data from both experiments separately to obtain two estimates for the Verdet Constant. For the changing theta experiment, we used IgorPro to fit the function $V = A \cos^2(\theta + C) - A$ to the data in Figure 2. We then calculated the magnetic field from the applied current and our measurements for field at 2A, and the phase shift from the difference between the c-values of the curve fits. The results are in Table II.

We then plotted the phase shift versus the magnetic field multiplied by the length of our rod, which gave us Figure 3. From the theory we know that $\Delta\theta = v_c BL$ therefore we would expect our data to be linear and the Verdet constant to be the slope.

From the linear fit of our plot we obtained a slope $19.5 \pm 0.9 \frac{1}{Tm}$.

To get the Verdet constant from our changing field experiment, we first calculated the values of magnetic field times length for currents from -3A to 3A in steps of 0.5As. As before

TABLE I. Voltage readings from the photodetector for various currents applied to the solenoid.

Current (A)	Voltage (V)	Error Voltage (V)
-3	2.822	1.30E-10
-2.5	2.887	5.47E-10
-2	2.951	3.72E-10
-1.5	3.016	3.78E-11
-1	3.082	8.98E-10
-0.5	3.145	9.03E-10
0	3.211	1.18E-09
0.5	3.272	1.16E-10
1	3.338	2.05E-09
1.5	3.404	2.13E-09
2	3.468	6.96E-10
2.5	3.534	1.12E-09
3	3.599	1.05E-09

TABLE II. Curve fit data and calculated phase shifts for changing angle experiment, with magnetic fields calculated from the applied currents.

I (A)	BL (mT cm)	δBL (mT cm)	C (rad)	δC (rad)	$\Delta\theta$ (rad)	$\delta\Delta\theta$ (rad)
0	0	0	0.0088553	0.001	0	0.002
1	108	4	0.030849	0.0014	0.022	0.0024
2	215	8	0.049599	0.0013	0.0407	0.0023
3	323	12	0.072754	0.0015	0.0639	0.0025

we calculated the field from our measured field for 2A and the linear relationship between field and current. The results are in Table III.

We plotted the results of Table III in Figure 4. From the slope of the linear fit of this plot we got $\frac{\delta V}{\delta BL}$

Since, as shown above $v_c = \frac{\delta\theta}{\delta BL}$, we can use the chain rule for derivatives and get:

$$\frac{\delta V}{\delta BL} = \frac{\delta V}{\delta\theta} \frac{\delta\theta}{\delta BL} = \frac{\delta V}{\delta\theta} v_c.$$




FIG. 3. A plot of Table II, applied magnetic field (times length of the refracting material) versus the resulting phase shift in the polarization of the laser beam. The slope of a linear curve fit of this plot gives a value for the Verdet constant of the material.

Therefore $v_c = \frac{\frac{\delta V}{\delta BL}}{\frac{\delta V}{\delta \theta}}$.

From Figure 4 we got $\frac{\delta V}{\delta BL} = 0.0012018 \pm 1.8 \times 10^{-6}$. To get $\frac{\delta V}{\delta \theta}$, we took the derivative of the function $V = A \cos^2(\theta) - A$ at $\theta = \pi/4$ and obtained $\frac{\delta V}{\delta \theta} = -A$, and from the fit to the zero field data in Figure 2, $-A = 6.285 \pm 0.008V$.

Dividing these two results, we get $v_c = \frac{\frac{\delta V}{\delta BL}}{\frac{\delta V}{\delta \theta}} = 19.12 \pm 0.05 \frac{1}{Tm}$.

V. DISCUSSION

All of our data fit the expected relationships. In Figure 2 the intensity of the light, given by voltage from the photodetector, showed a $\cos^2 \theta$ relationship with relative angle between the laser and the polarizing filter as was expected. For the changing theta experiment the relationship between phase shift and magnetic field had a constant, positive slope, Figure 3, which is reassuring since slope should be the Verdet constant, a constant positive value. For the changing field experiment we expect intensity to depend linearly on field because

TABLE III. The fields due to the currents in table I applied to our solenoid, with the resulting voltage measured by the photodetector.

Magnetic Field * Length (mT*cm)	Error B*L (mT*cm)	Voltage (V)	Error Voltage (V)
-323	12	2.822	1.30E-10
-269	10	2.887	5.47E-10
-215	8	2.951	3.72E-10
-161	6	3.016	3.78E-11
-108	4	3.082	8.98E-10
-54	2	3.145	9.03E-10
0	0	3.211	1.18E-09
54	2	3.272	1.16E-10
108	4	3.338	2.05E-09
161	6	3.404	2.13E-09
215	8	3.468	6.96E-10
269	10	3.534	1.12E-09
323	12	3.599	1.05E-09

a change in field causes a proportional change in phase shift and the relationship between intensity, i.e. voltage, and phase shift to be linear for small changes in phase. The values that we obtained for the Verdet constant from both experiments agreed with each other within uncertainty.

The biggest sources of error in our experiments came from our measurement of the magnetic field and the imprecision of our angle measurement on the polarizing filter. To decrease error in future experiments we would suggest using a more accurate magnetic field sensor and to measure the magnetic field for all currents used in the experiment.

* emulder@smith.edu

† dluntzma@smith.edu




FIG. 4. A plot of Table III, the applied magnetic field (times the length of the refracting material) versus the voltage measured by the photodetector for that field. The slope of a linear curve fit to this plot can be used to calculate the Verdet constant of the material.

² Jonathan F. Reichert, *Faraday Rotation: Instructor's Guide to TeachSpin's FRI-A Apparatus*

³ Hans Bach and Norbert Neuroth, *The Properties of Optical Glass* 2nd edition (Springer Science and Business Media, Mainz, Germany, 1998)